

Lecture 28

Friday March 26

R.B. Thm $\hat{g}(Y)$ unbiased est. of $g(\theta)$; T suff. for θ

Define $\tilde{g}(T(y)) = E_{\theta} \{ \hat{g}(Y) | T(Y) = T(y) \}$

Then

* $\tilde{g}(T(Y))$ unbiased est. of $g(\theta)$

* $\text{Var}_{\theta} \{ \tilde{g}(T(Y)) \} \leq \text{Var}_{\theta} \{ \hat{g}(Y) \}$ with "=" if
and only if

$$P_{\theta} \{ \hat{g}(Y) = \tilde{g}(T(Y)) \} = 1.$$

Implications

- ① With a suff. stat. can "improve" any unbiased est.
- ② IF T is suff for θ and if there is only one funct. of T which is unbiased est. of $g(\theta)$ then it is MVUE.

⋮

□

Suppose T has prev. uniqueness prop. and say $g^*(T)$ is that est.

Say $\hat{g}(Y)$ is any other unbiased est. of $g(\theta)$.

$$E\{\hat{g}(Y)|T\}$$

\Rightarrow RB says this is unbiased

$\Rightarrow g^*(T) = E\{\hat{g}(Y)|T\} \Rightarrow g^*(T)$ is a MVUE.

Need to search for such statistics T .

This Leads to Discussion of Completeness ...

A family $\{P_\theta : \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is said to be complete if

$$E_\theta\{f(Y)\} = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_\theta\{f(Y) = 0\} = 1$$

Example $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ $\Lambda = \{\theta : 0 \leq \theta \leq 1\}$

$$P_{\theta}(y) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \quad y = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

is a complete family.

Why? Let $f(\cdot)$ an arb. funct. on Γ .

$$E_{\theta}\{f(y)\} = \sum_{y=0}^n f(y) \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

$$= (1-\theta)^n \sum_{y=0}^n a_y x^y$$

where $a_y = f(y) \frac{n!}{(n-y)! y!}$
 $x = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$

Completeness

$$\begin{aligned} &= 0 \quad \forall x > 0 && \implies a_y = 0 \quad y = 0, 1, \dots, n. \\ & && \implies f(y) = 0 \quad \text{" " " "} \end{aligned}$$

Completeness + Sufficiency

Suppose $T(Y)$ is suff. for a complete family $\{P_\theta : \theta \in \Delta\}$ and suppose $E_\theta\{|Y|\} < \infty \quad \forall \theta$

Define

$$f(y) = y - E_\theta\{Y | T(y)\}$$

and note that it does not dep. on $\theta \dots$

Then for each $\theta \in \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta\{f(Y)\} &= E_\theta\left\{Y - E_\theta\{Y | T(Y)\}\right\} \\ &= 0 \quad \forall \theta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y = E_\theta\{Y | T(Y)\} \quad \text{with prob. 1}$$

ie Y is a funct of $T \Rightarrow T$ is one-to-one, T is a trivial suff. stat. 14

Where is this notion useful?

Suppose T is suff. for θ . Let Q_θ denote the dist of $T(Y)$ when $Y \sim P_\theta$.

If $\{Q_\theta : \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is complete say T is a complete suff. statistic.

Notes

① When this happens, no further data compression can be achieved without losing information.

② Recall: a minimal suff. stat. is one that is a function of every other suff. stat. minimal suff. stats. do not always exist

But if $\{P_\theta\}$ has a min. suff. stat. and if T is complete suff. then it is minimal.

③ Any unbiased estimator that is a fund. of a comp. suff. stat has !ness prop. and is MVUE.

Exponential Families

A class of dists. $\{P_\theta : \theta \in \Lambda\}$ is said to be an exp. family if \exists real-valued functs $C, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m, T_1, \dots, T_m$ and h st. P_θ has a density

$$f_\theta(y) = C(\theta) \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k(\theta) T_k(y)\right\} h(y).$$

$\forall \theta.$

Following:

Gaussians

Poisson

Laplace

Binomial

Geometric

$$P_{\theta}(y) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

$$= \underbrace{(1-\theta)^n}_{C(\theta)} \exp \left\{ \underbrace{y}_{T_1(y)} \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)}_{\eta_1(\theta)} \right\} \underbrace{\binom{n}{y}}_{h(y)}$$

$$Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \sim N(\xi, \sigma^2) \quad \theta = (\xi, \sigma^2)$$

$$= f_Y(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \text{usual } \cancel{\text{stuff}}$$

\Rightarrow a 2 param. exp. fam.

$$C(\theta) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \xi^2 \right\} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2n}\sigma)^2}$$

$$\eta_1(\theta) = \xi/\sigma^2$$

$$\eta_2(\theta) = -1/2\sigma^2$$

$$T_1(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$T_2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

$$h(y) = 1$$

Completeness Thm

$\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^n$ $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and each P_θ has a density

$$f_\theta(y) = c(\theta) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i T_i(y)\right\} h(y)$$

where c, T_i, h are real-valued functions.

Then

$$T(y) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1(y) \\ T_2(y) \\ \vdots \\ T_m(y) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a complete suff. stat. for θ if Λ contains an m -dim. rectangle.

Cramer-Rao Lower Bound: "the more a pdf depends on the unknown param, the easier it ought to be to estimate it"

$$Y = \theta + N \quad N \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ unknown param.

$$f_{\theta}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y-\theta)^2\right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y = y_i \Rightarrow f_{\theta}(y_i)$$

when I think as "function of θ " it's called the likelihood funct.

