

1/19/2023

The Probability Measure: The Axiomatic Approach

The Probability Measure P in the prob. space (S, \mathcal{F}, P) is a set function from \mathcal{F} to \mathbb{R} , denoted $P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

The axiomatic approach works by defining a set of properties, or axioms, that a function P must satisfy to be a valid prob. measure.

The ~~Axioms~~ Axioms of Probability

A prob. measure is a function $P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following axioms:

$$\textcircled{1} P(A) \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$$

↑
for all, or for any

— a prob cannot be negative

~~The Axioms of Probability~~

② $P(S) = 1$

- The prob. that one of the possible outcomes occurs is 1.

③ For any disjoint events $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2)$$



- $P(A_1 \text{ or } A_2 \text{ occurring}) = P(A_1) + P(A_2)$

- For general A_1, A_2 , $P(A_1 \cup A_2)$ is not necessarily $P(A_1) + P(A_2)$

④ For any disjoint sequence of events A_1, A_2, \dots ,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

(3)

— extension of Axiom 3 to countably infinite case

Comments:

• There are other ways the axioms can be stated, but you are expected to use these four axioms in this class.

• Axiom 3 can be used to show that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

if A_1, \dots, A_n are disjoint for finite $n \geq 2$

Proof by induction

• Axiom 4 implies Axiom 3, but if S is finite, ~~you~~

• Axiom 4 follows from (4)
Axiom 3, since if
~~Assume~~ S is finite, then
there can only be a
finite # of ~~distinct~~ events.
In this case, let
 A_1, A_2, \dots be a sequence
of disjoint events

Then there is some
finite n for which

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$$

for some disjoint

B_1, \dots, B_n . So

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(B_i)$$

by Axiom 3.

So if S is finite, you
do not need to
show Axiom 4!

• Since Axiom 4 (5)
implies Axiom 3
(proof omitted), in
general you only
need to show that
Axioms 1, 2, 4 hold
to show P is
valid

Classical Approaches to Probability: The Counting Approach

• Consider a finite sample space S . Let A be an event in \mathcal{F} , and let

$$P(A) = \frac{|A|}{|S|} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$$

where $|A|$ = the number of elements in A

example. Deal 5 cards.

let $S = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) : x_i \text{ is a card (value and suit)} \}$

then the event of getting four-of-a-kind is

$A = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) : 4 \text{ } x_i\text{'s have the same value} \}$

⑦

then

$$P(A) = \frac{\# \text{ of hands with 4-of-a-kind}}{\text{total \# of hands}}$$

under the counting
approach.

→ The counting approach is limited to cases where S is finite and where outcomes are equally likely.

Classical Approaches to Probability: The Relative Frequency Approach

Also called the empirical approach; consider a sample space \mathcal{S} and an event A . For some ^{integer} $n \geq 1$, let

$$P_n(A) = \frac{n_A}{n},$$

where $n_A = \#$ of times that A occurs in n trials of the experiment.

Can define $P(A)$ as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(A).$$

— The relative frequency approach is used in engineering, but often it is too expensive to do very many trials

(9)

Luckily, it can be shown that the relative frequency and counting definitions of prob. both satisfy the axioms.

Proofs omitted

The axiomatic approach to prob. is much more general and powerful than either of these two classical approaches by themselves.

~~Some useful properties of prob.~~

Some Properties of P Derived from the Axioms

$$\textcircled{1} P(\emptyset) = 0 \quad \forall (S, \exists, P)$$

Proof. Can write

$$\phi = \phi \cap S, \text{ so } \phi \text{ and } S \text{ are disjoint}$$

then by Axiom 3,

$$P(\phi \cup S) = P(\phi) + P(S)$$

But $\phi \cup S = S$, so

$$P(S) = P(\emptyset) + P(S), \text{ so}$$

$$P(\emptyset) = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Common mistake

If $P(A) = 0$, that does not mean $A = \emptyset$