

2-D Discrete Space Random Processes

- Notation

- X_s is a pixel at position $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{Z}^2$
- S denotes the set of 2-D Lattice points where $S \subset \mathcal{Z}^2$

- Definitions

- Mean $\mu_s = E[X_s]$
- Autocorrelation $R_{sr} = E[X_s X_r]$
- Autocovariance $C_{sr} = E[(X_s - \mu_s)(X_r - \mu_r)]$
- A process is said to be **second order** if $E[X_s]$ and $E[X_s X_r]$ exist for all $s \in S$ and $r \in S$.
- A second order random process is said to be **wide sense stationary** if for all $s \in \mathcal{Z}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_s &= \mu_{(0,0)} \\ C_{r,r+s} &= C_{(0,0),s}\end{aligned}$$

2-D Power Spectral Density

Let X_s be a zero mean wide sense stationary random process.

Define

$$X_N(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N X_{(m,n)} e^{j(m\mu + n\nu)}$$

- Then the power spectrum (i.e. energy spectrum per unit sample) is

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} |X_N(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2$$

The follow limit does not converge!!

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} |X_N(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2$$

Intuition - The spectral estimate remains noisy as the window size increases i.e. the signal does not decay.

- Definition of **Power Spectral Density**

$$S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) \triangleq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} E \left[|X_N(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2 \right]$$

Weiner-Khintchine Theorem

- For a wide sense stationary random process, the power spectral density is the Fourier transform of the autocorrelation

$$S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} R(m, n) e^{-j(m\mu + n\nu)}$$

where

$$R(m, n) = E[X_{(0,0)} X_{(m,n)}]$$

Filtered Random Processes

- Consider the 2-D linear system

$$Y(m, n) = h(m, n) * X(m, n)$$

where $X(m, n)$ is a 2-D wide sense stationary random process.

- It may be easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned} R_y(m, n) &= R_x(m, n) * h(m, n) * h(-m, -n) \\ S_y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) &= |H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2 S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

Filtering White Noise

- Let $X(m, n)$ be independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with distribution Gaussian $N(0, 1)$, and let $Y(m, n) = h(m, n) * X(m, n)$.
- $X(m, n)$ is wide sense stationary with

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(m, n) &= 0 \\ R_x(k, l) &= E[X(0, 0)X(k, l)] \\ &= \delta(k, l) \\ S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) &= \mathcal{F}\{R_x(k, l)\} \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

- $Y(m, n)$ is wide sense stationary with

$$\begin{aligned}S_y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) &= |H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2 \cdot 1 \\ R_y(k, l) &= h(m, n) * h(-m, -n) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(m, n)h(m+k, n+l)\end{aligned}$$

- $R_y(k, l)$ is the autocorrelation of $h(m, n)$ with itself.

Causal Prediction

- Let Y_s by a 2-D wide sense stationary Gaussian random process.
- Define
 - The past values are $Y_{<s} = \{Y_r : r < s\}$.
 - The minimum mean squared error (MMSE) predictor of Y_s given the past is

$$\hat{Y}_s = E[Y_s | Y_{<s}]$$

- The prediction error is $X_s = Y_s - \hat{Y}_s$.
- Fact 1: for $r < s$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_s X_r] &= E[E[X_s X_r | Y_{<s}]] \\ &= E[E[(Y_s - \hat{Y}_s)(Y_r - \hat{Y}_r) | Y_{<s}]] \\ &= E[E[(Y_s - \hat{Y}_s) | Y_{<s}](Y_r - \hat{Y}_r)] \\ &= E[(E[Y_s | Y_{<s}] - \hat{Y}_s)(Y_r - \hat{Y}_r)] \\ &= E[(\hat{Y}_s - \hat{Y}_s)(Y_r - \hat{Y}_r)] \\ &= E[0(Y_r - \hat{Y}_r)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Fact 2: $\sigma^2 \triangleq E[X_s^2]$ is the prediction variance
- Fact 3: The causal predictor must be time invariant and linear $\Rightarrow \hat{Y}_s = \sum_{r > (0,0)} h_r Y_{s-r}$

Minimum Mean Squared Error Linear Prediction

- Let Y_s be a 2-D wide sense stationary Gaussian random process.
- Let h_s be a MMSE linear predictor for Y_s .
- Then

$$X_s = Y_s - h_s * Y_s$$

$$X(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = (1 - H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}))Y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})$$

$$R_x(s) = \sigma^2 \delta(s)$$

$$S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \sigma^2$$

- If h_s is FIR, then Y_s is known as an autoregressive (AR) random process.

Autoregressive Processes

- Let h_s be a MMSE linear predictor for Y_s .
- Then $X(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = (1 - H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}))Y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})$

Therefore, we know that $Y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \frac{1}{1 - H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})}X(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})$

- Since X_s is white noise, we know that $S_x(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \sigma^2$.
- So the power spectrum of the AR process is given by

$$S_y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{|1 - H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2}$$

- 2-D spectral estimation and modeling
 - Compute MMSE linear predictor \hat{h}_s for Y_s .
 - Compute the prediction variance $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \Sigma_s |X_s|^2$, where $X_s = Y_s - h_s * Y_s$.
 - Estimate the power spectrum

$$S_y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{|1 - \hat{H}(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})|^2}$$

Generating AR Processes

- Select a causal prediction filter h_s .
- Apply IIR filter to white noise random process X_s

$$Y(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu}) = \frac{1}{1 - H(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})} X(e^{j\mu}, e^{j\nu})$$

- Y_s is sometimes referred to as a white noise driven process.
- Do linear prediction filters \hat{h}_s always form a stable IIR filter?
 - In 1-D, yes.
 - In 2-D, not always!
- Other problems:
 - Causal ordering of points may cause asymmetric artifacts in results.
 - Complexity increases rapidly with IIR filter order P .