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Preface

This set of lecture notes is from my teaching of ECE 604, Electromagnetic Field Theory, at ECE, Purdue University, West Lafayette. It is intended for entry level graduate students. Because different universities have different undergraduate requirements in electromagnetic field theory, this is a course intended to “level the playing field”. From this point onward, hopefully, all students will have the fundamental background in electromagnetic field theory needed to take advance level courses at Purdue.

In developing this course, I have drawn heavily upon knowledge of our predecessors in this area. Many of the textbooks and papers used, I have listed them in the reference list. Being a practitioner in this field for over 40 years, I have seen electromagnetic theory impacting modern technology development unabated. Despite its age, the set of Maxwell’s equations has continued to be important, from statics to optics, from classical to quantum, and from nanometer lengthscales to galactic lengthscales. The applications of electromagnetic technologies have also been tremendous and wide-ranging: from geophysical exploration, remote sensing, bio-sensing, electrical machinery, renewable and clean energy, biomedical engineering, optics and photonics, computer chip and computer system designs and many more. Electromagnetic field theory is not everything, but is remains an important component of modern technology developments.

The challenge in teaching this course is on how to teach over 150 years of knowledge in one semester: Of course this is mission impossible! To do this, we use the traditional wisdom of engineering education: Distill the knowledge, make it as simple as possible, and teach the fundamental big ideas in one short semester. Because of this, you may find the flow of the lectures erratic. Some times, I feel the need to touch on certain big ideas before moving on, resulting in the choppiness of the curriculum.

Also, in this course, I exploit mathematical homomorphism as much as possible to simplify the teaching. After years of practising in this area, I find that some advanced concepts, which may become very complex, if one delves into the details, become simpler if mathematical homomorphism is exploited between the advanced concepts and simpler ones. An example of this is on waves in layered media. The problem is homomorphic to the transmission line problem: Hence, using transmission line theory, one can simplify the derivations of some complicated formulas.

A large part of modern electromagnetic technologies is based on heuristics. This is something difficult to teach, as it relies on physical insight and experience. Modern commercial software has reshaped this landscape, as the field of mathematical modeling through numerical simulations, known as computational electromagnetic (CEM), has made rapid advances
in recent years. Many cut-and-try laboratory experiments, based on heuristics, have been replaced by cut-and-try computer experiments, which are a lot cheaper.

An exciting modern development is the role of electromagnetics and Maxwell’s equations in quantum technologies. We will connect Maxwell’s equations toward the end of this course. This is a challenge, as it has never been done before to my knowledge.

Weng Cho CHEW
December 4, 2019 Purdue University
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Lecture 1

Introduction, Maxwell’s Equations

1.1 Importance of Electromagnetics

We will explain why electromagnetics is so important, and its impact on very many different areas. Then we will give a brief history of electromagnetics, and how it has evolved in the modern world. Then we will go briefly over Maxwell’s equations in their full glory. But we will begin the study of electromagnetics by focussing on static problems.

The discipline of electromagnetic field theory and its pertinent technologies is also known as electromagnetics. It has been based on Maxwell’s equations, which are the result of the seminal work of James Clerk Maxwell completed in 1865, after his presentation to the British Royal Society in 1864. It has been over 150 years ago now, and this is a long time compared to the leaps and bounds progress we have made in technological advancements. But despite, research in electromagnetics has continued unabated despite its age. The reason is that electromagnetics is extremely useful, and has impacted a large sector of modern technologies.

To understand why electromagnetics is so useful, we have to understand a few points about Maxwell’s equations.

- First, Maxwell’s equations are valid over a vast length scale from subatomic dimensions to galactic dimensions. Hence, these equations are valid over a vast range of wavelengths, going from static to ultra-violet wavelengths.1

- Maxwell’s equations are relativistic invariant in the parlance of special relativity [1]. In fact, Einstein was motivated with the theory of special relativity in 1905 by Maxwell’s equations [2]. These equations look the same, irrespective of what inertial reference frame one is in.

- Maxwell’s equations are valid in the quantum regime, as it was demonstrated by Paul Dirac in 1927 [3]. Hence, many methods of calculating the response of a medium to

---

1Current lithography process is working with using ultra-violet light with a wavelength of 193 nm.
classical field can be applied in the quantum regime also. When electromagnetic theory is combined with quantum theory, the field of quantum optics came about. Roy Glauber won a Nobel prize in 2005 because of his work in this area [4].

- Maxwell’s equations and the pertinent gauge theory has inspired Yang-Mills theory (1954) [5], which is also known as a generalized electromagnetic theory. Yang-Mills theory is motivated by differential forms in differential geometry [6]. To quote from Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler, “Differential forms illuminate electromagnetic theory, and electromagnetic theory illuminates differential forms.” [7, 8]

- Maxwell’s equations are some of the most accurate physical equations that have been validated by experiments. In 1985, Richard Feynman wrote that electromagnetic theory has been validated to one part in a billion.\(^2\) Now, it has been validated to one part in a trillion (Aoyama et al, Styer, 2012).\(^3\)

- As a consequence, electromagnetics has had a tremendous impact in science and technology. This is manifested in electrical engineering, optics, wireless and optical communications, computers, remote sensing, bio-medical engineering etc.

---

\(^2\)This means that if a jet is to fly from New York to Los Angeles, an error of one part in a billion means an error of a few millimeters.

\(^3\)This means an error of a hairline, if one were to fly from the earth to the moon.
1.1.1 A Brief History of Electromagnetics

Electricity and magnetism have been known to humans for a long time. Also, the physical properties of light has been known. But electricity and magnetism, now termed electromagnetics in the modern world, has been thought to be governed by different physical laws as opposed to optics. This is understandable as the physics of electricity and magnetism is quite different of the physics of optics as they were known to humans.

For example, lode stone was known to the ancient Greek and Chinese around 600 BC to 400 BC. Compass was used in China since 200 BC. Static electricity was reported by the Greek as early as 400 BC. But these curiosities did not make an impact until the age of telegraphy. The coming about of telegraphy was due to the invention of the voltaic cell or the galvanic cell in the late 1700’s, by Luigi Galvani and Alesandro Volta [10]. It was soon discovered that two pieces of wire, connected to a voltaic cell, can be used to transmit information.

So by the early 1800’s this possibility had spurred the development of telegraphy. Both André-Marie Ampère (1823) [11, 12] and Michael Faraday (1838) [13] did experiments to better understand the properties of electricity and magnetism. And hence, Ampere’s law and Faraday law are named after them. Kirchhoff voltage and current laws were also developed in 1845 to help better understand telegraphy [14,15]. Despite these laws, the technology of telegraphy was poorly understood. It was not known as to why the telegraphy signal was distorted. Ideally, the signal should be a digital signal switching between one’s and zero’s, but the digital signal lost its shape rapidly along a telegraphy line.\footnote{As a side note, in 1837, Morse invented the Morse code for telegraphy [16]. There were cross pollination of ideas across the Atlantic ocean despite the distance. In fact, Benjamin Franklin associated lightning with electricity in the latter part of the 18-th century. Also, notice that electrical machinery was invented in 1832 even though electromagnetic theory was not fully understood.}

It was not until 1865 that James Clerk Maxwell [17] put in the missing term in Ampere’s law, the term that involves displacement current, only then the mathematical theory for electricity and magnetism was complete. Ampere’s law is now known as generalized Ampere’s law. The complete set of equations are now named Maxwell’s equations in honor of James Clerk Maxwell.

The rousing success of Maxwell’s theory was that it predicted wave phenomena, as they have been observed along telegraphy lines. Heinrich Hertz in 1888 [18] did experiment to proof that electromagnetic field can propagate through space across a room. Moreover, from experimental measurement of the permittivity and permeability of matter, it was decided that electromagnetic wave moves at a tremendous speed. But the velocity of light has been known for a long while from astronomical observations (Roemer, 1676) [19]. The observation of interference phenomena in light has been known as well. When these pieces of information were pieced together, it was decided that electricity and magnetism, and optics, are actually governed by the same physical law or Maxwell’s equations. And optics and electromagnetics are unified into one field.
Figure 1.2: A brief history of electromagnetics and optics as depicted in this figure.

In Figure 1.2, a brief history of electromagnetics and optics is depicted. In the beginning, it was thought that electricity and magnetism, and optics were governed by different physical laws. Low frequency electromagnetics was governed by the understanding of fields and their interaction with media. Optical phenomena were governed by ray optics, reflection and refraction of light. But the advent of Maxwell’s equations in 1865 reveal that they can be unified by electromagnetic theory. Then solving Maxwell’s equations becomes a mathematical endeavor.

The photo-electric effect [20, 21], and Planck radiation law [22] point to the fact that electromagnetic energy is manifested in terms of packets of energy. Each unit of this energy is now known as the photon. A photon carries an energy packet equal to \( \hbar \omega \), where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the photon and \( \hbar = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} \), the Planck constant, which is a very small constant. Hence, the higher the frequency, the easier it is to detect this packet of energy, or feel the graininess of electromagnetic energy. Eventually, in 1927 [3], quantum theory was incorporated into electromagnetics, and the quantum nature of light gives rise to the field of quantum optics. Recently, even microwave photons have been measured [23]. It is a difficult measurement because of the low frequency of microwave (10^9 Hz) compared to optics (10^{15} Hz): microwave photon has a packet of energy about a million times smaller than that of optical photon.

The progress in nano-fabrication [24] allows one to make optical components that are subwavelength as the wavelength of blue light is about 450 nm. As a result, interaction of light with nano-scale optical components requires the solution of Maxwell’s equations in its full glory.
In 1980s, Bell’s theorem (by John Steward Bell) [25] was experimentally verified in favor of the Copenhagen school of quantum interpretation (led by Niel Bohr) [26]. This interpretation says that a quantum state is in a linear superposition of states before a measurement. But after a measurement, a quantum state collapses to the state that is measured. This implies that quantum information can be hidden in a quantum state. Hence, a quantum particle, such as a photon, its state can remain incognito until after its measurement. In other words, quantum theory is “spooky”. This leads to growing interest in quantum information and quantum communication using photons. Quantum technology with the use of photons, an electromagnetic quantum particle, is a subject of growing interest.

### 1.2 Maxwell’s Equations in Integral Form

Maxwell’s equations can be presented as fundamental postulates.\(^5\) We will present them in their integral forms, but will not belabor them until later.

\[
\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot dS \quad \text{Faraday’s Law} \quad (1.2.1)
\]

\[
\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_S \mathbf{D} \cdot dS + I \quad \text{Ampere’s Law} \quad (1.2.2)
\]

\[
\oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot dS = Q \quad \text{Gauss’s or Coulomb’s Law} \quad (1.2.3)
\]

\[
\oiint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot dS = 0 \quad \text{Gauss’s Law} \quad (1.2.4)
\]

The units of the basic quantities above are given as:

- \(\mathbf{E}\): V/m
- \(\mathbf{H}\): A/m
- \(\mathbf{D}\): C/m\(^2\)
- \(\mathbf{B}\): Webers/m\(^2\)
- \(I\): A
- \(Q\): Coulombs

### 1.3 Static Electromagnetics

#### 1.3.1 Coulomb’s Law (Statics)

This law, developed in 1785 [27], expresses the force between two charges \(q_1\) and \(q_2\). If these charges are positive, the force is repulsive and it is given by

\[
f_{1\rightarrow 2} = \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 r^2} \hat{r}_{12} \quad (1.3.1)
\]

\(^5\)Postulates in physics are similar to axioms in mathematics. They are assumptions that need not be proved.
Figure 1.3: The force between two charges $q_1$ and $q_2$. The force is repulsive if the two charges have the same sign.

\[ f \text{ (force)}: \text{newton} \]
\[ q \text{ (charge)}: \text{coulombs} \]
\[ \varepsilon \text{ (permittivity)}: \text{farads/meter} \]
\[ r \text{ (distance between } q_1 \text{ and } q_2): \text{m} \]
\[ \hat{r}_{12} \text{= unit vector pointing from charge 1 to charge 2} \]

\[ \hat{r}_{12} = \frac{r_2 - r_1}{|r_2 - r_1|}, \quad r = |r_2 - r_1| \quad (1.3.2) \]

Since the unit vector can be defined in the above, the force between two charges can also be rewritten as

\[ f_{1\rightarrow2} = \frac{q_1 q_2 (r_2 - r_1)}{4\pi \varepsilon |r_2 - r_1|^3}, \quad (r_1, r_2 \text{ are position vectors}) \quad (1.3.3) \]

### 1.3.2 Electric Field $E$ (Statics)

The electric field $E$ is defined as the force per unit charge [28]. For two charges, one of charge $q$ and the other one of incremental charge $\Delta q$, the force between the two charges, according to Coulomb's law (1.3.1), is

\[ f = \frac{q \Delta q}{4\pi \varepsilon r^2} \hat{r} \quad (1.3.4) \]

where $\hat{r}$ is a unit vector pointing from charge $q$ to the incremental charge $\Delta q$. Then the force per unit charge is given by

\[ E = \frac{f}{\Delta q}, \quad (\text{V/m}) \quad (1.3.5) \]

This electric field $E$ from a point charge $q$ at the origin is hence

\[ E = \frac{q}{4\pi \varepsilon r^2} \hat{r} \quad (1.3.6) \]
Therefore, in general, the electric field \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \) from a point charge \( q \) at \( \mathbf{r}' \) is given by

\[
\mathbf{E} (\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi \varepsilon |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3}
\]

where

\[
\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}
\]

**Figure 1.4:** Emanating \( \mathbf{E} \) field from an electric point charge as depicted by (1.3.7) and (1.3.6).

**Example 1**
Field of a ring of charge of density \( \varrho_l \) C/m

**Question:** What is \( \mathbf{E} \) along \( z \) axis?

**Remark:** If you know \( \mathbf{E} \) due to a point charge, you know \( \mathbf{E} \) due to any charge distribution because any charge distribution can be decomposed into sum of point charges. For instance, if there are \( N \) point charges each with amplitude \( q_i \), then by the principle of linear superposition, the total field produced by these \( N \) charges is

\[
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{q_i(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)}{4\pi \varepsilon |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i|^3}
\]

where \( q_i = \varrho(\mathbf{r}_i) \Delta V_i \). In the continuum limit, one gets

\[
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_V \frac{\varrho(\mathbf{r}')(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi \varepsilon |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} dV
\]
In other words, the total field, by the principle of linear superposition, is the integral summation of the contributions from the distributed charge density $\varrho(r)$. 

Figure 1.5: Electric field of a ring of charge (Courtesy of Ramo, Whinnery, and Van Duzer) [29].
1.3.3 Gauss’s Law (Statics)

This law is also known as Coulomb’s law as they are closely related to each other. Apparently, this simple law was first expressed by Joseph Louis Lagrange [30] and later, reexpressed by Gauss in 1813 (wikipedia).

This law can be expressed as

\[ \iint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = Q \]  

(1.3.11)

\( \mathbf{D} \): electric flux density C/m^2 \( \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \).

\( d\mathbf{S} \): an incremental surface at the point on \( S \) given by \( d\mathbf{S}\mathbf{n} \) where \( \mathbf{n} \) is the unit normal pointing outward away from the surface.

\( Q \): total charge enclosed by the surface \( S \).

![Electric flux diagram](image)

Figure 1.6: Electric flux (Courtesy of Ramo, Whinnery, and Van Duzer) [29]

The left-hand side of (1.3.11) represents a surface integral over a closed surface \( S \). To understand it, one can break the surface into a sum of incremental surfaces \( \Delta S_i \), with a local unit normal \( \mathbf{n}_i \) associated with it. The surface integral can then be approximated by a summation

\[ \iint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \approx \sum \mathbf{D}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \Delta S_i = \sum \mathbf{D}_i \cdot \Delta S_i \]  

(1.3.12)

where one has defined \( \Delta S_i = \mathbf{n}_i \Delta S_i \). In the limit when \( \Delta S_i \) becomes infinitesimally small, the summation becomes a surface integral.

1.3.4 Derivation of Gauss’s Law from Coulomb’s Law (Statics)

From Coulomb’s law and the ensuing electric field due to a point charge, the electric flux is

\[ \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi r^2} \hat{r} \]  

(1.3.13)
When a closed spherical surface \( S \) is drawn around the point charge \( q \), by symmetry, the electric flux through every point of the surface is the same. Moreover, the normal vector \( \hat{n} \) on the surface is just \( \hat{r} \). Consequently, \( \mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{n} = \mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{r} = q/(4\pi r^2) \), which is a constant on a spherical surface of radius \( r \). Hence, we conclude that for a point charge \( q \), and the pertinent electric flux \( \mathbf{D} \) that it produces on a spherical surface, \[
\oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 4\pi r^2 \mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{n} = q \tag{1.3.14}
\]
Therefore, Gauss’s law is satisfied by a point charge.

Even when the shape of the spherical surface \( S \) changes from a sphere to an arbitrary shape surface \( S \), it can be shown that the total flux through \( S \) is still \( q \). In other words, the total flux through surfaces \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) in Figure 1.8 are the same.

This can be appreciated by taking a sliver of the angular sector as shown in Figure 1.9. Here, \( \Delta S_1 \) and \( \Delta S_2 \) are two incremental surfaces intercepted by this sliver of angular sector. The amount of flux passing through this incremental surface is given by \( d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{D} = \hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \Delta S = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} D_r \Delta S \). Here, \( \mathbf{D} = \hat{r} D_r \) is pointing in the \( \hat{r} \) direction. In \( \Delta S_1 \), \( \hat{n} \) is pointing in the \( \hat{r} \) direction. But in \( \Delta S_2 \), the incremental area has been enlarged by that \( \hat{n} \) not aligned with \( \mathbf{D} \). But this enlargement is compensated by \( \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} \). Also, \( \Delta S_2 \) has grown bigger, but the flux at \( \Delta S_2 \) has grown weaker by the ratio of \( (r_2/r_1)^2 \). Finally, the two fluxes are equal in the limit that the sliver of angular sector becomes infinitesimally small. This proves the assertion that the total fluxes through \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are equal. Since the total flux from a point charge \( q \) through a closed surface is independent of its shape, but always equal to \( q \), then if we have a total charge \( Q \) which can be expressed as the sum of point charges, namely, \[
Q = \sum_i q_i \tag{1.3.15}
\]
Then the total flux through a closed surface equals the total charge enclosed by it, which is the statement of Gauss’s law or Coulomb’s law.

**Example 2**
Figure 1.8: Same amount of electric flux from a point charge passes through two surfaces $S_1$ and $S_2$.

Figure 1.9: When a sliver of angular sector is taken, same amount of electric flux from a point charge passes through two incremental surfaces $\Delta S_1$ and $\Delta S_2$. 
Field between coaxial cylinders of unit length.

**Question:** What is $\mathbf{E}$?

Hint: Use symmetry and cylindrical coordinates to express $\mathbf{E} = \hat{\rho} E_\rho$ and apply Gauss’s law.
Example 3:
Fields of a sphere of uniform charge density.

\[
\text{Figure 1.11: Figure for Example 3 for a sphere with uniform charge density.}
\]

Question: What is \( \mathbf{E} \)?

Hint: Again, use symmetry and spherical coordinates to express \( \mathbf{E} = \hat{r}E_r \) and apply Gauss’s law.
2.1 Gauss’s Divergence Theorem

The divergence theorem is one of the most important theorems in vector calculus [29,31–33]. First, we will need to prove Gauss’s divergence theorem, namely, that:

\[ \iiint_V dV \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]  

(2.1.1)

In the above, \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \) is defined as

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{\oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S}}{\Delta V} \]  

(2.1.2)

and eventually, we will find an expression for it. We know that if \( \Delta V \approx 0 \) or small, then the above,

\[ \Delta V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \approx \oiint_{\Delta S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]  

(2.1.3)

First, we assume that a volume \( V \) has been discretized\(^1\) into a sum of small cuboids, where the \( i \)-th cuboid has a volume of \( \Delta V_i \) as shown in Figure 2.1. Then

\[ V \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta V_i \]  

(2.1.4)

\(^1\)Other terms are “tesselated”, “meshed”, or “gridded”.
Figure 2.1: The discretization of a volume $V$ into sum of small volumes $\Delta V_i$ each of which is a small cuboid. Stair-casing error occurs near the boundary of the volume $V$ but the error diminishes as $\Delta V_i \to 0$.

Figure 2.2: Fluxes from adjacent cuboids cancel each other leaving only the fluxes at the boundary that remain uncancelled. Please imagine that there is a third dimension of the cuboids in this picture where it comes out of the paper.

Then from (2.1.2),

$$\Delta V_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_i \approx \iint_{\Delta S_i} \mathbf{D}_i \cdot d\mathbf{S}_i \quad (2.1.5)$$
Maxwell’s Equations, Differential Operator Form

By summing the above over all the cuboids, or over \( i \), one gets

\[
\sum_i \Delta V_i \nabla \cdot D_i \approx \sum_i \int_{\Delta S_i} D_i \cdot dS_i \approx \iint_S D \cdot dS
\]  

(2.1.6)

It is easily seen the the fluxes out of the inner surfaces of the cuboids cancel each other, leaving only the fluxes flowing out of the cuboids at the edge of the volume \( V \) as explained in Figure 2.2. The right-hand side of the above equation (2.1.6) becomes a surface integral over the surface \( S \) except for the stair-casing approximation (see Figure 2.1). Moreover, this approximation becomes increasingly good as \( \Delta V_i \to 0 \), or that the left-hand side becomes a volume integral, and we have

\[
\iiint_V dV \cdot D = \iiint_S D \cdot dS
\]  

(2.1.7)

The above is Gauss’s divergence theorem.

Next, we will derive the details of the definition embodied in (2.1.2). To this end, we evaluate the numerator of the right-hand side carefully, in accordance to Figure 2.3.

Figure 2.3: Figure to illustrate the calculation of fluxes from a small cuboid where a corner of the cuboid is located at \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\). There is a third \( z \) dimension of the cuboid not shown, and coming out of the paper. Hence, this cuboid, unlike as shown in the figure, has six faces.

Accounting for the fluxes going through all the six faces, assigning the appropriate signs in accordance with the fluxes leaving and entering the cuboid, one arrives at

\[
\iiint_{\Delta S} D \cdot dS \approx -D_x(x_0, y_0, z_0) \Delta y \Delta z + D_x(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0, z_0) \Delta y \Delta z - D_y(x_0, y_0, z_0) \Delta x \Delta z + D_y(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y, z_0) \Delta x \Delta z - D_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) \Delta x \Delta y + D_z(x_0, y_0, z_0 + \Delta z) \Delta x \Delta y
\]  

(2.1.8)
Factoring out the volume of the cuboid $\Delta V = \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$ in the above, one gets

$$\oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \approx \Delta V \left\{ [D_x(x_0 + \Delta x, \ldots) - D_x(x_0, \ldots)] / \Delta x \\
+ [D_y(\ldots y_0 + \Delta y, \ldots) - D_y(\ldots, y_0, \ldots)] / \Delta y \\
+ [D_z(\ldots, z_0 + \Delta z) - D_z(\ldots, z_0)] / \Delta z \right\} \tag{2.1.9}$$

Or that

$$\frac{\oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S}}{\Delta V} \approx \frac{\partial D_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial D_z}{\partial z} \tag{2.1.10}$$

In the limit when $\Delta V \to 0$, then

$$\lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{\oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S}}{\Delta V} = \frac{\partial D_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial D_z}{\partial z} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \tag{2.1.11}$$

where

$$\nabla = \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{2.1.12}$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \hat{x} D_x + \hat{y} D_y + \hat{z} D_z \tag{2.1.13}$$

The divergence operator $\nabla \cdot$ has its complicated representations in cylindrical and spherical coordinates, a subject that we would not delve into in this course. But they are best looked up at the back of some textbooks on electromagnetics.

Consequently, one gets Gauss’s divergence theorem given by

$$\iiint_V dV \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \tag{2.1.14}$$

### 2.1.1 Gauss’s Law in Differential Operator Form

By further using Gauss’s or Coulomb’s law implies that

$$\oiint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = Q = \iiint_V dV \varrho \tag{2.1.15}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\iiint_V dV \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \iiint_V dV \varrho \tag{2.1.16}$$

When $V \to 0$, we arrive at the pointwise relationship, a relationship at a point in space:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \varrho \tag{2.1.17}$$
2.1.2 Physical Meaning of Divergence Operator

The physical meaning of divergence is that if \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \neq 0 \) at a point in space, it implies that there are fluxes oozing or exuding from that point in space [34]. On the other hand, if \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0 \), if implies no flux oozing out from that point in space. In other words, whatever flux that goes into the point must come out of it. The flux is termed divergence free. Thus, \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \) is a measure of how much sources or sinks exists for the flux at a point. The sum of these sources or sinks gives the amount of flux leaving or entering the surface that surrounds the sources or sinks.

Moreover, if one were to integrate a divergence-free flux over a volume \( V \), and invoking Gauss’s divergence theorem, one gets

\[
\iint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot dS = 0 \quad (2.1.18)
\]

In such a scenario, whatever flux that enters the surface \( S \) must leave it. In other words, what comes in must go out of the volume \( V \), or that flux is conserved. This is true of incompressible fluid flow, electric flux flow in a source free region, as well as magnetic flux flow, where the flux is conserved.

![Diagram of incompressible flux flow](image)

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \oint_S \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} dS = 0
\]

Figure 2.4: In an incompressible flux flow, flux is conserved: whatever flux that enters a volume \( V \) must leave the volume \( V \).

2.1.3 Example

If \( \mathbf{D} = (2y^2 + z)\hat{x} + 4xy\hat{y} + x\hat{z} \), find:

1. Volume charge density \( \rho_v \) at \((-1, 0, 3)\).
2. Electric flux through the cube defined by
   \[
   0 \leq x \leq 1, \ 0 \leq y \leq 1, \ 0 \leq z \leq 1.
   \]
3. Total charge enclosed by the cube.
2.2 Stokes’s Theorem

The mathematical description of fluid flow was well established before the establishment of electromagnetic theory [35]. Hence, much mathematical description of electromagnetic theory uses the language of fluid. In mathematical notations, Stokes’s theorem is

\[ \oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \iint_S \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (2.2.1) \]

In the above, the contour \( C \) is a closed contour, whereas the surface \( S \) is not closed.\(^2\)

First, applying Stokes’s theorem to a small surface \( \Delta S \), we define a curl operator \(^3\) \( \nabla \times \) at a point to be

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{n} = \lim_{\Delta S \to 0} \frac{\oint_{\Delta C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}}{\Delta S} \quad (2.2.2) \]

Figure 2.5: In proving Stokes’s theorem, a closed contour \( C \) is assumed to enclose an open surface \( S \). Then the surface \( S \) is tessellated into sum of small rects as shown. Stair-casing error vanishes in the limit when the rects are made vanishingly small.

First, the surface \( S \) enclosed by \( C \) is tessellated into sum of small rects (rectangles). Stokes’s theorem is then applied to one of these small rects to arrive at

\[ \oint_{\Delta C_i} \mathbf{E}_i \cdot d\mathbf{l}_i = (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_i) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{S}_i \quad (2.2.3) \]

\(^2\)In other words, \( C \) has no boundary whereas \( S \) has boundary. A closed surface \( S \) has no boundary like when we were proving Gauss’s divergence theorem previously.

\(^3\)Sometimes called a rotation operator.
Next, we sum the above equation over $i$ or over all the small rects to arrive at

$$
\sum_i \oint_{\Delta C_i} E_i \cdot dl_i = \sum_i \nabla \times E_i \cdot \Delta S_i \tag{2.2.4}
$$

Again, on the left-hand side of the above, all the contour integrals over the small rects cancel each other internal to $S$ save for those on the boundary. In the limit when $\Delta S_i \to 0$, the left-hand side becomes a contour integral over the larger contour $C$, and the right-hand side becomes a surface integral over $S$. One arrives at Stokes’s theorem, which is

$$
\oint_C E \cdot dl = \iint_S (\nabla \times E) \cdot dS \tag{2.2.5}
$$

Figure 2.6: We approximate the integration over a small rect using this figure. There are four edges to this small rect.

Next, we need to prove the details of definition (2.2.2). Performing the integral over the small rect, one gets

$$
\oint_{\Delta C} E \cdot dl = E_x(x_0, y_0, z_0) \Delta x + E_y(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0, z_0) \Delta y
$$

$$
- E_x(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y, z_0) \Delta x - E_y(x_0, y_0, z_0) \Delta y
$$

$$
= \Delta x \Delta y \left( \frac{E_x(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{\Delta y} - \frac{E_x(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y, z_0)}{\Delta y}
$$

$$
- \frac{E_y(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{\Delta x} + \frac{E_y(x_0, y_0 + \Delta y, z_0)}{\Delta x} \right) \tag{2.2.6}
$$
We have picked the normal to the incremental surface $\Delta S$ to be $\hat{z}$ in the above example, and hence, the above gives rise to the identity that

$$\lim_{\Delta S \rightarrow 0} \frac{\oint_{\Delta S} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}}{\Delta S} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_x = \hat{z} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \quad (2.2.7)$$

Picking different $\Delta S$ with different orientations and normals $\hat{n}$, one gets

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_y = \hat{x} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \quad (2.2.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_x - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z = \hat{y} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \quad (2.2.9)$$

Consequently, one gets

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \hat{x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_y \right) + \hat{y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_x - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z \right) + \hat{z} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_x \right) \quad (2.2.10)$$

where

$$\nabla = \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad (2.2.11)$$

### 2.2.1 Faraday’s Law in Differential Operator Form

Faraday’s law is experimentally motivated. Michael Faraday (1791-1867) was an extraordinary experimentalist who documented this law with meticulous care. It was only decades later that a mathematical description of this law was arrived at.

Faraday’s law in integral form is given by$^4$

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (2.2.12)$$

Assuming that the surface $S$ is not time varying, one can take the time derivative into the integrand and write the above as

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\int_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (2.2.13)$$

One can replace the left-hand side with the use of Stokes’ theorem to arrive at

$$\int_S \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\int_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (2.2.14)$$

$^4$Faraday’s law is experimentally motivated. Michael Faraday (1791-1867) was an extraordinary experimentalist who documented this law with meticulous care. It was only decades later that a mathematical description of this law was arrived at.
The normal of the surface element $dS$ can be pointing in an arbitrary direction, and the surface $S$ can be very small. Then the integral can be removed, and one has

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.15)

The above is Faraday’s law in differential operator form.

In the static limit is

$$\nabla \times E = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.16)

### 2.2.2 Physical Meaning of Curl Operator

The curl operator $\nabla \times$ is a measure of the rotation or the circulation of a field at a point in space. On the other hand, $\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ is a measure of the circulation of the field $\mathbf{E}$ around the loop formed by $C$. Again, the curl operator has its complicated representations in other coordinate systems, a subject that will not be discussed in detail here.

It is to be noted that our proof of the Stokes’s theorem is for a flat open surface $S$, and not for a general curved open surface. Since all curved surfaces can be tessellated into a union of flat triangular surfaces according to the tiling theorem, the generalization of the above proof to curved surface is straightforward. An example of such a triangulation of a curved surface into a union of triangular surfaces is shown in Figure 2.7.

![Figure 2.7: An arbitrary curved surface can be triangulated with flat triangular patches. The triangulation can be made arbitrarily accurate by making the patches arbitrarily small.](image)

### 2.2.3 Example

Suppose $\mathbf{E} = \hat{x}3y + \hat{y}x$, calculate $\int \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ along a straight line in the $x$-$y$ plane joining $(0,0)$ to $(3,1)$. 
2.3 Maxwell’s Equations in Differential Operator Form

With the use of Gauss’ divergence theorem and Stokes’ theorem, Maxwell’s equations can be written more elegantly in differential operator forms. They are:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3.1)

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3.2)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \varrho \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3.3)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3.4)

These equations are point-wise relations as they relate field values at a given point in space. Moreover, they are not independent of each other. For instance, one can take the divergence of the first equation (2.3.1), making use of the vector identity that \( \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \), one gets

\[ -\frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \text{constant} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3.5)

This constant corresponds to magnetic charges, and since they have not been experimentally observed, one can set the constant to zero. Thus the fourth of Maxwell’s equations, (2.3.4), follows from the first (2.3.1).

Similarly, by taking the divergence of the second equation (2.3.2), and making use of the current continuity equation that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} = 0 \) (2.3.6)

one can obtain the second last equation (2.3.3). Notice that in (2.3.3), the charge density \( \varrho \) can be time-varying, whereas in the previous lecture, we have “derived” this equation from Coulomb’s law using electrostatic theory.

The above logic follows if \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \neq 0 \), and is not valid for static case. In other words, for statics, the third and the fourth equations are not derivable from the first two. Hence all four Maxwell’s equations are needed for static problems. For electrodynamic problems, only solving the first two suffices.

Something is amiss in the above. If \( \mathbf{J} \) is known, then solving the first two equations implies solving for four vector unknowns, \( \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D} \), which has 12 scalar unknowns. But there are only two vector equations or 6 scalar equations in the first two equations. Thus we need more equations. These are provide by the constitutive relations that we shall discuss next.
Lecture 3

Constitutive Relations, Wave Equation, Electrostatics, and Static Green’s Function

3.1 Simple Constitutive Relations

The constitution relation between \( D \) and \( E \) in free space is

\[
D = \varepsilon_0 E \tag{3.1.1}
\]

When material medium is present, one has to add the contribution to \( D \) by the polarization density \( P \) which is a dipole density.\(^1\) Then \([29,31,36]\)

\[
D = \varepsilon_0 E + P \tag{3.1.2}
\]

The second term above is the contribution to the electric flux due to the polarization density of the medium. It is due to the little dipole contribution due to the polar nature of the atoms or molecules that make up a medium.

By the same token, the first term \( \varepsilon_0 E \) can be thought of as the polarization density contribution of vacuum. Vacuum, though represents nothingness, has electrons and positrons, or electron-positron pairs lurking in it \([37]\). Electron is matter, whereas positron is anti-matter. In the quiescent state, they represent nothingness, but they can be polarized by an electric field \( E \). That also explains why electromagnetic wave can propagate through vacuum.

For many media, it can be assumed to be a linear media. Then \( P = \varepsilon_0 \chi_0 E \)

\[
D = \varepsilon_0 E + \varepsilon_0 \chi_0 E
= \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_0) E = \varepsilon E \tag{3.1.3}
\]

\(^1\)Note that a dipole moment is given by \( Q\ell \) where \( Q \) is its charge in coulomb and \( \ell \) is its length in m. Hence, dipole density, or polarization density as dimension of coulomb/m\(^2\), which is the same as that of electric flux \( D \).
where $\chi_0$ is the electric susceptibility. In other words, for linear material media, one can replace the vacuum permittivity $\varepsilon_0$ with an effective permittivity $\varepsilon$.

In free space:

$$
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \approx \frac{10^{-8}}{36\pi} \text{ F/m} \quad (3.1.4)
$$

The constitutive relation between magnetic flux $B$ and magnetic field $H$ is given as

$$
B = \mu H, \quad \mu = \text{permeability} \text{ H/m} \quad (3.1.5)
$$

In free space,

$$
\mu = \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m} \quad (3.1.6)
$$

As shall be explained later, this is an assigned value. In other materials, the permeability can be written as

$$
\mu = \mu_0\mu_r \quad (3.1.7)
$$

Similarly, the permittivity for electric field can be written as

$$
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r \quad (3.1.8)
$$

In the above, $\mu_r$ and $\varepsilon_r$ are relative permeability and relative permittivity.

### 3.2 Emergence of Wave Phenomenon, Triumph of Maxwell’s Equations

One of the major triumphs of Maxwell’s equations is the prediction of the wave phenomenon. This was experimentally verified by Heinrich Hertz in 1888 [18], some 23 years after the completion of Maxwell’s theory [17]. Then it was realized that electromagnetic wave propagates at a tremendous velocity which is the velocity of light. This was also the defining moment which revealed that the field of electricity and magnetism and the field of optics were both described by Maxwell’s equations or electromagnetic theory.

To see this, we consider the first two Maxwell’s equations for time-varying fields in vacuum or a source-free medium.\(^2\) They are

$$
\nabla \times E = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \quad (3.2.1)
$$

$$
\nabla \times H = -\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \quad (3.2.2)
$$

Taking the curl of (3.2.1), we have

$$
\nabla \times \nabla \times E = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times H \quad (3.2.3)
$$

\(^2\)Since the third and the fourth Maxwell’s equations are derivable from the first two.
It is understood that in the above, the double curl operator implies \( \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \). Substituting (3.2.2) into (3.2.3), we have

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{E}
\]

(3.2.4)

In the above, the left-hand side can be simplified by using the identity that \( \mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}) - \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \), but be mindful that the operator \( \nabla \) has to operate on a function to its right. Therefore, we arrive at the identity that

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E}
\]

(3.2.5)

and that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \) in a source-free medium, we have

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{E} = 0
\]

(3.2.6)

where

\[
\nabla^2 = \nabla \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}
\]

Here, (3.2.6) is the wave equation in three space dimensions [31, 38].

To see the simplest form of wave emerging in the above, we can let \( \mathbf{E} = \hat{x} E_x(z, t) \) so that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \) satisfying the source-free condition. Then (3.2.6) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} E_x(z, t) - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} E_x(z, t) = 0
\]

(3.2.7)

Eq. (3.2.7) is known mathematically as the wave equation in one space dimension. It can also be written as

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} f(z, t) - \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(z, t) = 0
\]

(3.2.8)

where \( c_0^2 = (\mu_0 \varepsilon_0)^{-1} \). Eq. (3.2.8) can also be factorized as

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) f(z, t) = 0
\]

(3.2.9)

or

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) f(z, t) = 0
\]

(3.2.10)

The above implies that we have

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) f_+(z, t) = 0
\]

(3.2.11)

\[3\text{For mnemonics, this formula is also known as the “back-of-the-cab” formula.}\]
or

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) f_-(z, t) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2.12)

Equation (3.2.11) and (3.2.12) are known as the one-way wave equations or advective equations [39]. From the above factorization, it is seen that the solutions of these one-way wave equations are also the solutions of the original wave equation given by (3.2.8). Their general solutions are then

\[ f_+(z, t) = F_+(z - c_0 t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2.13)

\[ f_-(z, t) = F_-(z + c_0 t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2.14)

Eq. (3.2.13) constitutes a right-traveling wave function of any shape while (3.2.14) constitutes a left-traveling wave function of any shape. Since Eqs. (3.2.13) and (3.2.14) are also solutions to (3.2.8), we can write the general solution to the wave equation as

\[ f(z, t) = F_+(z - c_0 t) + F_-(z + c_0 t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2.15)

This is a wonderful result since \( F_+ \) and \( F_- \) are arbitrary functions of any shape (see Figure 3.1); they can be used to encode information for communication!

Figure 3.1: Solutions of the wave equation can be a single-valued function of any shape. In the above, \( F_+ \) travels in the positive \( z \) direction, while \( F_- \) travels in the negative \( z \) direction as \( t \) increases.
Furthermore, one can calculate the velocity of this wave to be
\[ c_0 = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \simeq 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \] (3.2.16)
where \( c_0 = \sqrt{1/\mu_0\varepsilon_0} \).

Maxwell’s equations (3.2.1) implies that \( E \) and \( H \) are linearly proportional to each other. Thus, there is only one independent constant in the wave equation, and the value of \( \mu_0 \) is defined to be \( 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \) henry m\(^{-1} \), while the value of \( \varepsilon_0 \) has been measured to be about \( 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \) farad m\(^{-1} \). Now it has been decided that the velocity of light is defined to be the integer given in (3.2.16). A meter is defined to be the distance traveled by light in \( 1/(299792458) \) seconds. Hence, the more accurate that unit of time or second can be calibrated, the more accurate can we calibrate the unit of length or meter.

### 3.3 Static Electromagnetics–Revisted

We have seen static electromagnetics previously in integral form. Now we look at them in differential operator form. When the fields and sources are not time varying, namely that \( \partial/\partial t = 0 \), we arrive at the static Maxwell’s equations for electrostatics and magnetostatics, namely [29,31,40]

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times E &= 0 \\
\nabla \times H &= J \\
\n\nabla \cdot D &= \rho \\
\n\nabla \cdot B &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (3.3.1-3.3.4)

Notice the the electrostatic field system is decoupled from the magnetostatic field system. However, in a resistive system where

\[ J = \sigma E \] (3.3.5)

the two systems are coupled again. This is known as resistive coupling between them. But if \( \sigma \rightarrow \infty \), in the case of a perfect conductor, or superconductor, then for a finite \( J \), \( E \) has to be zero. The two systems are decoupled again.

Also, one can arrive at the equations above by letting \( \mu_0 \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0 \). In this case, the velocity of light becomes infinite, or retardation effect is negligible. In other words, there is no time delay for signal propagation through the system in the static approximation.

#### 3.3.1 Electrostatics

We see that Faraday’s law in the static limit is

\[ \nabla \times E = 0 \] (3.3.6)

One way to satisfy the above is to let \( E = -\nabla \Phi \) because of the identity \( \nabla \times \nabla = 0 \).\(^4\)

Alternatively, one can assume that \( E \) is a constant. But we usually are interested in solutions

\(^4\)One can easily go through the algebra to convince oneself of this.
that vanish at infinity, and hence, the latter is not a viable solution. Therefore, we let

\[ \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi \]  

(3.3.7)

**Example**

Fields of a sphere of radius \( a \) with uniform charge density \( \rho \):

\[ \Phi \]

Figure 3.2: Figure of a sphere with uniform charge density for the example above.

Assuming that \( \Phi |_{r=\infty} = 0 \), what is \( \Phi \) at \( r \leq a \)? And \( \Phi \) at \( r > a \)?

### 3.3.2 Poisson’s Equation

As a consequence of the above,

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \rho \Rightarrow -\nabla \cdot \varepsilon \nabla \Phi = \rho \]  

(3.3.8)

In the last equation above, if \( \varepsilon \) is a constant of space, or independent of \( \mathbf{r} \), then one arrives at the simple Poisson’s equation, which is a partial differential equation

\[ \nabla^2 \Phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \]  

(3.3.9)

Here,

\[ \nabla^2 = \nabla \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \]

For a point source, we know that

\[ \mathbf{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon r^2} \hat{r} = -\nabla \Phi \]  

(3.3.10)

From the above, we deduce that\(^5\)

\[ \Phi = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon r} \]  

(3.3.11)

\(^5\)One can always take the gradient or \( \nabla \) of \( \Phi \) to verify this.
Therefore, we know the solution to Poisson’s equation (3.3.9) when the source $\varrho$ represents a point source. Since this is a linear equation, we can use the principle of linear superposition to find the solution when $\varrho$ is arbitrary.

A point source located at $\mathbf{r}'$ is described by a charge density as

$$\varrho(\mathbf{r}) = q \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(3.3.12)

where $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ is a short-hand notation for $\delta(x - x')\delta(y - y')\delta(z - z')$. Therefore, from (3.3.9), the corresponding partial differential equation for a point source is

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{q \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{\varepsilon}$$

(3.3.13)

The solution to the above equation, from Coulomb’s law, has to be

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

(3.3.14)

where (3.3.11) is for a point source at the origin, but (3.3.14) is for a point source located and translated to $\mathbf{r}'$.

### 3.3.3 Static Green’s Function

Let us define a partial differential equation given by

$$\nabla^2 g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = -\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(3.3.15)

The above is similar to Poisson’s equation with a point source on the right-hand side as in (3.3.13). But such a solution, a response to a point source, is called the Green’s function. By comparing equations (3.3.13) and (3.3.15), then making use of (3.3.14), it is deduced that the static Green’s function is

$$g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

(3.3.16)

An arbitrary source can be expressed as

$$\varrho(\mathbf{r}) = \iiint_V d\mathbf{r}' g(\mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(3.3.17)

The above is just the statement that an arbitrary charge distribution $\varrho(\mathbf{r})$ can be expressed as a linear superposition of point sources $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Using the above in (3.3.9), we have

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \iiint_V d\mathbf{r}' g(\mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(3.3.18)

We can let

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \iiint_V d\mathbf{r}' g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \varrho(\mathbf{r}')$$

(3.3.19)

---

6George Green (1793-1841), the son of a Nottingham miller, was self-taught, but his work has a profound impact in our world.
By substituting the above into the left-hand side of (3.3.18), exchanging order of integration and differentiation, and then making use of equation (3.3.9), it can be shown that (3.3.19) indeed satisfies (3.3.11). The above is just a convolutional integral. Hence, the potential \( \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \) due to an arbitrary source distribution \( \varrho(\mathbf{r}) \) can be found by using convolution, namely,

\[
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \iiint_{V} \frac{\varrho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} dV'
\]  

(3.3.20)

In a nutshell, the solution of Poisson’s equation when it is driven by an arbitrary source \( \varrho \), is the convolution of the source with the static Green’s function, a point source response.

3.3.4 Laplace’s Equation

If \( \varrho = 0 \), or if we are in a source-free region,

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi = 0
\]  

(3.3.21)

which is the Laplace’s equation. Laplace’s equation is usually solved as a boundary value problem. In such a problem, the potential \( \Phi \) is stipulated on the boundary of a region, and then the solution is sought in the intermediate region so as to match the boundary condition.

Examples of such boundary value problems are given below.

**Example 1**

A capacitor has two parallel plates attached to a battery, what is the electric field inside the capacitor?

First, one guess the electric field between the two parallel plates. Then one arrive at a potential \( \Phi \) between the plates so as to produce the field. Then the potential is found so as to match the boundary conditions of \( \Phi = V \) in the upper plate, and \( \Phi = 0 \) in the lower plate. What is the \( \Phi \) that will satisfy the requisite boundary condition?

![Figure 3.3: Figure of a parallel plate capacitor. The field in between can be found by solving Laplace’s equation as a boundary value problem [29].](image)

**Example 2**

A coaxial cable has two conductors. The outer conductor is grounded and hence is at zero potential. The inner conductor is at voltage \( V \). What is the solution?
For this, one will have to write the Laplace’s equation in cylindrical coordinates, namely,

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \phi^2} = 0 \quad (3.3.22)$$

In the above, we assume that the potential is constant in the $z$ direction, and hence, $\partial/\partial z = 0$, and $\rho, \phi, z$ are the cylindrical coordinates. By assuming axi-symmetry, we can let $\partial/\partial \phi = 0$ and the above becomes

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho} \right) = 0 \quad (3.3.23)$$

Show that $\Phi = A \ln \rho + B$ is a general solution to Laplace’s equation in cylindrical coordinates inside a coax. What is the $\Phi$ that will satisfy the requisite boundary condition?

Figure 3.4: The field in between a coaxial line can also be obtained by solving Laplace’s equation as a boundary value problem (courtesy of Ramo, Whinnery, and Van Duzer).
Lecture 4

Magnetostatics, Boundary Conditions, and Jump Conditions

4.1 Magnetostatics

The magnetostatic equations where \( \partial / \partial t = 0 \) are \([29,31,40] \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{J} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(4.1.1) (4.1.2)

One way to satisfy the second equation is to let

\[
\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}
\]

(4.1.3)

because

\[
\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0
\]

(4.1.4)

The above is zero for the same reason that \( \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) = 0 \). In this manner, Gauss’s law is automatically satisfied.

From (4.1.1), we have

\[
\nabla \times \left( \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu} \right) = \mathbf{J}
\]

(4.1.5)

Then using (4.1.3)

\[
\nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \right) = \mathbf{J}
\]

(4.1.6)
In a homogeneous medium, $\mu$ is a constant and hence
\[ \nabla \times (\nabla \times A) = \mu J \] (4.1.7)

We use the vector identity that (see previous lecture)
\[ \nabla \times (\nabla \times A) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - (\nabla \cdot \nabla) A \]
\[ = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \nabla^2 A \] (4.1.8)

As a result, we arrive at [41]
\[ \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \nabla^2 A = \mu J \] (4.1.9)

By imposing the Coulomb’s gauge that $\nabla \cdot A = 0$, which will be elaborated in the next section, we arrive at
\[ \nabla^2 A = -\mu J \] (4.1.10)

The above is also known as the vector Poisson’s equation. In cartesian coordinates, the above can be viewed as three scalar Poisson’s equations. Each of the Poisson’s equation can be solved using the Green’s function method previously described. Consequently, in free space
\[ A(r) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \iiint_{V} \frac{J(r')}{R} dV' \] (4.1.11)

where
\[ R = |r - r'| \] (4.1.12)

and $dV' = dx'dy'dz'$. It is also variously written as $dr'$ or $d^3r'$.

4.1.1 More on Coulomb’s Gauge

Gauge is a very important concept in physics [42], and we will further elaborate it here. First, notice that $A$ in (4.1.3) is not unique because one can always define
\[ A' = A - \nabla \Psi \] (4.1.13)

Then
\[ \nabla \times A' = \nabla \times (A - \nabla \Psi) = \nabla \times A = B \] (4.1.14)

where we have made use of that $\nabla \times \nabla \Psi = 0$. Hence, the $\nabla \times$ of both $A$ and $A'$ produce the same $B$.

To find $A$ uniquely, we have to define or set the divergence of $A$ or provide a gauge condition. One way is to set the divergence of $A$ to be zero, namely
\[ \nabla \cdot A = 0 \] (4.1.15)
Then

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} - \nabla^2 \Psi \neq \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \quad (4.1.16) \]

The last non-equal sign follows if \( \nabla^2 \Psi \neq 0 \). However, if we further stipulate that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \), then \( -\nabla^2 \Psi = 0 \). This does not necessary imply that \( \Psi = 0 \), but if we impose that condition that \( \Psi \to 0 \) when \( r \to \infty \), then \( \Psi = 0 \) everywhere.\(^1\) By so doing, \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{A}' \) are equal to each other, and we obtain (4.1.10) and (4.1.11).

