

EE 641 Final Exam
Fall 2014

Name: **Key** _____

Instructions

- This exam contains 4 problems worth a total of 105 points.
- You may not use any notes, textbooks, or calculators.
- Answer questions precisely and completely. Credit will be subtracted for vague answers.

Good luck.

Problem 1. (25pt)

Let x and y be vectors in R^N .

a) Calculate a closed form expression for the ICD update when the MAP cost function has the form.

$$f(x; y) = \|y - x\|^2 + \|x\|_1$$

where $\|x\|_1$ is the L_1 norm of x .

b) Prove that $f(x; y)$ is a strictly convex function of x .

c) Prove that this function takes on a unique global minimum.

Solution:

a) Let $f(x_i)$ denote the value of $f(x; y)$ as a function of x_i . Then we have that

$$f(x_i) = (x_i - y_i)^2 + |x_i| .$$

If $x_i > 0$, then we have that

$$\frac{\partial f(x_i)}{\partial x_i} = 2(x_i - y_i) + 1 = 0 .$$

Solving for x_i results in $x_i = y_i - \frac{1}{2}$. If $x_i < 0$, then we have that

$$\frac{\partial f(x_i)}{\partial x_i} = 2(x_i - y_i) - 1 = 0 .$$

Solving for x_i results in $x_i = y_i + \frac{1}{2}$. If $x_i = 0$, then we have that $|x_i - y_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Putting this together results in

$$x_i \leftarrow \begin{cases} y_i - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } y_i > 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |y_i| \leq 1/2 \\ y_i + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } y_i < -1/2 \end{cases}$$

This can also be written as

$$x_i \leftarrow \text{sign}(y_i) \left[|y_i| - \frac{1}{2} \right]^+$$

where the notation $[x]^+ = \max\{0, x\}$.

b) The function $\|y - x\|^2$ is a strictly convex function of x and the function $\|x\|_1$ is a convex function of x . So therefore, the sum of the two functions is a strictly convex function of x .

c) First, the function $f(x; y)$ is strictly convex on $x \in R^N$, so if it has a local minimum, then this must be the unique global minimum.

Now in order to prove that there is at least one local minimum, define the compact set

$$B = \{x \in R^N : \|x\| \leq 2\|y\| + 1\} .$$

Then since B is a convex and compact set and $f(x; y)$ is a strictly convex function, we know that $f(x; y)$ must take on a unique global minimum on B . So more formally, we know that for all $x \in B$, there exists a $x^* \in B$ such at $f(x^*; y) < f(x; y)$.

However, we also know that for all $\|x\| > 2\|y\| + 1$,

$$f(x; y) = \|x - y\|^2 + \|x\|_1 > \|y\|^2 = f(0; y) \leq f(x^*; y) .$$

So therefore, we know that for all $x \in R^N$, $f(x^*; y) < f(x; y)$. So in fact, x^* is the unique global minimum in R^N .

Problem 2. (30pt) Consider the homogeneous Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_j &= P\{X_0 = j\} \\ P_{i,j} &= P\{X_n = j, X_{n-1} = i\}\end{aligned}$$

where $i, j \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$. Furthermore, assume that the transition parameters are given by

$$P_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } j = (i+1) \bmod M \\ 1/2 & \text{if } j = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Write out the transition matrix P for the special case of $M = 4$. (But solve the remaining problems for any M .)
- Is the Markov chain irreducible? Prove or give a counter example.
- Is the Markov chain periodic? Prove or give a counter example.
- Is the Markov chain ergodic? Prove or give a counter example.
- Determine the value of the following matrix

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n$$

- Is the Markov chain reversible? Prove or give a counter example.

Solution:

a)

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Yes, it is irreducible because it is homogeneous because for $i \neq j$ we have that

$$P\{X_{(i-j) \bmod M} = j | X_0 = i\} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(i-j) \bmod M} > 0.$$

- No, it is not period because for all states i ,

$$P\{X_1 = i | X_0 = i\} = 1/2$$

- Yes, because the Markov chain has a finite number of states, it is irreducible, and it is aperiodic; therefore it must be ergodic.
- Since the Markov chain is ergodic, then the limit

$$P^\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n$$

must exist, and every row of the matrix P^∞ is equal to the ergodic distribution of the MC. The ergodic distribution is the unique solution to the full balance equations given by

$$\pi P = \pi$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \pi_i = 1$. In particular, the distribution

