

Wednesday April 14 - Lecture 36

$$Y_k = N_k + \mu S_k \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n \quad N_k \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \text{ i.i.d}$$

$$S = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]^T$$

$$\mu \in \mathbb{R} \quad \sigma^2 > 0.$$

Situation to Start ...  $\mu$  unknown, to be estimated;  $\sigma^2$  known.

ie  $\theta = \mu$

$$f_\mu(y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu s_k)^2\right\}$$

$\log f_\mu(y) \dots \Rightarrow$  and take  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log f_\mu(y) \stackrel{\text{Set}}{=} 0$  Solve  $\mu = \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y)$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \text{above} \right\} \right|_{\mu = \hat{\mu}_{ML}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k (y_k - \hat{\mu}_{ML} s_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) = \frac{1}{n \overline{s^2}} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k y_k \quad \overline{s^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2$$

11

Compute

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \log f_{\mu}(y) = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2 = -\frac{n \bar{s}^2}{\sigma^2} < 0$$

$\Rightarrow \log f_{\mu}(y)$  is concave in  $\mu$  so soln. to the likelihood eqn. gives a global max.

Recalling the prev. example: See that  $\hat{\mu}_{ML}$  is same as the MVUE of  $\mu$  whence

$$E_{\mu} \{ \hat{\mu}_{ML}(Y) \} = \mu \quad \text{Var}_{\mu} \{ \hat{\mu}_{ML}(Y) \} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \bar{s}^2}$$

---

Also note

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log f_{\mu}(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k y_k - \mu n \bar{s}^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[ \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) - \mu \right] n \bar{s}^2 = I_{\mu}^{-1} = \text{CRLB.}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} n \bar{s}^2 \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \dots \text{form needed for equality in CRLB.} - E_{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \log f_{\mu}(Y) \right\}$$

See this from computing

Continue Example:  $\mu$  is known;  $\sigma^2$  unknown

For this case the likelihood is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f_{\sigma^2}(y) \Big|_{\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(y)} = 0$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2} + \frac{1}{2(\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu s_k)^2 = 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2} \left[ -n + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu s_k)^2 \right] = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  Unique solve (that depends ~~on~~ on observation) is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu s_k)^2$$

Also note :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f_{\sigma^2}(y) = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 - \sigma^2 \right] \quad (*)$$

From which see ...

- ① derivative is  $< 0$  for  $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 < \sigma^2$   
 " "  $> 0$  "  $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 > \sigma^2$

$\Rightarrow$  viewed as a funct of  $\sigma^2$  see

$\log f_{\sigma^2}(y)$  is increasing for  $\sigma^2 < \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$   
 and decreasing for  $\sigma^2 > \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$

$\therefore$  abs max of  $\log f_{\sigma^2}(y)$  is achieved @  $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$

- ② From form (\*) see  $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$  is unbiased & and achieves the CRLB and that it is MVUE

$$I_{\sigma^2} = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \quad \text{ie CRLB} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

Continuing: Both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown.  $\Theta = [\mu, \sigma^2]$   
and search for max. of  
 $\log f_{\Theta}(y)$  over  $\Theta$ .

Note that  $\hat{\mu}_{ML}(y)$  which maximizes over  $\mu$  does not  
depend on  $\sigma^2$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(\mu, \sigma^2)} \log f_{\Theta}(y) &= \max_{\sigma^2} \left\{ \max_{\mu} \log f_{\Theta}(y) \right\} \\ &= \max_{\sigma^2} \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) s_k)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

But above is same max prob. as was solved in case  
of known  $\mu$  (only with  $\mu$  set to  $\hat{\mu}_{ML}$ ). Thus, the  
MLE for unknown  $\Theta = [\mu, \sigma^2]$  is

$$\hat{\Theta}_{ML}(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) \\ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k y_k / \bar{s}^2 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y) s_k)^2 / \bar{s}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also comparing to MVUE case ...

$$\hat{\mu}_{MV}(y) = \hat{\mu}_{ML}(y)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(y) = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(y)$$

Also

$$E_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\} = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \quad \text{ie MLE of } \sigma^2 \text{ is biased (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{ unbiased)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\} &= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(Y) \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus MLE actually has a lower variance than that of the MVUE. Explanation ... MLE is biased.

Compare MSEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(Y) \right\} &\stackrel{\Delta}{=} E_{\theta} \left\{ \left( \hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(Y) - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(Y) \right\} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\} &= E_{\theta} \left\{ \left( \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\} + \left( E_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\} - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} + \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \sigma^4 \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\text{MSE}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{MV}^2(Y) \right\}}{\text{MSE}_{\theta} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2(Y) \right\}} = \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left( \frac{2n}{2n-1} \right) > 1$$

In this case the MLE has a uniformly lower MSE than does the MVUE.

Here the increase in MSE of the MLE due to bias is more than ~~offset~~-set by the increase in variance of MVUE which was needed to achieve 0 bias.