### 4.2 Boundary Conditions–1D Poisson’s Equation

Boundary conditions are embedded in the partial differential equations that the potential or the field satisfy. Two important concepts to keep in mind are:

- Differentiation of a function with discontinuous slope will give rise to step discontinuity.

- Differentiation of a function with step discontinuity will give rise to a Dirac delta function. This is also called the jump condition, a term often used by the mathematics community \([43]\).

Take for example a one dimensional Poisson’s equation that

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) = -\varrho(x) \quad (4.2.1) \]

where \( \varepsilon(x) \) represents material property that has the form given in Figure 4.1. One can actually say a lot about \( \Phi(x) \) given \( \varrho(x) \) on the right-hand side. If \( \varrho(x) \) has a delta function singularity, it implies that \( \varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \) has a step discontinuity. If \( \varrho(x) \) is finite everywhere, then \( \varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \) must be continuous everywhere.

Furthermore, if \( \varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \) is finite everywhere, it implies that \( \Phi(x) \) must be continuous everywhere.

\(^1\)It is a property of the Laplace boundary value problem that if \( \Psi = 0 \) on a closed surface \( S \), then \( \Psi = 0 \) everywhere inside \( S \). Earnshaw’s theorem is useful for proving this assertion.
Figure 4.1: A figure showing a charge sheet at the interface between two dielectric media. Because it is a surface charge sheet, the volume charge density \( \rho(x) \) is infinite at the sheet location \( x_0 \).

To see this in greater detail, we illustrate it with the following example. In the above, \( \rho(x) \) represents a charge distribution given by \( \rho(x) = \rho_s \delta(x - x_0) \). In this case, the charge distribution is everywhere zero except at the location of the surface charge sheet, where the charge density is infinite: it is represented mathematically by a delta function in space.

To find the boundary condition of the potential \( \Phi(x) \) at \( x_0 \), we integrate (4.2.1) over an infinitesimal width around \( x_0 \), the location of the charge sheet, namely

\[
\int_{x_0 - \Delta}^{x_0 + \Delta} d\varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) = -\int_{x_0 - \Delta}^{x_0 + \Delta} dx \rho(x)
\]

or on the left-hand side, we get

\[
\varepsilon(x) \left. \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \right|_{x_0 - \Delta}^{x_0 + \Delta} \cong -\rho_s
\]

whereas on the right-hand side, we pick up the contribution from the delta function. Evaluating the left-hand side at their limits, one arrives at

\[
\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \varepsilon(x_0^+) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x_0^+) - \varepsilon(x_0^-) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x_0^-) \cong -\rho_s,
\]

In other words, the jump discontinuity is in \( \varepsilon(x) \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x) \) and the amplitude of the jump discontinuity is proportional to the amplitude of the delta function.

Since \( E = \nabla \Phi \), or

\[
E_x(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} \Phi(x),
\]

\footnote{This function has been attributed to Dirac who used in pervasively, but Cauchy was aware of such a function.}
The above implies that
\[ \varepsilon(x_0^+)E_x(x_0^+) - \varepsilon(x_0^-)E_x(x_0^-) = \varrho_s \quad (4.2.6) \]
or
\[ D_x(x_0^+) - D_x(x_0^-) = \varrho_s \quad (4.2.7) \]
where
\[ D_x(x) = \varepsilon(x)E_x(x) \quad (4.2.8) \]

The lesson learned from above is that boundary condition is obtained by integrating the pertinent differential equation over an infinitesimal small segment. In this mathematical way of looking at the boundary condition, one can also eyeball the differential equation and ascertain the terms that will have the jump discontinuity that will yield the delta function on the right-hand side.

4.3 Boundary Conditions–Maxwell’s Equations

As seen previously, boundary conditions for a field is embedded in the differential equation that the field satisfies. Hence, boundary conditions can be derived from the differential operator forms of Maxwell’s equations. In most textbooks, boundary conditions are obtained by integrating Maxwell’s equations over a small pill box [29,31,41]. To derive these boundary conditions, we will take an unconventional view: namely to see what sources can induce jump conditions on the pertinent fields. Boundary conditions are needed at media interfaces, as well as across current or charge sheets.

4.3.1 Faraday’s Law

Figure 4.2: This figure is for the derivation of Faraday’s law. A local coordinate system can be used to see the boundary condition more lucidly. Here, the normal \( \hat{n} = \hat{y} \) and the tangential component \( \hat{t} = \hat{x} \).
For this, we start with Faraday’s law, which implies that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (4.3.1)$$

One quick answer we could have is that if the right-hand side of the above equation is everywhere finite, then there could not be any jump discontinuity on the field $\mathbf{E}$ on the left hand side. To see this quickly, one can project the tangential field component and normal field component to a local coordinate system. In other words, one can think of $\hat{t}$ and $\hat{n}$ as the local $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ coordinates. Then writing the curl operator in this local coordinates, one gets

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \left( \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \times (\hat{x} E_x + \hat{y} E_y) \quad (4.3.2)$$

$$= \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y - \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_x \quad (4.3.3)$$

In simplifying the above, we have used the distributive property of cross product, and evaluating the cross product in cartesian coordinates. The cross product produces four terms, but only two of the four terms are non-zero as shown above.

Since the right-hand side of (4.3.1) is finite, the above implies that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_x$ have to be finite. In other words, $E_x$ is continuous in the $y$ direction and $E_y$ is continuous in the $x$ direction. Since in the local coordinate system, $E_x = E_t$, then $E_t$ is continuous across the boundary. The above implies that

$$E_{1t} = E_{2t} \quad (4.3.4)$$

or

$$\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}_1 = \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}_2 \quad (4.3.5)$$

where $\hat{n}$ is the unit normal at the interface, and $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}$ always bring out the tangential component of a vector $\mathbf{E}$ (convince yourself).

### 4.3.2 Gauss’s Law

From Gauss’s law, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \quad (4.3.6)$$

where $\rho$ is the volume charge density.
Expressing the above in local coordinates, then
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_z = \varrho \] (4.3.7)

If there is a surface layer charge at the interface, then the volume charge density must be infinitely large, and can be expressed in terms of a delta function, or \( \varrho = \varrho_s \delta(z) \) in local coordinates. By looking at the above expression, the only term that can produce a \( \delta(z) \) is from \( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_z \). In other words, \( D_z \) has a jump discontinuity at \( z = 0 \); the other terms do not. Then
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_z = \varrho_s \delta(z) \] (4.3.8)

Integrating the above from \( 0 - \Delta \) to \( 0 + \Delta \), we get
\[ D_z(z) \bigg|_{0-\Delta}^{0+\Delta} = \varrho_s \] (4.3.9)
or
\[ D_z(0^+) - D_z(0^-) = \varrho_s \] (4.3.10)
where \( 0^+ = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} 0 + \Delta \), \( 0^- = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} 0 - \Delta \). Since \( D_z(0^+) = D_{2n}, D_z(0^-) = D_{1n} \), the above becomes
\[ D_{2n} - D_{1n} = \varrho_s \] (4.3.11)
or that
\[ \hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_2 - \mathbf{D}_1) = \varrho_s \] (4.3.12)
In other words, a charge sheet \( \rho_s \) can give rise to a jump discontinuity in the normal component of the electric flux \( \mathbf{D} \). Figure 4.4 shows an intuitive sketch as to why a charge sheet gives rise to a discontinuous normal component of the electric flux \( \mathbf{D} \).

![Figure 4.4: A figure intuitively showing why a sheet of charge gives rise to a jump discontinuity in the normal component of the electric flux \( \mathbf{D} \).](image)

### 4.3.3 Ampere’s Law

Ampere’s law, or the generalized one, stipulates that

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{4.3.13}
\]

Again if the right-hand side is everywhere finite, then \( \mathbf{H} \) is a continuous field everywhere. However, if the right-hand side has a delta function singularity, then this is not so. For instance, we can project the above equation onto a local coordinates just as we did for Faraday’s law.
To be general, we also include the presence of a current sheet at the interface. A current sheet, or a surface current density becomes a delta function singularity when expressed as a volume current density; Thus, rewriting (4.3.13) in a local coordinate system, assuming that \( J = \hat{x} J_{sx} \delta(z) \), then

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \hat{x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y \right) = \hat{x} J_{sx} \delta(z) \tag{4.3.14}
\]

The displacement current term on the right-hand side is ignored since it is regular or finite, and will not induce a jump discontinuity on the field; hence, we have the form of the right-hand side of the above equation. From the above, the only term that can produce a \( \delta(z) \) singularity on the left-hand side is the \( -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y \) term. Therefore, we conclude that

\[
-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y = J_{sx} \delta(z) \tag{4.3.15}
\]

In other words, \( H_y \) has to have a jump discontinuity at the interface where the current sheet resides. Or that

\[
H_y(z = 0^+) - H_y(z = 0^-) = -J_{sx} \tag{4.3.16}
\]

The above implies that

\[
H_{2y} - H_{1y} = -J_{sx} \tag{4.3.17}
\]

But \( H_y \) is just the tangential component of the \( \mathbf{H} \) field. Now if we repeat the same exercise with \( J = \hat{y} J_{sy} \delta(z) \), at the interface, we have

\[
H_{2x} - H_{1x} = J_{sy} \tag{4.3.18}
\]

Now, (4.3.17) and (4.3.18) can be rewritten using a cross product as

\[
\hat{z} \times (\hat{y} H_{2y} - \hat{y} H_{1y}) = \hat{x} J_{sx} \tag{4.3.19}
\]

\[
\hat{z} \times (\hat{x} H_{2x} - \hat{x} H_{1x}) = \hat{y} J_{sy} \tag{4.3.20}
\]
The above two equations can be combined as one to give

\[ \hat{z} \times (\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1) = \mathbf{J}_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.21)

Taking \( \hat{z} = \hat{n} \) in general, we have

\[ \hat{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1) = \mathbf{J}_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.22)

In other words, a current sheet \( \mathbf{J}_s \) can give rise to a jump discontinuity in the tangential components of the magnetic field, \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} \). This is illustrated intuitively in Figure 4.6

Figure 4.6: A figure intuitively showing that with the understanding of how a single line current source generates a magnetic field (right), a cluster of them forming a current sheet will generate a jump discontinuity in the tangential component of the magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \) (left).

### 4.3.4 Gauss’s Law for Magnetic Flux

Similarly, from Gauss’s law for magnetic flux, or that

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.23)

one deduces that

\[ \hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.24)

or that the normal magnetic fluxes are continuous at an interface. In other words, since magnetic charges do not exist, the normal component of the magnetic flux has to be continuous.
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5.1 Derivation of Biot-Savart Law

Figure 5.1: A current element used to illustrate the derivation of Biot-Savart law. The current element generates a magnetic field due to Ampere’s law in the static limit.

Biot-Savart law, like Ampere's law was experimentally determined in around 1820 and it is discussed in a number of textbooks [29,31,42]. This is the cumulative work of Ampere, Oersted, Biot, and Savart. Nowadays, we have the mathematical tool to derive this law from Ampere’s law and Gauss’s law for magnetostatics.
From Gauss’ law and Ampere’s law in the static limit, we have derived that

$$A(r) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int \frac{J(r')}{R} dV'$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.1)$$

When the current element is small, and is carried by a wire of cross sectional area $\Delta a$ as shown in Figure 5.1, we can approximate the integrand as

$$J(r')dV' \approx J(r')\Delta V' = \frac{(\Delta a)\Delta l \hat{i}I/\Delta a}{\Delta V}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.2)$$

In the above, $\Delta V = (\Delta a)\Delta l$ and $\hat{i}I/\Delta a = J(r')$ since $J$ has the unit of amperes/m$^2$. Here, $\hat{i}$ is a unit vector pointing in the direction of the current flow. Hence, we can let the current element

$$J(r')dV' \approx I \Delta l$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.3)$$

where the vector $\Delta l = \Delta \hat{i}$. Therefore, the incremental vector potential due to an incremental current element is

$$\Delta A(r) \approx \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \left( \frac{J(r')\Delta V'}{R} \right) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \frac{I \Delta l'}{R}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.4)$$

where $R = |r - r'|$. Since $B = \nabla \times A$, we derive that the incremental $B$ flux is

$$\Delta B = \nabla \times \Delta A(r) \approx \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \nabla \times \frac{\Delta V'}{R} = -\frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \Delta V' \times \nabla \frac{1}{R}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.5)$$

where we have made use of the fact that $\nabla \times a f(r) = -a \times \nabla f(r)$ when $a$ is a constant vector. The above can be simplified further making use of the fact that

$$\nabla \frac{1}{R} = -\frac{1}{R^2} \hat{R}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.6)$$

where $\hat{R}$ is a unit vector pointing in the $r - r'$ direction. We have also made use of the fact that $R = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}$. Consequently, assuming that the incremental length becomes very small, or $\Delta l \to dl$, we have, after using (5.1.6) in (5.1.5), that

$$dB = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} dl' \times \frac{1}{R^2} \hat{R}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.7)$$

$$= \frac{\mu I dl' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.8)$$

Since $B = \mu H$, we have

$$dH = \frac{I dl' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.9)$$

or

$$H(r) = \int \frac{I(r') dl' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5.1.10)$$

which is Biot-Savart law.
5.2 Boundary Conditions—Conductive Media Case

\[ \sigma_2, \mathbf{J}_2 = \sigma_2 \mathbf{E}_2 \]

\[ \sigma_1, \mathbf{J}_1 = \sigma_1 \mathbf{E}_1 \]

Figure 5.2: The schematics for deriving the boundary condition for the current density \( \mathbf{J} \) at the interface of two conductive media.

From the current continuity equation, one gets

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.1)

If the right-hand side is everywhere finite, it will not induce a jump discontinuity in the current. Moreover, it is zero for static limit. Hence, just like the Gauss’s law case, the above implies that the normal component of the current \( J_n \) is continuous, or that \( J_{1n} = J_{2n} \) in the static limit. In other words,

\[ \hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{J}_2 - \mathbf{J}_1) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.2)

Hence, using \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \), we have

\[ \sigma_2 E_{2n} - \sigma_1 E_{1n} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.3)

The above has to be always true in the static limit irrespective of the values of \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \). But Gauss’s law implies the boundary condition that

\[ \varepsilon_2 E_{2n} - \varepsilon_1 E_{1n} = \varrho_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.4)

The above equation is incompatible with (5.2.3) unless \( \varrho_s \neq 0 \). Hence, surface charge density or charge accumulation is necessary at the interface, unless \( \sigma_2/\sigma_1 = \varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_1 \).

5.2.1 Electric Field Inside a Conductor

The electric field inside a perfect electric conductor (PEC) has to be zero. If medium 1 is a perfect electric conductor, then \( \sigma \to \infty \) but \( \mathbf{J}_1 = \sigma \mathbf{E}_1 \). An infinitesimal \( \mathbf{E}_1 \) will give rise to
an infinite current $J_1$. To avoid this ludicrous situation, thus $E_1 = 0$. This implies that $D_1 = 0$ as well.

Figure 5.3: The behavior of the electric field and electric flux at the interface of a perfect electric conductor and free space.

Since tangential $E$ is continuous, from Faraday’s law, it is still true that

$$E_{2t} = E_{1t} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.5)

But since

$$\hat{n} \cdot (D_2 - D_1) = \rho_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.6)

and that $D_1 = 0$, then

$$\hat{n} \cdot D_2 = \rho_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.7)

So surface charge density has to be nonzero at a PEC/air interface for instance. Moreover, normal $D_2 \neq 0$, tangential $E_2 = 0$. The sketch of the electric field in the vicinity of a perfect conducting surface is shown in Figure 5.3.

The above argument for zero electric field inside a perfect conductor is true for electrodynamic problems. However, one does not need the above argument regarding the shielding of the static electric field from a conducting region. In the situation of the two conducting objects example below, as long as the electric fields are non-zero in the objects, currents will keep flowing. They flow until the charges in the two objects orient themselves so that electric current cannot flow anymore. This happens when the charges produce internal fields that cancel each other giving rise to zero field inside the two objects. Faraday’s law still applies
which means that tangential $E$ field has to be continuous. Therefore, the boundary condition that the fields have to be normal to the conducting object surface is still true for electrostatics. A sketch of the electric field between two conducting spheres is shown in Figure 5.4.

![Figure 5.4](image)

Figure 5.4: The behavior of the electric field and flux outside two conductors in the static limit. The two conductors need not be PEC and yet, the fields are normal to the interface.

### 5.2.2 Magnetic Field Inside a Conductor

We have seen that for a finite conductor, as long as $\sigma \neq 0$, the charges will re-orient themselves until the electric field is expelled from the conductor; otherwise, the current will keep flowing. But there are no magnetic charges nor magnetic conductors in this world. So this physical phenomenon does not happen for magnetic field: in other words, magnetic field cannot be expelled from an electric conductor. However, a magnetic field is expelled from a perfect conductor or a superconductor. You can only fully understand this physical phenomenon if we study the time-varying form Maxwell’s equations.

In a perfect conductor where $\sigma \to \infty$, it is unstable for the magnetic field $B$ to be nonzero. As time varying magnetic field gives rise to an electric field by the time-varying form of Faraday’s law, a small time variation of the $B$ field will give rise to infinite current flow in a perfect conductor. Therefore to avoid this ludicrous situation, and to be stable, $B = 0$ in a perfect conductor or a superconductor.

So if medium 1 is a perfect electric conductor (PEC), then $B_1 = H_1 = 0$. The boundary conditions from Ampere’s law and Gauss’ law for magnetic flux give rise to

$$\hat{n} \times H_2 = J_s$$

(5.2.8)

which is the jump condition for the magnetic field. The magnetic flux $B$ is expelled from the perfect conductor, and there is no normal component of the $B$ field as there cannot be
magnetic charges. Therefore, the boundary condition becomes, for a PEC,

$$\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}_2 = 0$$

(5.2.9)

The \( \mathbf{B} \) field in the vicinity of a conductor surface is as shown in Figure 5.5.

![Figure 5.5:](image)

When a superconductor cube is placed next to a static magnetic field near a permanent magnet, eddy current will be induced on the superconductor. The eddy current will expel the static magnetic field from the permanent magnet, or it will produce a magnetic dipole on the superconducting cube that repels the static magnetic field. This causes the superconducting cube to levitate on the static magnetic field as shown in Figure 5.6.

![Figure 5.6:](image)

5.3 Instantaneous Poynting’s Theorem

Before we proceed further with studying energy and power, it is habitual to add fictitious magnetic current \( \mathbf{M} \) and fictitious magnetic charge \( \varrho_m \) to Maxwell’s equations to make them
mathematically symmetrical. To this end, we have

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M} \]  
(5.3.1)

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J} \]  
(5.3.2)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \]  
(5.3.3)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \rho_m \]  
(5.3.4)

Consider the first two of Maxwell’s equations where fictitious magnetic current is included

and that the medium is isotropic such that \( \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \). Next, we need to consider

only the first two equations since in electrodynamics, by invoking charge conservation, the

third and the fourth equations are derivable from the first two. They are

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}_i = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}_i \]  
(5.3.5)

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}_i + \sigma \mathbf{E} \]  
(5.3.6)

where \( \mathbf{M}_i \) and \( \mathbf{J}_i \) are impressed current sources. They are sources that are impressed into

the system, and they cannot be changed by their interaction with the environment.

Also, for a conductive medium, a conduction current or induced current flows in addition

to impressed current. Here, \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \) is the induced current source. Moreover, \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \) is

similar to ohm’s law. We can show from (5.3.5) and (5.3.6) that

\[ \mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i \]  
(5.3.7)

\[ \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i + \sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \]  
(5.3.8)

Using the identity, which is the same as the product rule for derivatives, we have\(^1\)

\[ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{H} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \]  
(5.3.9)

Therefore, from (5.3.7), (5.3.8), and (5.3.9) we have

\[ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\left( \mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i \right) \]  
(5.3.10)

The physical meaning of the above is more lucid if we first consider \( \sigma = 0 \), and \( \mathbf{M}_i = \mathbf{J}_i = 0 \), or the absence of conductive loss and the impressed current sources. Then the above becomes

\[ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\left( \mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \]  
(5.3.11)

\(^1\)The identity that \( \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a}) \) is useful for the derivation.
Rewriting each term on the right-hand side of the above, we have

$$
\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu |\mathbf{H}|^2 \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_m
$$

(5.3.12)

$$
\varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e
$$

(5.3.13)

Then (5.3.11) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (W_m + W_e)
$$

(5.3.14)

where

$$
W_m = \frac{1}{2} \mu |\mathbf{H}|^2, \quad W_e = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2
$$

(5.3.15)

Equation (5.3.14) is reminiscent of the current continuity equation, namely,

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}
$$

(5.3.16)

which is a statement of charge conservation. In other words, time variation of current density at a point is due to charge density flow into or out of the point.

Hence, \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \) has the meaning of power density, and \( W_m \) and \( W_e \) are the energy density stored in the magnetic field and electric field, respectively. In fact, one can show that \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \) has the unit of V m\(^{-1}\) times A m\(^{-1}\) which is W m\(^{-2}\), where V is volt, A is ampere, and W is watt, which is joule s\(^{-1}\). Hence, it has the unit of power density.

Similarly, \( W_m = \frac{1}{2} \mu |\mathbf{H}|^2 \) where \( \mu \) has unit of H m\(^{-1}\). Hence, \( W_m \) has the unit of H m\(^{-1}\) times A\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) = J m\(^{-3}\), where H is henry, A is ampere, and J is joule. Therefore, it has the unit of energy density. We can also ascertain the unit of \( \frac{1}{2} \mu |\mathbf{H}|^2 \) easily by noticing that the energy stored in an inductor is \( \frac{1}{2} LI^2 \) which is in terms of joules, and is due to henry times A\(^2\).

Also \( W_e = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2 \) where \( \varepsilon \) has the unit of F m\(^{-1}\). Hence, \( W_e \) has the unit of F m\(^{-1}\) times V\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) = J m\(^{-3}\) where F is farad, V is voltage, and J is joule, which is energy density again. We can also ascertain the unit of \( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2 \) easily by noticing that the energy stored in a capacitor is \( \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \) which has the unit of joules, and is due to farad times V\(^2\).

The vector quantity

$$
\mathbf{S}_p = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}
$$

(5.3.17)

is called the Poynting’s vector, and (5.3.14) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t
$$

(5.3.18)

where \( W_t = W_e + W_m \) is the total energy density stored. The above is similar to the current continuity equation mentioned above. Analogous to that current density is charge density flow, power density is energy density flow.
Now, if we let $\sigma \neq 0$, then the term to be included is then $\sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$ which has the unit of S m$^{-1}$ times V$^2$ m$^{-2}$, or W m$^{-3}$ where S is siemens. We gather this unit by noticing that $\frac{1}{2} V^2$ is the power dissipated in a resistor of $R$ ohms with a unit of watts. The reciprocal unit of ohms, which used to be mhos is now siemens. With $\sigma \neq 0$, (5.3.18) becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e - \sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e - P_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.19)$$

Here, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p$ has physical meaning of power density oozing out from a point, and $-P_d = -\sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$ has the physical meaning of power density dissipated (siphoned) at a point by the conductive loss in the medium which is proportional to $-\sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$.

Now if we set $\mathbf{J}_i$ and $\mathbf{M}_i$ to be nonzero, (5.3.19) is augmented by the last two terms in (5.3.10), or

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e - P_d - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.20)$$

The last two terms can be interpreted as the power density supplied by the impressed currents $\mathbf{M}_i$ and $\mathbf{J}_i$. Hence, (5.3.20) becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e - P_d + P_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.21)$$

where

$$P_s = -\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3.22)$$

where $P_s$ is the power supplied by the impressed current sources. These terms are positive if $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{M}_i$ have opposite signs, or if $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{J}_i$ have opposite signs. The last terms reminds us of what happens in a negative resistance device or a battery.$^2$ In a battery, positive charges move from a region of lower potential to a region of higher potential (see Figure 5.7). The positive charges move from one end of a battery to the other end of the battery. Hence, they are doing an “uphill climb” due to chemical processes within the battery.

$^2$A negative resistance has been made by Leo Esaki [44], winning him a share in the Nobel prize.
In the above, one can easily work out that $P_s$ has the unit of W m$^{-3}$ which is power supplied density. One can also choose to rewrite (5.3.21) in integral form by integrating it over a volume $V$ and invoking the divergence theorem yielding

$$
\int_S \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_V W_t dV - \int_V P_d dV + \int_V P_s dV \tag{5.3.23}
$$

The left-hand side is

$$
\int_S d\mathbf{S} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) \tag{5.3.24}
$$

which represents the power flowing out of the surface $S$. 

Figure 5.7: Figure showing the dissipation of energy as the current flows around a loop. A battery can be viewed as having negative resistance.
Lecture 6

Time-Harmonic Fields, Complex Power

6.1 Time-Harmonic Fields—Linear Systems

The analysis of Maxwell’s equations can be greatly simplified by assuming the fields to be time harmonic, or sinusoidal (cosinusoidal). Electrical engineers use a method called phasor technique [31, 45], to simplify equations involving time-harmonic signals. This is also a poor-man’s Fourier transform [46]. That is one begets the benefits of Fourier transform technique without knowledge of Fourier transform. Since only one time-harmonic frequency is involved, this is also called frequency domain analysis.\(^1\)

\(^1\)It is simple only for linear systems: for nonlinear systems, such analysis can be quite unwieldy. But rest assured, as we will not discuss nonlinear systems in this course.
To learn phasor techniques, one makes use of the formula due to Euler (1707–1783) (Wikipedia)

\[ e^{j\alpha} = \cos \alpha + j \sin \alpha \]  

where \( j = \sqrt{-1} \) is an imaginary number. But lo and behold, in other disciplines, \( \sqrt{-1} \) is denoted by "\( i \)" but "\( i \)" is too close to the symbol for current. So the preferred symbol for electrical engineering for an imaginary number is \( j \): a quirkness of convention, just as positive charges do not carry current in a wire.

From Euler’s formula one gets

\[ \cos \alpha = \Re(e^{j\alpha}) \]  

Hence, all time harmonic quantity can be written as

\[ V(x, y, z, t) = V'(x, y, z) \cos(\omega t + \alpha) \]  

\[ = V'(r) \Re(e^{j(\omega t+\alpha)}) \]  

\[ = \Re(V'(r)e^{j\alpha}e^{j\omega t}) \]  

\[ = \Re(V'(r)e^{j\omega t}) \]  

Now \( V(r) = V'(r)e^{j\alpha} \) is a complex number called the phasor representation or phasor of \( V(r, t) \) a time-harmonic quantity.\(^2\) Here, the phase \( \alpha = \alpha(r) \) can also be a function of position \( r \), or \( x, y, z \). Consequently, any component of a field can be expressed as

\[ E_x(x, y, z, t) = E_x(r, t) = \Re[E_x(r)e^{j\omega t}] \]  

The above can be repeated for \( y \) and \( z \) components. Compactly, one can write

\[ \mathbf{E}(r, t) = \Re[\mathbf{E}(r)e^{j\omega t}] \]  

\[ \mathbf{H}(r, t) = \Re[\mathbf{H}(r)e^{j\omega t}] \]  

where \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are complex vector fields. Such phasor representations of time-harmonic fields simplify Maxwell’s equations. For instance, if one writes

\[ \mathbf{B}(r, t) = \Re[\mathbf{B}(r)e^{j\omega t}] \]  

then

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}(r, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Re[\mathbf{B}(r)e^{j\omega t}] \]  

\[ = \Re\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}(r)j\omega e^{j\omega t} \right) \]  

\[ = \Re\left( \mathbf{B}(r)j\omega e^{j\omega t} \right) \]  

\(^2\)We will use under tilde to denote a complex number or a phasor here, but this notation will be dropped later. Whether a variable is complex or real is clear from the context.
Therefore, a time derivative can be effected very simply for a time-harmonic field. One just needs to multiply $j\omega$ to the phasor representation of a field or a signal. Therefore, given Faraday’s law that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.12)

assuming that all quantities are time harmonic, then

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Re\{E(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.13)

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Re\{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.14)

using (6.1.11), and (6.1.14), into (6.1.12), one gets

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Re\{\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.15)

and that

$$\Re\{\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\} = -\Re\{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})j\omega e^{j\omega t}\} - \Re\{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.16)

Since if

$$\Re\{Ae^{j\omega t}\} = \Re\{B(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}\}, \ \forall t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.17)

then $A = B$, it must be true from (6.1.16) that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -j\omega \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.18)

Hence, finding the phasor representation of an equation is clear: whenever we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we replace it by $j\omega$. Applying this methodically to the other Maxwell’s equations, we have

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = j\omega \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.19)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_c(\mathbf{r})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.20)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_m(\mathbf{r})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1.21)

In the above, the phasors are functions of frequency. For instance, $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})$ should rightly be written as $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$, but the $\omega$ dependence is implied.

### 6.2 Fourier Transform Technique

In the phasor representation, Maxwell’s equations has no time derivatives; hence the equations are simplified. We can also arrive at the above simplified equations using Fourier transform
technique. To this end, we use Faraday’s law as an example. By letting

\begin{equation}
E(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(r, \omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega
\end{equation}

(6.2.1)

\begin{equation}
B(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(r, \omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega
\end{equation}

(6.2.2)

\begin{equation}
M(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(r, \omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega
\end{equation}

(6.2.3)

Substituting the above into Faraday’s law given by (6.1.12), we get

\begin{equation}
\nabla \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} E(r, \omega) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} B(r, \omega) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} M(r, \omega)
\end{equation}

(6.2.4)

Using the fact that

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} B(r, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{j\omega t} B(r, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} j\omega B(r, \omega)
\end{equation}

(6.2.5)

and that

\begin{equation}
\nabla \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} E(r, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} \nabla \times E(r, \omega)
\end{equation}

(6.2.6)

Furthermore, using the fact that

\begin{equation}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} A(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} B(\omega), \ \forall t
\end{equation}

(6.2.7)

implies that \(A(\omega) = B(\omega)\), and using (6.2.5) and (6.2.6) in (6.2.4), and the property (6.2.7), one gets

\begin{equation}
\nabla \times E(r, \omega) = -j\omega B(r, \omega) - M(r, \omega)
\end{equation}

(6.2.8)

These equations look exactly like the phasor equations we have derived previously, save that the field \(E(r, \omega), B(r, \omega),\) and \(M(r, \omega)\) are now the Fourier transforms of the field \(E(r, t), B(r, t),\) and \(M(r, t)\). Moreover, the Fourier transform variables can be complex just like phasors. Repeating the exercise above for the other Maxwell’s equations, we obtain equations that look similar to those for their phasor representations. Hence, Maxwell’s equations can be simplified either by using phasor technique or Fourier transform technique. However, the dimensions of the phasors are different from the dimensions of the Fourier-transformed fields: \(E(r)\) and \(E(r, \omega)\) do not have the same dimension on closer examination.
6.3 Complex Power

Consider now that in the phasor representations, \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) \) are complex vectors, and their cross product, \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{H}^*(\mathbf{r}) \), which still has the unit of power density, has a different physical meaning. First, consider the instantaneous Poynting’s vector

\[
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)
\]  

(6.3.1)

where all the quantities are real valued. Now, we can use phasor technique to analyze the above. Assuming time-harmonic fields, the above can be rewritten as

\[
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Re}[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}] \times \text{Re}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})e^{j\omega t}]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{E}e^{j\omega t} + (\mathbf{E}e^{j\omega t})^*] \times \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{H}e^{j\omega t} + (\mathbf{H}e^{j\omega t})^*]
\]  

(6.3.2)

where we have made use of the formula that

\[
\text{Re}(Z) = \frac{1}{2}(Z + Z^*)
\]  

(6.3.3)

Then more elaborately, on expanding (6.3.2), we get

\[
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} e^{2j\omega t} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^* + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{H} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{H}^* e^{-2j\omega t}
\]  

(6.3.4)

Then rearranging terms and using (6.3.3) yield

\[
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} e^{2j\omega t}]
\]  

(6.3.5)

where the first term is independent of time, while the second term is sinusoidal in time. If we define a time-average quantity such that

\[
\mathbf{S}_{av} = \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) dt
\]  

(6.3.6)

then it is quite clear that the second term of (6.3.5) time averages to zero, and

\[
\mathbf{S}_{av} = \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*]
\]  

(6.3.7)

Hence, in the phasor representation, the quantity

\[
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}
\]  

(6.3.8)

is termed the complex Poynting’s vector. The power flow associated with it is termed complex power.
To understand what complex power is, it is fruitful if we revisit complex power [47, 48] in our circuit theory course. The circuit in Figure 6.2 can be easily solved by using phasor technique. The impedance of the circuit is $Z = R + j\omega L$. Hence,

$$V = (R + j\omega L)I \tag{6.3.9}$$

where $V$ and $I$ are the phasors of the voltage and current for time-harmonic signals. Just as in the electromagnetic case, the complex power is taken to be

$$P = V^*I \tag{6.3.10}$$

But the instantaneous power is given by

$$P_{\text{inst}}(t) = V(t)I(t) \tag{6.3.11}$$

where $V(t) = \Re\{Ve^{j\omega t}\}$ and $I(t) = \Re\{Ie^{j\omega t}\}$. As shall be shown below,

$$P_{\text{av}} = \langle P_{\text{inst}}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Re\{P\} \tag{6.3.12}$$

It is clear that if $V(t)$ is sinusoidal, it can be written as

$$V(t) = V_0 \cos(\omega t) = \Re\{Ve^{j\omega t}\} \tag{6.3.13}$$

where, without loss of generality, we assume that $V = V_0$. Then from (6.3.9), it is clear that $V(t)$ and $I(t)$ are not in phase. Namely that

$$I(t) = I_0 \cos(\omega t + \alpha) = \Re\{Ie^{j\omega t}\} \tag{6.3.14}$$

where $I = I_0e^{j\alpha}$. Then

$$P_{\text{inst}}(t) = V_0I_0 \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega t + \alpha)$$

$$= V_0I_0 \cos(\omega t)[\cos(\omega t) \cos(\alpha) - \sin(\omega t) \sin(\alpha)]$$

$$= V_0I_0 \cos^2(\omega t) \cos \alpha - V_0I_0 \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \sin \alpha \tag{6.3.15}$$
It can be seen that the first term does not time-average to zero, but the second term does. Now taking the time average of (6.3.15), we get

\[ P_{av} = \langle P_{inst} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} V_0 I_0 \cos \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \Re \{ VI^* \} = \frac{1}{2} \Re \{ P \} \quad (6.3.16) \]

On the other hand, the reactive power

\[ P_{reactive} = \frac{1}{2} \Im \{ P \} = \frac{1}{2} \Im \{ VI^* \} = \frac{1}{2} \Im \{ V_0 I_0 e^{-j\alpha} \} = -\frac{1}{2} V_0 I_0 \sin \alpha \quad (6.3.18) \]

One sees that amplitude of the time-varying term in (6.3.15) is precisely proportional to \( \Im \{ P \} \).

The reason for the existence of imaginary part of \( P \) is because \( V(t) \) and \( I(t) \) are out of phase or \( V = V_0 \), but \( I = I_0 e^{j\alpha} \). The reason why they are out of phase is because the circuit has a reactive part to it. Hence the imaginary part of complex power is also called the reactive power [34,47,48]. In a reactive circuit, the plot of the instantaneous power is shown in Figure 6.3. The reactive power corresponds to part of the instantaneous power that time averages to zero. This part is there when \( \alpha \neq 0 \) or when a reactive component like an inductor or capacitor exists in the circuit. When a power company delivers power to our home, the power is complex because the current and voltage are not in phase. Even though the reactive power time averages to zero, the power company still needs to deliver it to our home to run our washing machine, dish washer, fans, and air conditioner etc, and hence, charges us for it.

---

\( ^3 \)Because that complex power is proportional to \( V I^* \), it is the relative phase between \( V \) and \( I \) that matters. Therefore, \( \alpha \) above is the relative phase between the phasor current and phasor voltage.
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7.1 More on Constitutive Relations

As have been seen, Maxwell’s equations are not solvable until the constitutive relations are included. Here, we will look into depth more into various kinds of constitutive relations.

7.1.1 Isotropic Frequency Dispersive Media

First let us look at the simple linear constitutive relation previously discussed for dielectric media where \[29\], \[31\], [p. 82], [42]

\[
D = \varepsilon_0 E + P (7.1.1)
\]

We have a simple model where

\[
P = \varepsilon_0 \chi_0 E (7.1.2)
\]

where \(\chi_0\) is the electric susceptibility. When used in the generalized Ampere’s law, \(P\), the polarization density, plays an important role for the flow of the displacement current through space. The polarization density is due to the presence of polar atoms or molecules that become little dipoles in the presence of an electric field. For instance, water, which is \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), is a polar molecule that becomes a small dipole when an electric field is applied.

We can think of displacement current flow as capacitive coupling yielding polarization current flow through space. Namely, for a source-free medium,

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} (7.1.3)
\]
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Figure 7.1: As a series of dipoles line up end to end, one can see a current flowing through the line of dipoles as they oscillate back and forth in their polarity. This is similar to how displacement current flows through a capacitor.

For example, for a sinusoidal oscillating field, the dipoles will flip back and forth giving rise to flow of displacement current just as how time-harmonic electric current can flow through a capacitor as shown in Figure 7.1.

The linear relationship above can be generalized to that of a linear time-invariant system, or that at any given \( r \).

\[
P(r, t) = \varepsilon_0 \chi_0(r, t) \ast x(t)
\]  

(7.1.4)

where \( \ast \) here implies a convolution. In the frequency domain or the Fourier space, the above linear relationship becomes

\[
P(r, \omega) = \varepsilon_0 \chi_0(r, \omega) E(r, \omega),
\]

(7.1.5)

\[
D(r, \omega) = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_0(r, \omega)) E(r, \omega) = \varepsilon(r, \omega) E(r, \omega)
\]

(7.1.6)

where \( \varepsilon(r, \omega) = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_0(r, \omega)) \) at any point \( r \) in space. There is a rich variety of ways at which \( \chi_0(\omega) \) can manifest itself. Such a permittivity \( \varepsilon(r, \omega) \) is often called the effective permittivity. Such media where the effective permittivity is a function of frequency is termed dispersive media, or frequency dispersive media.

7.1.2 Anisotropic Media

For anisotropic media [31][p. 83]

\[
D = \varepsilon_0 E + \varepsilon_0 \chi_0(\omega) \cdot \nabla E
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_0 (I + \chi_0(\omega)) \cdot \nabla E = \varepsilon(\omega) \cdot \nabla E
\]

(7.1.7)

In the above, \( \varepsilon \) is a 3 × 3 matrix also known as a tensor in electromagnetics. The above implies that \( D \) and \( E \) do not necessary point in the same direction, the meaning of anisotropy. (A tensor is often associated with a physical notion, whereas a matrix is not.)

Previously, we have assume that \( \chi_0 \) to be frequency independent. This is not usually the case as all materials have \( \chi_0 \)'s that are frequency dependent. This will become clear later. Also, since \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) is frequency dependent, we should view it as a transfer function where the input is \( E \), and the output \( D \). This implies that in the time-domain, the above relation becomes a time-convolution relation as in (7.1.4).

Similarly for conductive media,

\[
J = \sigma E,
\]

(7.1.8)
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This can be used in Maxwell’s equations in the frequency domain to yield the definition of complex permittivity. Using the above in Ampere’s law in the frequency domain, we have

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) + \sigma \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = j\omega \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \]  

(7.1.9)

where the complex permittivity \( \varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon - j\sigma/\omega \).

For anisotropic conductive media, one can have

\[ \mathbf{J} = \sigma(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{E}, \]  

(7.1.10)

Here, again, due to the tensorial nature of the conductivity \( \sigma \), the electric current \( \mathbf{J} \) and electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) do not necessarily point in the same direction.

The above assumes a local or point-wise relationship between the input and the output of a linear system. This need not be so. In fact, the most general linear relationship between \( \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r},t) \) and \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) \) is

\[ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t - t') \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t') \, d\mathbf{r}' \, dt' \]  

(7.1.11)

In the Fourier transform space, the above becomes

\[ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \]  

(7.1.12)

where

\[ \mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \exp(j\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - j\omega t) \, d\mathbf{r} \, dt \]  

(7.1.13)

(The \( d\mathbf{r} \) integral above is actually a three-fold integral.) Such a medium is termed spatially dispersive as well as frequency dispersive [34][p. 6], [49]. In general

\[ \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 1 + \mathbf{\chi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \]  

(7.1.14)

where

\[ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \]  

(7.1.15)

The above can be extended to magnetic field and magnetic flux yielding

\[ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \mu(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \]  

(7.1.16)

for a general spatial and frequency dispersive magnetic material. In optics, most materials are non-magnetic, and hence, \( \mu = \mu_0 \), whereas it is quite easy to make anisotropic magnetic materials in radio and microwave frequencies, such as ferrites.
7.1.3 Bi-anisotropic Media

In the previous section, the electric flux $\mathbf{D}$ depends on the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ and the magnetic flux $\mathbf{B}$ depends on the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$. The concept of constitutive relation can be extended to where $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ depend on both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$. In general, one can write

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{E} + \xi(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (7.1.17)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \zeta(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mu(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (7.1.18)$$

A medium where the electric flux or the magnetic flux is dependent on both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ is known as a bi-anisotropic medium [31][p. 81].

7.1.4 Inhomogeneous Media

If any of the $\varepsilon$, $\xi$, $\zeta$, or $\mu$ is a function of position $\mathbf{r}$, the medium is known as an inhomogeneous medium or a heterogeneous medium. There are usually no simple solutions to problems associated with such media [34].

7.1.5 Uniaxial and Biaxial Media

Anisotropic optical materials are often encountered in optics. Examples of them are the biaxial and uniaxial media, and discussions of them are often found in optics books [50–52]. They are optical materials where the permittivity tensor can be written as

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.1.19)$$

When $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2 \neq \varepsilon_3$, the medium is known as a biaxial medium. But when $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 \neq \varepsilon_3$, then the medium is a uniaxial medium.

In the biaxial medium, all three components of the electric field feel different permittivity constants. But in the uniaxial medium, the electric field in the $xy$ plane feels the same permittivity constant, but the electric field in the $z$ direction feels a different permittivity constant. As shall be shown, different light polarization will propagate with different behavior through such a medium.

7.1.6 Nonlinear Media

In the previous cases, we have assumed that $\mathbf{\chi}_0$ is independent of the field $\mathbf{E}$. The relationships between $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ can be written more generally as

$$\mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\chi}_0(\mathbf{E}) \quad (7.1.20)$$

where the relationship can appear in many different forms. For nonlinear media, the relationship can be nonlinear as indicated in the above. Nonlinear permittivity effect is important in optics. Here, the wavelength is short, and a small change in the permittivity or refractive index can give rise to cumulative phase delay as the wave propagates through a nonlinear
optical medium [53–55]. Kerr optical nonlinearity, discovered in 1875, was one of the earliest nonlinear phenomena observed [31, 50, 53]. For magnetic materials, nonlinearity can occur in the effective permeability of the medium. In other words,

$$B = \mu(H) \quad (7.1.21)$$

This nonlinearity is important even at low frequencies, and in electric machinery designs [56, 57], and magnetic resonance imaging systems [58]. The large permeability in magnetic materials is usually due to the formation of magnetic domains which can only happen at low frequencies.

### 7.2 Wave Phenomenon in the Frequency Domain

We have seen the emergence of wave phenomenon in the time domain. Given the simplicity of the frequency domain method, it will be interesting to ask how this phenomenon presents itself for time-harmonic field or in the frequency domain. In the frequency domain, the source-free Maxwell’s equations are [31][p. 429], [59][p. 107]

$$\nabla \times E(r) = -j\omega\mu_0 H(r) \quad (7.2.1)$$

$$\nabla \times H(r) = j\omega\varepsilon_0 E(r) \quad (7.2.2)$$

Taking the curl of (7.2.1) and then substituting (7.2.2) into its right-hand side, one obtains

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times E(r) = -j\omega\mu_0 \nabla \times H(r) = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 E(r) \quad (7.2.3)$$

Again, using the identity that

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times E = \nabla(\nabla \cdot E) - \nabla \cdot \nabla E = \nabla(\nabla \cdot E) - \nabla^2 E \quad (7.2.4)$$

and that $\nabla \cdot E = 0$ in a source-free medium, (7.2.3) becomes

$$(\nabla^2 + \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_0)E(r) = 0 \quad (7.2.5)$$

This is known as the Helmholtz wave equation or just the Helmholtz equation. For simplicity of seeing the wave phenomenon, we let $E = \hat{x}E_x(z)$, a field pointing in the $x$ direction, but varies only in the $z$ direction. Evidently, $\nabla \cdot E(r) = \partial E_x(z)/\partial x = 0$. Then (7.2.5) simplifies to

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + k_0^2\right)E_x(z) = 0 \quad (7.2.6)$$

where $k_0^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 = \omega^2 / \varepsilon_0 c_0^2$ where $c_0$ is the velocity of light. The general solution to (7.2.6) is of the form

$$E_x(z) = E_{0+}e^{-jk_0 z} + E_{0-}e^{jk_0 z} \quad (7.2.7)$$

\[1\]For a comprehensive review of this topic, one may read the lecture notes [38].
One can convert the above back to the time domain using phasor technique, or by using that
\[ E_x(z, t) = |E_{0+}| \cos(\omega t - k_0 z + \alpha_+) + |E_{0-}| \cos(\omega t + k_0 z + \alpha_-) \] (7.2.8)
where we have assumed that
\[ E_{0\pm} = |E_{0\pm}| e^{j\alpha_\pm} \] (7.2.9)

The physical picture of the above expressions can be appreciated by rewriting
\[ \cos(\omega t \mp k_0 z + \alpha_\pm) = \cos \left[ \frac{\omega}{c_0} (c_0 t \mp z) + \alpha_\pm \right] \] (7.2.10)
where we have used the fact that \( k_0 = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \). One can see that the first term on the right-hand side of (7.2.8) is a sinusoidal plane wave traveling to the right, while the second term is a sinusoidal plane wave traveling to the left, with velocity \( c_0 \). The above plane wave is uniform and a constant in the \( xy \) plane and propagating in the \( z \) direction. Hence, it is also called a uniform plane wave in 1D.

Moreover, for a fixed \( t \) or at \( t = 0 \), the sinusoidal functions are proportional to \( \cos(\mp k_0 z + \alpha_\pm) \). This is a periodic function in \( z \) with period \( 2\pi/k_0 \) which is the wavelength \( \lambda_0 \), or that
\[ k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\omega}{c_0} = \frac{2\pi f}{c_0} \] (7.2.11)
One can see that because \( c_0 \) is a humongous number, \( \lambda_0 \) can be very large. You can plug in the frequency of your local AM station to see how big \( \lambda_0 \) is.

### 7.3 Uniform Plane Waves in 3D

By repeating the previous derivation for a homogeneous lossless medium, the vector Helmholtz equation for a source-free medium is given by \( [38] \)
\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times E - \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon E = 0 \] (7.3.1)

By the same derivation as before for the free-space case, one has
\[ \nabla^2 E + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon E = 0 \] (7.3.2)
if \( \nabla \cdot E = 0 \).

The general solution to (7.3.2) is hence
\[ E = E_0 e^{-jk_z x - jk_y y - jk_\alpha z} = E_0 e^{-jk_r} \] (7.3.3)
where \( k = \hat{x}k_x + \hat{y}k_y + \hat{z}k_z \), \( r = \hat{x}x + \hat{y}y + \hat{z}z \) and \( E_0 \) is a constant vector. And upon substituting (7.3.3) into (7.3.2), it is seen that
\[ k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \] (7.3.4)
This is called the dispersion relation for a plane wave.

In general, \( k_x, k_y, \) and \( k_z \) can be arbitrary and even complex numbers as long as this relation is satisfied. To simplify the discussion, we will focus on the case where \( k_x, k_y, \) and \( k_z \) are all real. When this is the case, the vector function represents a uniform plane wave propagating in the \( \mathbf{k} \) direction. As can be seen, when \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} = \text{constant} \), it is represented by all points of \( \mathbf{r} \) that represents a flat plane (see Figure 7.2). This flat plane represents the constant phase wave front. By increasing the constant, we obtain different planes for progressively changing phase fronts.\(^2\)

![Figure 7.2: A figure showing what the meaning of \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \) equal to a constant means. It is a flat plane that defines the wavefront of a plane wave.](image)

Further, since \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \), we have

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 e^{-jk_x x - jk_y y - jk_z z} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 e^{-j\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}
\]

\[
= (-\hat{x}jk_x - \hat{y}jk_y - \hat{z}jk_z) \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 e^{-j\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}
\]

\[
= -j(\hat{x}k_x + \hat{y}k_y + \hat{z}k_z) \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0
\]

or that

\[
\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{7.3.6}
\]

Thus, \( \mathbf{E} \) is orthogonal to \( \mathbf{k} \) for a uniform plane wave.

