Scanners

Scanners

- Sometimes called *lexers*
- Recall: scanners break input stream up into a set of tokens
    - Identifiers, reserved words, literals, etc.
- What do we need to know?
    - How do we define tokens?
    - How can we recognize tokens?
    - How do we write scanners?

Regular expressions

- Regular sets: set of strings defined by regular expressions
  - Strings are regular sets (with one element): purdue 3.14159
    - So is the empty string: λ (sometimes use ε instead)
  - Concatentations of regular sets are regular: purdue3.14159
    - To avoid ambiguity, can use ( ) to group regexps together
  - A choice between two regular sets is regular, using |: (purdue|3.14159)
  - 0 or more of a regular set is regular, using *: (purdue)*
  - Some other notation used for convenience:
    - Use Not to accept all strings *except* those in a regular set
    - Use ? to make a string optional: x? equivalent to (x|λ)
    - Use + to mean 1 or more strings from a set: x+ equivalent to xx*
    - Use [ ] to present a range of choices: [1-3] equivalent to (1|2|3)

Examples of regular expressions

- Digits: D = [0-9]
- Letters: L = [A-Za-z]
- Literals (integers or floats): -?D+(.D*)?
- Identifiers: (_|L)(_|L|D)*
- Comments (as in Micro): -- Not(\n)*\n
- More complex comments (delimited by ##, can use # inside comment): ##((#|λ)Not(#))*##

Scanner generators

- Essentially, tools for converting regular expressions into scanners
- Two popular scanner generators
    - Lex (Flex): generates C/C++ scanners
    - ANTLR: generates Java scanners

Lex (Flex)

- Commonly used Unix scanner generator (superseded by Flex)
- Flex is a domain specific language for writing scanners
- Features:
  - Character classes : define sets of characters (e.g., digits)
  - Token definitions : `regex {action to take}`

Lex (Flex)

```
DIGIT    [0-9]
ID       [a-z][a-z0-9]*

%%

{DIGIT}+  {
          printf( "An integer: %s (%d)\n", yytext,
          atoi( yytext ) );
       }

{DIGIT}+"."{DIGIT}* {
              printf( "A float: %s (%g)\n", yytext,
              atof( yytext ) );
          }

if|then|begin|end|procedure|function {
          printf( "A keyword: %s\n", yytext );
       }

{ID}      printf( "An identifier: %s\n", yytext );
```

Lex (Flex)

- The order in which tokens are defined matters!
- Lex will match the longest possible token
    - “ifa” becomes ID(ifa), not IF ID(a)
- If two regexes both match, Lex uses the one defined first
    - “if” becomes IF, not ID(if)
- Use action blocks to process tokens as necessary
    - Convert integer/float literals to numbers
    - Remove quotes from string literals

Lex (Flex)

- Compile lex file to C code
  - Example of compiling high-level language to another high-level language!
- Compile generated scanner to produce working scanner
- Combine with yacc/bison to produce parser

ANTLR

- More powerful tool than Lex (can generate parsers, too, not just scanners)
- Same basic principles
- Tokens:
    - Token definition: tokenName : regex1 | regex2 | ...
- Character classes:
    - Look similar to token definitions
    - **fragment** characterClassName : regex1 | regex2 ...
    - Can use character classes when defining tokens

How do flex and ANTLR work?

- Use a systematic technique for converting regular expressions into code that recognizes when a string matches that regular expression
- Key to efficiency: recognize matches *as characters are read*
- Enabling concept: finite automata

Finite automata

- Finite state machine which will only *accept* a string if it is in the set defined by the regular expression

(a b c+)+



λ transitions

- Transitions between states that aren't triggered by seeing another character
  - Can *optionally* take the transition, but do not have to
  - Can be used to link states together



Non-deterministic FA

- Note that if a finite automaton has a λ-transition in it, it may be *non-deterministic* (do we take the transition? or not?)
  - More precisely, FA is non-deterministic if, from one state reading a single character could result in transition to multiple states
- How do we deal with non-deterministic finite automata (NFAs)?

“Running” an NFA

- Intuition: take every possible path through an NFA
  - Think: parallel execution of NFA
  - Maintain a “pointer” that tracks the current state
  - Every time there is a choice, “split” the pointer, and have one pointer follow each choice
  - Track each pointer simultaneously
    - If a pointer gets stuck, stop tracking it
    - If any pointer reaches an accept state at the end of input, accept

Example

- How does this NFA handle the string "aba"?



