## ECE 468 & 573 Problem Set 2: Context-free Grammars, Parsers

1. For the following sub-problems, consider the following context-free grammar:

$$S \rightarrow A \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \to & xAC & (2) \\ A & \to & B & (3) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \rightarrow & D \\
B & \rightarrow & uBC \\
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \rightarrow & & & (1) \\ B & \rightarrow & \lambda & & & (5) \end{array}$$

$$C \rightarrow z$$
 (6)

(a) What are the terminals and non-terminals of this language?

### Answer:

Terminals:  $\{x, y, z\}$ . Non-terminals:  $\{S, A, B, C\}$ .

(b) Describe the strings are generated by this language. Is this a regular language (*i.e.*, could you write a regular expression that generates this language)?

## Answer:

This string is some number of xs, some number of ys, and then as many zs as xs and ys combined. In other words:

$$x^n y^m z^{(n+m)}$$

(c) Show the derivation of the string xxyzzz starting from S (specify which production you used at each step), and give the parse tree according to that derivation.

# Answer:

In each step, I have highlighted which non-terminal gets replaced.

Rule 1
${\rm Rule}\ 2$
${\rm Rule}\ 2$
Rule 3
Rule 4
Rule $5$
Rule 6
Rule 6
Rule 6
Done!

(d) Give the first and follow sets for each of the non-terminals of the grammar.

### Answer:

Non-terminal	$\mathbf{First}$	Follow
S	$\{x, y, \lambda\}$	{}
A	$\{x, y, \lambda\}$	$\{z\}$
В	$\{y,\lambda\}$	$\{z\}$
C	$\{z\}$	$\{z\}$

(e) What are the predict sets for each production?

#### Rule Predict set

1	$\{x, y\}$ Note: this is tricky—we should also predict this rule if we see an EOF
2	$\{x\}$
3	$\{y,z\}$ Note: this is tricky—we should also predict this rule if we see an EOF
4	$\{y\}$
5	$\{z\}$ Note: this is tricky—we should also predict this rule if we see an EOF
6	$\{z\}$

(f) Give the parse table for the grammar. Is this an LL(1) grammar? Why or why not?

Answer:				
Non-term:	x	У	$\mathbf{Z}$	EOF
S	1	1		1
А	2	3	3	3
В		4	5	5
C			6	

(g) Add one more production for C (i.e., of the form  $C \to \alpha$ ) that makes this grammar not LL(1).

Answer: Many options, but one would be:

 $C \to x$ 

Because then, while matching A, on an x the parser would not know whether to predict rule 2 or rule 3.

2. for the following sub-problems, consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow AB$$
 (7)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \to & xB & (8) \\ A & \to & ruB & (9) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \rightarrow & xgD & (9) \\ B & \rightarrow & zA & (10) \end{array}$$

$$B \rightarrow w$$
 (10)  
 $B \rightarrow w$  (11)

$$\rightarrow w$$
 (11)

(12)

(a) Describe the strings generated by this language.

## Answer:

A regular expression capturing the strings of this language would be:

$$((xy?z)^*xy?w)(zxy?)^*w$$

(b) Is this language LL(1)? Why or why not?

## Answer:

This is not LL(1); there would be a predict conflict when trying to match A if the parser sees an x.

(c) Build the CFSM for this grammar.

### Answer:



(d) Build the goto and action tables for this grammar. Is it an LR(0) grammar? Why or why not?

### Answer:

This is an LR(0) grammar: every state is either a shift state or a reduce state. States 3, 7, 8 and 9 are reduce states, State 2 is the accept state, and the others are shift states.

The goto table is:							
State	x	У	Z	w	Α	Β	
0	4				1		
1			6	3		2	
2							
3							
4		5	6	3		7	
5			6	3		8	
6	4				9		
7							
8							
9							

(e) If we add the production

$$B \rightarrow yz$$

to the grammar, is it an LR(0) grammar? Why or why not?

This is no longer an LR(0) grammar, because it will produce a shift/reduce conflict. Here is a partial LR(0) machine that exhibits the conflict (not all states are shown):



(f) (ECE 573 only): Build the LR(1) machine for the grammar extended with the rule from (e).