The above exercise shows that whenever \( \mathbf{E} \) is a plane wave, and when the \( \nabla \) operator operates on such a vector function, one can do the substitution that \( \nabla \to -j\mathbf{k} \). Hence, in a source-free homogenous medium,

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \tag{7.3.7}
\]

the above equation simplifies to

\[
-j\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \tag{7.3.8}
\]

\(^2\)In the \( \exp(j\omega t) \) time convention, this phase front is decreasing, whereas in the \( \exp(-i\omega t) \) time convention, this phase front is increasing.
or that

$$H = \frac{k \times E}{\omega \mu} \quad (7.3.9)$$

Similar to (7.3.3), we can define

$$H = H_0 e^{-jk_xx-jk_yy-jk_zz} = H_0 e^{-jk \cdot r} \quad (7.3.10)$$

Then it is clear that

$$H_0 = \frac{k \times E_0}{\omega \mu} \quad (7.3.11)$$

We can assume that $E_0$ and $H_0$ are real vectors. Then $E_0$, $H_0$ and $k$ form a right-handed system, or that $E_0 \times H_0$ point in the direction of $k$. (This also implies that $E$, $H$ and $k$ form a right-handed system.) Such a wave, where the electric field and magnetic field are transverse to the direction of propagation, is called a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) wave.

![Figure 7.3: The E, H, and k together form a right-hand coordinate system, obeying the right-hand rule. Namely, E × H points in the direction of k.](image)

Figure 7.3 shows that $k \cdot E = 0$, and that $k \times E$ points in the direction of $H$ as shown in (7.3.9). Figure 7.3 also shows, as $k$, $E$, and $H$ are orthogonal to each other.

Since in general, $E_0$ and $H_0$ can be complex vectors, because they are phasors, we need to show the more general case. From (7.3.9), one can show that

$$E \times H^* = E \cdot E^* \frac{k}{\omega \mu} = |E|^2 \frac{k}{\omega \mu} \quad (7.3.12)$$

But $E \times H^*$ is the direction of power flow, and it is in fact in the $k$ direction. This is also required by the Poynting’s theorem.

Furthermore, we can show in general that

$$|H| = \frac{|k||E|}{\omega \mu} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}} |E| = \frac{1}{\eta} |E| \quad (7.3.13)$$
where the quantity

\[ \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.14)

is called the intrinsic impedance. For vacuum or free-space, it is about 377 Ω ≈ 120\pi \Omega.

In the above, when \( k_x, k_y, \) and \( k_z \) are not all real, the wave is known as an inhomogeneous wave.\(^3\)

---

\(^3\)The term inhomogeneous plane wave is used sometimes, but it is a misnomer since there is no more a planar wave front in this case.
Lecture 8

Lossy Media, Lorentz Force Law, Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld Model

8.1 Plane Waves in Lossy Conductive Media

Previously, we have derived the plane wave solution for a lossless homogeneous medium. The derivation can be generalized to a lossy conductive medium by invoking mathematical homomorphism. When conductive loss is present, $\sigma \neq 0$, and $J = \sigma E$. Then generalized Ampere’s law becomes

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \sigma \mathbf{E} = j\omega \left( \varepsilon + \frac{\sigma}{j\omega} \right) \mathbf{E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.1)

A complex permittivity can be defined as $\varepsilon = \varepsilon - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega}$. Eq. (8.1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.2)

This equation is of the same form as source-free Ampere’s law in the frequency domain for a lossless medium where $\varepsilon$ is completely real. Using the same method as before, a wave solution

$$\mathbf{E} = E_0 e^{-jk \cdot r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.3)

will have the dispersion relation which is now given by

$$k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.4)

Since $\varepsilon$ is complex now, $k_x$, $k_y$, and $k_z$ cannot be all real. Equation (8.1.4) has been derived previously by assuming that $k$ is a real vector. When $k = k' - jk''$ is a complex vector, some of the derivations may not be correct previously. It is also difficult to visualize a complex $k$.
vector that is suppose to indicate the direction with which the wave is propagating. Here, the wave can decay and oscillate in different directions.

So again, we look at the simplified case where

$$\mathbf{E} = \hat{x}E_x(z)$$

(8.1.5)

so that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \partial_z E_x(z) = 0$, and let $\mathbf{k} = \hat{z}k = \hat{z}\omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}$. This wave is constant in the $xy$ plane, and hence, is a plane wave. Furthermore, in this manner, we are requiring that the wave decays and propagates (or oscillates) only in the $z$ direction. For such a simple plane wave,

$$\mathbf{E} = \hat{x}E_0 e^{-jkz}$$

(8.1.6)

where $k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}$, since $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} = k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon$ is still true.

Faraday’s law gives rise to

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{k \times \mathbf{E}}{\omega \mu} = \hat{y} \frac{k E_x(z)}{\omega \mu} = \hat{y} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}} E_x(z)$$

(8.1.7)

or by letting $k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}$, then

$$E_x/H_y = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}$$

(8.1.8)

When the medium is highly conductive, $\sigma \to \infty$, and $\varepsilon \approx -j \frac{\omega}{\sigma}$. Thus, the following approximation can be made, namely,

$$k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} \approx \omega \sqrt{-\mu j \frac{\sigma}{\omega}} = \sqrt{-j \omega \mu \sigma}$$

(8.1.9)

Taking $\sqrt{-j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - j)$, we have

$$k = (1 - j) \sqrt{\frac{\omega \mu \sigma}{2}} = k' - j k''$$

(8.1.10)

For a plane wave, $e^{-jkz}$, and then it becomes

$$e^{-jkz} = e^{-jk'z - jk''z}$$

(8.1.11)

This plane wave decays exponentially in the $z$ direction. The penetration depth of this wave is then

$$\delta = \frac{1}{k''} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \mu \sigma}}$$

(8.1.12)

This distance $\delta$, the penetration depth, is called the skin depth of a plane wave propagating in a highly lossy conductive medium where conduction current dominates over displacement.
current, or that $\sigma \gg \omega \varepsilon$. This happens for radio wave propagating in the saline solution of the ocean, the Earth, or wave propagating in highly conductive metal, like your induction cooker.

When the conductivity is low, namely, when the displacement current is larger than the conduction current, then $\frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \ll 1$, we have

$$k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \left(\varepsilon - j \frac{\sigma}{\omega} \right)} = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon \left(1 - j \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \right)}$$

$$\approx \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon \left(1 - j \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \right)} = k' - jk''$$

(8.1.13)

The term $\frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon}$ is called the loss tangent of a lossy medium.

In general, in a lossy medium $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - j \varepsilon''$, $\varepsilon''/\varepsilon'$ is called the loss tangent of the medium. It is to be noted that in the optics and physics community, $e^{-i\omega t}$ time convention is preferred. In that case, we need to do the switch $j \rightarrow -i$, and a loss medium is denoted by $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + i \varepsilon''$.

### 8.2 Lorentz Force Law

The Lorentz force law is the generalization of the Coulomb’s law for forces between two charges. Lorentz force law includes the presence of a magnetic field. The Lorentz force law is given by

$$\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E} + q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$$

(8.2.1)

The first term electric force similar to the statement of Coulomb’s law, while the second term is the magnetic force called the $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$ force. This law can be also written in terms of the force density $\mathbf{f}$ which is the force on the charge density, instead of force on a single charge. By so doing, we arrive at

$$\mathbf{f} = \varrho \mathbf{E} + \varrho \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \varrho \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$$

(8.2.2)

where $\varrho$ is the charge density, and one can identified the current $\mathbf{J} = \varrho \mathbf{v}$.

Lorentz force law can also be derived from the integral form of Faraday’s law, if one assumes that the law is applied to a moving loop intercepting a magnetic flux [60]. In other words, Lorentz force law and Faraday’s law are commensurate with each other.

### 8.3 Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld Model

In the previous lecture, we have seen how loss can be introduced by having a conduction current flowing in a medium. Now that we have learnt the versatility of the frequency domain method, other loss mechanism can be easily introduced with the frequency-domain method.

First, let us look at the simple constitutive relation where

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$$

(8.3.1)
We have a simple model where

\[ P = \varepsilon_0 \chi_0 E \]  

(8.3.2)

where \( \chi_0 \) is the electric susceptibility. To see how \( \chi_0(\omega) \) can be derived, we will study the Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld model. This is usually just known as the Drude model or the Lorentz model in many textbooks although Sommerfeld also contributed to it. This model can be unified in one equation as shall be shown.

We can first start with a simple electron driven by an electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) in the absence of a magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \). If the electron is free to move, then the force acting on it, from the Lorentz force law, is \(-e\mathbf{E}\) where \( e \) is the charge of the electron. Then from Newton’s law, assuming a one dimensional case, it follows that

\[ m_e \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -eE \]  

(8.3.3)

where the left-hand side is due to the inertial force of the mass of the electron, and the right-hand side is the electric force acting on a charge of \(-e\) coulomb. Here, we assume that \( \mathbf{E} \) points in the \( x \)-direction, and we neglect the vector nature of the electric field. Writing the above in the frequency domain for time-harmonic fields, and using phasor technique, one gets

\[ -\omega^2 m_e x = -eE \]  

(8.3.4)

From this, we have

\[ x = \frac{e}{\omega^2 m_e} E \]  

(8.3.5)

This for instance, can happen in a plasma medium where the atoms are ionized, and the electrons are free to roam [61]. Hence, we assume that the positive ions are more massive, and move very little compared to the electrons when an electric field is applied.

![Figure 8.1: Polarization of an atom in the presence of an electric field. Here, it is assumed that the electron is weakly bound or unbound to the nucleus of the atom.](image)

The dipole moment formed by the displaced electron away from the ion due to the electric field is

\[ p = -ex = -\frac{e^2}{\omega^2 m_e} E \]  

(8.3.6)
for one electron. When there are $N$ electrons per unit volume, the dipole density is then given by

$$P = Np = -\frac{Ne^2}{\omega^2 m_e}E$$  \hfill (8.3.7)$$

In general, $P$ and $E$ point in the same direction, and we can write

$$P = -\frac{Ne^2}{\omega^2 m_e}E = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} \varepsilon_0 E$$  \hfill (8.3.8)$$

where we have defined $\omega_p^2 = Ne^2/(m_e\varepsilon_0)$. Then,

$$D = \varepsilon_0 E + P = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}\right) E$$  \hfill (8.3.9)$$

In this manner, we see that the effective permittivity is

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}\right)$$  \hfill (8.3.10)$$

This gives the interesting result that in the frequency domain, $\varepsilon < 0$ if

$$\omega < \omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{N}{(m_e\varepsilon_0)}e}$$

Here, $\omega_p$ is the plasma frequency. Since $k = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon/m}$, if $\varepsilon$ is negative, $k = -j\alpha$ becomes purely imaginary, and a wave such as $e^{-j\alpha z}$ decays exponentially as $e^{-\alpha z}$. This is also known as an evanescent wave. In other words, the wave cannot propagate through such a medium: Our ionosphere is such a medium. So it was extremely fortuitous that Marconi, in 1901, was able to send a radio signal from Cornwall, England, to Newfoundland, Canada. Nay sayers thought his experiment would never succeed as the radio signal would propagate to outer space and never return. It is the presence of the ionosphere that bounces the radio wave back to Earth, making his experiment a resounding success and a very historic one! The experiment also heralds in the age of wireless communications.

Figure 8.2: The electron is bound to the ion by an attractive force. This can be approximately modeled by a spring providing a restoring force to the electron.
The above model can be generalized to the case where the electron is bound to the ion, but the ion now provides a restoring force similar to that of a spring, namely,

\[ m_e \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \kappa x = -eE \quad (8.3.11) \]

We assume that the ion provides a restoring force just like Hooke’s law. Again, for a time-harmonic field, (8.3.11) can be solved easily in the frequency domain to yield

\[ x = \frac{e}{(\omega^2 m_e - \kappa)E} = \frac{e}{(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2) m_e E} \quad (8.3.12) \]

where we have defined \( \omega_0^2 m_e = \kappa \). The above is the typical solution of a lossless harmonic oscillator (pendulum) driven by an external force, in this case the electric field.

Equation (8.3.11) can be generalized to the case when frictional or damping forces are involved, or that

\[ m_e \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + m_e \Gamma \frac{dx}{dt} + \kappa x = -eE \quad (8.3.13) \]

The second term on the left-hand side is a force that is proportional to the velocity \( dx/dt \) of the electron. This is the hallmark of a frictional force. Frictional force is due to the collision of the electrons with the background ions or lattice. It is proportional to the destruction (or change) of momentum of an electron. The momentum of the electron is given by \( m_e \frac{dx}{dt} \). In the average sense, the destruction of the momentum is given by the product of the collision frequency and the momentum. In the above, \( \Gamma \) has the unit of frequency, and for plasma, and conductor, it can be regarded as a collision frequency.

Solving the above in the frequency domain, one gets

\[ x = \frac{e}{(\omega^2 - j\omega \Gamma - \omega_0^2) m_e E} \quad (8.3.14) \]

Following the same procedure in arriving at (8.3.7), we get

\[ P = \frac{-Ne^2}{(\omega^2 - j\omega \Gamma - \omega_0^2) m_e E} \quad (8.3.15) \]

In this, one can identify that

\[ \chi_0(\omega) = \frac{-Ne^2}{(\omega^2 - j\omega \Gamma - \omega_0^2) m_e \varepsilon_0} = \frac{-\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 - j\omega \Gamma - \omega_0^2} \quad (8.3.16) \]

where \( \omega_p \) is as defined before. A function with the above frequency dependence is also called a Lorentzian function. It is the hallmark of a damped harmonic oscillator.

If \( \Gamma = 0 \), then when \( \omega = \omega_0 \), one sees an infinite resonance peak exhibited by the DLS model. But in the real world, \( \Gamma \neq 0 \), and when \( \Gamma \) is small, but \( \omega \approx \omega_0 \), then the peak value of \( \chi_0 \) is

\[ \chi_0 \approx \frac{\omega_p^2}{j\omega \Gamma} = \frac{-j \omega_p^2}{\omega \Gamma} \quad (8.3.17) \]
\( \chi_0 \) exhibits a large negative imaginary part, the hallmark of a dissipative medium, as in the conducting medium we have previously studied.

The DLS model is a wonderful model because it can capture phenomenologically the essence of the physics of many electromagnetic media, even though it is a purely classical model.\(^1\) It captures the resonance behavior of an atom absorbing energy from light excitation. When the light wave comes in at the correct frequency, it will excite electronic transition within an atom which can be approximately modeled as a resonator with behavior similar to that of a pendulum oscillator. This electronic resonances will be radiationally damped \([33]\), and the damped oscillation can be modeled by \( \Gamma \neq 0 \).

Moreover, the above model can also be used to model molecular vibrations. In this case, the mass of the electron will be replaced by the mass of the atom involved. The damping of the molecular vibration is caused by the hindered vibration of the molecule due to interaction with other molecules \([62]\). The hindered rotation or vibration of water molecules when excited by microwave is the source of heat in microwave heating.

In the case of plasma, \( \Gamma \neq 0 \) represents the collision frequency between the free electrons and the ions, giving rise to loss. In the case of a conductor, \( \Gamma \) represents the collision frequency between the conduction electrons in the conduction band with the lattice of the material.\(^2\) Also, if there is no restoring force, then \( \omega_0 = 0 \). This is true for sea of electron moving in the conduction band of a medium. Also, for sufficiently low frequency, the inertial force can be ignored. Thus, from (8.3.16)

\[
\chi_0 \approx -j \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega \Gamma} \quad (8.3.18)
\]

and

\[
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_0) = \varepsilon_0 \left( 1 - j \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega \Gamma} \right) \quad (8.3.19)
\]

We recall that for a conductive medium, we define a complex permittivity to be

\[
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left( 1 - j \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon_0} \right) \quad (8.3.20)
\]

Comparing (8.3.19) and (8.3.20), we see that

\[
\sigma = \varepsilon_0 \frac{\omega_p^2}{\Gamma} \quad (8.3.21)
\]

The above formula for conductivity can be arrived at using collision frequency argument as is done in some textbooks \([65]\).

Because the DLS is so powerful, it can be used to explain a wide range of phenomena from very low frequency to optical frequency.

---

\(^1\)What we mean here is that only Newton’s law has been used, and no quantum theory as yet.

\(^2\)It is to be noted that electron has a different effective mass in a crystal lattice \([63, 64]\), and hence, the electron mass has to be changed accordingly in the DLS model.
The fact that $\varepsilon < 0$ can be used to explain many phenomena. The ionosphere is essentially a plasma medium described by

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}\right) \quad (8.3.22)$$

Radio wave or microwave can only penetrate through this ionosphere when $\omega > \omega_p$, so that $\varepsilon > 0$.

### 8.3.1 Frequency Dispersion Media

The DLS model shows that, except for vacuum, all media are frequency dispersive. It is prudent to digress to discuss more on the physical meaning of a frequency dispersive medium. The relationship between electric flux and electric field, in the frequency domain, still follows the formula

$$D(\omega) = \varepsilon(\omega)E(\omega) \quad (8.3.23)$$

When the effective permittivity, $\varepsilon(\omega)$, is a function of frequency, it implies that the above relationship in the time domain is via convolution, viz.,

$$D(t) = \varepsilon(t) \ast E(t) \quad (8.3.24)$$

Since the above represents a linear time-invariant system, it implies that an input is not followed by an instantaneous output. In other words, there is a delay between the input and the output. The reason is because an electron has a mass, and it cannot respond immediately to an applied force: or it has inertial. In other words, the system has memory of what it was before when you try to move it.

When the effective permittivity is a function of frequency, it also implies that different frequency components will propagate with different velocities through such a medium. Hence, a narrow pulse will spread in its width because different frequency components are not in phase after a short distance of travel.

Also, the Lorentz function is great for data fitting, as many experimentally observed resonances have finite $Q$ and a line width. The Lorentz function models that well. If multiple resonances occur in a medium or an atom, then multi-species DLS model can be used. It is now clear that all media have to be frequency dispersive because of the finite mass of the electron and the inertial it has. In other words, there is no instantaneous response in a dielectric medium due to the finiteness of the electron mass.

Even at optical frequency, many metals, which has a sea of freely moving electrons in the conduction band, can be modeled approximately as a plasma. A metal consists of a sea of electrons in the conduction band which are not tightly bound to the ions or the lattice. Also, in optics, the inertial force due to the finiteness of the electron mass (in this case effective mass) can be sizeable compared to other forces. Then, $\omega_0 \ll \omega$ or that the restoring force is much smaller than the inertial force, in (8.3.16), and if $\Gamma$ is small, $\chi_0(\omega)$ resembles that of a plasma, and $\varepsilon$ of a metal can be negative.
8.3.2 Plasmonic Nanoparticles

When a plasmonic nanoparticle made of gold is excited by light, its response is given by (see homework assignment)

\[ \Phi_R = E_0 \frac{a^3 \cos \theta}{r^2} \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon_0} \]

In a plasma, \( \varepsilon_s \) can be negative, and thus, at certain frequency, if \( \varepsilon_s = -2\varepsilon_0 \), then \( \Phi_R \to \infty \). Therefore, when light interacts with such a particle, it can sparkle brighter than normal. This reminds us of the saying “All that glitters is not gold!” even though this saying has a different intended meaning.

Ancient Romans apparently knew about the potent effect of using gold and silver nanoparticles to enhance the reflection of light. These nanoparticles were impregnated in the glass or lacquer ware. By impregnating these particles in different media, the color of light will sparkle at different frequencies, and hence, the color of the glass emulsion can be changed (see website [66]).

Figure 8.3: Ancient Roman goblets whose lacquer coating glisten better due to the presence of gold nanoparticles (courtesy of Smithsonian.com).
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9.1 Gyrotropic Media

This section presents deriving the permittivity tensor of a gyrotropic medium in the ionosphere. Our ionosphere is always biased by a static magnetic field due to the Earth’s magnetic field [67]. But in this derivation, one assumes that the ionosphere has a static magnetic field polarized in the z direction, namely that $\mathbf{B} = \hat{z}B_0$. Now, the equation of motion from the Lorentz force law for an electron with $q = -e$, in accordance with Newton’s law, becomes

$$m_e \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (9.1.1)$$

Next, let us assume that the electric field is polarized in the $xy$ plane. The derivative of $\mathbf{v}$ is the acceleration of the electron, and also, $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{r}/dt$. And in the frequency domain, the above equation becomes

$$m_e \omega^2 x = e[(E_x + j\omega B_0 y)]$$

$$m_e \omega^2 y = e[(E_y - j\omega B_0 x)] \quad (9.1.2) \quad (9.1.3)$$

The above equations cannot be solved easily for $x$ and $y$ in terms of the electric field because they correspond to a two-by-two matrix system with cross coupling between the unknowns $x$ and $y$. But they can be simplified as follows: We can multiply (9.1.3) by $\pm j$ and add it to (9.1.2) to get two decoupled equations [68]:

$$m_e \omega^2 (x + jy) = e[(E_x + jE_y) + \omega B_0 (x + jy)]$$

$$m_e \omega^2 (x - jy) = e[(E_x - jE_y) - \omega B_0 (x - jy)] \quad (9.1.4) \quad (9.1.5)$$

Defining new variables such that

$$s_\pm = x \pm jy$$

$$E_\pm = E_x \pm jE_y$$

$$83$$
then (9.1.4) and (9.1.5) become

\[ m_e \omega^2 s_\pm = e (E_\pm \pm \omega B_0 s_\pm) \] (9.1.8)

Thus, solving the above yields

\[ s_\pm = \frac{e}{m_e \omega^2 \mp e B_0 \omega} E_\pm = C_\pm E_\pm \] (9.1.9)

where

\[ C_\pm = \frac{e}{m_e \omega^2 \mp e B_0 \omega} \] (9.1.10)

By this manipulation, the above equations (9.1.2) and (9.1.3) transform to new equations where there is no cross coupling between \( s_\pm \) and \( E_\pm \). The mathematical parlance for this is the diagonalization of a matrix equation [69]. Thus, the new equation can be solved easily.

Next, one can define \( P_x = -N e x \), \( P_y = -N e y \), and that \( P_\pm = P_x \pm j P_y = -N e s_\pm \). Then it can be shown that

\[ P_\pm = \varepsilon_0 \chi_\pm E_\pm \] (9.1.11)

The expression for \( \chi_\pm \) can be derived, and they are given as

\[ \chi_\pm = -\frac{N e C_\pm}{\varepsilon_0} = \frac{N e}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{e}{m_e \omega^2 \mp e B_0 \omega} = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 \mp \Omega \omega} \] (9.1.12)

where \( \Omega \) and \( \omega_p \) are the cyclotron frequency\(^1\) and plasma frequency, respectively.

\[ \Omega = \frac{e B_0}{m_e}, \quad \omega_p^2 = \frac{N e^2}{m_e \varepsilon_0} \] (9.1.13)

At the cyclotron frequency, a solution exists to the equation of motion (9.1.1) without a forcing term, which in this case is the electric field \( E = 0 \). Thus, at this frequency, the solution blows up if the forcing term, \( E_\pm \) is not zero. This is like what happens to an LC tank circuit at resonance whose current or voltage tends to infinity when the forcing term, like the voltage or current is nonzero.

Now, one can rewrite (9.1.11) in terms of the original variables \( P_x, P_y, E_x, E_y \), or

\[ P_x = \frac{P_+ + P_-}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} (\chi_+ E_+ + \chi_- E_-) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} [\chi_+(E_x + jE_y) + \chi_-(E_x - jE_y)] \]

\[ = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} [(\chi_+ + \chi_-)E_x + j(\chi_+ - \chi_-)E_y] \] (9.1.14)

\[ P_y = \frac{P_+ - P_-}{2j} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2j} (\chi_+ E_+ - \chi_- E_-) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2j} [\chi_+(E_x + jE_y) - \chi_-(E_x - jE_y)] \]

\[ = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2j} [(\chi_+ - \chi_-)E_x + j(\chi_+ + \chi_-)E_y] \] (9.1.15)

\(^1\)This is also called the gyrofrequency.
The above relationship can be expressed using a tensor where

\[ P = \varepsilon_0 \chi \cdot E \]  

(9.1.16)

where \( P = [P_x, P_y] \), and \( E = [E_x, E_y] \). From the above, \( \chi \) is of the form

\[ \chi = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi_i + \chi_j) & j(\chi_i - \chi_j) \\ -j(\chi_i - \chi_j) & (\chi_i + \chi_j) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 - \Omega^2} & -j\frac{\omega_p^2\Omega}{\omega^2 - \Omega^2} \\ j\frac{\omega_p^2\Omega}{\omega^2 - \Omega^2} & -\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 - \Omega^2} \end{pmatrix} \]  

(9.1.17)

Notice that in the above, when the \( B \) field is turned off or \( \Omega = 0 \), the above resembles the solution of a collisionless, cold plasma.

For the electric field in the \( z \) direction, it will drive a motion of the electron to be in the \( z \) direction. In this case, \( \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \) term is zero, and the electron motion is unaffected by the magnetic field as can be seen from the Lorentz force law or (9.1.1). Hence, it behaves like a simple collisionless plasma without a biasing magnetic field. Consequently, the above can be generalized to 3D to give

\[ \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 & j\chi_1 & 0 \\ -j\chi_1 & \chi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_p \end{pmatrix} \]  

(9.1.18)

where \( \chi_p = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} \).

Using the fact that \( \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 (\mathbf{I} + \chi) \cdot \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E} \), the above implies that

\[ \mathbf{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \chi_0 & j\chi_1 & 0 \\ -j\chi_1 & 1 + \chi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \chi_p \end{pmatrix} \]  

(9.1.19)

Please notice that the above tensor is a hermitian tensor. We shall learn later that this is the hallmark of a lossless medium.

Another characteristic of a gyrotropic medium is that a linearly polarized wave will rotate when passing through it. This is the Faraday rotation effect [68], which we shall learn later. This phenomenon poses a severe problem to Earth-to-satellite communication, using linearly polarized wave as it requires the alignment of the Earth-to-satellite antennas. This can be avoided using a rotatingly polarized wave, called a circularly polarized wave that we shall learn in the next section. Also, the ionosphere of the Earth is highly dependent on temperature, and the effect of the Sun. The fluctuation of particles in the ionosphere gives rise to scintillation effects that affect radio wave communication systems [70].

### 9.2 Wave Polarization

Studying wave polarization is very important for communication purposes [31]. A wave whose electric field is pointing in the \( x \) direction while propagating in the \( z \) direction is a linearly polarized (LP) wave. The same can be said of one with electric field polarized in the \( y \) direction. It turns out that a linearly polarized wave suffers from Faraday rotation when
it propagates through the ionosphere. For instance, an \( x \) polarized wave can become a \( y \) polarized due to Faraday rotation. So its polarization becomes ambiguous: to overcome this, Earth to satellite communication is done with circularly polarized (CP) waves [71]. So even if the electric field vector is rotated by Faraday’s rotation, it remains to be a CP wave. We will study these polarized waves next.

We can write a general uniform plane wave propagating in the \( z \) direction as

\[
E = \hat{x}E_x(z,t) + \hat{y}E_y(z,t)
\]  

(9.2.1)

Clearly, \( \nabla \cdot E = 0 \), and \( E_x(z,t) \) and \( E_y(z,t) \) are solutions to the one-dimensional wave equation. For a time harmonic field, the two components may not be in phase, and we have in general

\[
E_x(z,t) = E_1 \cos(\omega t - \beta z)
\]

(9.2.2)

\[
E_y(z,t) = E_2 \cos(\omega t - \beta z + \alpha)
\]

(9.2.3)

where \( \alpha \) denotes the phase difference between these two wave components. We shall study how the linear superposition of these two components behaves for different \( \alpha \)’s. First, we set \( z = 0 \) to observe this field. Then

\[
E = \hat{x}E_1 \cos(\omega t) + \hat{y}E_2 \cos(\omega t + \alpha)
\]

(9.2.4)

For \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} \)

\[
E_x = E_1 \cos(\omega t), \quad E_y = E_2 \cos(\omega t + \pi/2)
\]

(9.2.5)

Next, we evaluate the above for different \( \omega t \)’s

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega t &= 0, & E_x &= E_1, & E_y &= 0 \\
\omega t &= \pi/4, & E_x &= E_1/\sqrt{2}, & E_y &= -E_2/\sqrt{2} \\
\omega t &= \pi/2, & E_x &= 0, & E_y &= -E_2 \\
\omega t &= 3\pi/4, & E_x &= -E_1/\sqrt{2}, & E_y &= -E_2/\sqrt{2} \\
\omega t &= \pi, & E_x &= -E_1, & E_y &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(9.2.6) - (9.2.10)

The tip of the vector field \( E \) traces out an ellipse as show in Figure 9.1. With the thumb pointing in the \( z \) direction, and the wave rotating in the direction of the fingers, such a wave is called left-hand elliptically polarized (LHEP) wave.
Figure 9.1: If one follows the tip of the electric field vector, it traces out an ellipse as a function of time $t$.

When $E_1 = E_2$, the ellipse becomes a circle, and we have a left-hand circularly polarized (LHCP) wave. When $\alpha = -\pi/2$, the wave rotates in the counter-clockwise direction, and the wave is either right-hand elliptically polarized (RHEP), or right-hand circularly polarized (RHCP) wave depending on the ratio of $E_1/E_2$. Figure 9.2 shows the different polarizations of the wave for different phase differences and amplitude ratio.
Figure 9.2: Due to different phase difference between the $E_x$ and $E_y$ components of the field, and their relative amplitudes $E_2/E_1$, different polarizations will ensure. The arrow indicates the direction of rotation of the field vector. In this figure, $\psi = -\alpha$ in our notes, and $A = E_2/E_1$ (Courtesy of J.A. Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory [31]).

Figure 9.3 shows a graphic picture of a CP wave propagating through space.
9.2.1 Arbitrary Polarization Case and Axial Ratio

The axial ratio (AR) is an important figure of merit for designing CP antennas (antennas that will radiate CP or circularly polarized waves). The closer is this ratio to 1, the better the antenna design. We will discuss the general polarization and the axial ratio of a wave.

For the general case for arbitrary $\alpha$, we let

$$E_x = E_1 \cos \omega t, \ E_y = E_2 \cos(\omega t + \alpha) = E_2 (\cos \omega t \cos \alpha - \sin \omega t \sin \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2.11)

Then from the above, expressing $E_y$ in terms of $E_x$, one gets

$$E_y = \frac{E_2}{E_1} E_x \cos \alpha - E_2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{E_x}{E_1} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \sin \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2.12)

Rearranging and squaring, we get

$$aE_x^2 - bE_xE_y + cE_y^2 = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2.13)

where

$$a = \frac{1}{E_1^2 \sin^2 \alpha}, \ b = \frac{2 \cos \alpha}{E_1 E_2 \sin^2 \alpha}, \ c = \frac{1}{E_2^2 \sin^2 \alpha}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2.14)

After letting $E_x \rightarrow x$, and $E_y \rightarrow y$, equation (9.2.13) is of the form,

$$ax^2 - bxy + cy^2 = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2.15)
The equation of an ellipse in its self coordinates is

\[
\left( \frac{x'}{A} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y'}{B} \right)^2 = 1 \tag{9.2.16}
\]

where \(A\) and \(B\) are axes of the ellipse as shown in Figure 9.4. We can transform the above back to the \((x, y)\) coordinates by letting

\[
x' = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta \tag{9.2.17}
\]
\[
y' = x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta \tag{9.2.18}
\]

to get

\[
x^2 \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{A^2} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{B^2} \right) - xy \sin 2\theta \left( \frac{1}{A^2} - \frac{1}{B^2} \right) + y^2 \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{A^2} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{B^2} \right) = 1 \tag{9.2.19}
\]

Comparing (9.2.13) and (9.2.19), one gets

\[
\theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2 \cos \alpha E_1 E_2}{E_2^2 - E_1^2} \right) \tag{9.2.20}
\]
\[
AR = \left( \frac{1 + \Delta}{1 - \Delta} \right)^{1/2} > 1 \tag{9.2.21}
\]

where \(AR\) is the axial ratio where

\[
\Delta = \left( 1 - \frac{4E_1^2 E_2^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{(E_1^2 + E_2^2)^2} \right)^{1/2} \tag{9.2.22}
\]
Figure 9.4: This figure shows the parameters used to derive the axial ratio (AR) of an elliptically polarized wave.

### 9.3 Polarization and Power Flow

For a linearly polarized wave,

\[
E = \hat{x}E_0 \cos(\omega t - \beta z), \quad H = \hat{y} \frac{E_0}{\eta} \cos(\omega t - \beta z) \quad (9.3.1)
\]

Hence, the instantaneous power becomes

\[
S(t) = E(t) \times H(t) = \hat{z} E_0^2 \frac{\eta}{\eta} \cos^2(\omega t - \beta z) \quad (9.3.2)
\]

indicating that for a linearly polarized wave, the instantaneous power is function of both time and space. It travels as lumps of energy through space. In the above \( E_0 \) is the amplitude of the linearly polarized wave.

Next, we look at power flow for for elliptically and circularly polarized waves. It is to be noted that in the phasor world or frequency domain, (9.2.1) becomes

\[
E(z, \omega) = \hat{x}E_1 e^{-j\beta z} + \hat{y}E_2 e^{-j\beta z + j\alpha} \quad (9.3.3)
\]
For LHEP,
\[ \mathbf{E}(z, \omega) = e^{-j\beta z}(\hat{x}E_1 + j\hat{y}E_2) \] (9.3.4)

whereas for LHCP
\[ \mathbf{E}(z, \omega) = e^{-j\beta z}E_1(\hat{x} + j\hat{y}) \] (9.3.5)

For RHEP, the above becomes
\[ \mathbf{E}(z, \omega) = e^{-j\beta z}(\hat{x}E_1 - j\hat{y}E_2) \] (9.3.6)

whereas for RHCP, it is
\[ \mathbf{E}(z, \omega) = e^{-j\beta z}E_1(\hat{x} - j\hat{y}) \] (9.3.7)

Focussing on the circularly polarized wave,
\[ \mathbf{E} = (\hat{x} \pm j\hat{y})E_0 e^{-j\beta z} \] (9.3.8)

Using that
\[ \mathbf{H} = \frac{\beta \times \mathbf{E}}{\omega \mu}, \]
then
\[ \mathbf{H} = (\mp \hat{x} - j\hat{y})j\frac{E_0}{\eta} e^{-j\beta z} \] (9.3.9)

Therefore,
\[ \mathbf{E}(t) = \hat{x}E_0 \cos(\omega t - \beta z) \pm \hat{y}E_0 \sin(\omega t - \beta z) \] (9.3.10)
\[ \mathbf{H}(t) = \mp \hat{x} \frac{E_0}{\eta} \sin(\omega t - \beta z) + \hat{y} \frac{E_0}{\eta} \cos(\omega t - \beta z) \] (9.3.11)

Then the instantaneous power becomes
\[ \mathbf{S}(t) = \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}(t) = \hat{z} \frac{E_0^2}{\eta} \cos^2(\omega t - \beta z) + \hat{z} \frac{E_0^2}{\eta} \sin^2(\omega t - \beta z) = \hat{z} \frac{E_0^2}{\eta} \] (9.3.12)

In other words, a CP wave delivers constant power independent of space and time.

It is to be noted that the complex Poynting vector
\[ \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^* \] (9.3.13)

are real both for linearly, circularly, and elliptically polarized waves. This is because there is no reactive power in a plane wave of any polarization: the stored energy in the plane wave cannot be returned to the source!
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Figure 10.1: The local coordinates used to describe a circularly polarized wave: In cartesian and polar coordinates.

10.1 Spin Angular Momentum and Cylindrical Vector Beam

In this section, we will study the spin angular momentum of a circularly polarized (CP) wave. It is to be noted that in cylindrical coordinates, as shown in Figure 10.1, \( \hat{x} = \hat{\rho} \cos \phi - \hat{\phi} \sin \phi \).
\[ \hat{y} = \hat{\rho} \sin \phi + \hat{\phi} \cos \phi, \text{ then a CP field is proportional to} \]

\[ (\hat{x} \pm j\hat{y}) = \hat{\rho}e^{\pm j\phi} \pm j\hat{\phi}e^{\pm j\phi} = e^{\pm j\phi}(\hat{\rho} \pm \hat{\phi}) \quad (10.1.1) \]

Therefore, the \( \hat{\rho} \) and \( \hat{\phi} \) of a CP is also an azimuthal traveling wave in the \( \hat{\phi} \) direction in addition to being a traveling wave \( e^{-j\beta z} \) in the \( \hat{z} \) direction. This is obviated by writing

\[ e^{-j\phi} = e^{-jk_{\phi} \rho \phi} \quad (10.1.2) \]

where \( k_{\phi} = 1/\rho \) is the azimuthal wave number, and \( \rho \phi \) is the arc length traversed by the azimuthal wave. Notice that the wavenumber \( k_{\phi} \) is dependent on \( \rho \): the larger the \( \rho \), the larger the azimuthal wavelength.

Thus, the wave possesses angular momentum called the spin angular momentum (SAM), just as a traveling wave \( e^{-j\beta z} \) possesses linear angular momentum in the \( \hat{z} \) direction.

In optics research, the generation of cylindrical vector beam is in vogue. Figure 10.2 shows a method to generate such a beam. A CP light passes through a radial analyzer that will only allow the radial component of (10.1.1) to be transmitted. Then a spiral phase element (SPE) compensates for the \( \exp(\pm j\phi) \) phase shift in the azimuthal direction. Finally, the light is a cylindrical vector beam which is radially polarized without spin angular momentum. Such a beam has been found to have nice focusing property, and hence, has aroused researchers’ interest in the optics community [73].

---

Figure 10.2: A cylindrical vector beam can be generated experimentally (courtesy of Zhan, Q. [73]).
10.2 Complex Poynting’s Theorem and Lossless Conditions

10.2.1 Complex Poynting’s Theorem

It has been previously shown that the vector \( E(r, t) \times H(r, t) \) has a dimension of watts/m\(^2\) which is that of power density. Therefore, it is associated with the direction of power flow [31, 42]. As has been shown for time-harmonic field, a time average of this vector can be defined as

\[
\langle E(r, t) \times H(r, t) \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} E(r, t) \times H(r, t) \, dt. \tag{10.2.1}
\]

Given the phasors of time harmonic fields \( E(r, t) \) and \( H(r, t) \), namely, \( E(r, \omega) \) and \( H(r, \omega) \) respectively, we can show that

\[
\langle E(r, t) \times H(r, t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{E(r, \omega) \times H^*(r, \omega)\}. \tag{10.2.2}
\]

Here, the vector \( E(r, \omega) \times H^*(r, \omega) \), as previously discussed, is also known as the complex Poynting vector. Moreover, because of its aforementioned property, and its dimension of power density, we will study its conservative property. To do so, we take its divergence and use the appropriate vector identity to obtain

\[
\nabla \cdot (E \times H^*) = H^* \cdot \nabla \times E - E \cdot \nabla \times H^*. \tag{10.2.3}
\]

Next, using Maxwell’s equations for \( \nabla \times E \) and \( \nabla \times H^* \), namely

\[
\nabla \times E = -j \omega B \tag{10.2.4}
\]

\[
\nabla \times H^* = -j \omega D^* + J^* \tag{10.2.5}
\]

and the constitutive relations for anisotropic media that

\[
B = \mu \cdot H, \quad D^* = \varepsilon^* \cdot E^* \tag{10.2.6}
\]

we have

\[
\nabla \cdot (E \times H^*) = -j \omega H^* \cdot B + j \omega E \cdot D^* - E \cdot J^* \tag{10.2.7}
\]

\[
= -j \omega H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H + j \omega E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot E^* - E \cdot J^*. \tag{10.2.8}
\]

The above is also known as the complex Poynting’s theorem. It can also be written in an integral form using Gauss’ divergence theorem, namely,

\[
\int_{S} dS \cdot (E \times H^*) = -j \omega \int_{V} dV (H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H - E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot E^*) - \int_{V} dV E \cdot J^*. \tag{10.2.9}
\]

where \( S \) is the surface bounding the volume \( V \).

\[1\]We will drop the argument \( r, \omega \) for the phasors in our discussion next as they will be implied.
10.2.2 Lossless Conditions

For a region $V$ that is lossless and source-free, $J = 0$. There should be no net time-averaged power-flow out of or into this region $V$. Therefore,

$$\Re \int_S dS \cdot (E \times H^*) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.2.10)

Because of energy conservation, the real part of the right-hand side of (10.2.8), without the $E \cdot J^*$ term, must be zero. In other words, the right-hand side of (10.2.8) should be purely imaginary. Thus

$$\int_V dV (H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H - E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot E^*)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.2.11)

must be a real quantity.

Other than the possibility that the above is zero, the general requirement for (10.2.11) to be real for arbitrary $E$ and $H$, is that $H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H$ and $E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot E^*$ are real quantities. Notice that they are also scalar numbers. But since the conjugate transpose of a real scalar number is itself, we have, if

$$H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H$$

is real, then

$$(H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H)^\dag = H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H$$

where $\dag$ implies conjugate transpose. The above, in detail, using the rule of matrix algebra that $(A \cdot B \cdot C)^t = C^t \cdot B^t \cdot A^t$, implies that

$$(H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H)^\dag = (H \cdot \mu^* \cdot H^*)^t = H^* \cdot \mu^\dag \cdot H = H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.2.12)

The last equality in the above is possible only if $\mu = \mu^\dag$ or that $\mu$ is hermitian. Therefore, the conditions for anisotropic media to be lossless are

$$\mu = \mu^\dag, \hspace{0.5cm} \varepsilon = \varepsilon^\dag,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.2.13)

requiring the permittivity and permeability tensors to be hermitian. If this is the case, (10.2.11) is always real for arbitrary $E$ and $H$, and (10.2.10) is true, implying a lossless region $V$. Notice that for an isotropic medium, this lossless conditions reduce simply to that $\Im m(\mu) = 0$ and $\Im m(\varepsilon) = 0$, or that $\mu$ and $\varepsilon$ are pure real quantities. Hence, many of the effective permittivities or dielectric constants that we have derived using the Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld model cannot be lossless when the friction term is involved.

If a medium is source-free, but lossy, then $\Re \int_S dS \cdot (E \times H^*) < 0$. In other words, time-average power must flow inward to the volume $V$. Consequently, from (10.2.9) without the source term $J$, this implies

$$\Im m \int_V dV (H^* \cdot \mu \cdot H - E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot E^*) < 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.2.14)

\footnote{In physics notation, the transpose of a vector is implied in a dot product.}
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But the above, using that \( \Im m(Z) = 1/(2j)(Z - Z^*) \), is the same as

\[
- j \int_V dV \left[ H^* \cdot (\bar{\mu}^\dagger - \bar{\mu}) \cdot H + E^* \cdot (\bar{\varepsilon}^\dagger - \bar{\varepsilon}) \cdot E \right] > 0. \tag{10.2.15}
\]

Therefore, for a medium to be lossy, \(- j(\bar{\mu}^\dagger - \bar{\mu})\) and \(- j(\bar{\varepsilon}^\dagger - \bar{\varepsilon})\) must be hermitian, positive definite matrices, to ensure the inequality in (10.2.15). Similarly, for an active medium, \(- j(\bar{\mu}^\dagger - \bar{\mu})\) and \(- j(\bar{\varepsilon}^\dagger - \bar{\varepsilon})\) must be hermitian, negative definite matrices.

For a lossy medium which is conductive, we may define \( J = \bar{\sigma} \cdot E \) where \( \bar{\sigma} \) is a general conductivity tensor. In this case, equation (10.2.9), after combining the last two terms, may be written as

\[
\int_S dS \cdot (E \times H^*) = - j \omega \int_V dV \left[ H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot H - E \cdot \left( \bar{\varepsilon}^* + \frac{j\sigma}{\omega} \right) \cdot E^* \right] \tag{10.2.16}
\]

\[
= - j \omega \int_V dV \left[ H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot H - E \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}^* \cdot E^* \right], \tag{10.2.17}
\]

where \( \bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon} - \frac{j\sigma}{\omega} \) which, in general, is the complex permittivity tensor. In this manner, (10.2.17) has the same structure as the source-free Poynting’s theorem. Notice here that the complex permittivity tensor \( \bar{\varepsilon} \) is clearly non-hermitian corresponding to a lossy medium.

For a lossless medium without the source term, by taking the imaginary part of (10.2.9), we arrive at

\[
\Im m \int_S dS \cdot (E \times H^*) = - \omega \int_V dV (H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot H - E \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}^* \cdot E^*), \tag{10.2.18}
\]

The left-hand side of the above is the reactive power coming out of the volume \( V \), and hence, the right-hand side can be interpreted as reactive power as well. It is to be noted that \( H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot H \) and \( E \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}^* \cdot E^* \) are not to be interpreted as stored energy density when the medium is dispersive. The correct expressions for stored energy density will be derived in the next section.

But, the quantity \( H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot H \) for lossless, dispersionless media is associated with the time-averaged energy density stored in the magnetic field, while the quantity \( E \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}^* \cdot E^* \) for lossless dispersionless media is associated with the time-averaged energy density stored in the electric field. Then, for lossless, dispersionless, source-free media, then the right-hand side of the above can be interpreted as stored energy density. Hence, the reactive power is proportional to the time rate of change of the difference of the time-averaged energy stored in the magnetic field and the electric field.

### 10.3 Energy Density in Dispersive Media

A dispersive medium alters our concept of what energy density is.\(^3\) To this end, we assume that the field has complex \( \omega \) dependence in \( e^{j\omega t} \), where \( \omega = \omega' - j\omega'' \), rather than real \( \omega \) dependence.

\(^3\)The derivation here is inspired by H.A. Haus, Electromagnetic Noise and Quantum Optical Measurements [74]. Generalization to anisotropic media is given by W.C. Chew, Lectures on Theory of Microwave and Optical Waveguides [75].
dependence. We take the divergence of the complex power for fields with such time dependence, and let \( e^{j\omega t} \) be attached to the field. So \( \mathbf{E}(t) \) and \( \mathbf{H}(t) \) are complex field but not exactly like phasors since they are not truly time harmonic. In other words, we let

\[
\mathbf{E} (r, t) = \mathbf{E} (r, \omega) e^{j\omega t}, \quad \mathbf{H} (r, t) = \mathbf{H} (r, \omega) e^{j\omega t}
\]  

(10.3.1)

The above, just like phasors, can be made to satisfy Maxwell’s equations where the time derivative becomes \( j\omega \). We can study the quantity \( \mathbf{E}(r, t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(r, t) \) which has the unit of power density. In the real \( \omega \) case, their time dependence will exactly cancel each other and this quantity becomes complex power again, but not in the complex \( \omega \) case. Hence,

\[
\nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \right] = \mathbf{H}^*(t) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(t) - \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \]

\[
= -\mathbf{H}^*(t) \cdot j\omega \mu \mathbf{H}(t) + \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot j\omega \varepsilon^* \mathbf{E}^*
\]

(10.3.2)

where Maxwell’s equations have been used to substitute for \( \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(t) \) and \( \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \). The space dependence of the field is implied, and we assure a source-free medium so that \( \mathbf{J} = 0 \).

If \( \mathbf{E}(t) \sim e^{j\omega t} \), then, due to \( \omega \) being complex, now \( \mathbf{H}^*(t) \sim e^{-j\omega' t} \), and the term like \( \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \) is not truly time independent,

\[
\mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \sim e^{j(\omega - \omega'')t} = e^{2\omega''t}
\]

(10.3.3)

And each of the term above will have similar time dependence. Writing (10.3.2) more explicitly, by letting \( \omega = \omega' - j\omega'' \), we have

\[
\nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \right] = -j(\omega' - j\omega'')\mu(\omega)|\mathbf{H}(t)|^2 + j(\omega' + j\omega'')\varepsilon(\omega)|\mathbf{E}(t)|^2
\]

(10.3.4)

Assuming that \( \omega'' \ll \omega' \), or that the field is quasi-time-harmonic, we can let, after using Taylor series approximation, that

\[
\mu(\omega' - j\omega'') \equiv \mu(\omega') - j\omega'' \frac{\partial \mu(\omega')}{\partial \omega'}, \quad \varepsilon(\omega' - j\omega'') \equiv \varepsilon(\omega') - j\omega'' \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\omega')}{\partial \omega'}
\]

(10.3.5)

Using (10.3.5) in (10.3.4), and collecting terms of the same order, and ignoring \((\omega'')^2\) terms, gives

\[
\nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \right] \approx -j\omega' \mu(\omega')|\mathbf{H}(t)|^2 + j\omega' \varepsilon^*(\omega')|\mathbf{E}(t)|^2
\]

\[
- \omega'' \mu(\omega')|\mathbf{H}(t)|^2 - \omega' \omega'' \frac{\partial \mu(\omega')}{\partial \omega'} |\mathbf{H}(t)|^2
\]

\[
- \omega'' \varepsilon^*(\omega')|\mathbf{E}(t)|^2 - \omega' \omega'' \frac{\partial \varepsilon^*(\omega')}{\partial \omega'} |\mathbf{E}(t)|^2
\]

(10.3.6)

The above can be rewritten as

\[
\nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}^*(t) \right] \approx -j\omega' \left[ \mu(\omega')|\mathbf{H}(t)|^2 - \varepsilon^*(\omega')|\mathbf{E}(t)|^2 \right]
\]

\[
- \omega'' \left[ \frac{\partial \omega' \mu(\omega')}{\partial \omega'} |\mathbf{H}(t)|^2 + \frac{\partial \omega' \varepsilon^*(\omega')}{\partial \omega'} |\mathbf{E}(t)|^2 \right]
\]

(10.3.7)
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The above approximation is extremely good when $\omega'' \ll \omega'$. For a lossless medium, $\varepsilon(\omega')$ and $\mu(\omega')$ are purely real, and the first term of the right-hand side is purely imaginary while the second term is purely real. In the limit when $\omega'' \to 0$, when we take half the imaginary part of the above equation, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Im m \left[ E \times H^* \right] = -\omega' \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mu |H|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |E|^2 \right]$$

(10.3.8)

which has the physical interpretation of reactive power as has been previously discussed. When we take half the real part of (10.3.7), we obtain

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Re e \left[ E \times H^* \right] = -\frac{\omega''}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial \omega' \mu}{\partial \omega'} |H|^2 + \frac{\partial \omega' \varepsilon}{\partial \omega'} |E|^2 \right]$$

(10.3.9)

Since the right-hand side has time dependence of $e^{2\omega''t}$, it can be written as

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Re e \left[ E \times H^* \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial \omega' \mu}{\partial \omega'} |H|^2 + \frac{\partial \omega' \varepsilon}{\partial \omega'} |E|^2 \right) \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle W_T \rangle$$

(10.3.10)

Therefore, the time-average stored energy density can be identified as

$$\langle W_T \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{\partial \omega' \mu}{\partial \omega'} |H|^2 + \frac{\partial \omega' \varepsilon}{\partial \omega'} |E|^2 \right]$$

(10.3.11)

For a non-dispersive medium, the above reduces to

$$\langle W_T \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \mu |H|^2 + \varepsilon |E|^2 \right]$$

(10.3.12)

which is what we have derived before. In the above analysis, we have used a quasi-time-harmonic signal with $\exp(j\omega t)$ dependence. In the limit when $\omega'' \to 0$, this signal reverts back to a time-harmonic signal, and to our usual interpretation of complex power. However, by assuming the frequency $\omega$ to have a small imaginary part $\omega''$, it forces the stored energy to grow very slightly, and hence, power has to be supplied to maintain the growth of this stored energy. By so doing, it allows us to identify the expression for energy density for a dispersive medium. These expressions for energy density were not discovered until 1960 by Brillouin [76], as energy density times group velocity should be power flow. More discussion on this topic can be found in Jackson [42].

