# Session 33

### Coded Radar Signals

Reference: N. Levanon and E. Mozeson, *Radar Signals,* Wiley, 2004 (ISBN 0-471-47378-2)

- We will consider coded radar "pulses" as opposed to pulse trains.
- We have already seen that modulation of a radar pulse (e.g. a "chirp") increases the range resolution of the signal through bandwidth expansion.
- Modulation of a radar pulse can significantly modify its ambiguity function (e.g. the "sheared" ambiguity function generated by a chirp)
- We want to consider intelligent approaches to modulating waveforms
- Coded waveforms provide a structured approach to designing waveforms.



#### Coded Radar Signals

A coded waveform  $s(t)$  is a signal of the form

$$
s(t) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{NT}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} p(t - nT) \exp \{j2\pi d_n t/T\} \exp \{j\phi_n\}}_{\text{Unit Energy}},
$$
  

$$
p(t) = 1_{[0,\text{TI}]}(t),
$$

where

 ${d_n}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  = a sequence of integer frequency modulating indices,

 ${\lbrace \phi_n \rbrace}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  = a sequence of real valued phases,

 $T =$  duration of a single waveform "chip,"

*NT* = total duration of the coded waveform. We will initially take

$$
\phi_0 = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \cdots = \phi_{N-1} = 0,
$$

which will give us a *frequency-coded signal*

$$
s(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NT}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} p(t - nT) \exp\left\{ j2\pi \left(\frac{d_n}{T}\right)t \right\}.
$$

25.2

# Frequency-Coded Waveforms

A frequency coded waveform *s*(*t*) is a signal of the form

$$
s(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} p(t - lT)e^{-j2\pi\Omega_l t},
$$

where

$$
T = \text{chip duration},
$$

$$
p(t) = 1_{[0,T]}(t) \qquad \text{(chip waveform)},
$$

and

$$
\Omega_l = d_l/T, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots N,
$$

where  $\{d_l\}$  is a permutation of the integers  $1, 2, ...N$ .



The Ambiguity Function of Frequency-Coded Waveforms  
\nThe ambiguity function of 
$$
s(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} p(t - lT)e^{-j2\pi\Omega_l t}
$$
  
\nis  
\n
$$
\chi_s(\tau, \nu) = \chi_s^{(1)}(\tau, \nu) + \chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu),
$$
\nwhere  
\n
$$
\chi_s(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{l=0 \ \text{odd}}}^{N} \xi^{(l)}(\tau, \nu) + \chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu),
$$
\nand  
\n
$$
\chi_s^{(1)}(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{-j2\pi m\nu} e^{-j2\pi\Omega_m \tau} \chi_p(\tau, \nu),
$$
\nand  
\n
$$
\chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0,n \neq m}^{N-1} \frac{e^{-j\pi(\Omega_m + \Omega_n)\tau} e^{-j\pi(m+n)T}}{\chi_p(\tau + (m - n)T, \nu + (\Omega_n - \Omega_m))}
$$
\nand  
\n
$$
\chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu) = \chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu).
$$

<u> 1989 - Andrea Santa Andrea Andr</u>

**The Ambiguity Function of Frequency-Coded Waveforms**  
\nThe ambiguity function of 
$$
s(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} p(t - lT)e^{-j2\pi\Omega_l t}
$$
  
\nis  
\n
$$
\chi_s(\tau, \nu) = \chi_s^{(1)}(\tau, \nu) + \chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu),
$$
\nwhere  
\n
$$
\chi_s(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(t)} s^{*(t-\tau)} e^{+i2\pi\nu t} dt
$$
\n
$$
\chi_s^{(1)}(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{-j2\pi m\nu T} e^{-j2\pi\Omega_m \tau} \chi_p(\tau, \nu),
$$
\nand  
\n
$$
\chi_s^{(2)}(\tau, \nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0, n \neq m}^{N-1} \frac{e^{-j\pi(\Omega_m + \Omega_n)\tau} e^{-j\pi(m+n)T}}{(\chi_p(\tau + (m-n)T, \nu + (\Omega_n - \Omega_m)))}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{(n-\tau)} e^{-j\pi(\Omega_m + \Omega_n) \tau} e^{-j\pi(m+n)T} \chi_p(\tau, \nu) = \chi_s(\tau, \nu) = \chi_s(\tau, \nu).
$$



## Coincident Sidelobe Approximation

- If we consider only the sidelobe contributions due to the situations where both arguments of the ambiguity function is zero, we want to minimize the number of situations where this occurs.
- We especially want to minimize multiple "hits" for any given delay and Doppler shift.
- While this approach only minimizes an approximation of the ambiguity function sidelobes, it is surprisingly effective.
- It is, in fact, the approach John Costas used in designing Costas sequences.