Building a FA from a regexp

Expression	FA
a	
λ	
AB	
A|B	
A*	

Mini-exercise: how do we build an FA that accepts Not(A)?

NFAs to DFAs

- Can convert NFAs to *deterministic* finite automata (DFAs)
    - No choices — never a need to "split" pointers
- Initial idea: simulate NFA for all possible inputs, any time there is a new configuration of pointers, create a state to capture it
    - Pointers at states 1, 3 and 4 → new state {1, 3, 4}
- Trying all possible inputs is impractical; instead, for any new state, explore all possible *next* states (that can be reached with a single character)
- Process ends when there are no new states found
- This can result in very large DFAs!

Example

- Convert the following into a DFA



DFA reduction

- DFAs built from NFAs are not necessarily optimal
  - May contain many more states than is necessary

$(ab)+ \equiv (ab)(ab)^*$



DFA reduction

- DFAs built from NFAs are not necessarily optimal
  - May contain many more states than is necessary

(ab)+ ≡ (ab)(ab)*



DFA reduction

- Intuition: merge equivalent states
  - Two states are equivalent if they have the same transitions to the same states
- Basic idea of optimization algorithm
  - Start with two big nodes, one representing all the final states, the other representing all other states
  - Successively split those nodes whose transitions lead to nodes in the original DFA that are in different nodes in the optimized DFA

Example

- Simplify the following



Transition tables

- Table encoding states and transitions of FA
  - 1 row per state, 1 column per possible character
  - Each entry: if automaton in a particular state sees a character, what is the next state?

State	Character		
	a	b	c
1	2		
2		3	
3			4
4	2		4



Finite automata program

- Using a transition table, it is straightforward to write a program to recognize strings in a regular language

```
state = initial_state; //start state of FA
while (true) {
   next_char = getc();
   if (next_char == EOF) break;
   next_state = T[state][next_char];
   if (next_state == ERROR) break;
   state = next_state;
}
if (is_final_state(state))
   //recognized a valid string
else
   handle_error(next_char);
```

Alternate implementation

- Here’s how we would implement the same program “conventionally”

```
next_char = getc();
while (next_char == ‘a’) {
   next_char = getc();
   if (next_char != ‘b’) handle_error(next_char);
   next_char = getc();
   if (next_char != ‘c’) handle_error(next_char);
   while (next_char == ‘c’) {
      next_char = getc();
      if (next_char == EOF) return; //matched token
      if (next_char == ‘a’) break;
      if (next_char != ‘c’) handle_error(next_char);
   }
}
handle_error(next_char);
```

Lookahead

- Up until now, we have only considered matching an entire string to see if it is in a regular language
- What if we want to match multiple tokens from a file?
  - Distinguish between `int a` and `inta`
  - We need to *look ahead* to see if the next character belongs to the current token
  - If it does, we can continue
  - If it doesn't, the next character becomes part of the next token

Multi-character lookahead

- Sometimes, a scanner will need to look ahead more than one character to distinguish tokens
- Examples
    - Fortran: DO I = 1,100 (loop) vs. DO I = 1.100 (variable assignment)
    - Pascal: 23.85 (literal) vs. 23..85 (range)



- 2 solutions: Backup or special “action” state

Multi-character lookahead

- Sometimes, a scanner will need to look ahead more than one character to distinguish tokens
- Examples
  - Fortran: DO I = 1,100 (loop) vs. DO I = 1.100 (variable assignment)
  - Pascal: 23.85 (literal) vs. 23..85 (range)



- 2 solutions: Backup or special "action" state

General approach

- Remember states (T) that can be final states
- Buffer the characters from then on
- If stuck in a non-final state, back up to T, restore buffered characters to stream
- Example: 12.3e+q



input stream 1 2 . 3 e + q

FA processing T Error!

Why can’t we do this?

- Just build an FA which recognizes the string

  D+(λ |.D+)(. | ..)D+(λ |.D+) and recognize the final state we are in to determine the token type?

- Note that this will recognize tokens of the form 12.3 and 12..3

Error Recovery

- What do we do if we encounter a lexical error (a character which causes us to take an undefined transition)?
- Two options
    - Delete all currently read characters, start scanning from current location
    - Delete *first* character read, start scanning from second character
        - This presents problems with ill-formatted strings (why?)
        - One solution: create a new regexp to accept runaway strings

Next Time

- We've covered how to tokenize an input program
- But how do we decide what the tokens actually say?
  - How do we recognize that

    IF ID(a) OP(<) ID(b) { ID(a) ASSIGN LIT(5) ; }

    is an if-statement?
- Next time: Parsers