It is to be noted that if the same analysis is used to study the energy storage in a capacitor or an inductor, the energy storage formulas have to be accordingly modified if the capacitor or inductor is frequency dependent.
Lecture 11

Transmission Lines

11.1 Transmission Line Theory

Transmission lines were the first electromagnetic waveguides ever invented. They were driven by the needs in telegraphy technology. It is best to introduce transmission line theory from the viewpoint of circuit theory. This theory is also discussed in many textbooks and lecture notes.
notes. Transmission lines are so important in modern day electromagnetic engineering, that most engineering electromagnetics textbooks would be incomplete without introducing the topic [29,31,38,47,48,59,71,75,77,78].

Circuit theory is robust and is not sensitive to the detail shapes of the components involved such as capacitors or inductors. Moreover, many transmission line problems cannot be analyzed with the full form of Maxwell’s equations, but approximate solutions can be obtained using circuit theory in the long-wavelength limit. We shall show that circuit theory is an approximation of electromagnetic field theory when the wavelength is very long: the longer the wavelength, the better is the approximation [47].

Examples of transmission lines are shown in Figure 11.1. The symbol for a transmission line is usually represented by two pieces of parallel wires, but in practice, these wires need not be parallel.

![Figure 11.2: Courtesy of slides by A. Wadhwa, A.L. Dal, N. Malhotra [79].](image)

Circuit theory also explains why waveguides can be made sloppily when wavelength is long or the frequency low. For instance, in the long-wavelength limit, we can make twisted-pair waveguides with abandon, and they still work well (see Figure 11.2). Hence, we shall first explain the propagation of electromagnetic signal on a transmission line using circuit analysis.

### 11.1.1 Time-Domain Analysis

We will start with performing the time-domain analysis of a simple, infinitely long transmission line. Remember that two pieces of metal can accumulate attractive charges between them, giving rise to capacitive coupling, electric field, and hence stored energy in the electric field. Moreover, a piece of wire carrying a current generates a magnetic field, and hence, yielding stored energy in the magnetic field. These stored energies are the sources of the capacitive and inductive effects. But these capacitive and inductive effects are distributed over the spatial dimension of the transmission line. Therefore, it is helpful to think of the two pieces of metal as consisting of small segments of metal concatenated together. Each of these segments will have a small inductance, as well as a small capacitive coupling between them. Hence, we can model two pieces of metal with a distributed lumped element model as shown in Figure 11.3. For simplicity, we assume the other conductor to be a ground plane, so that it need not be approximated with lumped elements.

---

1 Usually called full-wave analysis.
In the transmission line, the voltage \( V(z,t) \) and \( I(z,t) \) are functions of both space \( z \) and time \( t \), but we will model the space variation of the voltage and current with discrete step approximation. The voltage varies from node to node while the current varies from branch to branch of the lump-element model.

![Diagram of transmission line with nodes and branches](image)

**Figure 11.3:**

First, we recall that the V-I relation of an inductor is

\[
V_0 = L_0 \frac{dI_0}{dt}
\]

(11.1.1)

where \( L_0 \) is the inductor, \( V_0 \) is the time-varying voltage drop across the inductor, and \( I_0 \) is the current through the inductor. Then using this relation between node 1 and node 2, we have

\[
V - (V + \Delta V) = L_0 \Delta z \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \quad \text{(11.1.2)}
\]

The left-hand side is the voltage drop across the inductor, while the right-hand side follows from the aforementioned V-I relation of an inductor, but we have replaced \( L_0 = L \Delta z \). Here, \( L \) is the inductance per unit length (line inductance) of the transmission line. And \( L \Delta z \) is the incremental inductance due to the small segment of metal of length \( \Delta z \). Then the above can be simplified to

\[
\Delta V = -L \Delta z \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \quad \text{(11.1.3)}
\]

Next, we make use of the V-I relation for a capacitor, which is

\[
I_0 = C_0 \frac{dV_0}{dt}
\]

(11.1.4)

where \( C_0 \) is the capacitor, \( I_0 \) is the current through the capacitor, and \( V_0 \) is a time-varying voltage drop across the capacitor. Thus, applying this relation at node 2 gives

\[
-\Delta I = C \Delta z \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (V + \Delta V) \approx C \Delta z \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \quad \text{(11.1.5)}
\]
where \( C \) is the capacitance per unit length, and \( C \Delta z \) is the incremental capacitance between the small piece of metal and the ground plane. In the above, we have used Kirchhoff current law to surmise that the current through the capacitor is \( -\Delta I \), where \( \Delta I = I(z + \Delta z, t) - I(z, t) \). In the last approximation in (11.1.5), we have dropped a term involving the product of \( \Delta z \) and \( \Delta V \), since it will be very small or second order in magnitude.

In the limit when \( \Delta z \to 0 \), one gets from (11.1.3) and (11.1.5) that

\[
\frac{\partial V(z, t)}{\partial z} = -L \frac{\partial I(z, t)}{\partial t}
\]

(11.1.6)

\[
\frac{\partial I(z, t)}{\partial z} = -C \frac{\partial V(z, t)}{\partial t}
\]

(11.1.7)

The above are the telegrapher’s equations.\(^2\) They are two coupled first-order equations, and can be converted into second-order equations easily. Therefore,

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} - LC \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = 0
\]

(11.1.8)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial z^2} - LC \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial t^2} = 0
\]

(11.1.9)

The above are wave equations that we have previously studied, where the velocity of the wave is given by

\[
v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}
\]

(11.1.10)

Furthermore, if we assume that

\[
V(z, t) = f_+(z - vt)
\]

(11.1.11)

a right-traveling wave, and substituting it into (11.1.6) yields

\[
-L \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = f'_+(z - vt)
\]

(11.1.12)

Substituting \( V(z, t) \) into (11.1.7) yields

\[
\frac{\partial I}{\partial z} = Cv f'_+(z - vt)
\]

(11.1.13)

The above implies that

\[
I = \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} f_+(z - vt)
\]

(11.1.14)

Consequently,

\[
\frac{V(z, t)}{I(z, t)} = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = Z_0
\]

(11.1.15)

\(^2\) They can be thought of as the distillation of the Faraday’s law and Ampere’s law from Maxwell’s equations without the source term. Their simplicity gives them an important role in engineering electromagnetics.
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where $Z_0$ is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. The above ratio is only true for one-way traveling wave, in this case, one that propagates in the $+z$ direction.

For a wave that travels in the negative $z$ direction, i.e.,

$$V(z, t) = f_-(z + vt) \quad (11.1.16)$$

with the corresponding $I(z, t)$ derived, one can show that

$$\frac{V(z, t)}{I(z, t)} = -\sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = -Z_0 \quad (11.1.17)$$

Time-domain analysis is very useful for transient analysis of transmission lines, especially when nonlinear elements are coupled to the transmission line. Another major strength of transmission line model is that it is a simple way to introduce time-delay in a circuit. Time delay is a wave propagation effect, and it is harder to incorporate into circuit theory or a pure circuit model consisting of $R$, $L$, and $C$. In circuit theory, Laplace’s equation is usually solved, which is equivalent to Helmholtz equation with infinite wave velocity, namely,

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \nabla^2 \Phi(r) + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \Phi(r) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla^2 \Phi(r) = 0 \quad (11.1.18)$$

Hence, events in Laplace’s equation happen instantaneously.

### 11.1.2 Frequency-Domain Analysis

Frequency domain analysis is very popular as it makes the transmission line equations very simple. Moreover, generalization to a lossy system is quite straightforward. Furthermore, for linear time invariant systems, the time-domain signals can be obtained from the frequency-domain data by performing a Fourier inverse transform.

For a time-harmonic signal on a transmission line, one can analyze the problem in the frequency domain using phasor technique. A phasor variable is linearly proportional to a Fourier transform variable. The telegrapher’s equations (11.1.6) and (11.1.7) then become

$$\frac{d}{dz} V(z, \omega) = -j\omega L I(z, \omega) \quad (11.1.19)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} I(z, \omega) = -j\omega C V(z, \omega) \quad (11.1.20)$$

The corresponding Helmholtz equations are then

$$\frac{d^2 V}{dz^2} + \omega^2 LCV = 0 \quad (11.1.21)$$

$$\frac{d^2 I}{dz^2} + \omega^2 LCI = 0 \quad (11.1.22)$$

The general solutions to the above are

$$V(z) = V_+ e^{-j\beta z} + V_- e^{j\beta z} \quad (11.1.23)$$

$$I(z) = I_+ e^{-j\beta z} + I_- e^{j\beta z} \quad (11.1.24)$$
where \( \beta = \omega \sqrt{LC} \). This is similar to what we have seen previously for plane waves in the one-dimensional wave equation in free space, where

\[
E_x(z) = E_{0+} e^{-jk_0z} + E_{0-} e^{jk_0z} \quad (11.1.25)
\]

where \( k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0} \). We see a much similarity between (11.1.23), (11.1.24), and (11.1.25).

To see the solution in the time domain, we let \( V_\pm = |V_\pm| e^{j\phi_\pm} \), and the voltage signal above can be converted back to the time domain as

\[
V(z, t) = \Re \{ V(z, \omega) e^{j\omega t} \} \quad (11.1.26)
\]

\[
= |V_+| \cos(\omega t - \beta z + \phi_+) + |V_-| \cos(\omega t + \beta z + \phi_-) \quad (11.1.27)
\]

As can be seen, the first term corresponds to a right-traveling wave, while the second term is a left-traveling wave.

Furthermore, if we assume only a one-way traveling wave to the right by letting \( V_- = I_- = 0 \), then it can be shown that, for a right-traveling wave

\[
\frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = \frac{V_+}{I_+} = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = Z_0 \quad (11.1.28)
\]

In the above, the telegrapher’s equations, (11.1.19) or (11.1.20) have been used to find a relationship between \( I_+ \) and \( V_+ \).

Similarly, applying the same process for a left-traveling wave only, by letting \( V_+ = I_+ = 0 \), then

\[
\frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = \frac{V_-}{I_-} = -\sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = -Z_0 \quad (11.1.29)
\]

### 11.2 Lossy Transmission Line

![Diagram](image)

Figure 11.4:

The strength of frequency domain analysis is demonstrated in the study of lossy transmission lines. The previous analysis, which is valid for lossless transmission line, can be easily generalized to the lossy case. In using frequency domain and phasor technique, impedances will become complex numbers as shall be shown.
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To include loss, we use the lumped-element model as shown in Figure 11.4. One thing to note is that $j\omega L$ is actually the series line impedance of the transmission line, while $j\omega C$ is the shunt line admittance of the line. First, we can rewrite the expressions for the telegrapher’s equations in (11.1.19) and (11.1.20) in terms of series line impedance and shunt line admittance to arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dz}V = -ZI \quad \text{(11.2.1)}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}I = -YV \quad \text{(11.2.2)}$$

where $Z = j\omega L$ and $Y = j\omega C$. The above can be generalized to the lossy case as shall be shown.

The geometry in Figure 11.4 is homomorphic to the lossless case in Figure 11.3. Hence, when lossy elements are added in the geometry, we can surmise that the corresponding telegrapher’s equations are similar to those above. But to include loss, we generalize the series line impedance and shunt admittance from the lossless case to lossy case as follows:

$$Z = j\omega L \rightarrow Z = j\omega L + R \quad \text{(11.2.3)}$$

$$Y = j\omega C \rightarrow Y = j\omega C + G \quad \text{(11.2.4)}$$

where $R$ is the series line resistance, and $G$ is the shunt line conductance, and now $Z$ and $Y$ are the series impedance and shunt admittance, respectively. Then, the corresponding Helmholtz equations are

$$\frac{d^2V}{dz^2} - ZYV = 0 \quad \text{(11.2.5)}$$

$$\frac{d^2I}{dz^2} - ZYI = 0 \quad \text{(11.2.6)}$$

or

$$\frac{d^2V}{dz^2} - \gamma^2V = 0 \quad \text{(11.2.7)}$$

$$\frac{d^2I}{dz^2} - \gamma^2I = 0 \quad \text{(11.2.8)}$$

where $\gamma^2 = ZY$, or that one can also think of $\gamma^2 = -\beta^2$. Then the above is homomorphic to the lossless case except that now, $\beta$ is a complex number, indicating that the field is decaying as it propagates. As before, the above are second order one-dimensional Helmholtz equations where the general solutions are

$$V(z) = V_+e^{-\gamma z} + V_-e^{\gamma z} \quad \text{(11.2.9)}$$

$$I(z) = I_+e^{-\gamma z} + I_-e^{\gamma z} \quad \text{(11.2.10)}$$

\(^3\text{A math term for “similar in structure”. The term is even used in computer science describing a emerging field of homomorphic computing.}\)
\[ \gamma = \sqrt{Z Y} = \sqrt{(j \omega L + R)(j \omega C + G)} = j \beta \]  
(11.2.11)

Hence, \( \beta = \beta' - j \beta'' \) is now a complex number. In other words,

\[ e^{-\gamma z} = e^{-j \beta' z - \beta'' z} \]

is an oscillatory and decaying wave. Or focusing on the voltage case,

\[ V(z) = V_+ e^{-\beta'' z - j \beta' z} + V_- e^{\beta'' z + j \beta' z} \quad (11.2.12) \]

Again, letting \( V_{\pm} = |V_{\pm}| e^{j \phi_{\pm}} \), the above can be converted back to the time domain as

\[ V(z, t) = \Re\{V(z, \omega) e^{j \omega t}\} \quad (11.2.13) \]

\[ = |V_+| e^{-\beta'' z} \cos(\omega t - \beta' z + \phi_+) + |V_-| e^{\beta'' z} \cos(\omega t + \beta' z + \phi_-) \quad (11.2.14) \]

The first term corresponds to a decaying wave moving to the right while the second term is also a decaying wave moving to the left. When there is no loss, or \( R = G = 0 \), and from (11.2.11), we retrieve the lossless case where \( \beta'' = 0 \) and \( \gamma = j \beta = j \omega \sqrt{LC} \).

Notice that for the lossy case, the characteristic impedance, which is the ratio of the voltage to the current for a one-way wave, can similarly be derived using homomorphism:

\[ Z_0 = \frac{V_+}{I_+} = \frac{V_-}{I_-} = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \sqrt{\frac{j \omega L}{j \omega C}} \rightarrow Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{Z}{Y}} = \sqrt{\frac{j \omega L + R}{j \omega C + G}} \quad (11.2.15) \]

The above \( Z_0 \) is manifestly a complex number. Here, \( Z_0 \) is the ratio of the phasors of the one-way traveling waves, and apparently, their current phasor and the voltage phasor will not be in phase for lossy transmission line.

In the absence of loss, the above again becomes

\[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \quad (11.2.16) \]

the characteristic impedance for the lossless case previously derived.
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More on Transmission Lines

12.1 Terminated Transmission Lines

Figure 12.1: A schematic for a transmission line terminated with an impedance load $Z_L$ at $z = 0$.

As mentioned before, transmission line theory is indispensable in electromagnetic engineering. It is similar to one-dimensional form of Maxwell’s equations, and can be thought of as Maxwell’s equations in its simplest form. Therefore, it entails a subset of the physics seen in the full Maxwell’s equations.

For an infinitely long transmission line, the solution consists of the linear superposition of a wave traveling to the right plus a wave traveling to the left. If transmission line is terminated by a load as shown in Figure 12.1, a right-traveling wave will be reflected by the load, and in general, the wave on the transmission line will be a linear superposition of the left and right traveling waves. We will assume that the line is lossy first and specialize it to the lossless case later. Thus,

$$V(z) = a_+ e^{-\gamma z} + a_- e^{\gamma z} = V_+(z) + V_-(z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.1)

This is a linear system; hence, we can define the right-going wave $V_+(z)$ to be the input, and that the left-going wave $V_-(z)$ to be the output as due to the reflection of the right-going
wave $V_+(z)$. Or we can define the amplitude of the left-going reflected wave $a_-$ to be linearly related to the amplitude of the right-going or incident wave $a_+$. In other words, at $z = 0$, we can let

$$V_-(z = 0) = \Gamma_L V_+(z = 0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.2)

thus, using the definition of $V_+(z)$ and $V_-(z)$ as implied in (12.1.1), we have

$$a_- = \Gamma_L a_+$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.3)

where $\Gamma_L$ is the termed the reflection coefficient. Hence, (12.1.1) becomes

$$V(z) = a_+ e^{-\gamma z} + \Gamma_L a_+ e^{\gamma z} = a_+ (e^{-\gamma z} + \Gamma_L e^{\gamma z})$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.4)

The corresponding current $I(z)$ on the transmission line is given by using the telegrapher’s equations as previously defined, namely that

$$I(z) = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{a_+}{Z} \gamma (e^{-\gamma z} - \Gamma_L e^{\gamma z})$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.5)

where $\gamma = \sqrt{ZY} = \sqrt{(j\omega L + R)(j\omega C + G)}$, and

$$Z = j\omega L + R, \quad Y = j\omega C + G$$

In the lossless case when $R = G = 0$, $\gamma = j\beta$. Hence, $Z/\gamma = \sqrt{Z/Y} = Z_0$, the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. Thus, from (12.1.5),

$$I(z) = \frac{a_+}{Z_0} (e^{-\gamma z} - \Gamma_L e^{\gamma z})$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.6)

Notice the sign change in the second term of the above expression.

Similar to $\Gamma_L$, a general reflection coefficient (which is a function of $z$) relating the left-traveling and right-traveling wave at location $z$ can be defined such that

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{V_-(z)}{V_+(z)} = \frac{a_- e^{\gamma z}}{a_+ e^{-\gamma z}} = \frac{a_- e^{\gamma z}}{a_+ e^{-\gamma z}} = \Gamma_L e^{2\gamma z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.7)

Of course, $\Gamma(z = 0) = \Gamma_L$. Furthermore, due to the $V$-$I$ relation at an impedance load, we must have\(^1\)

$$\frac{V(z = 0)}{I(z = 0)} = Z_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.8)

or that using (12.1.4) and (12.1.5) with $z = 0$, the left-hand side of the above can be rewritten, and we have

$$\frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_L} Z_0 = Z_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.9)

\(^1\)One can also look at this from a differential equation viewpoint that this is a boundary condition.
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From the above, we can solve for $\Gamma_L$ in terms of $Z_L/Z_0$ to get

$$\Gamma_L = \frac{Z_L/Z_0 - 1}{Z_L/Z_0 + 1} = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.10)$$

Thus, given the termination load $Z_L$ and the characteristic impedance $Z_0$, the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_L$ can be found, or vice versa. Or that given $\Gamma_L$, the normalized load impedance, $Z_L/Z_0$, can be found. It is seen that $\Gamma_L = 0$ if $Z_L = Z_0$. Thus a right-traveling wave will not be reflected and the left-traveling is absent. This is the case of a matched load. When there is no reflection, all energy of the right-traveling wave will be totally absorbed by the load.

In general, we can define a generalized impedance at $z \neq 0$ to be

$$Z(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = \frac{a_+ (e^{-\gamma z} + \Gamma_L e^{\gamma z})}{Z_0 a_+ (e^{-\gamma z} - \Gamma_L e^{\gamma z})}$$

$$= Z_0 \frac{1 + \Gamma_L e^{2\gamma z}}{1 - \Gamma_L e^{2\gamma z}} = Z_0 \frac{1 + \Gamma(z)}{1 - \Gamma(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.11)$$

or

$$Z(z)/Z_0 = \frac{1 + \Gamma(z)}{1 - \Gamma(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.12)$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is as defined in (12.1.7). Conversely, one can write the above as

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{Z(z)/Z_0 - 1}{Z(z)/Z_0 + 1} = \frac{Z(z) - Z_0}{Z(z) + Z_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.13)$$

Usually, a transmission line is lossless or has very low loss, and for most practical purpose, $\gamma = j\beta$. In this case, (12.1.11) becomes

$$Z(z) = Z_0 \frac{1 + \Gamma_L e^{2j\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_L e^{2j\beta z}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.14)$$

From the above, one can show that by setting $z = -l$, using (12.1.10), and after some algebra,

$$Z(-l) = \frac{Z_0 Z_L + j Z_0 \tan \beta l}{Z_0 + j Z_L \tan \beta l}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.15)$$
12.1.1 Shorted Terminations

Figure 12.2: The input reactance \( X \) of a shorted transmission line as a function of its length \( l \).

From (12.1.15) above, when we have a short such that \( Z_L = 0 \), then

\[
Z(-l) = jZ_0 \tan(\beta l) = jX
\]  

(12.1.16)

Hence, the impedance remains reactive (pure imaginary) for all \( l \), and can swing over all positive and negative imaginary values. One way to understand this is that when the transmission line is shorted, the right and left traveling wave set up a standing wave with nodes and anti-nodes. At the nodes, the voltage is zero while the current is maximum. At the anti-nodes, the current is zero while the voltage is maximum. Hence, a node resembles a short while an anti-node resembles an open circuit. Therefore, at \( z = -l \), different reactive values can be observed as shown in Figure 12.2.

When \( \beta \ll l \), then \( \tan \beta l \approx \beta l \), and (12.1.16) becomes

\[
Z(-l) \approx jZ_0 \beta l
\]  

(12.1.17)

After using that \( Z_0 = \sqrt{L/C} \) and that \( \beta = \omega \sqrt{LC} \), (12.1.17) becomes

\[
Z(-l) \approx j\omega Ll
\]  

(12.1.18)

The above implies that a short length of transmission line connected to a short as a load looks like an inductor with \( L_{\text{eff}} = Ll \), since much current will pass through this short producing a strong magnetic field with stored magnetic energy. Remember here that \( L \) is the line inductance, or inductance per unit length.
12.1.2 Open terminations

When we have an open circuit such that $Z_L = \infty$, then from (12.1.15) above

$$Z(-l) = -jZ_0 \cot(\beta l) = jX$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.19)

Again, as shown in Figure 12.3, the impedance at $z = -l$ is purely reactive, and goes through positive and negative values due to the standing wave set up on the transmission line.

Then, when $\beta l \ll l$, $\cot(\beta l) \approx 1/\beta l$

$$Z(-l) \approx -j \frac{Z_0}{\beta l}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.20)

And then, again using $\beta = \frac{\omega \sqrt{LC}}{Z_0} = \frac{\sqrt{L/C}}{Z_0}$

$$Z(-l) \approx \frac{1}{j\omega Cl}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.1.21)

Hence, an open-circuited terminated short length of transmission line appears like an effective capacitor with $C_{eff} = Cl$. Again, remember here that $C$ is line capacitance or capacitance per unit length.

But the changing length of $l$, one can make a shorted or an open terminated line look like an inductor or a capacitor depending on its length $l$. This effect is shown in Figures 12.2 and 12.3. Moreover, the reactance $X$ becomes infinite or zero with the proper choice of the length $l$. These are resonances or anti-resonances of the transmission line, very much like an LC tank circuit. An LC circuit can look like an open or a short circuit at resonances and depending on if they are connected in parallel or in series.
12.2 Smith Chart

In general, from (12.1.14) and (12.1.15), a length of transmission line can transform a load $Z_L$ to a range of possible complex values $Z(-l)$. To understand this range of values better, we can use the Smith chart (invented by P.H. Smith 1939 before the advent of the computer) [80]. The Smith chart is essentially a graphical calculator for solving transmission line problems. Equation (12.1.13) indicates that there is a unique map between the normalized impedance $Z_n$ and reflection coefficient $\Gamma(z)$. In the normalized impedance form where $Z_n = Z/Z_0$, from (12.1.11) and (12.1.13)

$$\Gamma = \frac{Z_n - 1}{Z_n + 1}, \quad Z_n = \frac{1 + \Gamma}{1 - \Gamma} \tag{12.2.1}$$

Equations in (12.2.1) are related to a bilinear transform in complex variables [81]. It is a kind of conformal map that maps circles to circles. Such a map is shown in Figure 12.4, where lines on the right-half of the complex $Z_n$ plane are mapped to the circles on the complex $\Gamma$ plane. Since straight lines on the complex $Z_n$ plane are circles with infinite radii, they are mapped to circles on the complex $\Gamma$ plane. The Smith chart allows one to obtain the corresponding $\Gamma$ given $Z_n$ and vice versa as indicated in (12.2.1), but using a graphical calculator.

Notice that the imaginary axis on the complex $Z_n$ plane maps to the circle of unit radius on the complex $\Gamma$ plane. All points on the right-half plane are mapped to within the unit circle. The reason being that the right-half plane of the complex $Z_n$ plane corresponds to passive impedances that will absorb energy. Hence, such an impedance load will have reflection coefficient with amplitude less than one, which are points within the unit circle.

On the other hand, the left-half of the complex $Z_n$ plane corresponds to impedances with negative resistances. These will be active elements that can generate energy, and hence, yielding $|\Gamma| > 1$, and will be outside the unit circle on the complex $\Gamma$ plane.

Another point to note is that points at infinity on the complex $Z_n$ plane map to the point at $\Gamma = 1$ on the complex $\Gamma$ plane, while the point zero on the complex $Z_n$ plane maps to $\Gamma = -1$ on the complex $\Gamma$ plane. These are the reflection coefficients of an open-circuit load and a short-circuit load, respectively. For a matched load, $Z_n = 1$, and it maps to the zero point on the complex $\Gamma$ plane implying no reflection.
Figure 12.4: Bilinear map of the formulae $\Gamma = \frac{Z_n - 1}{Z_n + 1}$, and $Z_n = \frac{1 + \Gamma}{1 - \Gamma}$. The chart on the right, called the Smith chart, allows the values of $Z_n$ to be determined quickly given $\Gamma$, and vice versa.

The Smith chart also allows one to quickly evaluate the expression

$$\Gamma(-l) = \Gamma_L e^{-2j\beta l} \quad (12.2.2)$$

and its corresponding $Z_n$. Since $\beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$, it is more convenient to write $\beta l = \frac{2\pi l}{\lambda}$, and measure the length of the transmission line in terms of wavelength. To this end, the above becomes

$$\Gamma(-l) = \Gamma_L e^{-4j\pi l/\lambda} \quad (12.2.3)$$

For increasing $l$, one moves away from the load to the generator, $l$ increases, and the phase is decreasing because of the negative sign. So given a point for $\Gamma_L$ on the Smith chart, one has negative phase or decreasing phase by rotating the point clockwise. Also, due to the $\exp(-4j\pi l/\lambda)$ dependence of the phase, when $l = \lambda/4$, the reflection coefficient rotates a half circle around the chart. And when $l = \lambda/2$, the reflection coefficient will rotate a full circle, or back to the original point.

Also, for two points diametrically opposite to each other on the Smith chart, $\Gamma$ changes sign, and it can be shown easily that the normalized impedances are reciprocal of each other. Hence, the Smith chart can also be used to find the reciprocal of a complex number quickly. A full blown Smith chart is shown in Figure 12.5.
12.3 VSWR (Voltage Standing Wave Ratio)

The standing wave $V(z)$ is a function of position $z$ on a terminated transmission line and it is given as

$$V(z) = V_0 e^{-j\beta z} + V_0 e^{j\beta z} \Gamma_L$$
$$= V_0 e^{-j\beta z} (1 + \Gamma_L e^{2j\beta z})$$
$$= V_0 e^{-j\beta z} (1 + \Gamma(z))$$

(12.3.1)
where we have used (12.1.7) for $\Gamma(z)$ with $\gamma = j\beta$. Hence, $V(z)$ is not a constant or independent of $z$, but

$$|V(z)| = |V_0||1 + \Gamma(z)|$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.3.2)

In Figure 12.6, the relationship variation of $1 + \Gamma(z)$ as $z$ varies is shown.

Using the triangular inequality, one gets

$$|V_0|(1 - |\Gamma(z)|) \leq |V(z)| \leq |V_0|(1 + |\Gamma(z)|)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.3.3)

But from (12.1.7) and that $\gamma = j\beta$, $|\Gamma(z)| = |\Gamma_L|$; hence

$$V_{\text{min}} = |V_0|(1 - |\Gamma_L|) \leq |V(z)| \leq |V_0|(1 + |\Gamma_L|) = V_{\text{max}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.3.4)

The voltage standing wave ratio, VSWR is defined to be

$$\text{VSWR} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{V_{\text{min}}} = \frac{1 + |\Gamma_L|}{1 - |\Gamma_L|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.3.5)

Conversely, one can invert the above to get

$$|\Gamma_L| = \frac{\text{VSWR} - 1}{\text{VSWR} + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12.3.6)

Hence, the knowledge of voltage standing wave pattern, as shown in Figure 12.7, yields the knowledge of $|\Gamma_L|$. Notice that the relations between VSWR and $|\Gamma_L|$ are homomorphic to those between $Z_n$ and $\Gamma$. Therefore, the Smith chart can also be used to evaluate the above equations.
The phase of $\Gamma_L$ can also be determined from the measurement of the voltage standing wave pattern. The location of $\Gamma_L$ in Figure 12.6 is determined by the phase of $\Gamma_L$. Hence, the value of $d_1$ in Figure 12.6 is determined by the phase of $\Gamma_L$ as well. The length of the transmission line waveguide needed to null the original phase of $\Gamma_L$ to bring the voltage standing wave pattern to a maximum value at $z = -d_1$ is shown in Figure 12.7. Hence, $d_1$ is the value where the following equation is satisfied:

$$|\Gamma_L| e^{j\phi_L} e^{-4\pi j(d_1/\lambda)} = |\Gamma_L|$$ \hspace{1cm} (12.3.7)

Thus, by measuring the voltage standing wave pattern, one deduces both the amplitude and phase of $\Gamma_L$. From the complex value $\Gamma_L$, one can determine $Z_L$, the load impedance.

From the above, one surmises that measuring the impedance of a device at microwave frequency is a tricky business. At low frequency, one can use an ohm meter with two wire probes to do such a measurement. But at microwave frequency, two pieces of wire become inductors, and two pieces of metal become capacitors. More sophisticated ways to measure the impedance need to be designed as described above.

In the old days, the voltage standing wave pattern was measured by a slotted-line equipment which consists of a coaxial waveguide with a slot opening as shown in Figure 12.8. A field probe can be put into the slotted line to determine the strength of the electric field inside the coax waveguide.
A typical experimental setup for a slotted line measurement is shown in Figure 12.9. A generator source, with low frequency modulation, feeds microwave energy into the coaxial waveguide. The isolator, allowing only the unidirectional propagation of microwave energy, protects the generator. The attenuator protects the slotted line equipment. The wavemeter is an adjustable resonant cavity. When the wavemeter is tuned to the frequency of the microwave, it siphons off some energy from the source, giving rise to a dip in the signal of the SWR meter (a short for voltage-standing-wave-ratio meter). Hence, the wavemeter measures the frequency of the microwave.

The slotted line probe is usually connected to a square law detector that converts the microwave signal to a low-frequency signal. In this manner, the amplitude of the voltage in the slotted line can be measured with some low-frequency equipment, such as the SWR meter. Low-frequency equipment is a lot cheaper to make and maintain. That is also the reason why the source is modulated with a low-frequency signal. At low frequencies, circuit theory prevails, engineering and design are a lot simpler.

The above describes how the impedance of the device-under-test (DUT) can be measured at microwave frequencies. Nowadays, automated network analyzers make these measurements a lot simpler in a microwave laboratory. More resource on microwave measurements can be found on the web, such as in [82].

Notice that the above is based on the interference of the two traveling wave on a terminated transmission line. Such interference experiments are increasingly difficult in optical frequencies because of the much shorter wavelengths. Hence, many experiments are easier to perform at microwave frequencies rather than at optical frequencies.

Many technologies are first developed at microwave frequency, and later developed at optical frequency. Examples are phase imaging, optical coherence tomography, and beam steering with phase array sources. Another example is that quantum information and quantum computing can be done at optical frequency, but the recent trend is to use artificial atoms working at microwave frequencies. Engineering with longer wavelength and larger component is easier; and hence, microwave engineering.

Another new frontier in the electromagnetic spectrum is in the terahertz range. Due to
the dearth of sources in the terahertz range, and the added difficulty in having to engineer smaller components, this is an exciting and a largely untapped frontier in electromagnetic technology.

Figure 12.9: An experimental setup for a slotted line measurement (courtesy of Pozar and Knapp, U. Mass [83]).
Lecture 13

Multi-Junction Transmission Lines, Duality Principle

13.1 Multi-Junction Transmission Lines

By concatenating sections of transmission lines of different characteristic impedances, a large variety of devices such as resonators, filters, radiators, and matching networks can be formed. We will start with a single junction transmission line first. A good reference for such problem is the book by Collin [84], but much of the treatment here is not found in any textbooks.

13.1.1 Single-Junction Transmission Lines

Consider two transmission lines connected at a single junction as shown in Figure 13.1. For simplicity, we assume that the transmission line to the right is infinitely long so that there is no reflected wave. And that the two transmission lines have different characteristic impedances, $Z_{01}$ and $Z_{02}$. 
Figure 13.1: A single junction transmission line can be modeled by a equivalent transmission line terminated in a load $Z_{in2}$.

The impedance of the transmission line at junction 1 looking to the right, using the formula from previously derived,\(^1\) is

$$Z_{in2} = Z_{02} \frac{1 + \Gamma_{L,\infty} e^{-2j\beta l_2}}{1 - \Gamma_{L,\infty} e^{-2j\beta l_2}} = Z_{02}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.1)

since no reflected wave exists, $\Gamma_{L,\infty} = 0$, the above is just $Z_{02}$. Transmission line 1 sees a load of $Z_L = Z_{in2} = Z_{02}$ hooked to its end. The equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 13.1 as well. Hence, we deduce that the reflection coefficient at junction 1 between line 1 and line 2, using the knowledge from the previous lecture, is $\Gamma_{12}$, and is given by

$$\Gamma_{12} = \frac{Z_L - Z_{01}}{Z_L + Z_{01}} = \frac{Z_{in2} - Z_{01}}{Z_{in2} + Z_{01}} = \frac{Z_{02} - Z_{01}}{Z_{02} + Z_{01}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.2)

13.1.2 Two-Junction Transmission Lines

Now, we look at the two-junction case. To this end, we first look at when line 2 is terminated by a load $Z_L$ at its end as shown in Figure 13.2

\(^1\)We should always remember that the relations between the reflection coefficient $\Gamma$ and the normalized impedance $Z_n$ are $\Gamma = \frac{2n-1}{n+1}$ and $Z_n = \frac{1+\Gamma}{1-\Gamma}$. 
Figure 13.2: A single-junction transmission line with a load $Z_L$ at the far end of the second line.

Then, using the formula derived in the previous lecture,

$$Z_{in} = Z_{02} \frac{1 + \Gamma(-l_2)}{1 - \Gamma(-l_2)} = Z_{02} \frac{1 + \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}}{1 - \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.3)

where we have used the fact that $\Gamma(-l_2) = \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}$. It is to be noted that here, using knowledge from the previous lecture, that

$$\Gamma L_2 = \frac{Z_L - Z_{02}}{Z_L + Z_{02}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.4)

Now, line 1 sees a load of $Z_{in}2$ hooked at its end. The equivalent circuit is the same as that shown in Figure 13.1. The generalized reflection coefficient at junction 1, which includes all the reflection of waves from its right, is now

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{Z_{in2} - Z_{01}}{Z_{in2} + Z_{01}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.5)

Substituting (13.1.3) into (13.1.5), we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{Z_{02}(1 + \Gamma) - Z_{01}}{Z_{02}(1 + \Gamma) + Z_{01}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.6)

where $\Gamma = \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}$. The above can be rearranged to give

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{Z_{02}(1 + \Gamma) - Z_{01}(1 - \Gamma)}{Z_{02}(1 + \Gamma) + Z_{01}(1 - \Gamma)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.7)

Finally, by further rearranging terms, it can be shown that the above becomes

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma}{1 + \Gamma_{12}\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}}{1 + \Gamma L_2 e^{-2j\beta l_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13.1.8)
where $\Gamma_{12}$, the local reflection coefficient, is given by (13.1.2), and $\Gamma = \Gamma_L e^{-2j\beta_2 l_2}$ is the general reflection coefficient\(^2\) at $z = -l_2$ due to the load $Z_L$. In other words,

$$\Gamma_L = \frac{Z_L - Z_{02}}{Z_L + Z_{02}}$$

(13.1.9)

Figure 13.3: A two-junction transmission line with a load $Z_L$ at the far end. The input impedance looking in from the far left can be found recursively.

---

2We will use the term “general reflection coefficient” to mean the ratio between the amplitudes of the left-traveling wave and the right-traveling wave on a transmission line.
Multi-Junction Transmission Lines, Duality Principle

Equation (13.1.8) is a powerful formula for multi-junction transmission lines. Imagine now that we add another section of transmission line as shown in Figure 13.3. We can use the aforementioned method to first find \( \tilde{\Gamma}_{23} \), the generalized reflection coefficient at junction 2. Using formula (13.1.8), it is given by

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_{23} = \frac{\Gamma_{23} + \Gamma_{L3}e^{-2j\beta l_3}}{1 + \Gamma_{23}\Gamma_{L3}e^{-2j\beta l_3}}
\]

(13.1.10)

where \( \Gamma_{L3} \) is the load reflection coefficient due to the load \( Z_L \) hooked to the end of transmission line 3 as shown in Figure 13.3. Here, it is given as

\[
\Gamma_{L3} = \frac{Z_L - Z_{03}}{Z_L + Z_{03}}
\]

(13.1.11)

Given the knowledge of \( \tilde{\Gamma}_{23} \), we can use (13.1.8) again to find the new \( \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \) at junction 1. It is now

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{\Gamma_{12} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{23}e^{-2j\beta l_2}}{1 + \Gamma_{12}\tilde{\Gamma}_{23}e^{-2j\beta l_2}}
\]

(13.1.12)

The equivalent circuit is again that shown in Figure 13.1. Therefore, we can use (13.1.8) recursively to find the generalized reflection coefficient for a multi-junction transmission line. Once the reflection coefficient is known, the impedance at that location can also be found. For instance, at junction 1, the impedance is now given by

\[
Z_{in2} = Z_{01} \frac{1 + \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}}{1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}}
\]

(13.1.13)

instead of (13.1.3). In the above, \( Z_{01} \) is used because the generalized reflection coefficient \( \tilde{\Gamma}_{12} \) is the total reflection coefficient for an incident wave from transmission line 1 that is sent toward the junction 1. Previously, \( Z_{02} \) was used in (13.1.3) because the reflection coefficients in that equation was for an incident wave sent from transmission line 2.

If the incident wave were to have come from line 2, then one can write \( Z_{in2} \) as

\[
Z_{in2} = Z_{02} \frac{1 + \tilde{\Gamma}_{23}e^{-2j\beta l_2}}{1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{23}e^{-2j\beta l_2}}
\]

(13.1.14)

With some algebraic manipulation, it can be shown that (13.1.13) are (13.1.14) identical. But (13.1.13) is closer to an experimental scenario where one measures the reflection coefficient by sending a wave from line 1 with no knowledge of what is to the right of junction 1.

Transmission lines can be made easily in microwave integrated circuit (MIC) by etching or milling. A picture of a microstrip line waveguide or transmission line is shown in Figure 13.5.
13.1.3 Stray Capacitance and Inductance

Figure 13.5: Schematic of a microstrip line with the signal line above, and a ground plane below (left). A strip line with each strip carrying currents of opposite polarity (right). A ground plane is not needed in this case.

Figure 13.6: A general microwave integrated circuit with different kinds of elements.
The junction between two transmission lines is not as simple as we have assumed. In the real world, or in MIC, the waveguide junction has discontinuities in line width, or shape. This can give rise to excess charge cumulation. Excess charge gives rise to excess electric field which corresponds to excess electric stored energy. This can be modeled by stray or parasitic capacitances.

Alternatively, there could be excess current flow that give rise to excess magnetic field. Excess magnetic field gives rise to excess magnetic stored energy. This can be modeled by stray or parasitic inductances. Hence, a junction can be approximated by a circuit model as shown in Figure 13.8 to account for these effects. The Smith chart or the method we have outlined above can still be used to solve for the input impedances of a transmission circuit when these parasitic circuit elements are added.

Notice that when the frequency is zero or low, these stray capacitances and inductances are negligible. But they are instrumental in modeling high frequency circuits.
**13.2 Duality Principle**

Duality principle exploits the inherent symmetry of Maxwell's equations. Once a set of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ has been found to solve Maxwell’s equations for a certain geometry, another set for a similar geometry can be found by invoking this principle. Maxwell’s equations in the frequency domain, including the fictitious magnetic sources, are

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= -j\omega \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= j\omega \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= \rho_m(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) &= \rho(\mathbf{r}, \omega)
\end{align*}
\]

One way to make Maxwell’s equations invariant is to do the following substitution.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{H} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{D} \\
\mathbf{M} &\rightarrow -\mathbf{J}, \quad \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}, \quad \rho_m \rightarrow \varrho, \quad \varrho \rightarrow \rho_m
\end{align*}
\]

The above swaps retain the right-hand rule for plane waves. When material media is included, such that $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B} = \mu \cdot \mathbf{H}$, for anisotropic media, Maxwell’s equations become

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -j\omega \mu \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{M} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= j\omega \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} \\
\nabla \cdot \mu \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \varrho_m \\
\nabla \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{H} &= \varrho
\end{align*}
\]

In addition to the above swaps, one need further to swap for material parameters, namely,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &\rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow \mu
\end{align*}
\]
13.2.1 Unusual Swaps

If one adopts swaps where seemingly the right-hand rule is not preserved, e.g.,

\[
\begin{align*}
E & \rightarrow H, \quad H \rightarrow E, \quad M \rightarrow -J, \quad J \rightarrow -M, \\
\varrho_m & \rightarrow -\varrho, \quad \varrho \rightarrow -\varrho_m, \quad \mu \rightarrow -\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow -\mu
\end{align*}
\]  

(13.2.12)  
(13.2.13)

The above swaps will leave Maxwell’s equations invariant, but when applied to a plane wave, the right-hand rule seems violated.

The deeper reason is that solutions to Maxwell’s equations are not unique, since there is a time-forward as well as a time-reverse solution. In the frequency domain, this shows up in the choice of the sign of the \( \mathbf{k} \) vector where in a plane wave \( \mathbf{k} = \pm \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} \). When one does a swap of \( \mu \rightarrow -\varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon \rightarrow -\mu \), \( \mathbf{k} \) is still indeterminate, and one can always choose a root where the right-hand rule is retained.

13.2.2 Fictitious Magnetic Currents

Even though magnetic charges or monopoles do not exist, magnetic dipoles do. For instance, a magnet can be regarded as a magnetic dipole. Also, it is believed that electrons have spins, and these spins make electrons behave like tiny magnetic dipoles in the presence of a magnetic field.

Also if we form electric current into a loop, it produces a magnetic field that looks like the electric field of an electric dipole. This resembles a magnetic dipole field. Hence, a magnetic dipole can be made using a small electric current loop (see Figure 13.9).
Because of these similarities, it is common to introduce fictitious magnetic charges and magnetic currents into Maxwell’s equations. One can think that these magnetic charges always occur in pair and together. Thus, they do not contradict the absence of magnetic monopole.

The electric current loops can be connected in series to make a toroidal antenna as shown in Figure 13.10. The toroidal antenna is used to drive a current in an electric dipole. Notice that the toroidal antenna acts as the primary winding of a transformer circuit.
Figure 13.10: A toroidal antenna used to drive an electric current through a conducting cylinder of a dipole. One can think of them as the primary and secondary turns of a transformer (courtesy of Q. S. Liu [85]).
Lecture 14

Reflection and Transmission, Interesting Physical Phenomena

Much of the contents of this lecture can be found in Kong, and also the ECE 350X lecture notes. They can be found in many textbooks, even though the notations can be slightly different [29, 31, 38, 47, 48, 59, 71, 75, 77, 78].

14.1 Reflection and Transmission—Single Interface Case

We will derive the reflection coefficients for the single interface case. These reflection coefficients are also called the Fresnel reflection coefficients because they were first derived by Austin-Jean Fresnel (1788-1827). Note that he lived before the completion of Maxwell’s equations in 1865. But when Fresnel derived the reflection coefficients in 1823, they were based on the elastic theory of light; and hence, the formulas are not exactly the same as what we are going to derive (see Born and Wolf, Principles of Optics, p. 40 [52]).

The single-interface reflection and transmission problem is homomorphic to the transmission line problem, albeit with complicated mathematics, as we have to keep track of the 3D polarizations of the electromagnetic fields in this case. We shall learn later that the mathematical homomorphism can be used to exploit the simplicity of transmission line theory in seeking the solutions to the multiple interface problems.
14.1.1 TE Polarization (Perpendicular or E Polarization)\(^1\)

To set up the above problem, the wave in Region 1 can be written as \(E_i + E_r\). We assume plane wave polarized in the \(y\) direction where the wave vectors are \(\beta_i = \hat{x}\beta_{ix} + \hat{z}\beta_{iz}\), \(\beta_r = \hat{x}\beta_{rx} - \hat{z}\beta_{rz}\), \(\beta_t = \hat{x}\beta_{tx} + \hat{z}\beta_{tz}\), respectively for the incident, reflected, and transmitted waves. Then

\[
E_i = \hat{y}E_0e^{-j\beta_i \cdot r} = \hat{y}E_0e^{-j\beta_{ix}x - j\beta_{iz}z} \quad (14.1.1)
\]

and

\[
E_r = \hat{y}R^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_r \cdot r} = \hat{y}R^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_{rx}x + j\beta_{rz}z} \quad (14.1.2)
\]

In Region 2, we only have transmitted wave; hence

\[
E_t = \hat{y}T^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_t \cdot r} = \hat{y}T^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_{tx}x - j\beta_{tz}z} \quad (14.1.3)
\]

In the above, the incident wave is known and hence, \(E_0\) is known. From (14.1.2) and (14.1.3), \(R^{TE}\) and \(T^{TE}\) are unknowns yet to be sought. To find them, we need two boundary conditions to yield two equations.\(^2\) These are tangential \(E\) continuous and tangential \(H\) continuous, which are \(\hat{n} \times E\) continuous and \(\hat{n} \times H\) continuous conditions at the interface.

Imposing \(\hat{n} \times E\) continuous at \(z = 0\), we get

\[
E_0e^{-j\beta_{ix}x} + R^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_{rx}x} = T^{TE}E_0e^{-j\beta_{tx}x}, \quad \forall x \quad (14.1.4)
\]

\(^1\)These polarizations are also variously known as the \(s\) and \(p\) polarizations, a descendent from the notations for acoustic waves where \(s\) and \(p\) stand for shear and pressure waves respectively.