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{M} ,$$

solves the full balance equations; so therefore

$$[P^\infty]_{i,j} = \frac{1}{M} .$$

f) No, the MC is not reversible because it does not satisfy the detailed balance equations. In particular, for $i = 0, \dots, M-2$, the detailed balance equations are given by

$$\pi_i P_{i,i+1} = \pi_{i+1} P_{i+1,i} ,$$

but substituting in with $i = 0$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{2} \neq \frac{1}{M} 0 .$$

Problem 3. (25pt) Let X_n be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables for $n = 1, \dots, N$, and let Y_n be a sequence of random variables that are conditionally independent and exponentially distributed with mean μ_i for $i = 0, \dots, M-1$ given the corresponding values of X_n . More specifically, the distributions of X and Y are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_n = i\} &= \pi_i \\ p(y_n|x_n) &= \frac{1}{\mu_{x_n}} e^{-y_n/\mu_{x_n}} u(y_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$ and $u(\cdot)$ is the unit step function. Furthermore, let $\theta = [\pi_0, \mu_0, \dots, \pi_{M-1}, \mu_{M-1}]$ be the parameter vector of the distribution.

- Derive a closed form expression for the ML estimate of θ given both X and Y (i.e., the complete data).
- Find the natural sufficient statistics for the exponential distribution of (X, Y) .
- Derive the EM algorithm for computing the ML estimate of θ from Y . (Feel free to use the shortcuts we learned in class.)

Solution:

- Define

$$\begin{aligned} N_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(X_n = i) \\ S_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n \delta(X_n = i) . \end{aligned}$$

Then the ML estimate is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i &= \frac{N_i}{N} \\ \mu_i &= \frac{S_i}{N_i} . \end{aligned}$$

- The natural sufficient statistics are given by $\{N_i, S_i\}_{i=0}^{M-1}$.
- The posterior distribution is given by

$$f(x = i|y, \theta) = \frac{\frac{\pi_i e^{-y/\mu_i}}{\mu_i}}{\sum_{i=0}^M \frac{\pi_i e^{-y/\mu_i}}{\mu_i}}$$

So then the E-step is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N f(i|y_n, \theta^{(k)}) \\ \bar{S}_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n f(i|y_n, \theta^{(k)}) , \end{aligned}$$

and the M-step is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_i &= \frac{\bar{N}_i}{N} \\ \mu_i &= \frac{\bar{Y}_i}{N} .\end{aligned}$$

Problem 4. (25pt) Consider the positive random variable X with density

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma z(p)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{p\sigma^p} |x|^p \right\} ,$$

with $p = 1.2$ and $\sigma = 1$. Consider the case of a Hastings-Metropolis simulation algorithm for sampling from the distribution of $p(x)$ with the proposals generated as $W \sim q(w)$ where

$$q(w) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha} |w| \right\} ,$$

where $\alpha = 1$.

- Sketch the density function for $p(x)$ and the proposal density $q(w)$. How do they compare?
- Derive an expression for the acceptance probability α .
- Explain how the inverse CDF method can be used to generate random variables from the distribution of X ? What are there advantages and disadvantages of this approach?
- Propose a method which is both accurate and computationally efficient for generating samples from the distribution of X .

Solution:

- They are similar but not the same. In general, $q(w)$ has heavier tails than $p(x)$.
- In the general case, the acceptance probability is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{q(x^k|w)}{q(w|x^k)} \exp \left\{ -[u(w) - u(x^k)] \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{q(x^k)}{q(w)} \exp \left\{ -[u(w) - u(x^k)] \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha} |x^k| \right\}}{\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha} |w| \right\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{p\sigma^p} (|w|^p - |x^k|^p) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ 1, \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\alpha} (|x^k| - |w|) - \frac{1}{p\sigma^p} (|w|^p - |x^k|^p) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Let $F(x)$ be the CDF of X . Then, we can generate a random variable with the desired distribution by first generating a uniformly distributed random variable U on the interval $[0, 1]$, and then computing $X = F^{-1}(U)$. The advantage of this method is that it is not iterative, but the disadvantage is that you must be able to compute a closed form expression for $F^{-1}(U)$. In practice, it will be necessary to compute a table that approximates the function $F^{-1}(u)$. This approximation might be quite significant for some applications.

d) Compute an approximation to the inverse CDF denoted by $\tilde{F}^{-1}(u)$. This approximation can be computed using a look up table for example. Then use the proposals generated by $W \sim \tilde{F}^{-1}(U)$ where U is a uniform random variable. Then use the Hastings-Metropolis algorithm to generate random variables X from the exact distribution.