Control flow graphs and loop optimizations

Agenda

- Building control flow graphs
- Low level loop optimizations
 - Code motion
 - Strength reduction
 - Unrolling
- High level loop optimizations
 - Loop fusion
 - Loop interchange
 - Loop tiling

Moving beyond basic blocks

- Up until now, we have focused on single basic blocks
- What do we do if we want to consider larger units of computation
 - Whole procedures?
 - Whole program?
- Idea: capture *control flow* of a program
 - How control transfers between basic blocks due to:
 - Conditionals
 - Loops

Representation

- Use standard three-address code
- Jump targets are labeled
- Also label beginning/end of functions
- Want to keep track of *targets of jump statements*
 - Any statement whose execution may immediately follow execution of jump statement
 - Explicit targets: targets mentioned in jump statement
 - Implicit targets: statements that follow conditional jump statements
 - The statement that gets executed if the branch is not taken

Running example

A = 4
t1 = A * B
repeat {
 t2 = t1/C
 if (t2
$$\ge$$
 W) {
 M = t1 * k
 t3 = M + I
 }
 H = I
 M = t3 - H
} until (T3 \ge 0)

Running example

1
$$A = 4$$

2 $t1 = A * B$
3 L1: $t2 = t1 / C$
4 $if t2 < W \text{ goto } L2$
5 $M = t1 * k$
6 $t3 = M + I$
7 L2: $H = I$
8 $M = t3 - H$
9 $if t3 \ge 0 \text{ goto } L3$
10 $goto \ L1$
11 L3: halt

Control flow graphs

- Divides statements into basic blocks
- Basic block: a maximal sequence of statements I_0 , I_1 , I_2 , ..., I_n such that if I_j and I_{j+1} are two adjacent statements in this sequence, then
 - The execution of I_j is always immediately followed by the execution of I_{j+1}
 - The execution of I_{j+1} is always immediate preceded by the execution of I_j
- Edges between basic blocks represent potential flow of control

CFG for running example



Constructing a CFG

- To construct a CFG where each node is a basic block
 - Identify *leaders*: first statement of a basic block
 - In program order, construct a block by appending subsequent statements up to, but not including, the next leader
- Identifying leaders
 - First statement in the program
 - Explicit target of any conditional or unconditional branch
 - Implicit target of any branch

Partitioning algorithm

- Input: set of statements, stat(i) = ith statement in input
- Output: set of *leaders*, set of basic blocks where *block(x)* is the set of statements in the block with leader x
- Algorithm

leaders = {1} //Leaders always includes first statement
for i = 1 to |n| //|n| = number of statements
if stat(i) is a branch, then
leaders = leaders ∪ all potential targets
end for
worklist = leaders
while worklist not empty do
x = remove earliest statement in worklist
block(x) = {x}
for (i = x + 1; i ≤ |n| and i ∉ leaders; i++)
block(x) = block(x) ∪ {i}
end for
end while

Running example

1
$$A = 4$$

2 $t1 = A * B$
3 L1: $t2 = t1 / C$
4 $if t2 < W \text{ goto } L2$
5 $M = t1 * k$
6 $t3 = M + I$
7 L2: $H = I$
8 $M = t3 - H$
9 $if t3 \ge 0 \text{ goto } L3$
10 $goto \ L1$
11 L3: halt

Leaders = Basic blocks =

Friday, October 26, 12

Running example

Leaders = $\{1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11\}$ Basic blocks = $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7, 8, 9\}, \{10\}, \{11\}\}$

Putting edges in CFG

- There is a directed edge from B_1 to B_2 if
 - There is a branch from the last statement of B_1 to the first statement (leader) of B_2
 - B₂ immediately follows B₁ in program order and B₁ does not end with an unconditional branch
- Input: *block*, a sequence of basic blocks
- Output: The CFG

for i = 1 to |block|
x = last statement of block(i)
if stat(x) is a branch, then
for each explicit target y of stat(x)
 create edge from block i to block y
end for
if stat(x) is not unconditional then
 create edge from block i to block i+1
end for