\(^2\)Here, we will treat this problem as a boundary value problem where the unknowns are sought from equations obtained from boundary conditions.
In order for the above to be valid for all $x$, it is necessary that $\beta_{ix} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_{tx}$, which is also known as the phase matching condition.\textsuperscript{3} From the above, by letting $\beta_{ix} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_1 \sin \theta_1 = \beta_1 \sin \theta_r$, we obtain that $\theta_r = \theta_i$ or that the law of reflection that the angle of reflection is equal to the angle of incidence. By letting $\beta_{tx} = \beta_2 \sin \theta_1 = \beta_{ix} = \beta_1 \sin \theta_i$, we obtain Snell’s law that $\beta_1 \sin \theta_1 = \beta_2 \sin \theta_i$. (This law of refraction that was also known in the Islamic world in the 900 AD.\textsuperscript{[86]}) Now, canceling common terms on both sides of the equation (14.1.4), the above simplifies to

$$1 + R^{TE} = T^{TE} \tag{14.1.5}$$

To impose $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}$ continuous, one needs to find the $\mathbf{H}$ field using $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j \omega \mu \mathbf{H}$, or that $\mathbf{H} = -j \beta \times \mathbf{E}/(-j \omega \mu) = \beta \times \mathbf{E}/(\omega \mu)$. By so doing

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \frac{\beta_i \times \mathbf{E}_i}{\omega \mu_1} = \frac{\beta_i \times \hat{y} E_0 e^{-j \beta_i x}}{\omega \mu_1} = \frac{\hat{x} \beta_{ix} e^{-j \beta_{ix} x} E_0 e^{-j \beta_i x}}{\omega \mu_1} \tag{14.1.6}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_r = \frac{\beta_r \times \mathbf{E}_r}{\omega \mu_1} = \frac{\beta_r \times \hat{y} R^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_r x}}{\omega \mu_1} = \frac{\hat{x} \beta_{rx} R^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_r x}}{\omega \mu_1} \tag{14.1.7}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t = \frac{\beta_t \times \mathbf{E}_t}{\omega \mu_2} = \frac{\beta_t \times \hat{y} T^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_t x}}{\omega \mu_2} = \frac{\hat{x} \beta_{tx} T^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_t x}}{\omega \mu_2} \tag{14.1.8}$$

Imposing $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}$ continuous or $H_x$ continuous at $z = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\beta_{iz} E_0 e^{-j \beta_{iz} x}}{\omega \mu_1} - \frac{\beta_{rz} R^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_{rz} x}}{\omega \mu_1} = \frac{\beta_{tz} T^{TE} E_0 e^{-j \beta_{tz} x}}{\omega \mu_2} \tag{14.1.9}$$

As mentioned before, the phase-matching condition requires that $\beta_{iz} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_{tx}$. The dispersion relation for plane waves requires that

$$\beta_{iz}^2 + \beta_{iz}^2 = \beta_{rx}^2 + \beta_{rx}^2 = \omega^2 \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 = \beta_1^2 \tag{14.1.10}$$

$$\beta_{iz}^2 + \beta_{iz}^2 = \omega^2 \mu_2 \varepsilon_2 = \beta_2^2 \tag{14.1.11}$$

Since $\beta_{iz} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_{tx} = \beta_1$, the above implies that $\beta_{iz} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_{1z}$. Moreover, $\beta_{iz} = \beta_{2z} \neq \beta_{1z}$ usually since $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$. Then (14.1.9) simplifies to

$$\frac{\beta_{iz}}{\mu_1} (1 - R^{TE}) = \frac{\beta_{2z} T^{TE}}{\mu_2} \tag{14.1.12}$$

where $\beta_{1z} = \sqrt{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2}$, and $\beta_{2z} = \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2}$.

Solving (14.1.5) and (14.1.12) yields

$$R^{TE} = \left( \frac{\beta_{1z}}{\mu_1} - \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\mu_2} \right) / \left( \frac{\beta_{1z}}{\mu_1} + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\mu_2} \right) \tag{14.1.13}$$

$$T^{TE} = 2 \left( \frac{\beta_{1z}}{\mu_1} \right) / \left( \frac{\beta_{1z}}{\mu_1} + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\mu_2} \right) \tag{14.1.14}$$

\textsuperscript{3}The phase-matching condition can also be proved by taking the Fourier transform of the equation with respect to $x$. Among the physics community, this is also known as momentum matching, as the wavenumber of a wave is related to the momentum of the particle.
14.1.2 TM Polarization (Parallel or H Polarization)

The solution to the TM polarization case can be obtained by invoking duality principle where we do the substitution $E \rightarrow H$, $H \rightarrow -E$, and $\mu \rightarrow \varepsilon$ as shown in Figure 14.2. The reflection coefficient for the TM magnetic field is then

$$R_{TM} = \frac{\left( \beta_{1z} + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\varepsilon_2} \right)}{\left( \beta_{1z} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\varepsilon_2} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.1.15)

$$T_{TM} = 2 \left( \frac{\beta_{1z}}{\varepsilon_1} \right) \frac{\left( \beta_{1z} + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\varepsilon_2} \right)}{\left( \beta_{1z} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\beta_{2z}}{\varepsilon_2} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.1.16)

Please remember that $R_{TM}$ and $T_{TM}$ are reflection and transmission coefficients for the magnetic fields, whereas $R_{TE}$ and $T_{TE}$ are those for the electric fields. Some textbooks may define these reflection coefficients based on electric field only, and they will look different, and duality principle cannot be applied.

14.2 Interesting Physical Phenomena

Three interesting physical phenomena emerge from the solutions of the single-interface problem. They are total internal reflection, Brewster angle effect, and surface plasmonic resonance. We will look at them next.
14.2.1 Total Internal Reflection

Total internal reflection comes about because of phase matching (also called momentum matching). This phase-matching condition can be illustrated using $\beta$-surfaces (same as $k$-surfaces in some literature), as shown in Figure 14.3. It turns out that because of phase matching, for certain interfaces, $\beta_{zz}$ becomes pure imaginary.

Figure 14.3: Courtesy of J.A. Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory [31]. Here, $k$ is synonymous with $\beta$. Also, the $x$ axis is equivalent to the $z$ axis in the previous figure.
As shown in Figures 14.3 and 14.4, because of the dispersion relation that $\beta_{rx}^2 + \beta_{rz}^2 = \beta_{ix}^2 + \beta_{iz}^2 = \beta_{1x}^2 + \beta_{1z}^2 = \beta_{2x}^2 + \beta_{2z}^2$, they are equations of two circles in 2D whose radii are $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$, respectively. (The tips of the $\beta$ vectors for Regions 1 and 2 have to be on a spherical surface in the $\beta_x$, $\beta_y$, and $\beta_z$ space in the general 3D case, but in this figure, we only show a cross section of the sphere assuming that $\beta_y = 0$.)

Phase matching implies that the $x$-component of the $\beta$ vectors are equal to each other as shown. One sees that $\theta_i = \theta_r$ in Figure 14.4, and also as $\theta_i$ increases, $\theta_t$ increases. For an optically less dense medium where $\beta_2 < \beta_1$, according to the Snell's law of refraction, the transmitted $\beta$ will refract away from the normal, as seen in the figure. Therefore, eventually the vector $\beta_i$ becomes parallel to the $x$ axis when $\beta_{ix} = \beta_{rx} = \beta_2 = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_2\mu_2}$ and $\theta_i = \pi/2$. The incident angle at which this happens is termed the critical angle $\theta_c$. Since $\beta_{ix} = \beta_1 \sin \theta_i = \beta_{rx} = \beta_1 \sin \theta_r = \beta_2$, or

$$\sin \theta_r = \sin \theta_i = \sin \theta_c = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2}}{\sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1}} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$$

(14.2.1)

where $n_1$ is the reflective index defined as $c_0/v_i = \sqrt{\mu_i \varepsilon_i}/\sqrt{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0}$ where $v_i$ is the phase velocity of the wave in Region $i$. Hence,

$$\theta_c = \sin^{-1}(n_2/n_1)$$

(14.2.2)

When $\theta_i > \theta_c$, $\beta_x > \beta_2$ and $\beta_{2z} = \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - \beta_x^2}$ becomes pure imaginary. When $\beta_{2z}$ becomes pure imaginary, the wave cannot propagate in Region 2, or $\beta_{2z} = -j\alpha_{2z}$, and the

---

Figure 14.4: Courtesy of J.A. Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory. Here, $k$ is synonymous with $\beta$, and $x$ axis is the same as our $z$ axis.
wave becomes evanescent. The reflection coefficient (14.1.13) becomes of the form

\[ R_{TE}^{T} = \frac{A - jB}{A + jB} \] (14.2.3)

It is clear that \(|R_{TE}^{T}| = 1\) and that \(R_{TE}^{T} = e^{j\theta_{TE}}\). Therefore, a total internally reflected wave suffers a phase shift. A phase shift in the frequency domain corresponds to a time delay in the time domain. Such a time delay is achieved by the wave traveling laterally in Region 2 before being refracted back to Region 1. Such a lateral shift is called the Goos-Hanschen shift as shown in Figure 14.5 [52]. A wave that travels laterally along the surface of two media is also known as lateral waves [87,88].

Please be reminded that total internal reflection comes about entirely due to the phase-matching condition when Region 2 is a faster medium than Region 1. Hence, it will occur with all manner of waves, such as elastic waves, sound waves, seismic waves, quantum waves etc.

![Figure 14.5: Goos-Hanschen Shift. A phase delay is equivalent to a time delay (courtesy of Paul R. Berman (2012), Scholarpedia, 7(3):11584 [89]).](image)

The guidance of a wave in a dielectric slab is due to total internal reflection at the dielectric-to-air interface. The wave bounces between the two interfaces of the slab, and creates evanescent waves outside, as shown in Figure 14.6. The guidance of waves in an optical fiber works by similar mechanism of total internal reflection, as shown in Figure 14.7. Due to the tremendous impact the optical fiber has on modern-day communications, Charles Kao, the father of the optical fiber, was awarded the Nobel Prize in 2009. His work was first published in [90].
Waveguides have affected international communications for over a hundred year now. Since telegraphy was in place before the full advent of Maxwell’s equations, submarine cables for global communications were laid as early as 1850’s. Figure 14.8 shows a submarine cable from 1869 using coaxial cable, and one used in the modern world using optical fiber.
Figure 14.8: The picture of an old 1869 submarine cable made of coaxial cables (left), and modern submarine cable made of optical fibers (right) (courtesy of Atlantic-Cable [93], and Wikipedia [94]).
Lecture 15

Interesting Physical Phenomena

Though simple that it looks, embedded in the TM Fresnel reflection coefficient are a few more interesting physical phenomena. These are the phenomena of Brewster’s angle [95, 96] and the phenomena of surface plasmon resonance, or polariton [97,98].

15.1 More on Interesting Physical Phenomena, Homomorphism, Plane Waves, Transmission Lines

We will continue with understanding some interesting phenomena associated with the single-interface problem. Albeit rather simple, embedded in the equations lie deep interesting phenomena that we shall see.

15.1.1 Brewster Angle

Brewster angle was discovered in 1815 [95, 96]. Furthermore, most materials at optical frequencies have $\varepsilon_2 \neq \varepsilon_1$, but $\mu_2 \approx \mu_1$. In other words, it is hard to obtain magnetic materials at optical frequencies. Therefore, the TM polarization for light behaves differently from TE polarization. Hence, we shall focus on the reflection and transmission of the TM polarization of light, and we reproduce the TM reflection coefficient here:

$$R_{TM} = \frac{\beta_{1z} \varepsilon_1 - \beta_{2z}}{\beta_{1z} \varepsilon_1 + \beta_{2z}}$$

(15.1.1)

The transmission coefficient is easily gotten by the formula $T_{TM} = 1 + R_{TM}$. Observe that for $R_{TM}$, it is possible that $R_{TM} = 0$ if

$$\varepsilon_2 \beta_{1z} = \varepsilon_1 \beta_{2z}$$

(15.1.2)

Squaring the above, making the note that $\beta_{1z} = \sqrt{\beta_1^2 - \beta_x^2}$, one gets

$$\varepsilon_2^2 (\beta_1^2 - \beta_x^2) = \varepsilon_1^2 (\beta_2^2 - \beta_x^2)$$

(15.1.3)
Solving the above, assuming $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$, gives

$$\beta_x = \omega \sqrt{\mu} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}} = \beta_1 \sin \theta_1 = \beta_2 \sin \theta_2$$

(15.1.4)

The latter two equations come from phase matching at the interface. Therefore,

$$\sin \theta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}}, \quad \sin \theta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}}$$

(15.1.5)

or that

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_2 = 1$$

(15.1.6)

Then, assuming that $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are less than $\pi/2$, and using the identity that $\cos^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_1 = 1$, then it can be shown that

$$\sin \theta_2 = \cos \theta_1$$

(15.1.7)

or that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 = \pi/2$$

(15.1.8)

This is used to explain why at Brewster angle, no light is reflected back to Region 1. Figure 15.1 shows that the induced polarization dipoles in Region 2 always have their axes aligned in the direction of reflected wave. A dipole does not radiate along its axis, which can be verified heuristically by field sketch and looking at the Poynting vector. Therefore, these induced dipoles in Region 2 do not radiate in the direction of the reflected wave. Notice that when the contrast is very weak meaning that $\varepsilon_1 \approx \varepsilon_2$, then $\theta_1 \approx \theta_2 \approx \pi/4$, and (15.1.8) is satisfied.
Interesting Physical Phenomena

Figure 15.1: A figure showing a plane wave being reflected and transmitted at the Brewster’s angle. In Region \( t \), the polarization current or dipoles are all pointing in the \( k_r \) direction, and hence, there is no radiation in that direction (courtesy of J.A. Kong, EM Wave Theory [31]).

Because of the Brewster angle effect for TM polarization when \( \varepsilon_2 \neq \varepsilon_1 \), \( |R^{TM}| \) has to go through a null when \( \theta_i = \theta_b \). Therefore, \( |R^{TM}| \leq |R^{TE}| \) as shown in Figure 15.2. Then when a randomly polarized light is incident on a surface, the polarization where the electric field is parallel to the surface (TE polarization) is reflected more than the polarization where the magnetic field is parallel to the surface (TM polarization). This phenomenon is used to design sun glasses to reduce road glare for drivers. For light reflected off a road surface, they are predominantly horizontally polarized with respect to the surface of the road. When sun glasses are made with vertical polarizers, they will filter out and mitigate the reflected rays from the road surface to reduce road glare. This phenomenon can also be used to improve the quality of photography by using a polarizer filter as shown in Figure 15.3.
Figure 15.2: Because $|R^{TM}|$ has to through a null when $\theta_i = \theta_b$, therefore, $|R^{TM}| \leq |R^{TE}|$ for all $\theta_i$ as shown above.

Figure 15.3: Because the TM and TE lights will be reflected differently, polarizer filter can produce remarkable effects on the quality of the photograph [96].

15.1.2 Surface Plasmon Polariton

Surface plasmon polariton occurs for the same mathematical reason for the Brewster angle effect but the physical mechanism is quite different. Many papers and textbooks will introduce this phenomenon from a different angle. But here, we will introduce it from the Fresnel reflection coefficient for the TM waves.

The reflection coefficient $R^{TM}$ can become infinite if $\varepsilon_2 < 0$, which is possible in a plasma medium. In this case, the criterion for the denominator to be zero is

$$-\varepsilon_2 \beta_1 z = \varepsilon_1 \beta_2 z$$  \hspace{1cm} (15.1.9)

When the above is satisfied, $R^{TM}$ becomes infinite. This implies that a reflected wave exists when there is no incident wave. Or $H_{ref} = H_{inc} R^{TM}$, and when $R^{TM} = \infty$, $H_{inc}$ can be
zero, and $H_{	ext{ref}}$ can assume any value.\footnote{This is often encountered in a resonance system like an LC tank circuit. Current flows in the tank circuit despite the absence of an exciting voltage.} Hence, there is a plasmonic resonance or guided mode existing at the interface without the presence of an incident wave. It is a self-sustaining wave propagating in the $x$ direction, and hence, is a guided mode propagating in the $x$ direction.

Solving (15.1.9) after squaring it, as in the Brewster angle case, yields

$$\beta_x = \omega \sqrt{\mu} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}} \quad (15.1.10)$$

This is the same equation for the Brewster angle except now that $\varepsilon_2$ is negative. Even if $\varepsilon_2 < 0$, but $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < 0$ is still possible so that the expression under the square root sign (15.1.10) is positive. Thus, $\beta_x$ can be pure real. The corresponding $\beta_{1z}$ and $\beta_{2z}$ in (15.1.9) can be pure imaginary, and (15.1.9) can still be satisfied.

This corresponds to a guided wave propagating in the $x$ direction. When this happens,

$$\beta_{1z} = \sqrt{\beta_{1x}^2 - \beta_x^2} = \omega \sqrt{\mu} \left[ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (15.1.11)$$

Since $\varepsilon_2 < 0$, $\varepsilon_2/(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) < 1$, then $\beta_{1z}$ becomes pure imaginary. Moreover, $\beta_{2z} = \sqrt{\beta_{2x}^2 - \beta_x^2}$ and $\beta_{2z}^2 < 0$ making $\beta_{2z}$ becomes even a larger imaginary number. This corresponds to a trapped wave (or a bound state) at the interface. The wave decays exponentially in both directions away from the interface and they are evanescent waves. This mode is shown in Figure 15.4, and is the only case in electromagnetics where a single interface can guide a surface wave, while such phenomenon abounds for elastic waves.

When one operates close to the resonance of the mode so that the denominator in (15.1.10) is almost zero, then $\beta_x$ can be very large. The wavelength becomes very short in this case, and since $\beta_{1z} = \sqrt{\beta_{1x}^2 - \beta_x^2}$, then $\beta_{1z}$ and $\beta_{2z}$ become even larger imaginary numbers. Hence, the mode becomes tightly confined or bound to the surface, making the confinement of the mode very tight. This evanescent wave is much more rapidly decaying than that offered by the total internal reflection. It portends use in tightly packed optical components, and has caused some excitement in the optics community.
As in all resonant systems, a resonant mode entails the exchange of energies. In the case of surface plasmonic resonance, the energy is exchanged between the kinetic energy of the electrons and the energy stored in the electric field (courtesy of Wikipedia [99]).

15.2 Homomorphism of Uniform Plane Waves and Transmission Lines Equations

It turns out that the plane waves through layered medium can be mapped into the multi-section transmission line problem due to mathematical homomorphism between the two problems. Hence, we can kill two birds with one stone: apply all the transmission line techniques and equations that we have learnt to solve for the solutions of waves through layered medium problems.²

For uniform plane waves, since they are proportional to \( \exp(-j\beta \cdot r) \), we know that with \( \nabla \rightarrow -j\beta \), Maxwell’s equations become

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta \times \mathbf{E} &= \omega \mu \mathbf{H} \\
\beta \times \mathbf{H} &= -\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}
\end{align*}
\]

for a general isotropic homogeneous medium. We will specialize these equations for different polarizations.

15.2.1 TE or TE₃ Waves

For this, one assumes a TE wave traveling in \( z \) direction with electric field polarized in the \( y \) direction, or \( \mathbf{E} = \hat{y} E_y \), \( \mathbf{H} = \hat{x} H_x + \hat{z} H_z \), then we have from (15.2.1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_z E_y &= -\omega \mu H_x \\
\beta_x E_y &= \omega \mu H_z
\end{align*}
\]

²This treatment is not found elsewhere, and is peculiar to these lecture notes.
From (15.2.2), we have
\[ \beta_z H_x - \beta_x H_z = -\omega \varepsilon E_y \] (15.2.5)

Then, expressing \( H_z \) in terms of \( E_y \) from (15.2.4), we can show from (15.2.5) that
\[ \beta_z H_x = -\omega \varepsilon E_y + \beta_x H_x = -\omega \varepsilon E_y + \frac{\beta_z^2}{\omega \mu} E_y \]
\[ = -\omega \varepsilon (1 - \beta_x^2/\beta_z^2) E_y = -\omega \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta E_y \] (15.2.6)

where \( \beta_x = \beta \sin \theta \) has been used.

Eqns. (15.2.3) and (15.2.6) can be written to look like the telegrapher’s equations by letting \(-j\beta_z \to d/dz\) to get
\[ \frac{d}{dz} E_y = j\omega \mu H_x \] (15.2.7)
\[ \frac{d}{dz} H_x = j\omega \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta E_y \] (15.2.8)

If we let \( E_y \to V, H_x \to -I, \mu \to L, \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta \to C \), the above is exactly analogous to the telegrapher’s equations. The equivalent characteristic impedance of these equations above is then
\[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon \cos \theta}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \beta}{\varepsilon \beta_z}} = \frac{\omega \mu}{\beta \beta_z} \] (15.2.9)

The above is the wave impedance for a propagating plane wave with propagation direction or \( \beta \) inclined with an angle \( \theta \) respect to the \( z \) axis. When \( \theta = 0 \), the wave impedance becomes the intrinsic impedance of space.

A two region, single-interface reflection problem can then be mathematically mapped to a single-junction transmission-line problem discussed in Section 13.1.1. The equivalent characteristic impedances of these two regions are then
\[ Z_{01} = \frac{\omega \mu_1}{\beta_{1z}}, \quad Z_{02} = \frac{\omega \mu_2}{\beta_{2z}} \] (15.2.10)

We can use the above to find \( \Gamma_{12} \) as given by
\[ \Gamma_{12} = \frac{Z_{02} - Z_{01}}{Z_{02} + Z_{01}} = \frac{(\mu_2/\beta_{2z}) - (\mu_1/\beta_{1z})}{(\mu_2/\beta_{2z}) + (\mu_1/\beta_{1z})} \] (15.2.11)

The above is the same as the Fresnel reflection coefficient found earlier for TE waves or \( R_{TE} \) after some simple re-arrangement.

Assuming that we have a single junction transmission line, one can define a transmission coefficient given by
\[ T_{12} = 1 + \Gamma_{12} = \frac{2Z_{02}}{Z_{02} + Z_{01}} = \frac{2(\mu_2/\beta_{2z})}{(\mu_2/\beta_{2z}) + (\mu_1/\beta_{1z})} \] (15.2.12)

The above is similar to the continuity of the voltage across the junction, which is the same as the continuity of the tangential electric field across the interface. It is also the same as the Fresnel transmission coefficient \( T_{TE} \).
15.2.2 TM or TMz Waves

For the TM polarization, by invoking duality principle, the corresponding equations are, from (15.2.7) and (15.2.8),

\[ \frac{d}{dz} H_y = -j\omega\varepsilon E_x \]  
\[ \frac{d}{dz} E_x = -j\omega\mu \cos^2 \theta H_y \]  

(15.2.13) (15.2.14)

Just for consistency of units, since electric field is in V m\(^{-1}\), and magnetic field is in A m\(^{-1}\)
we may choose the following map to convert the above into the telegrapher’s equations, viz:

\[ E_y \rightarrow V, \quad H_y \rightarrow I, \quad \mu \cos^2 \theta \rightarrow L, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow C \]  

(15.2.15)

Then, the equivalent characteristic impedance is now

\[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \cos \theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \beta_z}{\varepsilon} \beta} = \frac{\beta_z}{\omega \varepsilon} \]  

(15.2.16)

The above is also termed the wave impedance of a TM propagating wave making an inclined angle \( \theta \) with respect to the \( z \) axis. Notice again that this wave impedance becomes the intrinsic impedance of space when \( \theta = 0 \).

Now, using the reflection coefficient for a single-junction transmission line, and the appropriate characteristic impedances for the two lines as given in (15.2.16), we arrive at

\[ \Gamma_{12} = \left( \frac{\beta_{2z}/\varepsilon_2}{\beta_{2z}/\varepsilon_2 + (\beta_{1z}/\varepsilon_1)} \right) \]  

(15.2.17)

Notice that (15.2.17) has a sign difference from the definition of \( R^{TM} \) derived earlier in the last lecture. The reason is that \( R^{TM} \) is for the reflection coefficient of magnetic field while \( \Gamma_{12} \) above is for the reflection coefficient of the voltage or the electric field. This difference is also seen in the definition for transmission coefficients.\(^3\) A voltage transmission coefficient can be defined to be

\[ T_{12} = 1 + \Gamma_{12} = \frac{2(\beta_{2z}/\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta_{2z}/\varepsilon_2 + (\beta_{1z}/\varepsilon_1)} \]  

(15.2.18)

But this will be the transmission coefficient for the voltage, which is not the same as \( T^{TM} \) which is the transmission coefficient for the magnetic field or the current. Different textbooks may define different transmission coefficients for this polarization.

\(^3\)This is often the source of confusion for these reflection and transmission coefficients.
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Waves in Layered Media

16.1 Waves in Layered Media

Because of the homomorphism between the transmission line problem and the plane-wave reflection by interfaces, we will exploit the simplicity of the transmission line theory to arrive at formulas for plane wave reflection by layered media. This treatment is not found in any other textbooks.

16.1.1 Generalized Reflection Coefficient for Layered Media

Because of the homomorphism between transmission line problems and plane waves in layered medium problems, one can capitalize on using the multi-section transmission line formulas for generalized reflection coefficient, which is

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} = \frac{\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 l_2}}{1 + \Gamma_{12} \Gamma_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 l_2}}$$

(16.1.1)

This reflection coefficient includes multiple reflections from the right of the 12 junction. It can be used to study electromagnetic waves in layered media shown in Figures 16.1 and 16.2.

Using the result from the multi-junction transmission line, we can write down the generalized reflection coefficient for a layered medium with an incident wave at the 12 interface, including multiple reflections from the right. It is given by

$$\hat{R}_{12} = \frac{R_{12} + \hat{R}_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 l_2}}{1 + R_{12} \hat{R}_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 l_2}}$$

(16.1.2)

where $l_2$ is now the thickness of the region 2. In the above, we assume that the wave is incident from medium 1 which is semi-infinite, the generalized reflection coefficient above is defined at the media 1 and 2 interface.\(^1\) It is assumed that there are multiple reflection coming from the 23 interface, so that the 23 reflection coefficient is the generalized reflection coefficient $\hat{R}_{23}$.

\(^1\)We have borrowed Figure 16.1 from Kong’s book, where the first region is Region 0. But in our lecture, the first region is Region 1.
Figure 16.1: Figure for layered media borrowed from Kong’s book. Please note that in our notes, the first region is Region 1. We shall also, replace $x$ with $z$ and vice versa (courtesy of J.A. Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory).

Figure 16.2 shows the case of a normally incident wave into a layered media. For this case, the wave impedance becomes the intrinsic impedance.
Figure 16.2: The equivalence of a layered medium problem to a transmission line problem. This equivalence is possible even for oblique incidence. For normal incidence, the wave impedance becomes intrinsic impedances (courtesy of J.A. Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory).

We shall discuss finding guided waves in a layered medium next using the generalized reflection coefficient. For a general guided wave along the longitudinal direction parallel to the interfaces ($x$ direction in our notation), the wave will propagate in the manner of

$$e^{-j\beta_s x}$$

For instance, the surface plasmon mode that we found previously can be thought of as a wave propagating in the $x$ direction. This wave has very interesting phase and group velocity. Hence, it is prudent to understand phase and group velocity better before doing this.
16.2 Phase Velocity and Group Velocity

Now that we know how a medium can be frequency dispersive in the Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld (DLS) model, we are ready to distinguish the difference between the phase velocity and the group velocity.

16.2.1 Phase Velocity

The phase velocity is the velocity of the phase of a wave. It is only defined for a mono-chromatic signal (also called time-harmonic, CW (constant wave), or sinusoidal signal) at one given frequency. A sinusoidal wave signal, e.g., the voltage signal on a transmission line, can take the form

\[ V(z, t) = V_0 \cos(\omega t - k z + \alpha) \]  

(16.2.1)

This sinusoidal signal moves with a velocity

\[ v_{ph} = \frac{\omega}{k} \]  

(16.2.2)

where, for example, \( k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} \), inside a simple coax. Hence,

\[ v_{ph} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}} \]  

(16.2.3)

But a dielectric medium can be frequency dispersive, or \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) is not a constant but a function of \( \omega \) as has been shown with the Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld model. Therefore, signals with different \( \omega \)'s will travel with different phase velocity.

More bizarre still, what if the coax is filled with a plasma medium where

\[ \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}\right) \]  

(16.2.4)

Then, \( \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \) always meaning that the phase velocity given by (16.2.3) can be larger than the velocity of light in vacuum (assuming \( \mu = \mu_0 \)). Also, \( \varepsilon = 0 \) when \( \omega = \omega_p \), implying that \( k = 0 \); then in accordance to (16.2.2), \( v_{ph} = \infty \). These ludicrous observations can be justified or understood only if we can show that information can only be sent by using a wave packet.\(^2\)

The same goes for energy which can only be sent by wave packets, but not by CW signal; only in this manner can a finite amount of energy be sent. These wave packets can only travel at the group velocity as shall be shown, which is always less than the velocity of light.

\(^2\)In information theory, according to Shannon, the basic unit of information is a bit, which can only be sent by a digital signal, or a wave packet.
16.2.2 Group Velocity

Figure 16.3: A Gaussian wave packet can be thought of as a linear superposition of monochromatic waves of slightly different frequencies. If one Fourier transforms the above signal, it will be a narrow-band signal centered about certain $\omega_0$ (courtesy of Wikimedia [100]).

Now, consider a narrow band wave packet as shown in Figure 16.3. It cannot be monochromatic, but can be written as a linear superposition of many frequencies. One way to express this is to write this wave packet as an integral in terms of Fourier transform, or a summation over many frequencies, namely

$$V(z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega V(z,\omega) e^{j\omega t} \quad (16.2.5)$$

Assume that $V(z,t)$ is the solution to the dispersive transmission line equations with $\varepsilon(\omega)$, then it can be shown that $V(z,\omega)$ is the solution to the one-dimensional Helmholtz equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} V(z,\omega) + k^2(\omega)V(z,\omega) = 0 \quad (16.2.6)$$

$^3$In this notes, we will use $k$ and $\beta$ interchangeably for wavenumber. The transmission line community tends to use $\beta$ while the optics community uses $k$. 
When the dispersive transmission line is filled with dispersive material, then \( k^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon(\omega) \). Thus, upon solving the above equation, one obtains that \( V(z, \omega) = V_0(\omega)e^{-jkz} \), and

\[
V(z, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega V_0(\omega)e^{j(\omega t - k z)} \tag{16.2.7}
\]

In the general case, \( k \) is a complicated function of \( \omega \) as shown in Figure 16.4.

Since this is a wave packet, we assume that \( V_0(\omega) \) is narrow band centered about a frequency \( \omega_0 \), the carrier frequency as shown in Figure 16.5. Therefore, when the integral in (16.2.7) is performed, it needs only be summed over a narrow range of frequencies in the vicinity of \( \omega_0 \).
Figure 16.5: The frequency spectrum of $V_0(\omega)$.

Thus, we can approximate the integrand in the vicinity of $\omega = \omega_0$, and let
\[ k(\omega) \approx k(\omega_0) + (\omega - \omega_0) \frac{dk(\omega_0)}{d\omega} + \frac{1}{2} (\omega - \omega_0)^2 \frac{d^2k(\omega_0)}{d\omega^2} + \cdots \]  

To ensure the real-valuedness of (16.2.5), one ensures that $-\omega$ part of the integrand is exactly the complex conjugate of the $+\omega$ part. Another way is to sum over only the $+\omega$ part of the integral and take twice the real part of the integral. So, for simplicity, we write (16.2.5) as
\[ V(z, t) = 2\Re \int_0^\infty d\omega V_0(\omega) e^{j(\omega_0 t - k \omega_0 z)} \]  

Since we need to integrate over $\omega \approx \omega_0$, we can substitute (16.2.8) into (16.2.9) and rewrite it as
\[ V(z, t) \approx 2\Re \left[ e^{j[\omega_0 t - k(\omega_0) z]} \int_0^\infty d\omega V_0(\omega) e^{j(\omega - \omega_0) t} e^{-j(\omega - \omega_0) \frac{dk}{d\omega} z} \right] \]  

where more specifically,
\[ F \left( t - \frac{dk}{d\omega} z \right) = \int_0^\infty d\omega V_0(\omega) e^{j(\omega - \omega_0) t} e^{-j(\omega - \omega_0) \frac{dk}{d\omega} z} \]
It can be seen that the above integral now involves the integral summation over a small range of $\omega$ in the vicinity of $\omega_0$. By a change of variable by letting $\Omega = \omega - \omega_0$, it becomes

$$F\left(t - \frac{dk}{d\omega}z\right) = \int_{-\Delta}^{+\Delta} d\Omega V_0(\Omega + \omega_0) e^{j\Omega(t - \frac{dk}{d\omega}z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16.2.12)$$

When $\Omega$ ranges from $-\Delta$ to $+\Delta$ in the above integral, the value of $\omega$ ranges from $\omega_0 - \Delta$ to $\omega_0 + \Delta$. It is assumed that outside this range of $\omega$, $V_0(\omega)$ is sufficiently small so that its value can be ignored.

The above itself is a Fourier transform integral that involves only the low frequencies of the Fourier spectrum. Hence, $F$ is a slowly varying function. Moreover, this function $F$ moves with a velocity

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16.2.13)$$

Here, $F(t - \frac{z}{v_g})$ in fact is the velocity of the envelope in Figure 16.3. In (16.2.10), the envelope function $F(t - \frac{z}{v_g})$ is multiplied by the rapidly varying function

$$e^{j[\omega_0(t - k(\omega_0)z)]}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16.2.14)$$

before one takes the real part of the entire function. Hence, this rapidly varying part represents the rapidly varying carrier frequency shown in Figure 16.3. More importantly, this carrier, the rapidly varying part of the signal, moves with the velocity

$$v_{ph} = \frac{\omega_0}{k(\omega_0)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16.2.15)$$

which is the phase velocity.

### 16.3 Wave Guidance in a Layered Media

Now that we have understood phase and group velocity, we are at ease with studying the We have seen that in the case of a surface plasmonic resonance, the wave is guided by an interface because the Fresnel reflection coefficient becomes infinite. This physically means that a reflected wave exists even if an incident wave is absent or vanishingly small. This condition can be used to find a guided mode in a layered medium, namely, to find the condition under which the generalized reflection coefficient (16.1.2) will become infinite.

#### 16.3.1 Transverse Resonance Condition

Therefore, to have a guided mode exist in a layered medium, the denominator of (16.1.2) is zero, or that

$$1 + R_{12}\tilde{R}_{23} e^{-2j\beta_{12}l_2} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (16.3.1)$$
where \( t \) is the thickness of the dielectric slab. Since \( R_{12} = -R_{21} \), the above can be written as

\[
1 = R_{21} \tilde{R}_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 t_2} \quad (16.3.2)
\]

The above has the physical meaning that the wave, after going through two reflections at the two interfaces, 21, and 23 interfaces, which are \( R_{21} \) and \( \tilde{R}_{23} \), plus a phase delay given by \( e^{-2j\beta_2 t_2} \), becomes itself again. This is also known as the transverse resonance condition. When specialized to the case of a dielectric slab with two interfaces and three regions, the above becomes

\[
1 = R_{21} R_{23} e^{-2j\beta_2 t_2} \quad (16.3.3)
\]

The above can be generalized to finding the guided mode in a general layered medium. It can also be specialized to finding the guided mode of a dielectric slab.
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Dielectric Waveguides

Before we embark on the study of dielectric waveguides, we will revisit the transverse resonance again. The transverse resonance condition allows one to derive the guidance conditions for a dielectric waveguide easily without having to match the boundary conditions at the interface again: The boundary conditions are already used when deriving the Fresnel reflection coefficients, and hence they are embedded in these reflection coefficients. Much of the materials in this lecture can be found in [31, 38, 75].

17.1 Generalized Transverse Resonance Condition

The guidance conditions, the transverse resonance condition given previously, can also be derived for the more general case. The generalized transverse resonance condition is a powerful condition that can be used to derive the guidance condition of a mode in a layered medium.

To derive this condition, we first have to realize that a guided mode in a waveguide is due to the coherent or constructive interference of the waves. This implies that if a plane wave starts at position 1 (see Figure 17.1) and is multiply reflected as shown, it will regain its original phase in the \( x \) direction at position 5. Since this mode progresses in the \( z \) direction, all these waves (also known as partial waves) are in phase in the \( z \) direction by the phase matching condition. Otherwise, the boundary conditions cannot be satisfied. That is, waves at 1 and 5 will gain the same phase in the \( z \) direction. But, for them to add coherently or interfere coherently in the \( x \) direction, the transverse phase at 5 must be the same as 1.

Assuming that the wave starts with amplitude 1 at position 1, it will gain a transverse phase of \( e^{-j \beta_0 x t} \) when it reaches position 2. Upon reflection at \( x = x_2 \), at position 3, the wave becomes \( \tilde{R}_+ e^{-j \beta_0 x t} \) where \( \tilde{R}_+ \) is the generalized reflection coefficient at the right interface of Region 0. Finally, at position 5, it becomes \( \tilde{R}_- \tilde{R}_+ e^{-2j \beta_0 x t} \) where \( \tilde{R}_- \) is the generalized reflection coefficient at the left interface of Region 0. For constructive interference to occur,

---

1The waveguide convention is to assume the direction of propagation to be \( z \). Since we are analyzing a guided mode in a layered medium, \( z \) axis is as shown in this figure.
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Figure 17.1: The transverse resonance condition for a layered medium. The phase of the wave at position 5 should be equal to the transverse phase at position 1.

or for the mode to exist, we require that

\[ \tilde{R}_- \tilde{R}_+ e^{-2j\beta_0 t} = 1 \]  

(17.1.1)

The above is the generalized transverse resonance condition for the guidance condition for a plane wave mode traveling in a layered medium.

In (17.1.1), a metallic wall has a reflection coefficient of 1 for a TM wave, hence if \( \tilde{R}_+ \) is 1, Equation (17.1.1) becomes

\[ 1 - \tilde{R}_- e^{2j\beta_0 t} = 0. \]  

(17.1.2)

On the other hand, in (17.1.1), a metallic wall has a reflection coefficient of \(-1\), for TE wave, and Equation (17.1.1) becomes

\[ 1 + \tilde{R}_- e^{2j\beta_0 t} = 0. \]  

(17.1.3)

17.2 Dielectric Waveguide

The most important dielectric waveguide of the modern world is the optical fiber, whose invention was credited to Charles Kao [90]. He was awarded the Nobel prize in 2009 [101]. However, the analysis of the optical fiber requires analysis in cylindrical coordinates and the use of special functions such as Bessel functions. In order to capture the essence of dielectric waveguides, one can study the slab dielectric waveguide, which shares many salient features with the optical fiber. This waveguide is also used as thin-film optical waveguides (see Figure 17.2). We start with analyzing the TE modes in this waveguide.
Dielectric Waveguides

Figure 17.2: An optical thin-film waveguide is made by coating a thin dielectric film or sheet on a metallic surface. The wave is guided by total internal reflection at the top interface, and by metallic reflection at the bottom interface.

17.2.1 TE Case

We shall look at the application of the transverse resonance condition to a TE wave guided in a dielectric waveguide. Again, we assume the direction of propagation of the guided mode to be in the $z$ direction in accordance to convention. Specializing the above equation to the dielectric waveguide shown in Figure 17.3, we have the guidance condition as

$$1 = R_1 R_{12} e^{-2j\beta_1 x d} \quad (17.2.1)$$

where $d$ is the thickness of the dielectric slab. Guidance of a mode is due to total internal reflection, and hence, we expect Region 1 to be optically more dense (in terms of optical refractive indices)\(^2\) than region 0 and 2.

To simplify the analysis further, we assume Region 2 to be the same as Region 0. The new guidance condition is then

$$1 = R_{10}^2 e^{-2j\beta_1 x d} \quad (17.2.2)$$

\(^2\)Optically more dense means higher optical refractive index, or higher dielectric constant.
Also, we assume that $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_0$ so that total internal reflection occurs at both interfaces as the wave bounces around so that $\beta_{0x} = -j\alpha_{0x}$. Therefore, for TE polarization, the single-interface reflection coefficient is

$$R_{10} = \frac{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} - \mu_1 \beta_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} + \mu_1 \beta_{0x}} = \frac{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} + j\mu_1 \alpha_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} - j\mu_1 \alpha_{0x}} = e^{j\theta_{TE}}$$ \hfill (17.2.3)

where $\theta_{TE}$ is the Goos-Hanschenshift for total internal reflection. It is given by

$$\theta_{TE} = 2 \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{\mu_1 \alpha_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x}} \right)$$ \hfill (17.2.4)

The guidance condition for constructive interference according to (17.2.1) is such that

$$2\theta_{TE} - 2\beta_{1x}d = 2n\pi$$ \hfill (17.2.5)

From the above, dividing it by four, and taking its tangent, we get

$$\tan\left( \frac{\theta_{TE}}{2} \right) = \tan\left( \frac{n\pi}{2} + \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right)$$ \hfill (17.2.6)

or

$$\frac{\mu_1 \alpha_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x}} = \tan\left( \frac{n\pi}{2} + \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right)$$ \hfill (17.2.7)

The above gives rise to

$$\mu_1 \alpha_{0x} = \mu_0 \beta_{1x} \tan\left( \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right), \quad n \text{ even}$$ \hfill (17.2.8)

$$-\mu_1 \alpha_{0x} = \mu_0 \beta_{1x} \cot\left( \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right), \quad n \text{ odd}$$ \hfill (17.2.9)

It can be shown that when $n$ is even, the mode profile is even, whereas when $n$ is odd, the mode profile is odd. The above can also be rewritten as

$$\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \tan\left( \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{0x}d}{2}, \quad \text{even modes}$$ \hfill (17.2.10)

$$-\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \cot\left( \frac{\beta_{1x}d}{2} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{0x}d}{2}, \quad \text{odd modes}$$ \hfill (17.2.11)

Using the fact that $-\alpha_{0x}^2 = \beta_0^2 - \beta_z^2$, and that $\beta_{1x}^2 = \beta_1^2 - \beta_z^2$, eliminating $\beta_z$ from these two equations, one can show that

$$\alpha_{0x} = \left[ \omega^2 (\mu_1 \varepsilon_1 - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0) - \beta_{1x}^2 \right]^\frac{1}{2}$$ \hfill (17.2.12)
and (17.2.10) and (17.2.11) become

\[
\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \tan \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{0x} d}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 (\mu_1 \epsilon_1 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0)^{d^2}}{4} - \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right)^2}, \quad \text{even modes (17.2.13)}
\]

\[
-\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \cot \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right) = \frac{\alpha_{0x} d}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2 (\mu_1 \epsilon_1 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0)^{d^2}}{4} - \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right)^2}, \quad \text{odd modes (17.2.14)}
\]

We can solve the above graphically by plotting

\[
y_1 = \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \tan \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right) \quad \text{even modes (17.2.15)}
\]

\[
y_2 = -\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \cot \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right) \quad \text{odd modes (17.2.16)}
\]

\[
y_3 = \left[ \frac{\alpha_{0x} d}{2} \right]^2 = \left[ \omega^2 (\mu_1 \epsilon_1 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0)^{d^2} - \left( \frac{\beta_{1x} d}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

Figure 17.4: A way to solve (17.2.13) and (17.2.13) is via a graphical method. In this method, both the right-hand side and the left-hand side of the equations are plotted on the same plot. The solutions are the points of intersection of these plots.
In the above, $y_3$ is the equation of a circle; the radius of the circle is given by

$$\omega (\mu_1 \epsilon_1 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0)^\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2}$$ (17.2.18)

The solutions to (17.2.13) and (17.2.14) are given by the intersections of $y_3$ with $y_1$ and $y_2$. We note from (17.2.1) that the radius of the circle can be increased in three ways: (i) by increasing the frequency, (ii) by increasing the contrast $\frac{\mu_1 \epsilon_1}{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}$, and (iii) by increasing the thickness $d$ of the slab.\(^3\) The mode profiles of the first two modes are shown in Figure 17.5.

\[\text{Figure 17.5: Mode profiles of the TE}_0\text{ and TE}_1\text{ modes of a dielectric slab waveguide (courtesy of J.A. Kong [31]).}\]

When $\beta_{0x} = -j \alpha_{0x}$, the reflection coefficient for total internal reflection is

$$R_{10}^{TE} = \frac{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} + j \mu_1 \alpha_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x} - j \mu_1 \alpha_{0x}} = \exp \left[ +2j \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\mu_1 \alpha_{0x}}{\mu_0 \beta_{1x}} \right) \right]$$ (17.2.19)

and $|R_{10}^{TE}| = 1$. Hence, the wave is guided by total internal reflections.

**Cut-off occurs** when the total internal reflection ceases to occur, i.e. when the frequency decreases such that $\alpha_{0x} = 0$.

From Figure 17.4, we see that $\alpha_{0x} = 0$ when

$$\omega (\mu_1 \epsilon_1 - \mu_0 \epsilon_0)^\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2} = \frac{m \pi}{2}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$ (17.2.20)

\(^3\)These features are also shared by the optical fiber.
or
\[ \omega_{mc} = \frac{m\pi}{d(\mu_1\epsilon_1 - \mu_0\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \] (17.2.21)

The mode that corresponds to the \( m \)-th cut-off frequency above is labeled the \( \text{TE}_m \) mode. Thus \( \text{TE}_0 \) mode is the mode that has no cut-off or propagates at all frequencies. This is shown in Figure 17.6 where the TE mode profiles are similar since they are dual to each other. The boundary conditions at the dielectric interface is that the field and its normal derivative have to be continuous. The \( \text{TE}_0 \) or \( \text{TM}_0 \) mode can satisfy this boundary condition at all frequencies, but not the \( \text{TE}_1 \) or \( \text{TM}_1 \) mode. At the cut-off frequency, the field outside the slab has to become flat implying the \( \alpha_{0x} = 0 \) implying no guidance.

![Figure 17.6: The TE modes are dual to the TM modes and have similar mode profiles.](image)

At cut-off, \( \alpha_{0x} = 0 \), and from the dispersion relation that \( \alpha_{0x}^2 = \beta_z^2 - \beta_0^2 \),

\[ \beta_z = \omega \sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}, \]

for all the modes. Hence, both the group and the phase velocities are that of the outer region. This is because when \( \alpha_{0x} = 0 \), the wave is not evanescent outside, and most of the energy of the mode is carried by the exterior field.

When \( \omega \to \infty \), the radius of the circle in the plot of \( y_3 \) becomes increasingly larger. As seen from Figure 17.4, the solution for \( \beta_{1x} \to \frac{n\pi}{d} \) for all the modes. From the dispersion relation for Region 1,

\[ \beta_z = \sqrt{\omega^2\mu_1\epsilon_1 - \beta_{1x}^2} \approx \omega \sqrt{\mu_1\epsilon_1}, \quad \omega \to \infty \] (17.2.22)
Hence the group and phase velocities approach that of the dielectric slab. This is because when \( \omega \to \infty, \alpha_0x \to \infty \), implying that the fields are trapped or confined in the slab and propagating within it. Because of this, the dispersion diagram of the different modes appear as shown in Figure 17.7. In this figure,\(^4\) \( k_{c1}, k_{c2}, \) and \( k_{c3} \) are the cut-off wave number or frequency of the first three modes. Close to cut-off, the field is traveling mostly outside the waveguide, and \( k_z \approx \omega \sqrt{\mu_0\varepsilon_0} \), and both the phase and group velocities approach that of the outer medium as shown in the figure. When the frequency increases, the mode is tightly confined in the dielectric slab, and \( k_z \approx \omega \sqrt{\mu_1\varepsilon_1} \). Both the phase and group velocities approach that of Region 1 as shown.

\[\text{Figure 17.7: Here, we have } k_z \text{ versus } k_1 \text{ plot for dielectric slab waveguide. Near its cut-off, the energy of the mode is in the outer region, and hence, its group velocity is close to that of the outer region. At high frequencies, the mode is tightly bound to the slab, and its group velocity approaches that of the dielectric slab (courtesy of J.A. Kong [31]).}\]

17.2.2 TM Case

For the TM case, a similar guidance condition analogous to (17.2.1) can be derived but with the understanding that the reflection coefficients in (17.2.1) are now TM reflection coefficients.

\(^4\)Please note again that in this course, we will use \( \beta \) and \( k \) interchangeably for wavenumbers.
Similar derivations show that the above guidance condition, for \( \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_0, \mu_2 = \mu_0 \), reduces to

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1} \frac{d}{2} \beta_{1x} \tan \beta_{1x} \frac{d}{2} = \sqrt{\omega^2 (\mu_1 \varepsilon_1 - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0) \frac{d^2}{4} - \left(\beta_{1x} \frac{d}{2}\right)^2}, \quad \text{even modes} \quad (17.2.23)
\]

\[
-\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1} \beta_{1x} \frac{d}{2} \cot \beta_{1x} \frac{d}{2} = \sqrt{\omega^2 (\mu_1 \varepsilon_1 - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0) \frac{d^2}{4} - \left(\beta_{1x} \frac{d}{2}\right)^2}, \quad \text{odd modes} \quad (17.2.24)
\]

Note that for equation (17.2.1), when we have two parallel metallic plates, \( R^{TM} = 1 \), and \( R^{TE} = -1 \), and the guidance condition becomes

\[
1 = e^{-2j\beta_{1x}d} \Leftrightarrow \beta_{1x} = \frac{m\pi}{d}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (17.2.25)
\]

### 17.2.3 A Note on Cut-Off of Dielectric Waveguides

The concept of cut-off in dielectric waveguides is quite different from that of hollow waveguides that we shall learn next. A mode is guided in a dielectric waveguide if the wave is trapped inside, in this case, the dielectric slab. The trapping is due to the total internal reflections at the top and the bottom interface of the waveguide. When total internal reflection ceases to occur at any of the two interfaces, the wave is not guided or trapped inside the dielectric slab anymore. This happens when \( \alpha_{ix} = 0 \) where \( i \) can indicate the top-most or the bottom-most region. In other words, the wave ceases to be evanescent in Region \( i \).
Hollow waveguides are useful for high-power microwaves. Air has a higher breakdown voltage compared to most materials, and hence, could be a good medium for propagating high power microwave. Also, hollow waveguides are sufficiently shielded from the rest of the world so that interference from other sources is minimized. Furthermore, for radio astronomy, they can provide a low-noise system immune to interference. Air generally has less loss than materials, and loss is often the source of thermal noise. A low loss waveguide is also a low noise waveguide.\footnote{There is a fluctuation dissipation theorem [102,103] that says that when a system loses energy to the environment, it also receives the same amount of energy from the environment in order to conserve energy. Hence, a lossy system loses energy to its environment, but it receives energy back from the environment in terms of thermal noise.}

Many waveguide problems can be solved in closed form. An example is the coaxial waveguide previously discussed. But there are many other waveguide problems that have closed form solutions. Closed form solutions to Laplace and Helmholtz equations are obtained by the separation of variables method. The separation of variables method works only for separable coordinate systems. (There are 11 separable coordinates for Helmholtz equations, but 13 for Laplace equation.) Some examples of separable coordinate systems are cartesian, cylindrical, and spherical coordinates. But these three coordinates are about all we need to know for solving many engineering problems. More complicated cases are now handled with numerical methods using computers.

