

ECE 645 Spring 2021
Problem Set 2
Due Monday February 15, 2021

1. [Kay Detection Book P3.14] Consider the hypothesis testing problem

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) & \text{under } H_0 \\ \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) & \text{under } H_1 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = [x[0], x[1]]^T$ is observed. Find the NP test statistic (do not evaluate the threshold) and explain what happens if $\rho = 0$.

2. [Kay Detection Book P3.15] Consider the detection of a signal $s[n]$ embedded in white Gaussian noise with variance σ^2 based on the observed samples $x[n]$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1$. The signal is given by

$$s[n] = \begin{cases} A & n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \\ 0 & n = N, N + 1, \dots, 2N - 1 \end{cases}$$

under H_0 and by

$$s[n] = \begin{cases} A & n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \\ 2A & n = N, N + 1, \dots, 2N - 1 \end{cases}$$

under H_1 . Assume that $A > 0$ and find the NP detector as well as its detection performance. Explain the operation of the detector.

3. [Kay Detection Book P3.17] Assume that we wish to distinguish between the hypotheses $H_0 : \mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $H_1 : \mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ based on $\mathbf{x} = [x[0], x[1]]^T$. If $\pi_0 = \pi_1 = 0.5$, find the decision regions that minimize probability of error. Show that the decision region boundary is a line that is the perpendicular bisector of the line segment joining $\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.
4. Say $X \sim C(a, b)$ if it has the Cauchy density

$$\frac{b}{\pi} \frac{1}{b^2 + (x - a)^2}.$$

- (a) Let X_i ($i = 1, 2$) be independently distributed according to the Cauchy densities $C(a_i, b_i)$. Prove that $X_1 + X_2$ is distributed as $C(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)$.
- (b) Prove that if X_1, \dots, X_n are iid and distributed as $C(a, b)$ then the distribution of the sample mean is again $C(a, b)$. What does this say about the sample mean as an estimator in the Cauchy case?

5. Suppose that Y is a random variable which, under hypothesis H_0 , has pdf

$$f_0(y) = \begin{cases} (2/3)(y+1) & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and, under hypothesis H_1 , has pdf

$$f_1(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

- (a) Find the Bayes rule and minimum Bayes risk for testing H_0 versus H_1 with uniform costs and equal priors.
 - (b) Find the minimax rule and minimax risk for uniform costs.
6. Consider a pattern classification problem where, in addition to deciding among a certain number of classes, we may decide to reject the pattern if it is not recognizable.

Let observations be denoted by the random vector X . In the case of two possible pattern classes there are two hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : & \quad X \sim f_0 \\ \text{versus} & \\ H_1 : & \quad X \sim f_1 \end{aligned} .$$

with prior probabilities $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$. Instead of making one of two decisions we now allow three decisions

$$d_0 = \text{accept } H_0, \quad d_1 = \text{accept } H_1, \quad \text{or} \quad d_2 = \text{reject}.$$

If we wish to solve this problem in a Bayesian framework we will need costs associated with the three possible decisions and the two hypotheses. Denote these by c_{ij} with the interpretation that this is the cost of making decision d_i when H_j is true and assume that

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j, i, j = 0, 1 \\ \lambda_m & i \neq j, i, j = 0, 1 \\ \lambda_r & i = 2 \end{cases} .$$

- (a) Since there are three possible decisions a decision rule δ will amount to a partition of the observation space into three regions, each corresponding to a different decision¹ Find the two conditional risks

$$R_j(\delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E\{ \text{Cost} \mid H_j \text{ is true} \}$$

for $j = 0, 1$.

¹Note that we do not need to consider randomized decision rules for this problem.

- (b) Derive the Bayes decision rule for this problem by specifying the regions of the observation space which should correspond to each of the three decisions.
- (c) Simplify the decision rule from part (b) by writing it in terms of the posterior probabilities of the hypotheses given the observations, i.e., in terms of

$$\pi_0(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(H_0 \text{ is true} | X = x), \quad \pi_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(H_1 \text{ is true} | X = x),$$

and the misclassification cost λ_m , and the rejection cost λ_r .

- (d) Describe the behavior of the test in the two cases: 1) where the rejection cost λ_r is large, and 2) where it is small, relative to the misclassification cost λ_m .

7. Let $\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ be i.i.d. with distribution function F_Y and density f_Y and let $\{Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ be the order statistics of the sample. That is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \text{smallest of } Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M \\ Z_2 &= \text{second smallest of } Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M \\ &\vdots \\ Z_j &= j\text{-th smallest of } Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M \\ &\vdots \\ Z_M &= \text{largest of } Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M \end{aligned}$$

Prove the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Z_r}(z_r) &= \sum_{j=r}^M \binom{M}{j} [F_Y(z_r)]^j [1 - F_Y(z_r)]^{M-j} \\ f_{Z_r}(z_r) &= \frac{M!}{(r-1)!(M-r)!} [F_Y(z_r)]^{r-1} [1 - F_Y(z_r)]^{M-r} f_Y(z_r) \\ f_{Z_r Z_s}(z_r, z_s) &= \frac{M!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(M-s)!} [F_Y(z_r)]^{r-1} [F_Y(z_s) - F_Y(z_r)]^{s-r-1} \\ &\quad \times [1 - F_Y(z_s)]^{M-s} f_Y(z_r) f_Y(z_s), \quad 1 \leq r < s \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

8. Consider the hypothesis pair

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: Y = N \\ H_1 &: Y = N + S \end{aligned}$$

where N and S are independent random variables each having pdf

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

- (a) Find the likelihood ratio between H_0 and H_1 .
- (b) Find the threshold and detection probability for α -level Neyman–Pearson testing of H_0 vs. H_1 .

Now consider the hypothesis pair

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 &: Y_k = N_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \\H_1 &: Y_k = N_k + S, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n\end{aligned}$$

where $n > 1$ and N_1, \dots, N_n and S are independent random variables each having the pdf $f(x)$ above.

- (c) Find the likelihood ratio between H_0 and H_1 .
- (d) Find the threshold and detection probability for α -level Neyman–Pearson testing of H_0 vs. H_1 .