

ECE 438 lecture

2/17/2023

PFE

Last class - example with repeated pole

$$Y(z) = \frac{1+z^{-1}}{(1-\frac{1}{2}z^{-1})^2(1-z^{-1})}$$

$$Y(z) = \frac{A_1}{(1-\frac{1}{2}z^{-1})^2} + \frac{A_2}{(1-\frac{1}{2}z^{-1})} + \frac{A_3}{1-z^{-1}}$$

$$A_3 = 8, A_1 = -3, A_2 = -4$$

Two more PFE examples:

①
$$Y(z) = \frac{W(z)}{(1-p_1z^{-1})(1-p_2z^{-1})\dots(1-p_Nz^{-1})}$$

There N poles p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N

No poles are repeated

$$y[n] = z^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{A_n}{(1-p_n z^{-1})} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N z^{-1} \left\{ \frac{A_n}{1-p_n z^{-1}} \right\}$$

② One pole repeated K times

$$Y(z) = \frac{N(z)}{(1-pz^{-1})^K}$$

In this case, the PFE has the form

$$Y(z) = \frac{A_{1K}}{(1-pz^{-1})^K} + \frac{A_{2K-1}}{(1-pz^{-1})^{K-1}} + \dots$$

$$\frac{A_{11}}{(1-pz^{-1})}$$

How to solve for $A_{1K}, A_{2K-1}, \dots, A_{11}$?

Use residue method (see posted legacy notes)

This concludes discussion of PFE

Stability

Bounded input - bounded output stability (BIBO)

Def A system is BIBO stable if every bounded input yields a bounded output, i.e.

If \exists a constant $M_x < \infty$, s.t. $|x[n]| < M_x$

$\forall n$, then \exists a constant $M_y < \infty$, s.t.

$|y[n]| < M_y \forall n$.

What does this mean for an LTI system?

We have $y[n] = \sum_k h[k] x[n-k]$

\uparrow
 unit sample response

So $|y[n]| = \left| \sum_k h[k] x[n-k] \right| \leq \sum_k |h[k] x[n-k]|$

\uparrow
 triangle inequality

$$= \sum_k |h[k]| |x[n-k]|$$

$$< \sum_k |h[k]| (M_x) \Rightarrow M_x \|h[k]\|_1 = M_y$$

$$\|h[k]\|_1 \triangleq \sum_k |h[k]|$$

So we conclude that an LTI system is

BIBO stable $\Leftrightarrow \|h[k]\|_1 < \infty$

iff and only if

example

$$y[n] = x[n] - x[n-1] + \frac{1}{2} y[n-1]$$

Is it BIBO stable?

$$H(z) = \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{2} z^{-1}}$$

assume that the system is causal, i.e., current output, doesn't depend $x[k]$ for $k > n$

nycm?

i.e. current output doesn't depend on future

inputs

$\Rightarrow h[k]$ is r.s.s.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ROC}\{h(z)\} = \{z : |z| > \frac{1}{2}\}$$

note that $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z^{-1}} = z^{-1} \left\{ z^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \right] \right\}$

$$\therefore h[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] - \frac{1}{2} u[n-1]$$

$$= \delta[n] - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

we see that $\|h[n]\|_1 < \infty$, \therefore the system is stable.

Note that

$$\|h\|_1 = \sum_k |h[k]| = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k - 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = 2 < \infty$$

This completes Module 1.5.6 and material for HW#4

New topic: Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)
and IFFT algorithms

This is essentially a "free lunch".

Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)

Consider DTFT

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] e^{-j\omega n}$$

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega$$

⌊ note that is valid
by periodicity of DTFT

Suppose we wish to perform spectral analysis
for a real signal (not in sense of real
vs. complex), but real in sense of an audio
recording or an image (selfie)

Limitations

① summation in $X(\omega) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] e^{-j\omega n}$
is over an infinite number of terms

② ω is continuous-valued - have to
discretize it

③ Inverse transform involves an

integral:

$$X[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega$$

Solution

① truncate signal, $X[n] \neq 0$, only for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$

② discrete ω ; i.e. let $\omega_k = \frac{2\pi k}{N}$, $k=0, \dots, N-1$

sampling ω at a uniform interval $\frac{2\pi}{N}$

③ can discretize the integral effectively

$$\tilde{X}[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X[k] e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N} n} \left(\frac{2\pi}{N} \right) d\omega$$

Riemann sum approximation to the integral

Let's define

$$\boxed{X[k]_{\text{DFT}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi k n}{N}} \quad \text{Forward DFT}$$

What about inverse DFT?

Rather discretizing the integral, let's try something different:

$$\text{Consider } \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \underline{X_{\text{DFT}}[k]} e^{j\frac{2\pi k m}{N}} = ?$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) e^{-j 2\pi \frac{km}{N}} \right\} e^{j 2\pi \frac{kn}{N}}$$

What should we do next? (trick)

Ans: Switch order of summations