When a waveguide has a center conductor or two conductors like a coaxial cable, it can support a TEM wave where both the electric field and the magnetic field are orthogonal to the direction of propagation. The uniform plane wave is an example of a TEM wave, for instance.

However, when the waveguide is hollow or is filled completely with a homogeneous medium, without a center conductor, it cannot support a TEM mode as we shall prove next. Much of the materials of this lecture can be found in [31,75,84].
18.1 Hollow Waveguides

18.1.1 Absence of TEM Mode in a Hollow Waveguide

We would like to prove by contradiction (reductio ad absurdum) that a hollow waveguide as shown in Figure 18.1 (i.e. without a center conductor) cannot support a TEM mode as follows. If we assume that TEM mode does exist, then the magnetic field has to end on itself due to the absence of magnetic charges. It is clear that \( \oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} \neq 0 \) about any closed contour following the magnetic field lines. But Ampere's law states that the above is equal to

\[
\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = j\omega \iint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} + \iint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (18.1.1)
\]

Hence, this equation cannot be satisfied unless there are \( E_z \neq 0 \) component, or that \( J_z \neq 0 \) inside the waveguide. The right-hand side of the above cannot be entirely zero, or this implies that \( E_z \neq 0 \) unless a center conductor carrying a current \( \mathbf{J} \) is there. This implies that a TEM mode in a hollow waveguide without a center conductor cannot exist.

Therefore, in a hollow waveguide filled with homogeneous medium, only TE \(_z\) or TM \(_z\) modes can exist like the case of a layered medium. For a TE \(_z\) wave (or TE wave), \( E_z = 0 \), \( H_z \neq 0 \) while for a TM \(_z\) wave (or TM wave), \( H_z = 0 \), \( E_z \neq 0 \). These classes of problems can be decomposed into two scalar problems like the layered medium case, by using the pilot potential method. However, when the hollow waveguide is filled with a center conductor, the TEM mode can exist in addition to TE and TM modes.

We will also study some closed form solutions to hollow waveguides, such as the rectangular waveguides. These closed form solutions offer us physical insight into the propagation of waves in a hollow waveguide. Another waveguide where closed form solutions can be obtained is the circular hollow waveguide. The solutions need to be sought in terms of Bessel functions. Another waveguide with closed form solutions is the elliptical waveguide. However, the solutions are too complicated to be considered.
18.1.2 TE Case \((E_z = 0, \, H_z \neq 0)\)

In this case, the field inside the waveguide is TE to \(z\) or TE\(_z\). To ensure a TE field, we can write the \(E\) field as

\[
E(r) = \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_h(r) \tag{18.1.2}
\]

Equation (18.1.2) will guarantee that \(E_z = 0\) due to its construction. Here, \(\Psi_h(r)\) is a scalar potential and \(\hat{z}\) is called the pilot vector.\(^2\)

The waveguide is assumed source free and filled with a lossless, homogeneous material. Eq. (18.1.2) also satisfies the source-free condition since \(\nabla \cdot E = 0\). And hence, from Maxwell’s equations, it can be shown that the electric field \(E(r)\) satisfies the following Helmholtz wave equation, or partial differential equation that

\[
(\nabla^2 + \beta^2)E(r) = 0 \tag{18.1.3}
\]

where \(\beta^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon\). Substituting (18.1.2) into (18.1.3), we get

\[
(\nabla^2 + \beta^2)\nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_h(r) = 0 \tag{18.1.4}
\]

In the above, we assume that \(\nabla^2 \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi = \nabla \times \hat{z} (\nabla^2 \Psi)\), or that these operators commute.\(^3\)

Then it follows that

\[
\nabla \times \hat{z}(\nabla^2 + \beta^2)\Psi_h(r) = 0 \tag{18.1.5}
\]

Thus, if \(\Psi_h(r)\) satisfies the following Helmholtz wave equation of partial differential equation

\[
(\nabla^2 + \beta^2)\Psi_h(r) = 0 \tag{18.1.6}
\]

then (18.1.5) is satisfied, and so is (18.1.3). Hence, the \(E\) field constructed with (18.1.2) satisfies Maxwell’s equations, if \(\Psi_h(r)\) satisfies (18.1.6).

\(^2\)It “pilots” the field so that it is transverse to \(z\).

\(^3\)This is a mathematical parlance, and a commutator is defined to be \([A, B] = AB - BA\) for two operators \(A\) and \(B\). If these two operators commute, then \([A, B] = 0\).
Next, we look at the boundary condition for $\Psi_h(r)$ which is derivable from the boundary condition for $E$. The boundary condition for $E$ is that $\hat{n} \times E = 0$ on $C$, the PEC wall of the waveguide. But from (18.1.2), using the back-of-the-cab (BOTC) formula,

$$\hat{n} \times E = \hat{n} \times (\nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_h) = -\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \Psi_h = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (18.1.7)

In applying the BOTC formula, one has to be mindful that $\nabla$ operates on a function to its right, and the function $\Psi_h$ should be placed to the right of the $\nabla$ operator.

In the above $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla = \hat{n} \cdot \nabla_s$ where $\nabla_s = \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ since $\hat{n}$ has no $z$ component. The boundary condition (18.1.7) then becomes

$$\hat{n} \cdot \nabla_s \Psi_h = \partial_n \Psi_h = 0 \text{ on } C$$ \hspace{1cm} (18.1.8)

which is also known as the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.

Furthermore, in a waveguide, just as in a transmission line case, we are looking for traveling solutions of the form $\exp(\mp j\beta z)$ for (18.1.6), or that

$$\Psi_h(r) = \Psi_{hs}(r_s) e^{\mp j\beta_s z}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18.1.9)

where $r_s = \hat{x} x + \hat{y} y$, or in short, $\Psi_{hs}(r_s) = \Psi_{hs}(x, y)$. Thus, $\partial_n \Psi_h = 0$ implies that $\partial_n \Psi_{hs} = 0$. With this assumption, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \rightarrow -\beta_s^2$, and (18.1.6) becomes even simpler, namely,

$$(\nabla_s^2 + \beta_s^2 - \beta_z^2)\Psi_{hs}(r_s) = (\nabla_s^2 + \beta_s^2)\Psi_{hs}(r_s) = 0 \hspace{0.5cm}, \hspace{0.5cm} \partial_n \Psi_{hs}(r_s) = 0 \text{ on } C$$ \hspace{1cm} (18.1.10)

where $\beta_s^2 = \beta^2 - \beta_z^2$. The above is a boundary value problem for a 2D waveguide problem. The above 2D wave equation is also called the reduced wave equation.
18.1.3 TM Case \((E_z \neq 0, H_z = 0)\)

Repeating similar treatment for TM waves, the TM magnetic field is then

\[
\mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_e(r) \tag{18.1.11}
\]

where

\[
(\nabla^2 + \beta^2) \Psi_e(r) = 0 \tag{18.1.12}
\]

We need to derive the boundary condition for \(\Psi_e(r)\) when we know that \(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0\) on the waveguide wall. To this end, we find the corresponding \(\mathbf{E}\) field by taking the curl of the magnetic field in (18.1.11), and thus the \(\mathbf{E}\) field is proportional to

\[
\mathbf{E} \sim \nabla \times \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_e(r) = \nabla \nabla \cdot (\hat{z} \Psi_e) - \nabla^2 \hat{z} \Psi_e = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}} \Psi_e + \hat{z} \beta^2 \Psi_e \tag{18.1.13}
\]

where we have used the BOTC formula to simplify the above. Taking the \(z\) component of the above, we get

\[
E_z \sim \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{z}^2} \Psi_e + \beta^2 \Psi_e \tag{18.1.14}
\]

Assuming that we have a propagating mode inside the waveguide so that

\[
\Psi_e \sim e^{\mp j \beta e z} \tag{18.1.15}
\]

then in (18.1.14), \(\partial^2 / \partial \hat{z}^2 \rightarrow -\beta^2\), and

\[
E_z \sim (\beta^2 - \beta^2 e z) \Psi_e \tag{18.1.16}
\]

Therefore, if

\[
\Psi_e(r) = 0 \text{ on } C, \tag{18.1.17}
\]

then,

\[
E_z(r) = 0 \text{ on } C \tag{18.1.18}
\]

Equation (18.1.16) is also called the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. One can further show from (18.1.13) that the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition also implies that the other components of tangential \(\mathbf{E}\) are zero, namely \(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0\) on the waveguide wall \(C\).

Thus, with some manipulation, the boundary value problem related to equation (18.1.12) reduces to a simpler 2D problem, i.e.,

\[
(\nabla_s^2 + \beta_s^2) \Psi_{es}(r_s) = 0 \tag{18.1.19}
\]

with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition that

\[
\Psi_{es}(r_s) = 0, \quad r_s \text{ on } C \tag{18.1.20}
\]

In the above, we have assumed that

\[
\Psi_e(r) = \Psi_{es}(r_s)e^{\mp j \beta e z} \tag{18.1.21}
\]

To illustrate the above theory, we can solve some simple waveguides problems.
18.2 Rectangular Waveguides

Rectangular waveguides are among the simplest waveguides to analyze because closed form solutions exist in cartesian coordinates. One can imagine traveling waves in the $xy$ directions bouncing off the walls of the waveguide causing standing waves to exist inside the waveguide.

As shall be shown, it turns out that not all electromagnetic waves can be guided by a hollow waveguide. Only when the wavelength is short enough, or the frequency is high enough that an electromagnetic wave can be guided by a waveguide. When a waveguide mode cannot propagate in a waveguide, that mode is known to be cut-off. The concept of cut-off for hollow waveguide is quite different from that of a dielectric waveguide we have learned previously.

18.2.1 TE Modes (H Mode or $H_z \neq 0$ Mode)

For this mode, the scalar potential $\Psi_{hs}(r_s)$ satisfies

$$\left( \nabla_{s}^2 + \beta_{s}^2 \right) \Psi_{hs}(r_s) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Psi_{hs}(r_s) = 0 \quad \text{on } C \quad (18.2.1)$$

where $\beta_{s}^2 = \beta^2 - \beta_z^2$. A viable solution using separation of variables\(^4\) for $\Psi_{hs}(x, y)$ is then

$$\Psi_{hs}(x, y) = A \cos(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) \quad (18.2.2)$$

where $\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 = \beta_z^2$. One can see that the above is the representation of standing waves in the $xy$ directions. It is quite clear that $\Psi_{hs}(x, y)$ satisfies equation (18.2.1). Furthermore, cosine functions, rather than sine functions are chosen with the hindsight that the above satisfies the homogenous Neumann boundary condition at $x = 0$, and $y = 0$ surfaces.

---

\(^4\)For those who are not familiar with this topic, please consult p. 385 of Kong [31].
Hollow Waveguides

To further satisfy the boundary condition at \( x = a \), and \( y = b \) surfaces, it is necessary that the boundary condition for eq. (18.1.8) is satisfied or that

\[
\partial_x \Psi_{hs}(x, y) \big|_{x=a} \sim \sin(\beta_x a) \cos(\beta_y y) = 0, \quad (18.2.3)
\]

\[
\partial_y \Psi_{hs}(x, y) \big|_{y=b} \sim \cos(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y b) = 0, \quad (18.2.4)
\]

The above puts constraints on \( \beta_x \) and \( \beta_y \), implying that \( \beta_x a = m\pi \), \( \beta_y b = n\pi \) where \( m \) and \( n \) are integers. Hence (18.2.2) becomes

\[
\Psi_{hs}(x, y) = A \cos \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} x \right) \cos \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} y \right) \quad (18.2.5)
\]

where

\[
\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 = \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^2 = \beta_z^2 = \beta^2 - \beta_x^2 \quad (18.2.6)
\]

Clearly, (18.2.5) satisfies the requisite homogeneous Neumann boundary condition at the entire waveguide wall.

At this point, it is prudent to stop and ponder on what we have done. Equation (18.2.1) is homomorphic to a matrix eigenvalue problem

\[
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (18.2.7)
\]

where \( \mathbf{x}_i \) is the eigenvector and \( \lambda_i \) is the eigenvalue. Therefore, \( \beta_x^2 \) is actually an eigenvalue, and \( \Psi_{hs}(r_s) \) is an eigenfunction (or an eigenmode), which is analogous to an eigenvector. Here, the eigenvalue \( \beta_x^2 \) is indexed by \( m, n \), so is the eigenfunction in (18.2.5). The corresponding eigenmode is also called the TE\(_{mn}\) mode.

The above condition on \( \beta_x^2 \) is also known as the guidance condition for the modes in the waveguide. Furthermore, from (18.2.6),

\[
\beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \beta_x^2} = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^2} \quad (18.2.8)
\]

And from (18.2.8), when the frequency is low enough, then

\[
\beta_x^2 = \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^2 > \beta^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \quad (18.2.9)
\]

and \( \beta_z \) becomes pure imaginary and the mode cannot propagate or become evanescent in the \( z \) direction.\(^5\) For fixed \( m \) and \( n \), the frequency at which the above happens is called the cutoff frequency of the TE\(_{mn}\) mode of the waveguide. It is given by

\[
\omega_{mn,c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}} \sqrt{\left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^2} \quad (18.2.10)
\]

---

\(^5\)We have seen this happening in a plasma medium earlier and also in total internal reflection.
When $\omega < \omega_{mn,c}$, the TE$_{mn}$ mode is evanescent and cannot propagate inside the waveguide. A corresponding cutoff wavelength is then

$$\lambda_{mn,c} = \frac{2}{\left[\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.2.11)

So when $\lambda > \lambda_{mn,c}$, the mode cannot propagate inside the waveguide.

When $m = n = 0$, then $\Psi_h(r) = \Psi_{hs}(x,y)$ exp($\mp j\beta_z z$) is a function independent of $x$ and $y$. Then $E(r) = \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_h(r) = \nabla_s \times \hat{z} \Psi_h(r) = 0$. It turns out the only way for $H_z \neq 0$ is for $H(r) = \hat{z} H_0$ which is a static field in the waveguide. This is not a very interesting mode, and thus TE$_{00}$ propagating mode is assumed not to exist and not useful. So the TE$_{mn}$ modes cannot have both $m = n = 0$. As such, the TE$_{10}$ mode, when $a > b$, is the mode with the lowest cutoff frequency or longest cutoff wavelength.

For the TE$_{10}$ mode, for the mode to propagate, from (18.2.11), it is needed that

$$\lambda < \lambda_{10,c} = 2a$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.2.12)

The above has the nice physical meaning that the wavelength has to be smaller than $2a$ in order for the mode to fit into the waveguide. As a mnemonic, we can think that photons have “sizes”, corresponding to its wavelength. Only when its wavelength is small enough can the photons go into (or be guided by) the waveguide. The TE$_{10}$ mode, when $a > b$, is also the mode with the lowest cutoff frequency or longest cutoff wavelength.

It is seen with the above analysis, when the wavelength is short enough, or frequency is high enough, many modes can be guided. Each of these modes has a different group and phase velocity. But for most applications, a single guided mode only is desirable. Hence, the knowledge of the cutoff frequencies of the fundamental mode (the mode with the lowest cutoff frequency) and the next higher mode is important. This allows one to pick a frequency window within which only a single mode can propagate in the waveguide.

It is to be noted that when a mode is cutoff, the field is evanescent, and there is no real power flow down the waveguide: Only reactive power is conveyed by such a mode.
Lecture 19

More on Hollow Waveguides

19.1 Rectangular Waveguides, Contd.

19.1.1 TM Modes (E Modes or $E_z \neq 0$ Modes)

The above exercise for TE modes can be repeated for the TM modes. The scalar wave function (or eigenfunction/eigenmode) for the TM modes is

$$\Psi_{es}(x, y) = A \sin \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} x \right) \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} y \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19.1.1)

Here, sine functions are chosen for the standing waves, and the chosen values of $\beta_x$ and $\beta_y$ ensure that the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition is satisfied on the entire waveguide wall. Neither of the $m$ and $n$ can be zero, lest the field is zero. In this case, both $m > 0$, and $n > 0$ are needed. Thus, the lowest TM mode is the TM$_{11}$ mode. Notice here that the eigenvalue is

$$\beta_s^2 = \beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 = \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (19.1.2)

Therefore, the corresponding cutoff frequencies and cutoff wavelengths for the TM$_{mn}$ modes are the same as the TE$_{mn}$ modes. These modes are degenerate in this case. For the lowest modes, TE$_{11}$ and TM$_{11}$ modes have the same cutoff frequency. Figure 19.1 shows the dispersion curves for different modes of a rectangular waveguide. Notice that the group velocities of all the modes are zero at cutoff, and then the group velocities approach that of the waveguide medium as frequency becomes large. These observations can be explained physically.
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Electromagnetic Field Theory

19.1.2 Bouncing Wave Picture

We have seen that the transverse variation of a mode in a rectangular waveguide can be expanded in terms of sine and cosine functions which represent standing waves, or that they are

\[ \exp(-j\beta_x x) \pm \exp(j\beta_x x) \] \[ \exp(-j\beta_y y) \pm \exp(j\beta_y y) \]

When the above is expanded and together with the \( \exp(-j\beta_z z) \) the mode propagating in the \( z \) direction, we see four waves bouncing around in the \( xy \) directions and propagating in the \( z \) direction. The picture of this bouncing wave can be depicted in Figure 19.2.

Figure 19.1: Dispersion curves for a rectangular waveguide. Notice that the lowest TM mode is the TM\(_{11}\) mode, and \( k \) is equivalent to \( \beta \) in this course (courtesy of J.A. Kong [31]).

Figure 19.2: The waves in a rectangular waveguide can be thought of as bouncing waves off the four walls as they propagate in the \( z \) direction.
19.1.3 Field Plots

Plots of the fields of different rectangular waveguide modes are shown in Figure 19.3. Higher frequencies are needed to propagate the higher order modes or the high \( m \) and \( n \) modes. Notice that for higher \( m \)'s and \( n \)'s, the transverse wavelengths are getting shorter, implying that \( \beta_x \) and \( \beta_y \) are getting larger because of the higher frequencies involved.

Notice also how the electric field and magnetic field curl around each other. Since \( \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j \omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \) and \( \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j \omega \mu \mathbf{H} \), they do not curl around each other “immediately” but with a \( \pi/2 \) phase delay due to the \( j \omega \) factor. Therefore, the \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) fields do not curl around each other at one location, but at a displaced location due to the \( \pi/2 \) phase difference. This is shown in Figure 19.4.

Figure 19.3: Transverse field plots of different modes in a rectangular waveguide (courtesy of Andy Greenwood. Original plots published in Lee, Lee, and Chuang, IEEE T-MTT, 33.3 (1985): pp. 271-274. [104]).
18.2 Circular Waveguides

Another waveguide where closed-form solutions can be easily obtained is the circular hollow waveguide as shown in Figure 19.5.

19.2.1 TE Case

For a circular waveguide, it is best first to express the Laplacian operator, $\nabla_s^2 = \nabla_s \cdot \nabla_s$, in cylindrical coordinates. Such formulas are given in [31, 105]. Doing a table lookup, $\nabla_s \Psi =$
The above is the partial differential equation for field in a circular waveguide. Using separation of variables, we let

\[ \Psi_{hs}(\rho, \phi) = B_n(\beta_s \rho) e^{\pm jn\phi} \] (19.2.2)

Then \( \frac{d^2}{d\phi^2} \rightarrow -n^2 \), and (19.2.1) becomes an ordinary differential equation which is

\[ \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} + \beta_s^2 \right) B_n(\beta_s \rho) = 0 \] (19.2.3)

Here, we can let \( \beta_s \rho \) in (19.2.2) and (19.2.3) be \( x \). Then the above can be rewritten as

\[ \left( \frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} x \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{n^2}{x^2} + 1 \right) B_n(x) = 0 \] (19.2.4)

The above is known as the Bessel equation whose solutions are special functions denoted as \( B_n(x) \).

These special functions are \( J_n(x) \), \( N_n(x) \), \( H_n^{(1)}(x) \), and \( H_n^{(2)}(x) \) which are called Bessel, Neumann, Hankel function of the first kind, and Hankel function of the second kind, respectively, where \( n \) is the order, and \( x \) is the argument.\(^1\) Since this is a second order ordinary differential equation, it has only two independent solutions. Therefore, two of the four commonly encountered solutions of Bessel equation are independent. Therefore, they can be expressed then in term of each other. Their relationships are shown below:\(^2\)

\[ J_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ H_n^{(1)}(x) + H_n^{(2)}(x) \right] \] (19.2.5)

\[ N_n(x) = \frac{1}{2j} \left[ H_n^{(1)}(x) - H_n^{(2)}(x) \right] \] (19.2.6)

\[ H_n^{(1)}(x) = J_n(x) + jN_n(x) \] (19.2.7)

\[ H_n^{(2)}(x) = J_n(x) - jN_n(x) \] (19.2.8)

It can be shown that

\[ H_n^{(1)}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{jx - j(n + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{x}{2}}, \quad x \to \infty \] (19.2.9)

\[ H_n^{(2)}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{-jx + j(n + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{x}{2}}, \quad x \to \infty \] (19.2.10)

They correspond to traveling wave solutions when \( x = \beta_s \rho \to \infty \). Since \( J_n(x) \) and \( N_n(x) \) are linear superpositions of these traveling wave solutions, they correspond to standing wave solutions.\(^2\) Their relations with each other are similar to those between \( \exp(-jx) \), \( \sin(x) \), and \( \cos(x) \).
solutions. Moreover, \( N_n(x), H_n^{(1)}(x), \) and \( H_n^{(2)}(x) \to \infty \) when \( x \to 0 \). Since the field has to be regular when \( \rho \to 0 \) at the center of the waveguide shown in Figure 19.5, the only viable solution for the waveguide is that \( B_n(\beta_s \rho) = AJ_n(\beta_s \rho) \). Thus for a circular hollow waveguide, the eigenfunction or mode is of the form

\[
\Psi_{hs}(\rho, \phi) = AJ_n(\beta_s \rho)e^{\pm jn\phi}
\] (19.2.11)

To ensure that the eigenfunction and the eigenvalue are unique, boundary condition for the partial differential equation is needed. The homogeneous Neumann boundary condition on the PEC waveguide wall then translates to

\[
\frac{d}{d\rho}J_n(\beta_s \rho) = 0, \quad \rho = a
\] (19.2.12)

Defining \( J'_n(x) = \frac{d}{dx}J_n(x) \), the above is the same as

\[
J'_n(\beta_s a) = 0
\] (19.2.13)

Plots of Bessel functions and their derivatives are shown in Figure 19.6. The above are the zeros of the derivative of Bessel function and they are tabulated in many textbooks. The \( m \)-th zero of \( J'_n(x) \) is denoted to be \( \beta_{nm} \) in many books,\(^3\) and some of them are also shown in Figure 19.7; and hence, the guidance condition for a waveguide mode is then

\[
\beta_s = \beta_{nm}/a
\] (19.2.14)

for the TE\(_{nm}\) mode. From the above, \( \beta_s^2 \) can be obtained which is the eigenvalue of (19.2.1) and (19.2.3). Using the fact that \( \beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \beta_s^2} \), then \( \beta_z \) will become pure imaginary if \( \beta^2 \) is small enough so that \( \beta^2 < \beta_s^2 \) or \( \beta < \beta_s \). From this, the corresponding cutoff frequency of the TE\(_{nm}\) mode is

\[
\omega_{nm,c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}} \frac{\beta_{nm}}{a}
\] (19.2.15)

When \( \omega < \omega_{nm,c} \), the corresponding mode cannot propagate in the waveguide as \( \beta_z \) becomes pure imaginary. The corresponding cutoff wavelength is

\[
\lambda_{nm,c} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{nm}} a
\] (19.2.16)

By the same token, when \( \lambda > \lambda_{nm,c} \), the corresponding mode cannot be guided by the waveguide. It is not exactly precise to say this, but this gives us the heuristic notion that if wavelength or “size” of the wave or photon is too big, it cannot fit inside the waveguide.

\(^3\)Notably, Abramowitz and Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions [106]. An online version is available at [107].
19.2.2 TM Case

The corresponding partial differential equation and boundary value problem for this case is

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \beta_s^2 \right) \Psi_{es}(\rho, \phi) = 0 \tag{19.2.17}
\]

with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, \( \Psi_{es}(a, \phi) = 0 \), on the waveguide wall. The eigenfunction solution is

\[
\Psi_{es}(\rho, \phi) = AJ_n(\beta_s \rho) e^{\pm jn\phi} \tag{19.2.18}
\]

with the boundary condition that \( J_n(\beta_s a) = 0 \). The zeros of \( J_n(x) \) are labeled as \( \alpha_{nm} \) is many textbooks, as well as in Figure 19.7; and hence, the guidance condition is that for the TM\(_{nm}\) mode is that

\[
\beta_s = \frac{\alpha_{nm}}{a} \tag{19.2.19}
\]

where the eigenvalue for (19.2.17) is \( \beta_s^2 \). With \( \beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \beta_s^2} \), the corresponding cutoff frequency is

\[
\omega_{nm,c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}} \frac{\alpha_{nm}}{a} \tag{19.2.20}
\]

or when \( \omega < \omega_{nm,c} \), the mode cannot be guided. The cutoff wavelength is

\[
\lambda_{nm,c} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{nm}} a \tag{19.2.21}
\]

with the notion that when \( \lambda > \lambda_{nm,c} \), the mode cannot be guided.

It turns out that the lowest mode in a circular waveguide is the TE\(_{11}\) mode. It is actually a close cousin of the TE\(_{10}\) mode of a rectangular waveguide. This can be gathered by comparing their field plots: these modes morph into each other as we deform the shape of a rectangular waveguide into a circular waveguide. Figure 19.6 shows the plot of Bessel function \( J_n(x) \) and its derivative \( J'_n(x) \). Tables in Figure 19.7 show the roots of \( J'_n(x) \) and \( J_n(x) \) which are important for determining the cutoff frequencies of the TE and TM modes of circular waveguides.
Figure 19.6: Plots of the Bessel function, $J_n(x)$, and its derivatives $J_n'(x)$.

Table 2.3.1. Roots of $J_n'(x) = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\beta_{n1}$</th>
<th>$\beta_{n2}$</th>
<th>$\beta_{n3}$</th>
<th>$\beta_{n4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.832</td>
<td>7.016</td>
<td>10.174</td>
<td>13.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>5.311</td>
<td>8.396</td>
<td>11.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.054</td>
<td>6.706</td>
<td>9.570</td>
<td>13.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.201</td>
<td>8.015</td>
<td>11.316</td>
<td>14.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.318</td>
<td>9.282</td>
<td>12.682</td>
<td>15.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.416</td>
<td>10.520</td>
<td>13.987</td>
<td>17.313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3.2. Roots of $J_n(x) = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\alpha_{n1}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{n2}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{n3}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{n4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.405</td>
<td>5.520</td>
<td>8.654</td>
<td>11.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.832</td>
<td>7.016</td>
<td>10.174</td>
<td>13.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.135</td>
<td>8.417</td>
<td>11.620</td>
<td>14.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.588</td>
<td>11.065</td>
<td>14.373</td>
<td>17.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.771</td>
<td>12.339</td>
<td>15.700</td>
<td>18.980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19.7: Table 2.3.1 shows the zeros of $J_n'(x)$, which are useful for determining the guidance conditions of the TE$_{mn}$ mode of a circular waveguide. On the other hand, Table 2.3.2 shows the zeros of $J_n(x)$, which are useful for determining the guidance conditions of the TM$_{mn}$ mode of a circular waveguide.
Figure 19.8: Transverse field plots of different modes in a circular waveguide (courtesy of Andy Greenwood. Original plots published in Lee, Lee, and Chuang [104]).
Lecture 20

More on Waveguides and Transmission Lines

20.1 Circular Waveguides, Contd.

As in the rectangular waveguide case, the guidance of the wave in a circular waveguide can be viewed as bouncing waves in the radial direction. But these bouncing waves give rise to standing waves expressible in terms of Bessel functions. The scalar potential (or pilot potential) for the modes in the waveguide is expressible as

\[ \Psi_{as}(\rho, \phi) = AJ_n(\beta_\rho \rho)e^{\pm jn\phi} \]  

(20.1.1)

where \( \alpha = h \) for TE waves and \( \alpha = e \) for TM waves. The Bessel function or wave is expressible in terms of Hankel functions as in (19.2.5). Since Hankel functions are traveling waves, Bessel functions represent standing waves. Therefore, the Bessel waves can be thought of as bouncing traveling waves as in the rectangular waveguide case. In the azimuthal direction, one can express \( e^{\pm jn\phi} \) as traveling waves in the \( \phi \) direction, or they can be expressed as \( \cos(n\phi) \) and \( \sin(n\phi) \) which are standing waves in the \( \phi \) direction.

20.1.1 An Application of Circular Waveguide

When a real-world waveguide is made, the wall of the metal waveguide is not made of perfect electric conductor, but with some metal of finite conductivity. Hence, tangential \( E \) field is not zero on the wall, and energy can dissipate into the waveguide wall. It turns out that due to symmetry, the \( \text{TE}_{01} \) mode of a circular waveguide has the lowest loss of all the waveguide modes including rectangular waveguide modes. Hence, this waveguide mode is of interest to astronomers who are interested in building low-loss and low-noise systems.\(^1\)

The \( \text{TE}_{01} \) mode has electric field given by \( E = \phi E_\phi \). Furthermore, looking at the magnetic field, the current is mainly circumferential flowing in the \( \phi \) direction. Moreover, by looking

\(^1\)Low-loss systems are also low-noise due to energy conservation and the fluctuation dissipation theorem [102, 103, 108].
at a bouncing wave picture of the guided waveguide mode, this mode has a small component of tangential magnetic field on a waveguide wall: It becomes increasingly smaller as the frequency increases (see Figure 20.1).

Figure 20.1: Bouncing wave picture of the Bessel wave inside a circular waveguide for the TE$_{01}$ mode.

The tangential magnetic field needs to be supported by a surface current on the waveguide wall. This implies that the surface current on the waveguide wall becomes smaller as the frequency increases. The wall loss (or copper loss or eddy current loss) of the waveguide, hence, becomes smaller for higher frequencies. In fact, for high frequencies, the TE$_{01}$ mode has the smallest copper loss of the waveguide modes: It becomes the mode of choice (see Figure 20.2). Waveguides supporting the TE$_{01}$ modes are used to connect the antennas of the very large array (VLA) for detecting extra-terrestrial signals in radio astronomy [109] as shown in Figure 20.3.
Figure 20.2: Losses of different modes in a circular waveguide. It is seen that at high frequencies, the TE$_{01}$ mode has the lowest loss (courtesy of [110]).
Figure 20.4 shows two ways of engineering a circular waveguide so that the TE$_{01}$ mode is enhanced: (i) by using a mode filter that discourages the guidance of other modes but not the TE$_{01}$ mode, and (ii), by designing ridged waveguide wall to discourage the flow of axial current and hence, the propagation of the non-TE$_{01}$ mode. More details of circular waveguides can be found in [110]. Typical loss of a circular waveguide can be as low as 2 dB/km.

As shall be learnt later, an open circular waveguide can be made into an aperture antenna quite easily, because the fields of the aperture are axially symmetric. Such antenna is called a horn antenna. Because of this, the radiation pattern of such an antenna is axially symmetric, which can be used to produce axially symmetric circularly polarized (CP) waves. Ways to enhance the TE$_{01}$ mode are also desirable [111] as shown in Figure 20.5.
Figure 20.4: Ways to enhance the TE$_{01}$ mode in a circular waveguide. Such waveguide is used in astronomy such as designing the communication between antennas in a very large array (VLA [109]), or it is used in a circular horn antenna [111].
20.2 Remarks on Quasi-TEM Modes, Hybrid Modes, and Surface Plasmonic Modes

We have analyzed some simple structures where closed form solutions are available. These solutions offer physical insight into how waves are guided, and how they are cutoff from guidance. As has been shown, for some simple waveguides, the modes can be divided into TEM, TE, and TM modes. However, most waveguides are not simple. We will remark on various complexities that arise in real world applications.

20.2.1 Quasi-TEM Modes

Figure 20.6: Some examples of practical coaxial-like waveguides (left), and the optical fiber (right). The environment of these waveguides is an inhomogeneous medium, and hence, a pure TEM mode cannot propagate on these waveguides.
Many waveguides cannot support a pure TEM mode even when two conductors are present. For example, two pieces of metal make a transmission line, and in the case of a circular coax, a TEM mode can propagate in the waveguide. But most two-metal transmission lines do not support a pure TEM mode: Instead, they support a quasi-TEM mode. In the optical fiber case, when the index contrast of the fiber is very small, the mode is quasi-TEM as it has to degenerate to the TEM case when the contrast is absent.

When a wave is TEM, it is necessary that the wave propagates with the phase velocity of the medium. But when a uniform waveguide has inhomogeneity in between, as shown in Figure 20.6, this is not possible anymore. We can prove this assertion by *reductio ad absurdum*. From eq. (18.1.16) of the previous lecture, we have shown that for a TM mode, $E_z$ is given by

$$E_z = \frac{1}{j \omega \varepsilon} (\beta_i^2 - \beta_z^2) \Psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.2.1)

The above derivation is valid in a piecewise homogeneous region. If this mode becomes TEM, then $E_z = 0$ and this is possible only if $\beta_z = \beta_i$. In other words, the phase velocity of the waveguide mode is the same as a plane TEM wave in the same medium.

Now assume that a TEM wave exists in both inhomogeneous regions of the microstrip line or all three dielectric regions of the optical fiber in Figure 20.6. Then the phase velocities in the $z$ direction, determined by $\omega/\beta_z$ of each region will be $\omega/\beta_i$ of the respective region where $\beta_i$ is the wavenumber of the $i$-th region. Hence, phase matching is not possible, and the boundary condition cannot be satisfied at the dielectric interfaces. Nevertheless, the lumped element circuit model of the transmission line is still a very good model for such a waveguide. If the line capacitance and line inductances of such lines can be estimated, $\beta_z$ can still be estimated. As shall be shown later, circuit theory is valid when the frequency is low, or the wavelength is large compared to the size of the structures.

### 20.2.2 Hybrid Modes–Inhomogeneously-Filled Waveguides

For most inhomogeneously filled waveguides, the modes (eigenmodes or eigenfunctions) inside are not cleanly classed into TE and TM modes, but with some modes that are the hybrid of TE and TM modes. If the inhomogeneity is piecewise constant, some of the equations we have derived before are still valid: In other words, in the homogeneous part (or constant part) of the waveguide filled with piecewise constant inhomogeneity, the fields can still be decomposed into TE and TM fields. But these fields are coupled to each other by the presence of inhomogeneity, i.e., by the boundary conditions requisite at the interface between the piecewise homogeneous regions. Or both TE and TM waves are coupled together and are present simultaneously, and both $E_z \neq 0$ and $H_z \neq 0$. Some examples of inhomogeneously-filled waveguides where hybrid modes exist are shown in Figure 20.7.

Sometimes, the hybrid modes are called EH or HE modes, as in an optical fiber. Nevertheless, the guidance is via a bouncing wave picture, where the bouncing waves are reflected off the boundaries of the waveguides. In the case of an optical fiber or a dielectric waveguide, the reflection is due to total internal reflection. But in the case of metallic waveguides, the reflection is due to the metal walls.
Figure 20.7: Some examples of inhomogeneously filled waveguides where hybrid modes exist: (top-left) A general inhomogeneously filled waveguide, (top-right) slab-loaded rectangular waveguides, and (bottom) an optical fiber with core and cladding.

20.2.3 Guidance of Modes

Propagation of a plane wave in free space is by the exchange of electric stored energy and magnetic stored energy. So the same thing happens in a waveguide. For example, in the transmission line, the guidance is by the exchange of electric and magnetic stored energy via the coupling between the capacitance and the inductance of the line. In this case, the waveguide size, like the cross-section of a coaxial cable, can be made much smaller than the wavelength.

In the case of hollow waveguides, the $E$ and $H$ fields are coupled through their space and time variations. Hence, the exchange of the energy stored is via the space that stores these energies, like that of a plane wave. These waveguides work only when these plane waves can “enter” the waveguide. Hence, the size of these waveguides has to be about half a wavelength.

The surface plasmonic waveguide is an exception in that the exchange is between the electric field energy stored with the kinetic energy stored in the moving electrons in the plasma instead of magnetic energy stored. This form of energy stored is sometimes referred to as coming from kinetic inductance. Therefore, the dimension of the waveguide can be very small compared to wavelength, and yet the surface plasmonic mode can be guided.

20.3 Homomorphism of Waveguides and Transmission Lines

Previously, we have demonstrated mathematical homomorphism between plane waves in layered medium and transmission lines. Such homomorphism can be further extended to waveg-
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uides and transmission lines. We can show this first for TE modes in a hollow waveguide, and the case for TM modes can be established by invoking duality principle.\(^2\)

### 20.3.1 TE Case

For this case, \(E_z = 0\), and from Maxwell’s equations

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \tag{20.3.1} \]

By letting \(\nabla = \nabla_s + \nabla_z\), \(\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_s + \mathbf{H}_z\) where \(\nabla_z = \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\), and \(\mathbf{H}_z = \hat{z} \mathbf{H}_z\), and the subscript \(s\) implies transverse to \(z\) components, then

\[ (\nabla_s + \nabla_z) \times (\mathbf{H}_s + \mathbf{H}_z) = \nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_s + \nabla_z \times \mathbf{H}_s + \nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_z \tag{20.3.2} \]

where it is understood that \(\nabla_z \times \mathbf{H}_z = 0\). Notice that the first term on the right-hand side of the above is pointing in the \(z\) direction. Therefore, by letting \(\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_s + \mathbf{E}_z\), and equating transverse components in (20.3.1), we have\(^3\)

\[ \nabla_z \times \mathbf{H}_s + \nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_z = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_s \tag{20.3.3} \]

To simplify the above equation, we shall remove \(\mathbf{H}_z\) from above. Next, from Faraday’s law, we have

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \tag{20.3.4} \]

Again, by letting \(\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_s + \mathbf{E}_z\), we can show that (20.3.4) can be written as

\[ \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s + \nabla_z \times \mathbf{E}_s + \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_z = -j\omega \mu (\mathbf{H}_s + \mathbf{H}_z) \tag{20.3.5} \]

Equating \(z\) components of the above, we have

\[ \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H}_z \tag{20.3.6} \]

Using (20.3.6), Eq.(20.3.3) can be rewritten as

\[ \nabla_z \times \mathbf{H}_s + \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = \frac{1}{-j\omega \mu} \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = +j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_s \tag{20.3.7} \]

The above can be further simplified by noting that

\[ \nabla_s \times \nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = \nabla_s (\nabla_s \cdot \mathbf{E}_s) - \nabla_s \cdot \nabla_s \mathbf{E}_s \tag{20.3.8} \]

But since \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0\), and \(E_z = 0\) for TE modes, it implies that \(\nabla_s \cdot \mathbf{E}_s = 0\). Also, from Maxwell’s equations, we have previously shown that for a homogeneous source-free medium,

\[ (\nabla^2 + \beta^2) \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{20.3.9} \]

\(^2\)I have not seen exposition of such mathematical homomorphism elsewhere except in very simple cases [31].

\(^3\)And from the above, it is obvious that \(\nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_s = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_z\), but this equation will not be used in the subsequent derivation.
or that

\[(\nabla^2 + \beta^2) E_s = 0 \] (20.3.10)

Assuming that we have a guided mode, then

\[E_s \sim e^{\mp j\beta_z z}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} E_s = -\beta_z^2 E_s \] (20.3.11)

Therefore, (20.3.10) becomes

\[(\nabla_s^2 + \beta^2 - \beta_z^2) E_s = 0 \] (20.3.12)

or that

\[(\nabla_s^2 + \beta_s^2) E_s = 0 \] (20.3.13)

where \(\beta_s^2 = \beta^2 - \beta_z^2\) is the transverse wave number. Consequently, from (20.3.8)

\[\nabla_s \times \nabla_s \times E_s = -\nabla_s^2 E_s = \beta_s^2 E_s \] (20.3.14)

As such, (20.3.7) becomes

\[\nabla_z \times H_s = j\omega \varepsilon E_s + \frac{1}{j\omega \mu} \nabla_s \times \nabla_s \times E_s \]

\[= j\omega \varepsilon E_s + \frac{1}{j\omega \mu} \beta_s^2 E_s \]

\[= j\omega \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{\beta_z^2}{\beta^2}\right) = j\omega \varepsilon \frac{\beta_z^2}{\beta^2} E_s \] (20.3.15)

Letting \(\beta_z = \beta \cos \theta\), then the above can be written as

\[\nabla_z \times H_s = j\omega \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta E_s \] (20.3.16)

The above now resembles one of the two telegrapher’s equations that we seek. Now looking at (20.3.4) again, assuming \(E_z = 0\), equating transverse components, we have

\[\nabla_z \times E_s = -j\omega \mu H_s \] (20.3.17)

More explicitly, we can rewrite (20.3.16) and (20.3.17) in the above as

\[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times H_s = j\omega \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta E_s \] (20.3.18)

\[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times E_s = -j\omega \mu H_s \] (20.3.19)
The above now resembles the telegrapher’s equations. We can multiply (20.3.19) by $\hat{z} \times$ to get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_s = j\omega \mu \hat{z} \times H_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.20)

Now (20.3.18) and (20.3.20) look even more like the telegrapher’s equations. We can have $E_s \rightarrow V$, $\hat{z} \times H_s \rightarrow -I$, $\mu \rightarrow L$, $\varepsilon \cos^2 \theta \rightarrow C$, and the above resembles the telegrapher’s equations, or that the waveguide problem is homomorphic to the transmission line problem. The characteristic impedance of this line is then

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon \cos^2 \theta}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon \cos \theta}} \frac{1}{\beta_z} = \frac{\omega \mu}{\beta_z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.21)

Therefore, the TE modes of a waveguide can be mapped into a transmission problem. This can be done, for instance, for the TE$_{mn}$ mode of a rectangular waveguide. Then, in the above

$$\beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.22)

Therefore, each TE$_{mn}$ mode will be represented by a different characteristic impedance $Z_0$, since $\beta_z$ is different for different TE$_{mn}$ modes.

### 20.3.2 TM Case

This case can be derived using duality principle. Invoking duality, and after some algebra, then the equivalence of (20.3.18) and (20.3.20) become

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_s = j\omega \mu \cos^2 \theta \hat{z} \times H_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.23)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times H_s = j\varepsilon \omega E_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.24)

To keep the dimensions commensurate, we can let $E_s \rightarrow V$, $\hat{z} \times H_s \rightarrow -I$, $\mu \cos^2 \theta \rightarrow L$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow C$, then the above resembles the telegrapher’s equations. We can thus let

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \cos^2 \theta}{\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \cos \theta}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\beta_z} \frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20.3.25)

Please note that (20.3.21) and (20.3.25) are very similar to that for the plane wave case, which are the wave impedance for the TE and TM modes, respectively.
Figure 20.8: A waveguide filled with layered medium is mathematically homomorphic to a multi-section transmission line problem. Hence, transmission-line methods can be used to solve this problem.

The above implies that if we have a waveguide of arbitrary cross section filled with layered media, the problem can be mapped to a multi-section transmission line problem, and solved with transmission line methods. When $V$ and $I$ are continuous at a transmission line junction, $E_s$ and $H_s$ will also be continuous. Hence, the transmission line solution would also imply continuous $E$ and $H$ field solutions.

Figure 20.9: A multi-section waveguide is not exactly homomorphic to a multi-section transmission line problem, circuit elements can be added at the junction to capture the physics at the waveguide junctions as shown in the next figure.

20.3.3 Mode Conversion

In the waveguide shown in Figure 20.8, there is no mode conversion at the junction interface. Assuming a rectangular waveguide as an example, what this means is that if we send at TE$_{10}$ into the waveguide, this same mode will propagate throughout the length of the waveguide. The reason is that only this mode alone is sufficient to satisfy the boundary condition at the junction interface. To elaborate further, from our prior knowledge, the transverse fields of the waveguide, e.g., for the TM mode, can be derived to be

\[ H_s = \nabla \times \bar{z} \Psi_{es}(r_s) e^{\mp j \beta_s z} \]  

\[ E_s = \mp \frac{\beta_s}{\omega \bar{z}} \nabla_s \Psi_{es}(r_s) e^{\mp j \beta_s z} \]
In the above, $\beta_s^2$ and $\Psi_{es}(r_s)$ are eigenvalue and eigenfunction, respectively, that depend only on the geometrical shape of the waveguide, but not the materials filling the waveguide. These eigenfunctions are the same throughout different sections of the waveguide. Therefore, boundary conditions can be easily satisfied at the junctions.

However, for a multi-junction waveguide shown in Figure 20.9, tangential $E$ and $H$ continuous condition cannot be satisfied by a single mode in each waveguide alone: $V$ and $I$ continuous at a transmission line junction will not guarantee the continuity of tangential $E$ and tangential $H$ fields at the waveguide junction. Multi-modes have to be assumed in each section in order to match boundary conditions at the junction. Moreover, mode matching method for multiple modes has to be used at each junction. Typically, a single mode incident at a junction will give rise to multiple modes reflected and multiple modes transmitted. The multiple modes give rise to the phenomenon of mode conversion at a junction. Hence, the waveguide may need to be modeled with multiple transmission lines where each mode is modeled by a different transmission line with different characteristic impedances.

However, the operating frequency can be chosen so that only one mode is propagating at each section of the waveguide, and the other modes are cutoff or evanescent. In this case, the multiple modes at a junction give rise to localized energy storage at a junction. These energies can be either inductive or capacitive. The junction effect may be modeled by a simple circuit model as shown in Figure 20.10. These junction elements also account for the physics that the currents and voltages are not continuous anymore across the junction. Moreover, these junction lumped circuit elements account for the stored electric and magnetic energies at the junction.

![Junction Circuit Elements](image)

Figure 20.10: Junction circuit elements are used to account for stored electric and magnetic energy at the junction. They also account for that the currents and voltages are not continuous across the junctions anymore.
Lecture 21

Resonators

21.1 Cavity Resonators

21.1.1 Transmission Line Model

The simplest cavity resonator is formed by using a transmission line. The source end can be terminated by $Z_S$ and the load end can be terminated by $Z_L$. When $Z_S$ and $Z_L$ are non-dissipative, such as when they are reactive loads, then no energy is dissipitated as a wave is reflected off them. Therefore, if the wave can bounce constructively between the two ends, a coherent solution can exist due to constructive inference, or a resonance solution can exist.

Figure 21.1: A simple resonator can be made by terminating a transmission line with two reactive loads at its two ends, the source end with $Z_S$ and the load end with $Z_L$.

The transverse resonance condition for 1D problem can be used to derive the resonance condition, namely that

$$1 = \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{-2j\beta_z d} \quad (21.1.1)$$

where $\Gamma_s$ and $\Gamma_L$ are the reflection coefficients at the source and the load ends, respectively, $\beta_z$ the the wave number of the wave traveling in the $z$ direction, and $d$ is the length of the transmission line. For a TEM mode in the transmission line, as in a coax filled with
homogeneous medium, then $\beta_z = \beta$, where $\beta$ is the wavenumber for the homogeneous medium. Otherwise, for a quasi-TEM mode, $\beta_z = \beta_e$ where $\beta_e$ is some effective wavenumber for a $z$-propagating wave in a mixed medium. In general,

$$\beta_e = \omega / v_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (21.1.2)

where $v_e$ is the effective phase velocity of the wave in a heterogeneous structure.

When the source and load impedances are replaced by short or open circuits, then the reflection coefficients are $-1$ for a short, and $+1$ for an open circuit. The above then becomes

$$\pm 1 = e^{-2j\beta_e d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21.1.3)

When a “+” sign is chosen, the resonance condition is such that

$$\beta_e d = p\pi, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \text{ or integer}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21.1.4)

For a TEM or a quasi-TEM mode in a transmission line, $p = 0$ is not allowed as the voltage will be uniformly zero on the transmission line. The lowest mode then is when $p = 1$ corresponding to a half wavelength on the transmission line.

Whereas when the line is open at one end, and shorted at the other end in (21.1.1), the resonance condition corresponds to the “−” sign in (21.1.3), which gives rise to

$$\beta_e d = \frac{p\pi}{2}, \quad p \text{ odd}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21.1.5)

The lowest mode is when $p = 1$ corresponding to a quarter wavelength on the transmission line, which is smaller than that of the short terminated transmission line. Designing a small resonator is a prerogative in modern day electronic design. For example, miniaturization in cell phones calls for smaller components that can be packed into smaller spaces.

A quarter wavelength resonator made with a coax is shown in Figure 21.2. It is easier to make a short indicated at the left end, but it is hard to make a true open circuit as shown at the right end. A true open circuit means that the current has to be zero. But when a coax is terminated with an open, the electric current does end abruptly. The fringing field at the right end gives rise to stray capacitance through which displacement current can flow in accordance to the generalized Ampere’s law. Hence, we have to model the right end termination with a small stray or fringing field capacitance as shown in Figure 21.2.