Result



Discussion

- Some times we will also consider the *statement-level* CFG, where each node is a statement rather than a basic block
 - Either kind of graph is referred to as a CFG
- In statement-level CFG, we often use a node to explicitly represent merging of control
 - Control merges when two different CFG nodes point to the same node
- Note: if input language is structured, front-end can generate basic block directly
 - "GOTO considered harmful"

Statement level CFG



Friday, October 26, 12

Loop optimization

- Low level optimization
 - Moving code around in a single loop
 - Examples: loop invariant code motion, strength reduction, loop unrolling
- High level optimization
 - Restructuring loops, often affects multiple loops
 - Examples: loop fusion, loop interchange, loop tiling

Low level loop optimizations

- Affect a single loop
- Usually performed at three-address code stage or later in compiler
- First problem: identifying loops
 - Low level representation doesn't have loop statements!

Identifying loops

- First, we must identify *dominators*
 - Node a dominates node b if every possible execution path that gets to b must pass through a
- Many different algorithms to calculate dominators we will not cover how this is calculated
- A back edge is an edge from b to a when a dominates b
- The target of a back edge is a *loop header*

Natural loops

- Will focus on *natural loops* loops that arise in structured programs
- For a node n to be in a loop with header h
 - n must be dominated by h
 - There must be a path in the CFG from n to h through a back-edge to h
- What are the back edges in the example to the right? The loop headers? The natural loops?



Loop invariant code motion

- Idea: some expressions evaluated in a loop never change; they are *loop invariant*
 - Can move loop invariant expressions outside the loop, store result in temporary and just use the temporary in each iteration
 - Why is this useful?

Identifying loop invariant code

- To determine if a statement
 - s:a = b op c

is loop invariant, find all definitions of **b** and **c** that reach **s**

- A statement t defining b reaches s if there is a path from t to s where b is not re-defined
- s is loop invariant if both b and c satisfy one of the following
 - it is constant
 - all definitions that reach it are from outside the loop
 - only one definition reaches it and that definition is also loop invariant

Moving loop invariant code

- Just because code is loop invariant doesn't mean we can move it!
- - We can move a loop invariant statement a = b op c if
 - The statement dominates all loop exits where a is live
 - There is only one definition of a in the loop
 - a is not live before the loop
 - Move instruction to a preheader, a new block put right before loop header

Strength reduction

- Like strength reduction peephole optimization
 - Peephole: replace expensive instruction like a * 2 with a << |
- Replace expensive instruction, multiply, with a cheap one, addition
 - Applies to uses of an *induction variable*
 - Opportunity: array indexing

for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)A[i] = 0;i = 0;L2:if (i >= 100) goto L1 j = 4 * i + &A*j = 0; i = i + 1;goto L2 L1:

Strength reduction

- Like strength reduction peephole optimization
 - Peephole: replace expensive instruction like a * 2 with a << |
- Replace expensive instruction, multiply, with a cheap one, addition
 - Applies to uses of an *induction variable*
 - Opportunity: array indexing

for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)A[i] = 0;i = 0; k = &A;L2:if (i >= 100) goto L1 j = k;*i = 0; i = i + 1; k = k + 4;goto L2 L1:

Induction variables

- A basic induction variable is a variable j
 - whose only definition within the loop is an assignment of the form j = j ± c, where c is loop invariant
 - Intuition: the variable which determines number of iterations is usually an induction variable
- A mutual induction variable i may be
 - defined once within the loop, and its value is a linear function of some other induction variable j such that

 $i = cl * j \pm c2$ or $i = j/cl \pm c2$

where cl, c2 are loop invariant

• A *family* of induction variables include a basic induction variable and any related mutual induction variables

