ECE 468 & 573 Problem Set 2: Context-free Grammars, Parsers

1. For the following sub-problems, consider the following context-free grammar:

$$S \rightarrow A$$
 (1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \to & xBC & (2) \\ A & \to & CB & (3) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \to & CB & (3) \\ P & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

$$B \rightarrow yB$$
 (4)

$$B \rightarrow \lambda$$
 (5)

- $C \rightarrow x$ (6)
- (a) What are the terminals and non-terminals of this language?
- (b) Describe the strings are generated by this language. Is this a regular language (*i.e.*, could you write a regular expression that generates this language)?
- (c) Show the derivation of the string xyyx starting from S (specify which production you used at each step), and give the parse tree according to that derivation.
- (d) Give the first and follow sets for each of the non-terminals of the grammar.
- (e) What are the predict sets for each production?
- (f) Give the parse table for the grammar. Is this an LL(1) grammar? Why or why not?
- 2. for the following sub-problems, consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow AB$$
 (7)

$$A \rightarrow xB$$
 (8)

$$A \rightarrow \lambda$$
 (9)

$$B \rightarrow xyA$$
 (10)

- $B \rightarrow w$ (11)
- (a) Describe the strings generated by this language.
- (b) Is this language LL(1)? Why or why not?
- (c) Build the CFSM for this grammar.
- (d) Build the goto and action tables for this grammar. Is it an LR(0) grammar? Why or why not?
- (e) If we add the production

$$B \to x$$

to the grammar, is it an LR(0) grammar? Why or why not?

(f) Considering the original grammar, suppose we replaced rule 10 with the rule $B \rightarrow xA$. Argue that this grammar cannot be parsed at all by any LL or LR parser (hint: is the grammar ambiguous?).