Figure 21.2: A short and open circuited transmission line can be a resonator, but the open end has to be modeled with a fringing field capacitance $C_f$ since there is no exact open circuit.
21.1.2 Cylindrical Waveguide Resonators

Since a cylindrical waveguide is homomorphic to a transmission line, we can model a mode in this waveguide as a transmission line. Then the termination of the waveguide with either a short or an open circuit at its end makes it into a resonator.

Again, there is no true open circuit in an open ended waveguide, as there will be fringing fields at its open ends. If the aperture is large enough, the open end of the waveguide radiates and may be used as an antenna as shown in Figure 21.3.

As previously shown, single-section waveguide resonators can be modeled with a transmission line model using homomorphism with the appropriately chosen $\beta_z$. Then, $\beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \beta_s^2}$ where $\beta_s$ can be found by first solving a 2D waveguide problem corresponding to the reduced-wave equation.

For a rectangular waveguide, for example,

$$\beta_z = \sqrt{\beta^2 - \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2} \quad (21.1.6)$$

If the waveguide is terminated with two shorts (which is easy to make) at its ends, then the resonance condition is that

$$\beta_z = p\pi/d, \quad p \text{ integer} \quad (21.1.7)$$

Together, using (21.1.6), we have the condition that

$$\beta^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p\pi}{d}\right)^2 \quad (21.1.8)$$
The above can only be satisfied by certain select frequencies, and these frequencies are the resonant frequencies of the cavity. The corresponding mode is called the $\text{TE}_{mnp}$ mode or the $\text{TM}_{mnp}$ mode depending on if these modes are TE to $z$ or TM to $z$.

The entire electromagnetic fields of the cavity can be found from the scalar potentials previously defined, namely that

\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} &= \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_h, \quad \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}/(-j\omega\mu)H \\
\mathbf{H} &= \nabla \times \hat{z} \Psi_e, \quad \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}/(j\omega\varepsilon)H
\end{align*}

(21.1.9) (21.1.10)

Figure 21.4: A waveguide filled with layered dielectrics can also become a resonator. The transverse resonance condition can be used to find the resonant modes.

Since the layered medium problem in a waveguide is the same as the layered medium problem in open space, we can use the generalized transverse resonance condition to find the resonant modes of a waveguide cavity loaded with layered medium as shown in Figure 21.4. This condition is repeated below as:

\[ \tilde{R}_-\tilde{R}_+e^{-2j\beta_zd} = 1 \]  

(21.1.11)

where $d$ is the length of the waveguide section where the above is applied, and $\tilde{R}_-$ and $\tilde{R}_+$ are the generalized reflection coefficient to the left and right of the waveguide section. The above is similar to the resonant condition using the transmission line model in (21.1.1), except that now, we have replaced the transmission line reflection coefficient with TE or TM generalized reflection coefficients.

Consider now a single section waveguide terminated with metallic shorts at its two ends. Then $R^{\text{TE}} = -1$ and $R^{\text{TM}} = 1$. Right at cutoff of the cylindrical waveguide, $\beta_z = 0$ implying no $z$ variation in the field. When the two ends of the waveguide is terminated with shorts implying that $R^{\text{TE}} = -1$, even though (21.1.11) is satisfied, the electric field is uniformly zero in the waveguide, so is the magnetic field. Thus this mode is not interesting. But for TM modes in the waveguide, $R^{\text{TM}} = 1$, and the magnetic field is not zeroed out in the waveguide, when $\beta_z = 0$.

The lowest TM mode in a rectangular waveguide is the $\text{TM}_{11}$ mode. At the cutoff of this mode, the $\beta_z = 0$ or $p = 0$, implying no variation of the field in the $z$ direction. When the two ends are terminated with metallic shorts, the tangential magnetic field is not shorted out. Even though the tangential electric field is shorted to zero in the entire cavity but the longitudinal electric still exists (see Figures 21.5 and 21.6). As such, for the TM mode, $m = 1$, $n = 1$ and $p = 0$ is possible giving a non-zero field in the cavity. This is the $\text{TM}_{110}$ mode of the resonant cavity, which is the lowest mode in the cavity if $a > b > d$. The top and
side views of the fields of this mode is shown in Figures 21.5 and 21.6. The corresponding resonant frequency of this mode satisfies the equation

\[ \frac{\omega^2_{110}}{c^2} = \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^2 \]  

(21.1.12)

![Figure 21.5: The top view of the E and H fields of a rectangular resonant cavity.](image)

For the TE modes, it is required that \( p \neq 0 \), otherwise, the field is zero in the cavity. For example, it is possible to have the TE\(_{101}\) mode.

\[ \frac{\omega^2_{101}}{c^2} = \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \right)^2 \]  

(21.1.13)

Clearly, this mode has a higher resonant frequency compared to the TM\(_{110}\) mode if \( d < b \).
The above analysis can be applied to circular and other cylindrical waveguides with $\beta_s$ determined differently. For instance, for a circular waveguide, $\beta_s$ is determined differently using Bessel functions, and for a general arbitrarily shaped waveguide, $\beta_s$ may be determined numerically.

For a spherical cavity, one would have to analyze the problem in spherical coordinates. The equations will have to be solved by separation of variables using spherical harmonics. Details are given on p. 468 of Kong [31].

**21.2 Some Applications of Resonators**

Resonators in microwaves and optics can be used for designing filters, energy trapping devices, and antennas. As filters, they are used like LC resonators in circuit theory. A concatenation of them can be used to narrow or broaden the bandwidth of a filter. As an energy trapping device, a resonator can build up a strong field inside the cavity if it is excited with energy close to its resonance frequency. They can be used in klystrons and magnetrons as microwave sources, a laser cavity for optical sources, or as a wavemeter to measure the frequency of the electromagnetic field at microwave frequencies. An antenna is a radiator that we will discuss more fully later. The use of a resonator can help in resonance tunneling to enhance the radiation efficiency of an antenna.
21.2.1 Filters

Microstrip line resonators are often used to make filters. Transmission lines are often used to model microstrip lines in a microwave integrated circuits (MIC). In MIC, due to the etching process, it is a lot easier to make an open circuit rather than a short circuit. But a true open circuit is hard to make as an open ended microstrip line has fringing field at its end as shown in Figure 21.8 [113, 114]. The fringing field gives rise to fringing field capacitance as shown in Figure 21.2. Then the appropriate $\Gamma_S$ and $\Gamma_L$ can be used to model the effect of fringing field capacitance. Figure 21.9 shows a concatenation of two microstrip resonators to make a microstrip filter. This is like using a concatenation of LC tank circuits to design filters in circuit theory.

![End effects and junction effects in a microwave integrated circuit](figure21_8.png)

Figure 21.8: End effects and junction effects in a microwave integrated circuit [113, 114] (courtesy of Microwave Journal).

![Microstrip filter designed using concatenated resonators](figure21_9.png)

Figure 21.9: A microstrip filter designed using concatenated resonators. The connectors to the coax cable are the SMA (sub-miniature type A) connectors (courtesy of aginas.fe.up.pt).
Optical filters can be made with optical etalon as in a Fabry-Perot resonator, or concatenation of them. This is shown in Figure 21.10.

Figure 21.10: Design of a Fabry-Perot resonator [50,75,115,116].

21.2.2 Electromagnetic Sources

Microwave sources are often made by transferring kinetic energy from an electron beam to microwave energy. Klystrons, magnetrons, and traveling wave tubes are such devices. However, the cavity resonator in a klystron enhances the interaction of the electrons with the microwave field, causing the field to grow in amplitude as shown in Figure 21.11.
Resonators

Figure 21.11: A klystron works by converting the kinetic energy of an electron beam into the energy of a traveling microwave next to the beam (courtesy of Wiki [117]).

Magnetron cavity works also by transferring the kinetic energy of the electron into the microwave energy. By injecting hot electrons into the magnetron cavity, the cavity resonance is magnified by the kinetic energy from the hot electrons, giving rise to microwave energy.

Figure 21.12: A magnetron works by having a high-Q microwave cavity resonator. When the cavity is injected with energetic electrons from the cathode to the anode, the kinetic energy of the electron feeds into the energy of the microwave (courtesy of Wiki [118]).

Figure 21.13 shows laser cavity resonator to enhance of light wave interaction with material
media. By using stimulated emission of electronic transition, light energy can be produced.

![Figure 21.13](image1.jpg)

Figure 21.13: A simple view of the physical principle behind the working of the laser (courtesy of www.optique-ingenieur.org).

Energy trapping of a waveguide or a resonator can be used to enhance the efficiency of a semiconductor laser as shown in Figure 21.14. The trapping of the light energy by the heterojunctions as well as the index profile allows the light to interact more strongly with the lasing medium or the active medium of the laser. This enables a semiconductor laser to work at room temperature. In 2000, Z. I. Alferov and H. Kroemer, together with J.S. Kilby, were awarded the Nobel Prize for information and communication technology. Alferov and Kroemer for the invention of room-temperature semiconductor laser, and Kilby for the invention of electronic integrated circuit (IC) or the chip.

![Figure 21.14](image2.jpg)

Figure 21.14: A semiconductor laser at work. Room temperature lasing is possible due to both the tight confinement of light and carriers (courtesy of Photonics.com).
21.2.3 Frequency Sensor

Because a cavity resonator can be used as an energy trap, it will siphon off energy from a microwave waveguide when it hits the resonance frequency of the passing wave in the waveguide. This can be used to determine the frequency of the passing wave. Wavemeters are shown in Figure 21.15 and 21.16.

Figure 21.15: An absorption wave meter can be used to measure the frequency of microwave (courtesy of Wiki [119]).
Figure 21.16: The innards of a wavemeter (courtesy of eeeguide.com).
Lecture 22

Quality Factor of Cavities, Mode Orthogonality

22.1 The Quality Factor of a Cavity

22.1.1 General Concepts

The quality factor of a cavity or its $Q$ measures how ideal or lossless a cavity resonator is. An ideal lossless cavity resonator will sustain free oscillations forever, while most resonators sustain free oscillations for a finite time due to losses coming from radiation, or dissipation in the dielectric material filling the cavity, or resistive loss of the metallic part of the cavity. Because of losses, the free oscillation in a cavity has electromagnetic field with time dependence as follows:

$$E \propto e^{-\alpha t} \cos(\omega t + \phi_1), \quad H \propto e^{-\alpha t} \cos(\omega t + \phi_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.1.1)

The total time-average stored energy, which is proportional to $\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} |E|^2 + \frac{1}{4\mu} |H|^2$ is of the form

$$\langle W_T \rangle = \langle W_E \rangle + \langle W_H \rangle = W_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.1.2)

If there is no loss, $\langle W_T \rangle$ will remain constant. However, with loss, the average stored energy will decrease to $e^{-1}$ of its original value at $t = \frac{1}{2\alpha}$. The $Q$ of a cavity is a measure of the number of free oscillations the field would have before the energy stored decreases to $e^{-1}$ of its original value (see Figure 22.1). In a time interval $t = \frac{1}{2\alpha}$, the number of free oscillations in radians is $\omega t$ or $\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}$; hence, the $Q$ is defined to be [31]

$$Q = \frac{\omega}{2\alpha}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.1.3)
Furthermore, by energy conservation, the decrease in stored energy per unit time must be equal to the total power dissipated in the losses of a cavity, in other words,

$$\langle P_D \rangle = -\frac{d\langle W_T \rangle}{dt}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.1.4)

By further assuming that $W_T$ has to be of the form in (22.1.2), then

$$-\frac{d\langle W_T \rangle}{dt} = 2\alpha W_0 e^{-2\alpha t} = 2\alpha \langle W_t \rangle$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.1.5)

Hence, we can write equation (22.1.3) as

$$Q = \frac{\omega \langle W_T \rangle}{\langle P_D \rangle}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.1.6)

By further letting $\omega = 2\pi/T$, we lent further physical interpretation to express $Q$ as

$$Q = 2\pi \frac{\langle W_T \rangle}{\langle P_D \rangle T} = 2\pi \frac{\text{total energy stored}}{\text{Energy dissipated/cycle}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22.1.7)

In a cavity, the energy can dissipate in either the dielectric loss or the wall loss of the cavity due to the finiteness of the conductivity.

### 22.1.2 Relation to the Pole Location

The resonance of a system is related to the pole of the transfer function. For instance, in our previous examples, the reflection coefficient can be thought of as a transfer function in linear
system theory: The input is the incident wave, while the output is the reflected wave. If we encounter the resonance of the system at a particular frequency, the reflection coefficient becomes infinite. This infinite value can be modeled by a pole of the transfer function in the complex $\omega$ plane. In other words, in the vicinity of the pole in the frequency domain,

$$ R(\omega) \sim \frac{A}{\omega - \omega_p} \quad (22.1.8) $$

In principle, when $\omega = \omega_p$, the reflection coefficient becomes infinite, but this does not happen in practice due to loss in the system. In other words, $\omega_p$ is not a real number and the pole does not lie on the real $\omega$ axis; the pole is displaced slightly off the real axis to account for loss. In fact, it is quite easy to show that the pole is at $\omega_p = \omega_0 + j\alpha$.\(^1\) Then the full-width half maximum (FWHM) bandwidth is $\Delta \omega = 2\alpha$, and the $Q$ can be written as

$$ Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\Delta \omega} \quad (22.1.9) $$

Typical plots of transfer function versus frequency for a system with different $Q$’s are shown in Figure 22.2.

\(^1\)It is quite easy to show that the Fourier inverse transform of the above corresponds to a decaying sinusoid [45].
22.1.3 Some Formulas for $Q$ for a Metallic Cavity

If the cavity is filled with air, then, the loss comes mainly from the metallic loss or copper-loss from the cavity wall. In this case, the power dissipated on the wall is given by

$$
\langle P_D \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \oint_S \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}^* \cdot dS = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \oint_S (\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{H}^* \ dS
$$

(22.1.10)

where $S$ is the surface of the cavity wall. Here, $(\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E})$ is the tangential component of the electric field which would have been zero if the cavity wall is made of ideal PEC. Also, $\hat{n}$ is taken to be the outward pointing normal at the surface $S$. However, for metallic walls, $
 \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{H}_t Z_m$ where $Z_m$ is the intrinsic impedance for the metallic conductor, viz., $Z_m = \sqrt{\varepsilon_m} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}(1 + j)$, where we have assumed that $\varepsilon_m \approx -\frac{\sigma}{\omega}$, and $\mathbf{H}_t$ is the tangential magnetic field. This relation between $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ will ensure that power is flowing into the metallic surface. Hence,

$$
\langle P_D \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \oint_S \frac{\omega \mu}{2\sigma} (1 + j) |\mathbf{H}_t|^2 \ dS = \frac{1}{2} \oint_S |\mathbf{H}_t|^2 \ dS
$$

(22.1.11)

By further assuming that the stored electric and magnetic energies of a cavity are equal to each other at resonance, the stored energy can be obtained by

$$
\langle W_T \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \mu \int_V |\mathbf{H}|^2 \ dV
$$

(22.1.12)

Written explicitly, the $Q$ becomes

$$
Q = \sqrt{2\omega \mu \sigma} \int_V |\mathbf{H}|^2 \ dV \delta S |\mathbf{H}_t|^2 \ dS = \frac{2}{\delta} \int_V |\mathbf{H}|^2 \ dV \oint_S |\mathbf{H}|^2 \ dS
$$

(22.1.13)

In the above, $\delta$ is the skin depth of the metallic wall. Hence, the more energy stored we can have with respect to the power dissipated, the higher the $Q$ of a resonating system. The lower the metal loss, or the smaller the skin depth, the higher the $Q$ would be.

Notice that in (22.1.13), the numerator is a volume integral and hence, is proportional to volume, while the denominator is a surface integral and is proportional to surface. Thus, the $Q$, a dimensionless quantity, is roughly proportional to

$$
Q \sim \frac{V}{S \delta}
$$

(22.1.14)

where $V$ is the volume of the cavity, while $S$ is its surface area. From the above, it is noted that a large cavity compared to its skin depth has a larger $Q$ than an small cavity.

\footnote{We have used the cyclic identity that $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$ in the above (see Some Useful Mathematical Formulas).}

\footnote{When an electromagnetic wave enters a conductive region with a large $\beta$, it can be shown that the wave is refracted to propagate normally to the surface, and hence, this formula can be applied.}
22.1.4 Example: The $Q$ of TM$_{110}$ Mode

For the TM$_{110}$ mode, as can be seen from the previous lecture, the only electric field is $E = \hat{z}E_z$, where

$$E_z = E_0 \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{b} \right)$$

(22.1.15)

The magnetic field can be derived from the electric field using Maxwell’s equation or Faraday’s law, and

$$H_x = \frac{j \omega \epsilon}{\omega^2 \mu_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z = \frac{j}{\omega \mu_0} E_0 \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \left( \frac{\pi y}{b} \right) \right)$$

(22.1.16)

$$H_y = \frac{-j \omega \epsilon}{\omega^2 \mu_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z = \frac{-j}{\omega \mu_0} E_0 \cos \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{b} \right)$$

(22.1.17)

Therefore

$$\int_V |H|^2 \, dV = \int_{-d}^0 \int_0^b \int_0^a dx \, dy \, dz \left[ |H_x|^2 + |H_y|^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{|E_0|^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} \int_{-d}^0 \int_0^b \int_0^a dx \, dy \, dz \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi y}{b} \right) + \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi y}{b} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{|E_0|^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left[ \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \right] d$$

(22.1.18)

A cavity has six faces, finding the tangential exponent at each face and integrate

$$\int_S |H_i| \, dS = 2 \int_{-d}^0 \int_0^a dx \, dy \left[ |H_x|^2 + |H_y|^2 \right]$$

$$+ 2 \int_{-d}^0 \int_0^a dx \, dz |H_x(y = 0)|^2 + 2 \int_{-d}^0 \int_0^a dy \, dz |H_y(x = 0)|^2$$

$$= \frac{2 |E_0|^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right] + \frac{2 \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} |E_0|^2 \frac{\pi d^2}{2} + \frac{2 \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} |E_0|^2 \frac{\pi d^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2 |E_0|^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0^2} \left[ \frac{b}{2a} + \frac{a}{2b} + \frac{ad}{2b^2} + \frac{bd}{a^2} \right]$$

(22.1.19)

Hence the $Q$ is

$$Q = \frac{2}{\delta} \frac{\left( \frac{ad}{b} + \frac{bd}{a} \right)}{\left( \frac{b}{2a} + \frac{a}{2b} + \frac{ad}{2b^2} + \frac{bd}{a^2} \right)}$$

(22.1.20)

The result shows that the larger the cavity, the higher the $Q$. This is because the $Q$, as mentioned before, is the ratio of the energy stored in a volume to the energy dissipated over the surface of the cavity.
22.2 Mode Orthogonality and Matrix Eigenvalue Problem

It turns out that the modes of a waveguide or a resonator are orthogonal to each other. This is intimately related to the orthogonality of eigenvectors of a matrix operator.\textsuperscript{4} Thus, it is best to understand this by the homomorphism between the electromagnetic mode problem and the matrix eigenvalue problem. Because of this similarity, electromagnetic modes are also called eigenmodes. Thus it is prudent that we revisit the matrix eigenvalue problem (EVP).

22.2.1 Matrix Eigenvalue Problem (EVP)

It is known in matrix theory that if a matrix is hermitian, then its eigenvalues are all real. Furthermore, their eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other [69]. Assume that an eigenvalue and an eigenvector exists for the hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$. Then

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \quad (22.2.1)$$

Dot multiplying the above from the left by $\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger$ where $\dagger$ indicates conjugate transpose, then the above becomes

$$\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \quad (22.2.2)$$

Since $\mathbf{A}$ is hermitian, then the quantity $\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$ is purely real. Moreover, the quantity $\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$ is positive real. So in order for the above to be satisfied, $\lambda_i$ has to be real.

To prove orthogonality of eigenvectors, now, assume that $\mathbf{A}$ has two eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues such that

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \quad (22.2.3)$$
$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j \quad (22.2.4)$$

Left dot multiply the first equation with $\mathbf{v}_j^\dagger$ and do the same to the second equation with $\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger$, one gets

$$\mathbf{v}_j^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_j^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \quad (22.2.5)$$
$$\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \quad (22.2.6)$$

Taking the conjugate transpose of (22.2.5) in the above, and since $\mathbf{A}$ is hermitian, their left-hand sides (22.2.5) and (22.2.6) become the same. Subtracting the two equations, we arrive at

$$0 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \mathbf{v}_j^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \quad (22.2.7)$$

For distinct eigenvalues, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, the only way for the above to be satisfied is that

$$\mathbf{v}_j^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = C_1 \delta_{ij} \quad (22.2.8)$$

Hence, eigenvectors of a hermitian matrix with distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other. The eigenvalues are also real.

\textsuperscript{4}This mathematical homomorphism is not discussed in any other electromagnetic textbooks.
22.2.2 Homomorphism with the Waveguide Mode Problem

We shall next show that the problem for finding the waveguide modes or eigenmodes is analogous to the matrix eigenvalue problem. The governing equation for a waveguide mode is BVP involving the reduced wave equation previously derived, or

$$\nabla^2_s \psi_i(r_s) + \beta_{ls}^2 \psi_i(r_s) = 0 \quad (22.2.9)$$

with the pertinent homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition, depending on if TE or TM modes are considered. In the above, the differential operator $\nabla^2_s$ is analogous to the matrix operator $\mathbf{A}$, the eigenfunction $\psi_i(r_s)$ is analogous to the eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_i$, and $\beta_{ls}^2$ is analogous to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i$.

Discussion on Functional Space

To think of a function $\psi(r_s)$ as a vector, one has to think in the discrete world. If one needs to display the function $\psi(r_s)$ on a computer, one will evaluate the function $\psi(r_s)$ at discrete $N$ locations $r_{ls}$, where $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$. For every $r_{ls}$ or every $l$, there is a scalar number $\psi(r_{ls})$. These scalar numbers can be stored in a column vector in a computer indexed by $l$. The larger $N$ is, the better is the discrete approximation of $\psi(r_s)$. In theory, one needs $N$ to be infinite to describe this function exactly.

From the above discussion, a function is analogous to a vector and a functional space is analogous to a vector space. However, a functional space is infinite dimensional. But in order to compute on a computer with finite resource, such functions are approximated with vectors. Infinite dimensional vector spaces are replaced with finite dimensional ones to make the problem computable. Such infinite dimensional functional space is also called Hilbert space.

It is also necessary to define the inner product between two vectors in a functional space just as inner product between two vectors in a matrix vector space. The inner product (or dot product) between two vectors in matrix vector space is

$$\mathbf{v}_i^t \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i,l} v_{j,l} \quad (22.2.10)$$

The analogous inner product between two vectors in function space is

$$\langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle = \int_S d\mathbf{r}_s \psi_i(\mathbf{r}_s) \psi_j(\mathbf{r}_s) \quad (22.2.11)$$

where $S$ denotes the cross-sectional area of the waveguide over which the integration is performed. The left-hand side is the shorthand notation for inner product in functional space or the infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

---

5Some of these concepts are discussed in [34, 120].
6In mathematical parlance, the index for $\psi(r_s)$ is uncountably infinite or nondenumerable.
7In many math books, the conjugation of the first function $\psi_i$ is implied, but here, we follow the electromagnetic convention that the conjugation of $\psi_i$ is not implied unless explicitly stated.
Another requirement for a vector in a functional Hilbert space is that it contains finite
energy or that
\[ E_f = \int_S dr_s |\psi_i(r_s)|^2 \] (22.2.12)
is finite. The above is analogous to that for a matrix vector \( v \) as
\[ E_m = \sum_{l=1}^N |v_l|^2 \] (22.2.13)
The square root of the above is often used to denote the “length” or the “metric” of the
vector. Finite energy also implies that the vectors are of finite length. This length is also
called the “norm” of a vector.

### 22.2.3 Proof of Orthogonality of Waveguide Modes

Because of the aforementioned discussion, we see the similarity between a function Hilbert
space, and the matrix vector space. In order to use the result of the matrix EVP, one key
step is to prove that the operator \( \nabla^2_s \) is hermitian. In matrix algebra, a matrix operator is
hermitian if
\[ x^\dagger_i \cdot A \cdot x_j = (x^\dagger_j \cdot A^\dagger \cdot x_i)^* \] (22.2.14)
The first equality follows from standard matrix algebra, the second equality follows if
\( A = A^\dagger \), or that \( A \) is hermitian. The last equality follows because the quantity in the parenthesis
is a scalar, and hence, its conjugate transpose is just its conjugate.

By the same token, a functional operator \( \nabla^2_s \) is hermitian if
\[ \langle \psi_i^*, \nabla^2_s \psi_j \rangle = \int_S dr_s \psi_i^*(r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_j(r_s) = (\langle \psi_j^*, \nabla^2_s \psi_i \rangle)^* = \int_S dr_s \psi_j^*(r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_i^*(r_s) \] (22.2.15)
Using integration by parts for higher dimensions and with the appropriate boundary condition
for the function \( \psi(r_s) \), the above equality can be proved.

To this end, one uses the identity that
\[ \nabla_s \cdot [\psi_i^*(r_s) \nabla_s \psi_j(r_s)] = \psi_i^*(r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_j(r_s) + \nabla_s \psi_i^*(r_s) \cdot \nabla_s \psi_j(r_s) \] (22.2.16)
Integrating the above over the cross sectional area \( S \), and invoking Gauss divergence theorem
in 2D, one gets that
\[ \int_C \hat{n} \cdot (\psi_i^*(r_s) \nabla_s \psi_j(r_s)) = \int_S dr_s (\psi_i^*(r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_j(r_s)) \\
+ \int_S dr_s (\nabla \psi_i^*(r_s) \cdot \nabla_s \psi_j(r_s)) \] (22.2.17)

\[ 8(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C})^\dagger = \mathbf{C}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{B}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A}^\dagger \] [69].
where $C$ is the contour bounding $S$ or the waveguide wall. By applying the boundary condition that $\psi_i(r_s) = 0$ or that $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla_s \psi_j(r_s) = 0$, or a mixture thereof, then the left-hand side of the above is zero. This will be the case be it TE or TM modes.

$$0 = \int_S dr_s \left( \psi_i^* (r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_j (r_s) \right) + \int_S dr_s \left( \nabla \psi_i^* (r_s) \cdot \nabla_s \psi_j (r_s) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.2.18)

Applying the same treatment to the last term (22.2.15), we get

$$0 = \int_S dr_s \left( \psi_j (r_s) \nabla^2_s \psi_i^* (r_s) \right) + \int_S dr_s \left( \nabla \psi_j^* (r_s) \cdot \nabla_s \psi_i (r_s) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.2.19)

The above indicates that

$$\langle \psi_i^*, \nabla^2_s \psi_j \rangle = (\langle \psi_j^*, \nabla^2_s \psi_i \rangle)^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.2.20)

proving that the operator $\nabla^2_s$ is hermitian. One can then use the above property to prove the orthogonality of the eigenmodes when they have distinct eigenvalues, the same way we have proved the orthogonality of eigenvectors. The above proof can be extended to the case of a resonant cavity. The orthogonality of resonant cavity modes is analogous to the orthogonality of eigenvectors of a hermitian operator.
Lecture 23

Scalar and Vector Potentials

23.1 Scalar and Vector Potentials for Time-Harmonic Fields

23.1.1 Introduction

Previously, we have studied the use of scalar potential $\Phi$ for electrostatic problems. Then we learnt the use of vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ for magnetostatic problems. Now, we will study the combined use of scalar and vector potential for solving time-harmonic (electrodynamic) problems.

This is important for bridging the gap between static regime where the frequency is zero or low, and dynamic regime where the frequency is not low. For the dynamic regime, it is important to understand the radiation of electromagnetic fields. Electrodynamic regime is important for studying antennas, communications, sensing, wireless power transfer applications, and many more. Hence, it is imperative that we understand how time-varying electromagnetic fields radiate from sources.

It is also important to understand when static or circuit (quasi-static) regimes are important. The circuit regime solves problems that have fueled the microchip industry, and it is hence imperative to understand when electromagnetic problems can be approximated with simple circuit problems and solved using simple laws such as KCL an KVL.

23.1.2 Scalar and Vector Potentials for Statics, A Review

Previously, we have studied scalar and vector potentials for electrostatics and magnetostatics where the frequency $\omega$ is identically zero. The four Maxwell’s equations for a homogeneous
medium are then

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \] (23.1.1)

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \] (23.1.2)

\[ \nabla \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \rho \] (23.1.3)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mu \mathbf{H} = 0 \] (23.1.4)

Using the knowledge that \( \nabla \times \nabla \Phi = 0 \), we can construct a solution to (23.1.1) easily. Thus, in order to satisfy the first of Maxwell’s equations or Faraday’s law above, we let

\[ \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi \] (23.1.5)

Using the above in (23.1.3), we get, for a homogeneous medium, that

\[ \nabla^2 \Phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \] (23.1.6)

which is the Poisson’s equation for electrostatics.

By letting

\[ \mu \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \] (23.1.7)

since \( \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0 \), the last of Maxwell’s equations above will be automatically satisfied. And using the above in the second of Maxwell’s equations above, we get

\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{J} \] (23.1.8)

Now, using the fact that \( \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} \), and Coulomb’s gauge that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \), we arrive at

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu \mathbf{J} \] (23.1.9)

which is the vector Poisson’s equation. Next, we will repeat the above derivation when \( \omega \neq 0 \).

### 23.1.3 Scalar and Vector Potentials for Electrodynamics

To this end, we will start with frequency domain Maxwell’s equations with sources \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \rho \) included, and later see how these sources \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \rho \) can radiate electromagnetic fields. Maxwell’s equations in the frequency domain are

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \] (23.1.10)

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} \] (23.1.11)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mu \mathbf{H} = 0 \] (23.1.12)

\[ \nabla \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \rho \] (23.1.13)

In order to satisfy the third Maxwell’s equation, as before, we let

\[ \mu \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \] (23.1.14)
Now, using (23.1.14) in (23.1.10), we have
\[ \nabla \times (E + j\omega A) = 0 \] (23.1.15)
Since \( \nabla \times (\nabla \Phi) = 0 \), the above implies that
\[ E = -\nabla \Phi - j\omega A \] (23.1.16)
The above implies that the electrostatic theory of \( E = -\nabla \Phi \) is not exactly correct when \( \omega \neq 0 \). The second term above, in accordance to Faraday’s law, is the contribution to the electric field from the time-varying magnetic field, and hence, is the induction term.\(^1\)

Furthermore, the above shows that given \( A \) and \( \Phi \), one can determine the fields \( H \) and \( E \). To this end, we will derive equations for \( A \) and \( \Phi \) in terms of the sources \( J \) and \( \rho \) which are given. Substituting (23.1.14) and (23.1.16) into (23.1.11) gives
\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times A = -j\omega \mu \varepsilon (\nabla \cdot A - \nabla \Phi) + \mu J \] (23.1.17)
Or upon rearrangement, after using that \( \nabla \times \nabla \times A = \nabla \nabla \cdot A - \nabla \cdot \nabla A \), we have
\[ \nabla^2 A + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon A = -\mu J + j\omega \mu \varepsilon \nabla \Phi + \nabla \nabla \cdot A \] (23.1.18)
Moreover, using (23.1.16) in (23.1.13), we have
\[ \nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi) = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \] (23.1.19)
In the above, (23.1.18) and (23.1.19) represent two equations for the two unknowns \( A \) and \( \Phi \), expressed in terms of the known quantities, the sources \( J \) and \( \rho \) which are given. But these equations are coupled to each other. They look complicated and are rather difficult to solve at this point.

As in the magnetostatic case, the vector potential \( A \) is not unique. One can always construct a new \( A' = A + \nabla \Psi \) that produces the same magnetic field \( \mu H \), since \( \nabla \times \nabla \Psi = 0 \). It is quite clear that \( \mu H = \nabla \times A = \nabla \times A' \). This implies that \( A \) is not unique, and one can further show that \( \Phi \) is also non-unique.

To make them unique, in addition to specifying what \( \nabla \times A \) should be in (23.1.14), we need to specify its divergence or \( \nabla \cdot A \) as in the electrostatic case.\(^2\) A clever way to specify the divergence of \( A \) is to make it simplify the complicated equations above in (23.1.18). We choose a gauge so that the last two terms in the equation will cancel each other. Therefore, we specify
\[ \nabla \cdot A = -j\omega \mu \varepsilon \Phi \] (23.1.20)
The above is judiciously chosen so that the pertinent equations (23.1.18) and (23.1.19) will be simplified and decoupled. Then they become
\[ \nabla^2 A + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon A = -\mu J \] (23.1.21)
\[ \nabla^2 \Phi + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \Phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \] (23.1.22)
\(^1\)Notice that in electrical engineering, most concepts related to magnetic fields are inductive!
\(^2\)This is akin to that given a vector \( A \), and an arbitrary vector \( k \), in addition to specifying what \( k \times A \) is, it is also necessary to specify what \( k \cdot A \) is to uniquely specify \( A \).
Equation (23.1.20) is known as the Lorenz gauge and the above equations are Helmholtz equations with source terms. Not only are these equations simplified, they can be solved independently of each other since they are decoupled from each other.

Equations (23.1.21) and (23.1.22) can be solved using the Green's function method. Equation (23.1.21) actually implies three scalar equations for the three \( x, y, z \) components, namely

\[
\nabla^2 A_i + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon A_i = -\mu J_i \tag{23.1.23}
\]

where \( i \) above can be \( x, y, \) or \( z \). Therefore, (23.1.21) and (23.1.22) together constitute four scalar equations similar to each other. Hence, we need only to solve their point-source response, or the Green's function of these equations by solving

\[
\nabla^2 g(r, r') + \beta^2 g(r, r') = -\delta(r - r') \tag{23.1.24}
\]

where \( \beta^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \).

Previously, we have shown that when \( \beta = 0 \),

\[
g(r, r') = g(|r - r'|) = \frac{1}{4\pi |r - r'|}
\]

When \( \beta \neq 0 \), the correct solution is

\[
g(r, r') = g(|r - r'|) = \frac{e^{-j|\beta| |r - r'|}}{4\pi |r - r'|} \tag{23.1.25}
\]

which can be verified by back substitution.

By using the principle of linear superposition, or convolution, the solutions to (23.1.21) and (23.1.22) are then

\[
A(r) = \mu \iiint d\mathbf{r}' J(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j|\beta| |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \tag{23.1.26}
\]

\[
\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \iiint d\mathbf{r}' g(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j|\beta| |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \tag{23.1.27}
\]

In the above \( d\mathbf{r}' \) is the shorthand notation for \( dx\,dy\,dz \) and hence, they are still volume integrals.

### 23.1.4 More on Scalar and Vector Potentials

It is to be noted that Maxwell's equations are symmetrical and this is especially so when we add a magnetic current \( \mathbf{M} \) to Maxwell's equations and magnetic charge \( \varrho_m \) to Gauss's law.\(^4\) Then the equations become

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{M} \tag{23.1.28}
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} \tag{23.1.29}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mu \mathbf{H} = \varrho_m \tag{23.1.30}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{E} = \varrho \tag{23.1.31}
\]

\(^3\)Please note that this Lorenz is not the same as Lorentz.

\(^4\)In fact, Maxwell exploited this symmetry [121].
The above can be solved in two stages, using the principle of linear superposition. First, we can set \( M = 0 \) and \( \varrho_m = 0 \) and solve for the fields as we have done. Second, we can set \( J = 0 \) and \( \varrho = 0 \) and solve for the fields next. Then the total general solution is just the linear superposition of these two solutions.

For the second case, we can define an electric vector potential \( \mathbf{F} \) such that

\[
\mathbf{D} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{F} \tag{23.1.32}
\]

and a magnetic scalar potential \( \Phi_m \) such that

\[
\mathbf{H} = -\nabla \Phi_m - j\omega \mathbf{F} \tag{23.1.33}
\]

By invoking duality principle, one can gather that \[41\]

\[
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon \iiint d\mathbf{r}' \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \tag{23.1.34}
\]

\[
\Phi_m(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\mu} \iiint d\mathbf{r}' \varrho_m(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \tag{23.1.35}
\]

As mentioned before, even though magnetic sources do not exist, they can be engineered. In many engineering designs, it is more expeditious to think magnetic sources to enrich the diversity of electromagnetic technologies.

### 23.2 When is Static Electromagnetic Theory Valid?

We have learnt in the previous section that for electrodynamics,

\[
\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi - j\omega \mathbf{A} \tag{23.2.1}
\]

where the second term above on the right-hand side is due to induction, or the contribution to the electric field from the time-varying magnetic filed. Hence, much things we learn in potential theory that \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi \) is not exactly valid. But simple potential theory that \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi \) is very useful because of its simplicity. We will study when static electromagnetic theory can be used to model electromagnetic systems. Since the third and the fourth Maxwell’s equations are derivable from the first two, let us first study when we can ignore the time derivative terms in the first two of Maxwell’s equations, which, in the frequency domain, are

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \tag{23.2.2}
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J} \tag{23.2.3}
\]

When the terms multiplied by \( j\omega \) above can be ignored, then electrodynamics can be replaced with static electromagnetics, which are much simpler. That is why Ampere’s law, Coulomb’s law, and Gauss’ law were discovered first. Quasi-static electromagnetic theory eventually gave rise to circuit theory and telegraphy technology. Circuit theory consists of elements like resistors, capacitors, and inductors. Given that we have now seen electromagnetic theory in
its full form, we like to ponder when we can use simple static electromagnetics to describe electromagnetic phenomena.

![Diagram of electric and magnetic fields around a conducting particle](image)

Figure 23.1: The electric and magnetic fields are great contortionists around a conducting particle deforming themselves to satisfy the boundary conditions even when the particle is very small. Hence, $\nabla \sim 1/L$.

To see this lucidly, it is best to write Maxwell’s equations in dimensionless units or the same units. Say if we want to solve Maxwell’s equations for the fields close to an object of size $L$ as shown in Figure 23.1. This object can be a small particle like the sphere, or it could be a capacitor, or an inductor, which are small; but how small should it be before we can apply static electromagnetics?

It is clear that these $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ fields will have to satisfy boundary conditions, which is *de rigueur* in the vicinity of the object as shown in Figure 23.1 even when the frequency is low or the wavelength long. The fields become great contortionists in order to do so. Hence, we do not expect a constant field around the object but that the field will vary on the length scale of $L$. So we renormalize our length scale by this length $L$ by defining a new dimensionless coordinate system such that:

$$x' = \frac{x}{L}, \quad y' = \frac{y}{L}, \quad z' = \frac{z}{L}$$

(23.2.4)
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In other words, by so doing, then $Ldx' = dx$, $Ldy' = dy$, and $Ldz' = dz$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} = \frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} = \frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} = \frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad (23.2.5)$$

In this manner, $\nabla = \frac{1}{L}\nabla'$ where $\nabla'$ is dimensionless; or $\nabla$ will be very large when it operates on fields that vary on the length scale of very small $L$, where $\nabla'$ will not be large because it is in coordinates normalized with respect to $L$.

Then, the first two of Maxwell’s equations become

$$\frac{1}{L}\nabla' \times E = -j\omega\mu_0 H \quad (23.2.6)$$
$$\frac{1}{L}\nabla' \times H = j\omega\varepsilon_0 E + J \quad (23.2.7)$$

Here, we still have apples and oranges to compare with since $E$ and $H$ have different units; we cannot compare quantities if they have different units. For instance, the ratio of $E$ to the $H$ field has a dimension of impedance. To bring them to the same unit, we define a new $E'$ such that

$$\eta_0 E' = E \quad (23.2.8)$$

where $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\varepsilon_0} \cong 377$ ohms in vacuum. In this manner, the new $E'$ has the same unit as the $H$ field. Then, (23.2.6) and (23.2.7) become

$$\frac{\eta_0}{L}\nabla' \times E' = -j\omega\mu_0 H \quad (23.2.9)$$
$$\frac{1}{L}\nabla' \times H = j\omega\varepsilon_0\eta_0 E' + J \quad (23.2.10)$$

With this change, the above can be rearranged to become

$$\nabla' \times E' = -j\omega\mu_0 L \frac{\eta_0}{H} \quad (23.2.11)$$
$$\nabla' \times H = j\omega\varepsilon_0\eta_0 L E' + L J \quad (23.2.12)$$

By letting $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\varepsilon_0}$, the above can be further simplified to become

$$\nabla' \times E' = -j\frac{\omega}{c_0} L H \quad (23.2.13)$$
$$\nabla' \times H = j\frac{\omega}{c_0} L E' + L J \quad (23.2.14)$$

Notice now that in the above, $H$, $E'$, and $L J$ have the same unit, and $\nabla'$ is dimensionless and is of order one, and $\omega L/c_0$ is also dimensionless.

Therefore, one can compare terms, and ignore the frequency dependent $j\omega$ term when

$$\frac{\omega}{c_0} L \ll 1 \quad (23.2.15)$$
or when

\[ \frac{2\pi L}{\lambda_0} \ll 1 \quad (23.2.16) \]

Consequently, the above criteria are for the validity of the static approximation when the time-derivative terms in Maxwell’s equations can be ignored. When these criteria are satisfied, then Maxwell’s equations can be simplified to and approximated by the following equations

\[ \nabla' \times E' = 0 \quad (23.2.17) \]
\[ \nabla' \times H = L J \quad (23.2.18) \]

which are the static equations, Faraday’s law and Ampere’s law of electromagnetic theory. They can be solved together with Gauss’ laws.

In other words, one can solve, even in optics, where \( \omega \) is humongous or the wavelength very short, using static analysis if the size of the object \( L \) is much smaller than the optical wavelength. This is illustrated in the field of nano-optics with a plasmonic nanoparticle. If the particle is small enough compared to wavelength of the light, electrostatic analysis can be used. For instance, the wavelength of blue light is about 400 nm, and 10 nm nano-particles can be made. (Even the ancient Romans could make them!) And hence, static electromagnetic theory can be used to analyze the wave-particle interaction. This was done in one of the homeworks. Figure 23.2 shows an incident light whose wavelength is much longer than the size of the particle. The incident field induces an electric dipole moment on the particle, whose external field can be written as

\[ E_s = (\hat{r}2 \cos \theta + \hat{\theta} \sin \theta) \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^3 E_0 \quad (23.2.19) \]

while the incident field and the interior field to the particle can be expressed as

\[ E_0 = \hat{z} E_0 = (\hat{r} \cos \theta - \hat{\theta} \sin \theta) E_0 \quad (23.2.20) \]
\[ E_i = \hat{z} E_i = (\hat{r} \cos \theta - \hat{\theta} \sin \theta) E_i \quad (23.2.21) \]

By matching boundary conditions, as was done in the homework, it can be shown that

\[ E_s = \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2 \varepsilon} E_0 \quad (23.2.22) \]
\[ E_i = \frac{3\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2 \varepsilon} E_0 \quad (23.2.23) \]

For a plasmonic nano-particle, the particle medium behaves like a plasma, and \( \varepsilon_s \) in the above can be negative, making the denominators of the above expression close to zero. Therefore, the amplitude of the internal and scattered fields can be very large when this happens, and the nano-particles will glitter in the presence of light.

Figure 23.3 shows a nano-particle induced in plasmonic oscillation by a light wave. Figure 23.4 shows that different color fluids can be obtained by immersing nano-particles in fluids with different background permittivity causing the plasmonic particles to resonate at different frequencies.
Figure 23.2: A plane electromagnetic wave incident on a particle. When the particle size is small compared to wavelength, static analysis can be used to solve this problem (courtesy of Kong [31]).

Figure 23.3: A nano-particle undergoing electromagnetic oscillation when an electromagnetic wave impinges on it (courtesy of sigmaaldric.com).
In (23.2.16), this criterion has been expressed in terms of the dimension of the object $L$ compared to the wavelength $\lambda_0$. Alternatively, we can express this criterion in terms of transit time. The transit time for an electromagnetic wave to traverse an object of size $L$ is $\tau = L/c_0$ and $\omega = 2\pi/T$ where $T$ is the period of one time-harmonic oscillation. Hence, (23.2.15) can be re-expressed as

$$\frac{2\pi\tau}{T} \ll 1 \quad (23.2.24)$$

The above implies that if the transit time $\tau$ needed for the electromagnetic field to traverse the object of length $L$ is much small than the period of oscillation of the electromagnetic field, then static theory can be used.

The finite speed of light gives rise to delay or retardation of electromagnetic signal when it propagates through space. When this retardation effect can be ignored, then static electromagnetic theory can be used. In other words, if the speed of light had been infinite, then there would be no retardation effect, and static electromagnetic theory could always be used. Alternatively, the infinite speed of light will give rise to infinite wavelength, and criterion (23.2.16) will always be satisfied, and static theory prevails.

### 23.2.1 Quasi-Static Electromagnetic Theory

In closing, we would like to make one more remark. The right-hand side of (23.2.11), which is Faraday’s law, is essential for capturing the physical mechanism of an inductor and flux linkage. And yet, if we drop it, there will be no inductor in this world. To understand this dilemma, let us rewrite (23.2.11) in integral form, namely,

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -j\omega\mu_0 \int_S d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (23.2.25)$$
In the inductor, the right-hand side has been amplified by multiple turns, effectively increasing \( S \), the flux linkage area. Or one can think of an inductor as having a much longer effective length \( L_{\text{eff}} \) when untwined so as to compensate for decreasing frequency \( \omega \). Hence, the importance of flux linkage or the inductor in circuit theory is not diminished unless \( \omega = 0 \).

By the same token, displacement current can be enlarged by using capacitors. In this case, even when no electric current \( J \) flows through the capacitor, displacement current flows and the generalized Ampere’s law becomes

\[
\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = j\omega \varepsilon_0 L \iint_S d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{E}'
\]

(23.2.26)

The displacement in a capacitor cannot be ignored unless \( \omega = 0 \). Therefore, when \( \omega \neq 0 \), or in quasi-static case, inductors and capacitors in circuit theory are important as we shall study next. In summary, the full physics of Maxwell’s equations is not lost in circuit theory: the induction term in Faraday’s law, and the displacement current in Ampere’s law are still retained. That explains the success of circuit theory in electromagnetic engineering!
Lecture 24

Circuit Theory Revisited

24.1 Circuit Theory Revisited

Circuit theory is one of the most successful and often used theories in electrical engineering. Its success is mainly due to its simplicity: it can capture the physics of highly complex circuits and structures, which is very important in the computer and micro-chip industry. Now, having understood electromagnetic theory in its full glory, it is prudent to revisit circuit theory and study its relationship to electromagnetic theory [29,31,48,59].

The two most important laws in circuit theory are Kirchhoff current law (KCL) and Kirchhoff voltage law (KVL) [14, 45]. These two laws are derivable from the current continuity equation and from Faraday’s law.

24.1.1 Kirchhoff Current Law

![Figure 24.1: Schematics showing the derivation of Kirchhoff current law. All currents flowing into a node must add up to zero.](image)

Figure 24.1: Schematics showing the derivation of Kirchhoff current law. All currents flowing into a node must add up to zero.
Kirchhoff current law (KCL) is a consequence of the current continuity equation, or that

\[ \nabla \cdot J = -j\omega \rho \quad (24.1.1) \]

It is a consequence of charge conservation. But it is also derivable from generalized Ampere’s law and Gauss’ law for charge.\(^1\)

First, we assume that all currents are flowing into a node as shown in Figure 24.1, and that the node is non-charge accumulating with \( \omega \to 0 \). Then the charge continuity equation becomes

\[ \nabla \cdot J = 0 \quad (24.1.2) \]

By integrating the above current continuity equation over a volume containing the node, it is easy to show that

\[ \sum_i^N I_i = 0 \quad (24.1.3) \]

which is the statement of KCL. This is shown for the schematics of Figure 24.1.

### 24.1.2 Kirchhoff Voltage Law

Kirchhoff voltage law is the consequence of Faraday’s law. For the truly static case when \( \omega = 0 \), it is

\[ \nabla \times E = 0 \quad (24.1.4) \]

The above implies that \( E = -\nabla \Phi \), from which we can deduce that

\[ - \oint_C E \cdot dl = 0 \quad (24.1.5) \]

For statics, the statement that \( E = -\nabla \Phi \) also implies that we can define a voltage drop between two points, \( a \) and \( b \) to be

\[ V_{ba} = - \int_a^b E \cdot dl = \int_a^b \nabla \Phi \cdot dl = \Phi(r_b) - \Phi(r_a) = V_b - V_a \quad (24.1.6) \]

As has been shown before, to be exact, \( E = -\nabla \Phi - \partial/\partial t A \), but we have ignored the induction effect. Therefore, this concept is only valid in the low frequency or long wavelength limit, or that the dimension over which the above is applied is very small so that retardation effect can be ignored.

A good way to remember the above formula is that if \( V_b > V_a \), then the electric field points from point \( a \) to point \( b \). Electric field always points from the point of higher potential.

\(^1\)Some authors will say that charge conservation is more fundamental, and that Gauss’ law and Ampere’s law are consistent with charge conservation and the current continuity equation.
to point of lower potential. Faraday’s law when applied to the static case for a closed loop of resistors shown in Figure 24.2 gives Kirchhoff voltage law (KVL), or that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{j} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.7)

Notice that the voltage drop across a resistor is always positive, since the voltages to the left of the resistors in Figure 24.2 are always higher than the voltages to the right of the resistors. This implies that internal to the resistor, there is always an electric field that points from the left to the right.

