# Data Analysis for an Absolute Identification Experiment

## **Randomization with Replacement**

- Imagine that you have k containers for the k stimulus alternatives
- The i<sub>th</sub> container has a fixed number of copies (n<sub>i</sub>, proportional to P(S<sub>i</sub>) ) of the i<sub>th</sub> stimulus
- On each trial, one of the Σn<sub>i</sub> (i=1, ..., k) stimuli is selected to be presented to the subject
- That stimulus is immediately replaced in its corresponding container
- Then, the *a priori* probability for S<sub>i</sub> (i=1, ..., k) remains the same for all trials
- **The stimulus uncertainty remains the same on all trials**

$$IS = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} P(S_i) \log_2 P(S_i)$$

# **Randomization without Replacement**

- Imagine that you have k containers for the k stimulus alternatives
- The i<sub>th</sub> container has a fixed number of copies (n<sub>i</sub>, proportional to P(S<sub>i</sub>) ) of the i<sub>th</sub> stimulus
- On each trial, one of the Σn<sub>i</sub> (i=1, ..., k) stimuli was selected to be presented to the subject
- That stimulus is NOT replaced in its corresponding container
- Then, the *a priori* probability for S<sub>i</sub> may change from trial to trial
- **The stimulus uncertainty IS may change from trial to trial**
- On the last trial, the subject knows exactly what stimulus to expect (whichever stimulus is the last one left in a container)

### **More on Randomization**

- We prefer the method of "randomization with replacement" because
  - It ensures constant IS for each trial
  - It makes data analysis easier
- With the method of "randomization with replacement," equal *a priori* probability no longer guarantees equal number of occurrences for all stimulus alternatives.
- Note that frequency of occurrence ≠ probability
- The advantage of "randomization without replacement" is that the experimenter controls the *exact* number of times each stimulus alternatives is presented.

	<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>5</sub>	
S <sub>1</sub>	14	3	2	0	1	20
S <sub>2</sub>	0	13	2	3	1	19
S <sub>3</sub>	4	3	11	1	0	19
S <sub>4</sub>	2	0	2	15	1	20
$S_5$	5	3	2	0	12	22
	25	22	19	19	15	100

# **Estimation of IT — IT**<sub>est</sub>

Average information transfer:

$$IT = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(S_i, R_j) \log_2 \frac{P(S_i | R_j)}{P(S_i)}$$

Its maximum-likelihood estimate:

$$IT_{est} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{n_{ij}}{n} \log_2(\frac{n_{ij} \cdot n_{ij}}{n_i \cdot n_j}) \quad \text{where} \quad n_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{ij} \quad n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{ij} \\ n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$$

Interpretation of  $2^{IT}$  or  $2^{IT_{est}}$  (compare with  $k=2^U$ )



### **Channel Capacity**

#### **Maximum Information Transmission**

- Mathematically,  $IT \leq IS$ .
- Intuitively, if the input and output are perfectly correlated, then IT = IS (= IR).
- Assume that there exists a *maximum* information transmission
  - For small values of IS, IT = IS.
  - As IS increases, IT = constant regardless of the value of IS.
- This maximum *IT* is accepted as the *channel capacity*.



#### **The Magic Number 7±2**

#### What does the "Magic Number" Mean?

- The "magic number" is derived from an *IT* range of 2.3 3.2 *bits*
- The "magic number" summarizes the typical channel capacity for uni-dimensional stimuli
- Uni-dimensional stimuli
  - Only one physical variables (*target*) is manipulated to form the stimulus set
  - Other physical variables (*background*) are either held constant or randomized

### How "Magic" is the Magic Number?

The "Magic Number" does NOT apply to
Absolute pitch
Over-learnt stimuli
Human face recognition
Multi-dimensional stimuli