Strength reduction algorithm

- Let i be an induction variable in the family of the basic induction variable j, such that i = cl * j + c2
 - Create a new variable i'
 - Initialize in preheader

i' = c | * j + c2

• Track value of j. After j = j + c3, perform

i' = i' + (c | * c3)

• Replace definition of i with

i = i'

 Key: c1, c2, c3 are all loop invariant (or constant), so computations like (c1 * c3) can be moved outside loop

Linear test replacement

- After strength reduction, the loop test may be the only use of the basic induction variable
- Can now eliminate induction variable altogether
- Algorithm
 - If only use of an induction variable is the loop test and its increment, and if the test is always computed
 - Can replace the test with an equivalent one using one of the mutual induction variables

i = 2for (; i < k; i++) j = 50*i ... = jStrength reduction i = 2; j' = 50 * i for (; i < k; i++, j' += 50) ... = j' Linear test replacement i = 2; j' = 50 * i for (; j' < 50*k; j' += 50) ... = j'

Loop unrolling

- Modifying induction variable in each iteration can be expensive
- Can instead unroll loops and perform multiple iterations for each increment of the induction variable
- What are the advantages and disadvantages?

for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
 A[i] = ...</pre>

Unroll by factor of 4

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i += 4)
A[i] = ...
A[i+1] = ...
A[i+2] = ...
A[i+3] = ...</pre>
```

High level loop optimizations

- Many useful compiler optimizations require restructuring loops or sets of loops
 - Combining two loops together (*loop fusion*)
 - Switching the order of a nested loop (*loop interchange*)
 - Completely changing the traversal order of a loop (loop tiling)
- These sorts of high level loop optimizations usually take place at the AST level (where loop structure is obvious)

Cache behavior

- Most loop transformations target cache performance
 - Attempt to increase spatial or temporal locality
 - Locality can be exploited when there is reuse of data (for temporal locality) or recent access of nearby data (for spatial locality)
- Loops are a good opportunity for this: many loops iterate through matrices or arrays
- Consider matrix-vector multiply example
 - Multiple traversals of vector: opportunity for spatial and temporal locality
 - Regular access to array: opportunity for spatial locality



y = Ax

Loop fusion

do I = 1, n c[i] = a[i] end do do I = 1, n b[i] = a[i] end do



- Combine two loops together into a single loop
- Why is this useful?
- Is this always legal?

do I = 1, n c[i] = a[i] b[i] = a[i] end do



Loop interchange

- Change the order of a nested loop
- This is not always legal it changes the order that elements are accessed!
- Why is this useful?
 - Consider matrix-matrix multiply when A is stored in column-major order (i.e., each column is stored in contiguous memory)

J X
 X
 i Q A

for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
 for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
 y[i] += A[i][j] * x[j]
</pre>

Loop interchange

- Change the order of a nested loop
- This is not always legal it changes the order that elements are accessed!
- Why is this useful?
 - Consider matrix-matrix multiply when A is stored in column-major order (i.e., each column is stored in contiguous memory)



Loop tiling

- Also called "loop blocking"
- One of the more complex loop transformations
- Goal: break loop up into smaller pieces to get spatial and temporal locality
 - Create new inner loops so that data accessed in inner loops fit in cache
- Also changes iteration order, so may not be legal

for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
y[i] += A[i][j] * x[j]</pre>



Loop tiling

- Also called "loop blocking"
- One of the more complex loop transformations
- Goal: break loop up into smaller pieces to get spatial and temporal locality
 - Create new inner loops so that data accessed in inner loops fit in cache
- Also changes iteration order, so may not be legal

for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
y[i] += A[i][j] * x[j]</pre>





In a real (Itanium) compiler



Loop transformations

- Loop transformations can have dramatic effects on performance
- Doing this legally and automatically is very difficult!
- Researchers have developed techniques to determine legality of loop transformations and automatically transform the loop
 - Techniques like unimodular transform framework and polyhedral framework
 - These approaches will get covered in more detail in advanced compilers course