If one of the voltage drops is due to a voltage source, it can be modeled by a negative resistor as shown in Figure 24.3. The voltage drop across a negative resistor is opposite to that of a positive resistor. As we have learn from the Poynting’s theorem, negative resistor gives out energy instead of dissipates energy.

![Kirchhoff voltage law](image)

**Figure 24.2**: Kirchhoff voltage law where the sum of all voltages around a loop is zero, which is the consequence of static Faraday’s law.

![Negative resistor](image)

**Figure 24.3**: A voltage source can also be modeled by a negative resistor.
Faraday’s law for the time-varying case is

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \]  

(24.1.8)

Writing the above in integral form, one gets

\[ -\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]  

(24.1.9)

We can apply the above to a loop shown in Figure 24.4, or a loop \( C \) that goes from \( a \) to \( b \) to \( c \) to \( d \) to \( a \). We can further assume that this loop is very small compared to wavelength so that potential theory that \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi \) can be applied. Furthermore, we assume that this loop \( C \) does not have any magnetic flux through it so that the right-hand side of the above can be set to zero, or

\[ -\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0 \]  

(24.1.10)

Figure 24.4: The Kirchhoff voltage law for a circuit loop consisting of resistor, inductor, and capacitor can also be derived from Faraday’s law at low frequency.
Notice that this loop does not go through the inductor, but goes directly from $c$ to $d$. Then there is no flux linkage in this loop and thus

$$- \int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{dl} - \int_{b}^{c} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{dl} - \int_{c}^{d} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{dl} - \int_{d}^{a} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{dl} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.11)

Inside the source or the battery, it is assumed that the electric field points opposite to the direction of integration $\mathbf{dl}$, and hence the first term on the left-hand side of the above is positive while the other terms are negative. Writing out the above more explicitly, we have

$$V_0(t) + V_{cb} + V_{dc} + V_{ad} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.12)

Notice that in the above, in accordance to (24.1.6), $V_b > V_c$, $V_c > V_d$, and $V_a > V_a$. Therefore, $V_{cb}$, $V_{dc}$, and $V_{ad}$ are all negative quantities but $V_0(t) > 0$. We will study the contributions to each of the terms, the inductor, the capacitor, and the resistor more carefully next.

### 24.1.3 Inductor

To find the voltage current relation of an inductor, we apply Faraday’s law to a closed loop $C'$ formed by $dc$ and the inductor coil shown in the Figure 24.5 where we have unwrapped the solenoid into a larger loop. Assume that the inductor is made of a very good conductor, so that the electric field in the wire is small or zero. Then the only contribution to the left-hand side of Faraday’s law is the integration from point $d$ to point $c$. We assume that outside the loop in the region between $c$ and $d$, potential theory applies, and hence, $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi$. Now, we can connect $V_{dc}$ in the previous equation to the flux linkage to the inductor. When the voltage source attempts to drive an electric current into the loop, Lenz’s law (1834)$^2$ comes into effect, essentially, generating an opposing voltage. The opposing voltage gives rise to charge accumulation at $d$ and $c$, and hence, a low frequency electric field at the gap.

To this end, we form a new $C'$ that goes from $d$ to $c$, and then continue onto the wire that leads to the inductor. But this new loop will contain the flux $\mathbf{B}$ generated by the inductor

---

$^2$Lenz’s law can also be explained from Faraday’s law (1831).
current. Thus

\[ \oint_{C'} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{d}^{c} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -V_{dc} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{S'} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.13)

The inductance \( L \) is defined as the flux linkage per unit current, or

\[ L = \left[ \int_{S'} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \right]/I \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.14)

So the voltage in (24.1.13) is then

\[ V_{dc} = \frac{d}{dt}(LI) = L \frac{dI}{dt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.15)

Had there been a finite resistance in the wire of the inductor, then the electric field is non-zero inside the wire. Taking this into account, we have

\[ \oint_{C'} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = R_L I - V_{dc} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{S'} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.16)

Consequently,

\[ V_{dc} = R_L I + \frac{dI}{dt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.17)

Thus, to account for the loss of the coil, we add a resistor in the equation. The above becomes simpler in the frequency domain, namely

\[ V_{dc} = R_L I + j\omega LI \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.18)

### 24.1.4 Capacitance

The capacitance is the proportionality constant between the charge \( Q \) stored in the capacitor, and the voltage \( V \) applied across the capacitor, or \( Q = CV \). Then

\[ C = \frac{Q}{V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.19)

From the current continuity equation, one can easily show that in Figure 24.6,

\[ I = \frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(CV_{da}) = C \frac{dV_{da}}{dt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.20)

Integrating the above equation, one gets

\[ V_{da}(t) = \frac{1}{C} \int_{-\infty}^{t} I dt' \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.21)

The above looks quite cumbersome in the time domain, but in the frequency domain, it becomes

\[ I = j\omega CV_{da} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24.1.22)
24.1.5 Resistor

The electric field is not zero inside the resistor as electric field is needed to push electrons through it. As is well known,

\[ J = \sigma E \]  

(24.1.23)

From this, we deduce that \( V_{cb} = V_c - V_b \) is a negative number given by

\[ V_{cb} = -\int_b^c E \cdot dl = -\int_b^c \frac{J}{\sigma} \cdot dl \]  

(24.1.24)

where we assume a uniform current \( J = \hat{l}I/A \) in the resistor where \( \hat{l} \) is a unit vector pointing in the direction of current flow in the resistor. We can assumed that \( I \) is a constant along the length of the resistor, and thus,

\[ V_{cb} = -\int_b^c \frac{Idl}{\sigma A} = -I \int_b^c \frac{dl}{\sigma A} = -IR \]  

(24.1.25)

and

\[ R = \int_b^c \frac{dl}{\sigma A} \]  

(24.1.26)

Again, for simplicity, we assume long wavelength or low frequency in the above derivation.

24.2 Some Remarks

In this course, we have learnt that given the sources \( \varrho \) and \( J \) of an electromagnetic system, one can find \( \Phi \) and \( A \), from which we can find \( E \) and \( H \). This is even true at DC or statics. We have also looked at the definition of inductor \( L \) and capacitor \( C \). But clever engineering
is driven by heuristics: it is better, at times, to look at inductors and capacitors as energy storage devices, rather than flux linkage and charge storage devices.

Another important remark is that even though circuit theory is simpler that Maxwell’s equations in its full glory, not all the physics is lost in it. The physics of the induction term in Faraday’s law and the displacement current term in generalized Ampere’s law are still retained. In fact, wave physics is still retained in circuit theory: one can make slow wave structure out a series of inductors and capacitors. The lumped-element model of a transmission line is an example of a slow-wave structure design. Since the wave is slow, it has a smaller wavelength, and resonators can be made smaller: We see this in the LC tank circuit which is a much smaller resonator in wavelength compared to a microwave cavity resonator for instance. The only short coming is that inductors and capacitors generally have higher losses than air or vacuum.

24.2.1 Energy Storage Method for Inductor and Capacitor

Often time, it is more expedient to think of inductors and capacitors as energy storage devices. This enables us to identify stray (also called parasitic) inductances and capacitances more easily. This manner of thinking allows for an alternative way of calculating inductances and capacitances as well [29].

The energy stored in an inductor is due to its energy storage in the magnetic field, and it is alternatively written, according to circuit theory, as

\[ W_m = \frac{1}{2} LI^2 \]  

(24.2.1)

Therefore, it is simpler to think that an inductance exists whenever there is stray magnetic field to store magnetic energy. A piece of wire carries a current that produces a magnetic field enabling energy storage in the magnetic field. Hence, a piece of wire in fact behaves like a small inductor, and it is non-negligible at high frequencies: Stray inductances occur whenever there are stray magnetic fields.

By the same token, a capacitor can be thought of as an electric energy storage device rather than a charge storage device. The energy stored in a capacitor, from circuit theory, is

\[ W_c = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \]  

(24.2.2)

Therefore, whenever stray electric field exists, one can think of stray capacitances as we have seen in the case of fringing field capacitances in a microstrip line.

24.2.2 Finding Closed-Form Formulas for Inductance and Capacitance

Finding closed form solutions for inductors and capacitors is a difficult endeavor. Only certain geometries are amenable to closed form solutions. Even a simple circular loop does not have a closed form solution for its inductance \( L \). If we assume a uniform current on a circular loop, in theory, the magnetic field can be calculated using Bio-Savart law that we have learnt
before, namely that

\[ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{I(\mathbf{r}') \mathbf{dl}' \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}}{4\pi R^2} \]  

(24.2.3)

But the above cannot be evaluated in closed form save in terms of complicate elliptic integrals.

However, if we have a solenoid as shown in Figure 24.7, an approximate formula for the inductance \( L \) can be found if the fringing field at the end of the solenoid can be ignored. The inductance can be found using the flux linkage method [28, 29]. Figure 24.8 shows the schematics used to find the approximate inductance of this inductor.

![Figure 24.7: The flux-linkage method is used to estimate the inductor of a solenoid (courtesy of SolenoidSupplier.Com).](image)

![Figure 24.8: Finding the inductor flux linkage by assuming the magnetic field is uniform inside a long solenoid.](image)

The capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor can be found by solving a boundary value problem (BVP) for electrostatics. The electrostatic BVP for capacitor involves Poisson’s equation and Laplace equation which are scalar equations [42][Thomson’s theorem].
Assume a geometry of two conductors charged to \(+V\) and \(-V\) volts as shown in Figure 24.9. Surface charges will accumulate on the surfaces of the conductors. Using Poisson’s equations, and Green’s function for Poisson’s equation, one can express the potential in between the two conductors as due to the surface charges density \(\sigma(r)\). It can be expressed as

\[
\Phi(r) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_S dS' \frac{\sigma(r')}{4\pi|r - r'|}
\]

(24.2.4)

where \(S\) is the union of two surfaces \(S_1\) and \(S_2\). Since \(\Phi\) has values of \(+V\) and \(-V\) on the two conductors, we require that

\[
\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} 
+V, & r \in S_1 \\
-V, & r \in S_2 
\end{cases}
\]

(24.2.5)

In the above, \(\sigma(r')\), the surface charge density, is the unknown yet to be sought and it is embedded in an integral. But the right-hand side of the equation is known. Hence, this equation is also known as an integral equation. The integral equation can be solved by numerical methods.

Having found \(\sigma(r)\), then it can be integrated to find \(Q\), the total charge on one of the conductors. Since the voltage difference between the two conductors is known, the capacitance can be found as \(C = Q/(2V)\).

### 24.3 Importance of Circuit Theory in IC Design

The clock rate of computer circuits has peaked at about 3 GHz due to the resistive loss, or the \(I^2R\) loss. At this frequency, the wavelength is about 10 cm. Since transistors and circuit components are shrinking due to the compounding effect of Moore’s law, most components, which are of nanometer dimensions, are much smaller than the wavelength. Thus, most of the physics of electromagnetic signal in a circuit can be captured using circuit theory.
Figure 24.10 shows the schematics and the cross section of a computer chip at different levels: the transistor level at the bottom-most. The signals are taken out of a transistor by XY lines at the middle level that are linked to the ball-grid array at the top-most level of the chip. And then, the signal leaves the chip via a package. Since these nanometer-size structures are much smaller than the wavelength, they are usually modeled by lumped $R$, $L$, and $C$ elements if retardation effect can be ignored. If retardation effect is needed, it is usually modeled by a transmission line. This is important at the package level where the dimensions of the components are larger.

A process of parameter extraction where computer software or field solvers (software that solve Maxwell’s equations numerically) are used to extract these lumped-element parameters. Finally, a computer chip is modeled as a network involving a large number of transistors, diodes, and $R$, $L$, and $C$ elements. Subsequently, a very useful commercial software called SPICE (Simulation Program with Integrated-Circuit Emphasis) [122], which is a computer-aided software, solves for the voltages and currents in this network.
The SPICE software has many capabilities, including modeling of transmission lines for microwave engineering. Figure 24.11 shows an interface of an RF-SPICE that allows the modeling of transmission line with a Smith chart interface.
24.3.1 Decoupling Capacitors and Spiral Inductors

Decoupling capacitors are an important part of modern computer chip design. They can regulate voltage supply on the power delivery network of the chip as they can remove high-frequency noise and voltage fluctuation from a circuit as shown in Figure 24.12. Figure 24.13 shows a 3D IC computer chip where decoupling capacitors are integrated into its design.

Figure 24.12: A decoupling capacitor is essentially a low-pass filter allowing low-frequency signal to pass through, while high-frequency signal is short-circuited (courtesy learningabout-electronics.com).
Inductors are also indispensable in IC design, as they can be used as a high frequency choke. However, designing compact inductor is still a challenge. Spiral inductors are used because of their planar structure and ease of fabrication.

Figure 24.14: Spiral inductors are difficult to build on a chip, but by using laminal structure, it can be integrated into the IC fabrication process (courtesy of Quan Yuan, Research Gate).
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Radiation by a Hertzian Dipole

25.1 Radiation by a Hertzian Dipole

Radiation by arbitrary sources is an important problem for antennas and wireless communications. We will start with studying the Hertzian dipole which is the simplest of a radiation source we can think of.

25.1.1 History

The original historic Hertzian dipole experiment is shown in Figure 25.1. It was done in 1887 by Heinrich Hertz [18]. The schematics for the original experiment is also shown in Figure 25.2.

A metallic sphere has a capacitance in closed form with respect to infinity or a ground plane. Hertz could use those knowledge to estimate the capacitance of the sphere, and also, he could estimate the inductance of the leads that are attached to the dipole, and hence, the resonance frequency of his antenna. The large sphere is needed to have a large capacitance, so that current can be driven through the wires. As we shall see, the radiation strength of the dipole is proportional to \( p = ql \) the dipole moment. To get a large dipole moment, the current flowing in the lead should be large.
25.1.2 Approximation by a Point Source

A Hertzian dipole is a dipole which is much smaller than the wavelength under consideration so that we can approximate it by a point current distribution, mathematically given by [31,38]

\[
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{z}{\pi} \mathbf{n}(t) \delta(\mathbf{r})
\]  

(25.1.1)

The dipole may look like the following schematically. As long as we are not too close to the dipole so that it does not look like a point source anymore, the above is a good model for a
In (25.1.1), \( l \) is the effective length of the dipole so that the dipole moment \( p = ql \). The charge \( q \) is varying in time harmonically because it is driven by the generator. Since

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = I,
\]

we have

\[
Il = \frac{dq}{dt}l = j\omega ql = j\omega p
\]

for a Hertzian dipole. We have learnt previously that the vector potential is related to the current as follows:

\[
A(r) = \mu \int dr' J(r') \frac{e^{-j\beta|r-r'|}}{4\pi|r-r'|}
\]

Therefore, the corresponding vector potential is given by

\[
A(r) = \hat{z} \frac{\mu Il}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r}
\]

The magnetic field is obtained, using cylindrical coordinates, as

\[
H = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times A = \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} A_z - \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} A_z \right)
\]

where \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = 0, r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \). In the above,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{\rho}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.
\]
Hence,

\[ \mathbf{H} = -\frac{\phi}{r} \frac{Il}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - j\beta \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-j\beta r} \tag{25.1.6} \]

In spherical coordinates, \( \rho = \sin \theta \), and (25.1.6) becomes [31]

\[ \mathbf{H} = \frac{\phi}{r} \frac{Il}{4\pi} (1 + j\beta r)e^{-j\beta r} \sin \theta \tag{25.1.7} \]

The electric field can be derived using Maxwell’s equations.

\[ \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{j\omega \varepsilon} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{j\omega \varepsilon} \left( \hat{r} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta H_{\phi} - \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r H_{\phi} \right) \tag{25.1.8} \]

\[ = \frac{Il e^{-j\beta r}}{j\omega \varepsilon 4\pi r^3} \left[ \hat{r} 2 \cos \theta (1 + j\beta r) + \hat{\theta} \sin \theta (1 + j\beta r - \beta^2 r^2) \right] \tag{25.1.9} \]

25.1.3 Case I. Near Field, \( \beta r \ll 1 \)

\[ \mathbf{E} \cong \frac{p}{4\pi \varepsilon r^3} (\hat{r} 2 \cos \theta + \hat{\theta} \sin \theta), \quad \beta r \ll 1 \tag{25.1.10} \]

\[ \mathbf{H} \ll \mathbf{E}, \quad \text{when } \beta r \ll 1 \tag{25.1.11} \]

where \( p = ql \) is the dipole moment, and \( \beta r \) could be made very small by making \( r / \lambda \) small or by making \( \omega \to 0 \). The above is like the static field of a dipole. The reason being that in
the near field, the field varies rapidly, and space derivatives are much larger than the time derivative.\footnote{This is in agreement with our observation that electromagnetic fields are great contortionists: They will deform themselves to match the boundary first before satisfying Maxwell’s equations. Since the source point is very small, the fields will deform themselves so as to satisfy the boundary conditions near to the source region. If this region is small compared to wavelength, the fields will vary rapidly over a small lengthscale compared to wavelength.}

For instance,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \gg \frac{\partial}{c \partial t} \]

Alternatively, we can say that the above is equivalent to

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \gg \frac{\omega}{c} \]

or that

\[ \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \approx \nabla^2 \]

In other words, static theory prevails over dynamic theory.

### 25.1.4 Case II. Far Field (Radiation Field), \( \beta r \gg 1 \)

In this case,

\[ E \cong j \omega \mu \frac{I_l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r \sin \theta} \quad (25.1.12) \]

and

\[ H \cong j \phi \frac{I_l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r \sin \theta} \quad (25.1.13) \]

Note that \( \frac{E_\theta}{H_\phi} = \frac{\omega \mu}{\beta} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} = \eta_0 \). Here, \( E \) and \( H \) are orthogonal to each other and are both orthogonal to the direction of propagation, as in the case of a plane wave. A spherical wave resembles a plane wave in the far field approximation.

### 25.1.5 Radiation, Power, and Directive Gain Patterns

The time average power flow is given by

\[ \langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Re [E \times H^*] = \frac{1}{2} \eta_0 |H_\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \eta_0 \left( \frac{\beta I_l}{4\pi r} \right)^2 \sin^2 \theta \quad (25.1.14) \]

The radiation field pattern of a Hertzian dipole is the plot of \( |E| \) as a function of \( \theta \) at a constant \( r \). Hence, it is proportional to \( \sin \theta \), and it can be proved that it is a circle.
The radiation power pattern is the plot of $\langle S_r \rangle$ at a constant $r$. 

Figure 25.5: Radiation field pattern of a Hertzian dipole.

Figure 25.6: Radiation power pattern of a Hertzian dipole which is also the same as the directive gain pattern.
The total power radiated by a Hertzian dipole is given by

\[ P = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta r^2 \sin \theta \langle S_r \rangle = 2\pi \int_0^\pi d\theta \eta_0 \left( \frac{\beta I I}{4\pi} \right)^2 \sin^3 \theta \]  

(25.1.15)

Since

\[ \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin^3 \theta = -\int_1^{-1} (d \cos \theta)[1 - \cos^2 \theta] = \int_{-1}^1 dx(1 - x^2) = \frac{4}{3} \]  

(25.1.16)

then

\[ P = \frac{4}{3} \pi \eta_0 \left( \frac{\beta I I}{4\pi} \right)^2 \]  

(25.1.17)

The **directive gain** of an antenna, \( G(\theta, \phi) \), is defined as [31]

\[ G(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\langle S_r \rangle}{P_{4\pi r^2}} \]  

(25.1.18)

where

\[ \frac{P}{4\pi r^2} \]

is the power density if the power \( P \) were uniformly distributed over a sphere of radius \( r \). Substituting (25.1.14) and (25.1.17) into the above, we have

\[ G(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\eta_0}{2} \left( \frac{\beta I I}{4\pi} \right)^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \theta \]  

(25.1.19)

The peak of \( G(\theta, \phi) \) is known as the **directivity** of an antenna. It is 1.5 in the case of a Hertzian dipole. If an antenna is radiating isotropically, its directivity is 1. Therefore, the lowest possible values for the directivity of an antenna is 1, whereas it can be over 100 for some antennas like reflector antennas (see Figure 25.7). A **directive gain pattern** is a plot of the above function \( G(\theta, \phi) \) and it resembles the radiation power pattern.
If the total power fed into the antenna instead of the total radiated power is used in the denominator of (25.1.18), the ratio is known as the **power gain** or just **gain**. The total power fed into the antenna is not equal to the total radiated power because there could be some loss in the antenna system like metallic loss.

### 25.1.6 Radiation Resistance

Defining a **radiation resistance** $R_r$ by $P = \frac{1}{2} I^2 R_r$, we have \[ R_r = \frac{2P}{I^2} = \eta_0 \frac{(\beta l)^2}{6\pi} \approx 20(\beta l)^2, \quad \text{where } \eta_0 = 377 \approx 120\pi \, \Omega \]  

(25.1.20)

For example, for a Hertzian dipole with $l = 0.1\lambda$, $R_r \approx 8\Omega$.

The above assumes that the current is uniformly distributed over the length of the Hertzian dipole. This is true if there are two charge reservoirs at its two ends. For a small dipole with no charge reservoir at the two ends, the currents have to vanish at the tip of the dipole as shown in Figure 25.8.
The effective length of the dipole is **half** of its actual length due to the manner the currents are distributed. For example, for a half-wave dipole, \( a = \frac{\lambda}{2} \), and if we use \( l_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\lambda}{4} \) in (25.1.20), we have

\[
R_c \approx 50\Omega 
\] (25.1.21)

However, a half-wave dipole is not much smaller than a wavelength and does not qualify to be a Hertzian dipole. Furthermore, the current distribution on the half-wave dipole is not triangular in shape as above. A more precise calculation shows that \( R_c = 73\Omega \) for a half-wave dipole [48].

The true current distribution on a half-wave dipole resembles that shown in Figure 25.9. The current is zero at the end points, but the current has a more sinusoidal-like distribution like that in a transmission line. In fact, one can think of a half-wave dipole as a flared, open transmission line. In the beginning, this flared open transmission line came in the form of biconical antennas which are shown in Figure 25.10 [123]. If we recall that the characteristic impedance of a transmission line is \( \sqrt{LC} \), then as the spacing of the two metal pieces becomes bigger, the equivalent characteristic impedance gets bigger. Therefore, the impedance can gradually transform from a small impedance like 50 \( \Omega \) to that of free space, which is 377 \( \Omega \). This impedance matching helps mitigate reflection from the ends of the flared transmission line, and enhances radiation.

Because of the matching nature of bicone antennas, they tend to have a broader bandwidth, and are important in UWB (ultra-wide band) antennas [124].
Figure 25.9: A current distribution on a half-wave dipole (courtesy of electronics-notes.co).

Figure 25.10: A bicone antenna can be thought of as a transmission line with gradually changing characteristic impedance. This enhances impedance matching and the radiation of the antenna (courtesy of antennasproduct.com).
Lecture 26
Radiation Fields, Far Fields

26.1 Radiation Fields or Far-Field Approximation

In the previous lecture, we have derived the relation of the vector and scalar potentials to the sources $J$ and $\varrho$.\(^1\) They are given by

\begin{align}
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) &= \mu \iiint_V d\mathbf{r}' J(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi |\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \quad (26.1.1) \\
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \iiint_V d\mathbf{r}' \varrho(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi |\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \quad (26.1.2)
\end{align}

\(^1\)This topic is found in many standard textbooks in electromagnetics [31, 41, 48]. They are also found in lecture notes [38, 125].
The integrals in (26.1.1) and (26.1.2) are normally untenable, but when the observation point is far from the source, approximation to the integrals can be made giving them a nice physical interpretation.

Figure 26.2:

26.1.1 Far-Field Approximation

When \(|r| \gg |r'|\), then \(|r - r'| \approx r - r' \cdot \hat{r}\), where \(r = |r|\) and \(r' = |r'|\). This approximation can be shown algebraically or by geometrical argument as shown in Figure 26.2. Thus (26.1.1) above becomes

\[
A(r) \approx \mu e^{-j\beta r} \frac{4\pi}{r} \int_{V} d\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{J} e^{-j\beta \mathbf{r}' \cdot \hat{r}} \approx \mu e^{-j\beta r} \frac{4\pi}{r} \int_{V} d\mathbf{r}' \mathbf{J} e^{j\beta \mathbf{r}' \cdot \hat{r}}
\]  

(26.1.3)

In the above we have made use of that \(1/(1 - \Delta) \approx 1\) when \(\Delta\) is small, but \(e^{j\beta \Delta} \neq 1\), unless \(j\beta \Delta \ll 1\). Hence, we keep the exponential term in (26.1.3) but simplify the denominator to arrive at the last expression above.

If we let \(\beta = \beta \hat{r}\), and \(r' = x'\hat{x} + y'\hat{y} + z'\hat{z}\), then

\[
e^{j\beta \mathbf{r}' \cdot \hat{r}} = e^{j\beta x' + j\beta y' + j\beta z'}
\]  

(26.1.4)

Therefore (26.1.3) resembles a 3D Fourier transform integral, namely

\[
A(r) \approx \mu e^{-j\beta r} \frac{4\pi}{V} \int_{V} d\mathbf{r}' \mathbf{J} e^{j\beta \mathbf{r}'}
\]  

(26.1.5)

and (26.1.5) can be rewritten as

\[
A(r) \approx \mu e^{-j\beta r} \int_{V} d\mathbf{r}' \mathbf{J} e^{j\beta \mathbf{r}'}
\]  

(26.1.6)
where

\[
F(\beta) = \int_V dr' J(r') e^{j\beta \cdot r'}
\]

(26.1.7)

is the 3D Fourier transform of \(J(r')\) with \(\beta = \hat{r} \beta\).

It is to be noted that this is not a normal 3D Fourier transform because \(|\beta|^2 = \beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2 = \beta^2\). In other words, the length of the vector \(\beta\) is fixed to be \(\beta\). In a normal 3D Fourier transform, \(\beta_x, \beta_y, \) and \(\beta_z\) are independent variables, with values in the range \([-\infty, \infty]\), and \(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2\) ranges from zero to infinity.

The above is the 3D “Fourier transform” of the current source \(J(r')\) with Fourier variables, \(\beta_x, \beta_y, \beta_z\) lying on a sphere of radius \(\beta\) and \(\beta = \beta \hat{r}\). This spherical surface in the Fourier space is also called the Ewald’s sphere.

### 26.1.2 Locally Plane Wave Approximation

We can write \(\hat{r}\) or \(\beta\) in terms of direction cosines in spherical coordinates or that

\[
\hat{r} = \hat{x} \cos \phi \sin \theta + \hat{y} \sin \phi \sin \theta + \hat{z} \cos \theta
\]

(26.1.8)

Hence,

\[
F(\beta) = F(\beta \hat{r}) = F(\beta, \theta, \phi)
\]

(26.1.9)

It is not truly a 3D function, since \(\beta\) is fixed. It is a 3D Fourier transform with data restricted on a spherical surface.

Also in (26.1.6), when \(r \gg r' \cdot \hat{r}\), \(e^{-j\beta r}\) is now a rapidly varying function of \(r\) while, \(F(\beta)\) is only a slowly varying function of \(\theta\) and \(\phi\), the observation angles. In other words, the prefactor in (26.1.6), \(\exp(-j\beta r)/r\), can be thought of as resembling a spherical wave. Hence, if one follows a ray of this spherical wave and moves in the \(r\) direction, the predominant variation of the field is due to \(e^{-j\beta r}\), whereas the direction of the vector \(\beta\) changes little, and hence \(F(\beta)\) changes little. Furthermore, \(r'\) in (26.1.7) are restricted to small or finite number, making \(F(\beta)\) a weak function of \(\beta\).

The above shows that in the far field, the wave radiated by a finite source resembles a spherical wave. Moreover, a spherical wave resembles a plane wave when one is sufficiently far from the source. Hence, we can write \(e^{-j\beta r} = e^{-j\beta \cdot r}\) where \(\beta = \hat{r} \beta\) and \(r = \hat{r} r\) so that a spherical wave resembles a plane wave locally. This phenomenon is shown in Figure 26.3.
Figure 26.3: A spherical wave emanating from a source becomes locally a plane wave in the far field.

Then, it is clear that with the plane-wave approximation, $\nabla \rightarrow -j\beta = -j\beta \hat{r}$, and

$$
H = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times A \approx -j\beta \hat{r} \times (\hat{\theta} A_{\theta} + \hat{\phi} A_{\phi}) = j\beta \left( \hat{\theta} A_{\phi} - \hat{\phi} A_{\theta} \right) 
$$

(26.1.10)

Similarly [38, 125],

$$
E = \frac{1}{j\omega \varepsilon} \nabla \times H \approx -j\frac{\beta}{\omega \varepsilon} \hat{r} \times H \approx -j\omega (\hat{\theta} A_{\theta} + \hat{\phi} A_{\phi})
$$

(26.1.11)

Notice that $\beta = \beta \hat{r}$ is orthogonal to $E$ and $H$ in the far field, a property of a plane wave. Moreover, there are more than one way to derive the electric field $E$. Using (26.1.10) for the magnetic field, the electric field can also be written as

$$
E = \frac{1}{j\omega \mu \varepsilon} \nabla \times \nabla \times A
$$

(26.1.12)

Using the formula for the double-curl operator, the above can be rewritten as

$$
E = \frac{1}{j\omega \mu \varepsilon} (\nabla \nabla \cdot A - \nabla^2 A) = \frac{1}{j\omega \mu \varepsilon} (-\beta \beta + \beta^2 \mathbf{I}) \cdot A
$$

(26.1.13)

where we have used that $\nabla^2 A = -\beta^2 A$. Alternatively, we can factor $\beta^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon$ and rewrite the above as

$$
E = -j\omega \left( -\hat{\beta} \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{I} \right) \cdot A = -j\omega \left( -\hat{r} \hat{r} + \mathbf{I} \right) \cdot A
$$

(26.1.14)
Since \( \mathbf{I} = \hat{r} \hat{r} + \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta} + \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} \), then the above becomes

\[
\mathbf{E} = -j\omega \left( \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta} + \hat{\phi} \hat{\phi} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A} = -j\omega (\hat{\theta} A_\theta + \hat{\phi} A_\phi)
\] (26.1.15)

which is the same as previously derived. It also shows that the electric field is transverse to the \( \beta \) vector. We can also arrive at the above by lettering \( \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mathbf{A} - \nabla \Phi \), and using the appropriate formula for the scalar potential.

Furthermore, it can be shown that in the far field, using the plane-wave approximation,

\[
|\mathbf{E}| / |\mathbf{H}| \approx \eta
\] (26.1.16)

where \( \eta \) is the intrinsic impedance of free space, which is a property of a plane wave. Moreover, one can show that the time average Poynting’s vector in the far field is

\[
\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2\eta} |\mathbf{E}|^2 \hat{r}
\] (26.1.17)

which resembles also the property of a plane wave. Since the radiated field is a spherical wave, the Poynting’s vector is radial. Therefore,

\[
\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \hat{r} S_r(\theta, \phi)
\] (26.1.18)

The plot of \(|\mathbf{E}(\theta, \phi)|\) is termed the far-field pattern or the radiation pattern of an antenna or the source, while the plot of \(|\mathbf{E}(\theta, \phi)|^2\) is its far-field power pattern.

### 26.1.3 Directive Gain Pattern Revisited

Once the far-field power pattern \( S_r \) is known, the total power radiated by the antenna can be found by

\[
P_T = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi S_r(\theta, \phi)
\] (26.1.19)

The above evaluates to a constant independent of \( r \) due to energy conservation. Now assume that this same antenna is radiating isotropically in all directions, then the average power density of this fictitious isotropic radiator as \( r \to \infty \) is

\[
S_{av} = \frac{P_T}{4\pi r^2}
\] (26.1.20)

A dimensionless directive gain pattern can be defined such that \([31,125]\)

\[
G(\theta, \phi) = \frac{S_r(\theta, \phi)}{S_{av}} = \frac{4\pi r^2 S_r(\theta, \phi)}{P_T}
\] (26.1.21)

The above function is independent of \( r \) in the far field since \( S_r \sim 1/r^2 \) in the far field. As in the Hertzian dipole case, the directivity of an antenna \( D = \max(G(\theta, \phi)) \), is the maximum value of the directive gain. It is to be noted that by its mere definition,

\[
\int d\Omega G(\theta, \phi) = 4\pi
\] (26.1.22)
where \( \int d\Omega = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \). It is seen that since the directive gain pattern is normalized, when the radiation power is directed to the main lobe of the antenna, the corresponding side lobes and back lobes will be diminished.

An antenna also has an effective area or aperture, such that if a plane wave carrying power density denoted by \( S_{\text{inc}} \) impinges on the antenna, then the power received by the antenna, \( P_{\text{received}} \), is given by

\[
P_{\text{received}} = S_{\text{inc}} A_e
\]

(26.1.23)

A wonderful relationship exists between the directive gain pattern \( G(\theta, \phi) \) and the effective aperture, namely that\(^2\)

\[
A_e = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} G(\theta, \phi)
\]

(26.1.24)

Therefore, the effective aperture of an antenna is also direction dependent. The above implies that the radiation property of an antenna is related to its receiving property. This is a consequence of reciprocity theorem that we will study later. The constant of proportionality, \( \lambda^2/(4\pi) \) is a universal constant that is valid for all antennas satisfying reciprocity theorem. The derivation of this constant for a Hertzian dipole is given in Kong [31], and using blackbody radiation law [125,126].

The directivity and the effective aperture can be enhanced by designing antennas with different gain pattern. When the radiative power of the antenna can be directed to be in a certain direction, then the directive gain and the effective aperture (for that given direction) of the antenna is improved. This is shown in Figure 26.4. Such focusing of the radiation fields of the antenna can be achieved using reflector antennas or array antennas. Array antennas, as shall be shown, work by constructive and destructive wave field of the antenna.

Being able to do point-to-point communications at high data rate is an important modern application of antenna array. Figure 26.5 the gain pattern of a sophisticated antenna array design for 5G applications.

\(^2\)The proof of this formula is beyond the scope of this lecture, but we will elaborate on it when we discuss reciprocity theorem.
Figure 26.4: The directive gain pattern of an array antenna. The directivity is increased by constructive interference (courtesy of Wikipedia).
Figure 26.5: The directive gain pattern of a sophisticated array antenna for 5G applications (courtesy of Ozeninc.com).
Lecture 27

Array Antennas, Fresnel Zone, Rayleigh Distance

27.1 Linear Array of Dipole Antennas

Antenna array can be designed so that the constructive and destructive interference in the far field can be used to steer the direction of radiation of the antenna, or the far-field radiation pattern of an antenna array. The relative phases of the array elements can be changed in time so that the beam of an array antenna can be steered in real time. This has important applications in, for example, air-traffic control. A simple linear dipole array is shown in Figure 27.1.

Figure 27.1: Schematics of a dipole array. To simplify the math, the far-field approximation can be used to find its far field.

First, without loss of generality, we assume that this is a linear array of Hertzian dipoles
aligned on the $x$ axis. The current can then be described mathematically as follows:

$$
J(r') = zIl[A_0\delta(x') + A_1\delta(x' - d_1) + A_2\delta(x' - d_2) + \cdots + A_{N-1}\delta(x' - d_{N-1})]\delta(y')\delta(z')
$$

(27.1.1)

### 27.1.1 Far-Field Approximation

The vector potential on the $xy$-plane in the far field, using the sifting property of delta function, yields the following equation, to be

$$
A(r) \approx \frac{\mu l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \left[ A_0 + A_1 e^{j\beta d_1 \cos \phi} + A_2 e^{j\beta d_2 \cos \phi} + \cdots + A_{N-1} e^{j\beta d_{N-1} \cos \phi} \right] e^{j\beta x' \cdot \hat{r}}
$$

(27.1.2)

In the above, we have assumed that the observation point is on the $xy$ plane, or that $r = \rho = \hat{x}x + \hat{y}y$. Thus, $\hat{r} = \hat{x}\cos \phi + \hat{y}\sin \phi$. Also, since the sources are aligned on the $x$ axis, then $r' = \hat{x}x'$, and $r' \cdot \hat{r} = x' \cos \phi$. Consequently, $e^{j\beta r' \cdot \hat{r}} = e^{j\beta x' \cos \phi}$.

If $d_n = nd$, and $A_n = e^{jn\psi}$, then the antenna array, which assumes a progressively increasing phase shift between different elements, is called a linear phase array. Thus, (27.1.2) in the above becomes

$$
A(r) \approx \frac{\mu l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \left[ 1 + e^{j(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)} + e^{j2(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)} + \cdots + e^{j(N-1)(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)} \right] e^{j\beta x' \cos \phi}
$$

(27.1.3)

### 27.1.2 Radiation Pattern of an Array

The above(27.1.3) can be summed in closed form using

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^n = \frac{1 - x^N}{1 - x}
$$

(27.1.4)

Then in the far field,

$$
A(r) \approx \frac{\mu l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \frac{1 - e^{jN(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)}}{1 - e^{j(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)}}
$$

(27.1.5)

Ordinarily, as shown previously, $E \approx -j\omega(\hat{\theta}A_{\theta} + \hat{\phi}A_{\phi})$. But since $A$ is $\hat{z}$ directed, $A_{\phi} = 0$. Furthermore, on the $xy$ plane, $E_{\theta} \approx -j\omega A_{\theta} = j\omega A_{z}$. Therefore,

$$
|E_{\theta}| = |E_0| \left| \frac{1 - e^{jN(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)}}{1 - e^{j(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)}} \right|, \quad r \to \infty
$$

$$
= |E_0| \left| \frac{\sin \frac{N}{2}(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)} \right|, \quad r \to \infty
$$

(27.1.6)
The factor multiplying $|E_0|$ above is also called the array factor. The above can be used to plot the far-field pattern of an antenna array.

Equation (27.1.6) has an array factor that is of the form $\frac{|\sin Nx|}{|\sin x|}$. This function appears in digital signal processing frequently, and is known as the digital sinc function. The reason why this is so is because the far field is proportional to the Fourier transform of the current. The current in this case a finite array of Hertzian dipole, which is a product of a box function and infinite array of Hertzian dipole. The Fourier transform of such a current, as is well known in digital signal processing, is the digital sinc.

Plots of $|\sin 3x|$ and $|\sin x|$ are shown as an example and the resulting $\frac{|\sin 3x|}{|\sin x|}$ is also shown in Figure 27.2. The function peaks when both the numerator and the denominator of the digital sinc vanish. This happens when $x = n\pi$ for integer $n$.

![Figure 27.2: Plot of the digital sinc, $\frac{|\sin 3x|}{|\sin x|}$](image)

In equation (27.1.6), $x = \frac{1}{2}(\beta d \cos \phi + \psi)$. We notice that the maximum in (27.1.6) would occur if $x = n\pi$, or if

$$\beta d \cos \phi + \psi = 2n\pi, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots$$

(27.1.7)

The zeros or nulls will occur at $Nx = n\pi$, or

$$\beta d \cos \phi + \psi = \frac{2n\pi}{N}, \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots, \quad n \neq mN$$

(27.1.8)

For example,

**Case I.** $\psi = 0, \beta d = \pi$, principal maximum is at $\phi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $N = 5$, nulls are at $\phi = \pm \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2n}{5}\right)$, or $\phi = \pm 66.4^\circ, \pm 36.9^\circ, \pm 113.6^\circ, \pm 143.1^\circ$. The radiation pattern is seen to form lopes. Since $\psi = 0$, the radiated fields in the $y$ direction are in phase and the peak of the radiation lobe is in the $y$ direction or the broadside direction. Hence, this is called a broadside array.
Case II. $\psi = \pi$, $\beta d = \pi$, principal maximum is at $\phi = 0, \pi$. If $N = 4$, nulls are at $\phi = \pm \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - 1 \right)$, or $\phi = \pm 120^\circ, \pm 90^\circ, \pm 60^\circ$. Since the sources are out of phase by $180^\circ$, and $N = 4$ is even, the radiation fields cancel each other in the broadside, but add in the $x$ direction or the end-fire direction.
Figure 27.4: By changing the phase of the linear array, the radiation pattern of the antenna array can be changed.

From the above examples, it is seen that the interference effects between the different antenna elements of a linear array focus the power in a given direction. We can use linear array to increase the directivity of antennas. Moreover, it is shown that the radiation patterns can be changed by adjusting the spacings of the elements as well as the phase shift between them. The idea of antenna array design is to make the main lobe of the pattern to be much higher than the side lobes so that the radiated power of the antenna is directed along the main lobe or lobes rather than the side lobes. So side-lobe level suppression is an important goal of designing a highly directive antenna design. Also, by changing the phase of the antenna elements in real time, the beam of the antenna can be steered in real time with no moving parts.

27.2 When is Far-Field Approximation Valid?

In making the far-field approximation in (27.1.2), it will be interesting to ponder when the far-field approximation is valid? That is, when we can approximate

\[ e^{-j\beta |r-r'|} \approx e^{-j\beta r + j\beta r'} \]  \hfill (27.2.1)

to arrive at (27.1.2). This is especially important because when we integrate over \( r' \), it can range over large values especially for a large array. In this case, \( r' \) can be as large as \( (N-1)d \).

To answer this question, we need to study the approximation in (27.2.1) more carefully. First, we have

\[ |r - r'|^2 = (r - r') \cdot (r - r') = r^2 - 2r \cdot r' + r'^2 \]  \hfill (27.2.2)
We can take the square root of the above to get

\[ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| = r \left( 1 - \frac{2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r^2} + \frac{\mathbf{r}'^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(27.2.3)

Next, we use the Taylor series expansion to get, for small \( x \), that

\[ (1 + x)^n \approx 1 + nx + \frac{n(n - 1)}{2!} x^2 + \cdots \]  

(27.2.4)

or that

\[ (1 + x)^{1/2} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{8} x^2 + \cdots \]  

(27.2.5)

We can apply this approximation by letting

\[ x = -\frac{2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r^2} + \frac{\mathbf{r}'^2}{r^2} \]

To this end, we arrive at

\[ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \approx r \left[ 1 - \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{r}'^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r^2} \right)^2 + \cdots \right] \]  

(27.2.6)

In the above, we have not kept every terms of the \( x^2 \) term by assuming that \( r'^2 \ll \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \), and terms much smaller than the last term in (27.2.6) can be neglected.

We can multiply out the right-hand side of the above to further arrive at

\[ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \approx r - \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r'^2}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{r^2} \right)^2 + \cdots \]

\[ = r - \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{r}' + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r'^2}{r} - \frac{1}{2r} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{r}')^2 + \cdots \]  

(27.2.7)

The last two terms in the last line of (27.2.3) are of the same order. Moreover, their sum is bounded by \( r'^2/(2r) \) since \( \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{r}' \) is always less than \( r' \). Hence, the far field approximation is valid if

\[ \beta \frac{r'^2}{2r} \ll 1 \]  

(27.2.8)

In the above, \( \beta \) is involved because the approximation has to be valid in the exponent, namely \( \exp(-j\beta |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \). If (27.2.7) is valid, then

\[ e^{j\beta \frac{r'^2}{2r}} \approx 1 \]

and then, the first two terms on the right-hand side of (27.2.7) suffice to approximate the left-hand side.
27.2.1 Rayleigh Distance

Figure 27.5: The right half of a Gaussian beam [74] displays the physics of the near field, the Fresnel zone, and the far zone. In the far zone, the field behaves like a spherical wave.

When a wave field leaves an aperture antenna, it can be approximately described by a Gaussian beam [74] (see Figure 27.5). Near to the antenna aperture, or the near zone, it is approximately a plane wave with wave fronts parallel to the aperture surface. Far from the antenna aperture, or in the far zone, the field behaves like a spherical wave, with its typical wave front. In between is the Fresnel zone.

Consequently, after using that $\beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$, for the far-field approximation to be valid, we need (27.2.8), or that

$$r \gg \frac{\pi \nu^2}{\lambda}$$

(27.2.9)

If the aperture of the antenna is of radius $W$, then $r' < r_{\text{max}}' \approx W$ and the far field approximation is valid if

$$r \gg \frac{\pi}{\lambda} W^2 = r_R$$

(27.2.10)

If $r$ is larger than this distance, then an antenna beam behaves like a spherical wave and starts to diverge. This distance $r_R$ is also known as the Rayleigh distance. After this distance, the wave from a finite size source resembles a spherical wave which is diverging in all directions. Also, notice that the shorter the wavelength $\lambda$, the larger is this distance. This also explains why a laser pointer works. A laser pointer light can be thought of radiation from a finite size source located at the aperture of the laser pointer. The laser pointer beam remains collimated for quite a distance, before it becomes a divergent beam or a beam with a spherical wave front.
In some textbooks [31], it is common to define acceptable phase error to be $\pi/8$. The Rayleigh distance is the distance beyond which the phase error is below this value. When the phase error of $\pi/8$ is put on the right-hand side of (27.2.8), one gets

$$\beta \frac{\nu^2}{2r} \approx \frac{\pi}{8} \quad (27.2.11)$$

Using the approximation, the Rayleigh distance is defined to be

$$r_R = \frac{2D^2}{\lambda} \quad (27.2.12)$$

where $D = 2W$ is the diameter of the antenna aperture.

27.2.2 Near Zone, Fresnel Zone, and Far Zone

Therefore, when a source radiates, the radiation field is divided into the near zone, the Fresnel zone, and the far zone (also known as the radiation zone, or the Fraunhofer zone in optics). The Rayleigh distance is the demarcation boundary between the Fresnel zone and the far zone. The larger the aperture of an antenna array is, the further one has to be to reach the far zone of an antenna. This distance becomes larger too when the wavelength is short. In the far zone, the far field behaves like a spherical wave, and its radiation pattern is proportional to the Fourier transform of the current.

In some sources, like the Hertzian dipole, in the near zone, much reactive energy is stored in the electric field or the magnetic field near to the source. This near zone receives reactive power from the source, which corresponds to instantaneous power that flows from the source, but is return to the source after one time harmonic cycle. Hence, a Hertzian dipole has input impedance that looks like that of a capacitor, because much of the near field of this dipole is in the electric field.

The field in the far zone carries power that radiates to infinity. As a result, the field in the near zone decays rapidly, but the field in the far zone decays as $1/r$ for energy conservation.
Different Types of Antennas–Heuristics

28.1 Types of Antennas

There are different types of antennas for different applications [127]. We will discuss their functions heuristically in the following discussions.

28.1.1 Resonance Tunneling in Antenna

A simple antenna like a short dipole behaves like a Hertzian dipole with an effective length. A short dipole has an input impedance resembling that of a capacitor. Hence, it is difficult to drive current into the antenna unless other elements are added. Hertz used two metallic spheres to increase the current flow. When a large current flows on the stem of the Hertzian dipole, the stem starts to act like inductor. Thus, the end cap capacitances and the stem inductance together can act like a resonator enhancing the current flow on the antenna.

Some antennas are deliberately built to resonate with its structure to enhance its radiation. A half-wave dipole is such an antenna as shown in Figure 28.1 [123]. One can think that these antennas are using resonance tunneling to enhance their radiation efficiencies. A half-wave dipole can also be thought of as a flared open transmission line in order to make it radiate. It can be gradually morphed from a quarter-wavelength transmission line as shown in Figure 28.1. A transmission is a poor radiator, because the electromagnetic energy is trapped between two pieces of metal. But a flared transmission line can radiate its field to free space. The dipole antenna, though a simple device, has been extensively studied by King [128]. He has reputed to have produced over 100 PhD students studying the dipole antenna.
The disadvantage of a half-wave dipole is that it has to be at least about half a wavelength before it radiates well. Engineers are creative, and they invent the folded dipole. For antennas of the same size, a folded dipole can resonate at a lower frequency because the current does not stop abruptly at its two ends. Figure 28.2 shows a Yagi-Uda antenna driven by a folded dipole.
A Yagi-Uda antenna is also another interesting invention. It was invented in 1926 by Yagi and Uda in Japan by plainly using physical intuition [129]. Physical intuition was a tool of engineers of yesteryears while modern engineers tend to use sophisticated computer design software. Surprisingly, the elements of dipoles in front of the driver element are acting like a waveguide in space, while the element at the back acts like a reflector. Therefore, the field radiated by the driver element will be directed toward the front of the antenna. Thus, this antenna has higher directivity than just a stand alone dipole. Due to its simplicity, this antenna has been made into nano-antennas which can radiate at optical frequencies.
Slot antenna is a simple antenna to make [131]. To improve the radiation efficiency of slot antenna, it is made to radiate via a cavity. A cavity-backed slot antenna that uses such a concept and this is shown in Figure 28.3. A small dipole with poor radiation efficiency is places inside the cavity. When the operating frequency is close to the resonant frequency of the cavity, the field strength inside the cavity becomes strong, and much of the energy can leak from the cavity via the slot on its side. This makes the antenna radiate more efficiently into free space compared to just the small dipole alone.

Another antenna that resembles a cavity backed slot antenna is the patch antenna, or microstrip patch antenna. This is shown in in Figure 28.4. This antenna also radiates efficiently by resonant tunneling. The resonant frequency of the patch antenna (top of Figure 28.4) is roughly when $L$ is half a wavelength. This is similar to the resonant frequency of a transmission line with open circuit at both ends. The current sloshes back and forth across the length of the patch antenna along the $L$ direction. The second design (bottom of Figure 28.4) has an inset feed. This allows the antenna to resonate at a lower frequency because the current has a longer path to slosh through when it is at resonance.
28.1.2 Horn Antennas

The impedance of space is 377 ohms while that of most transmission line is 50 ohms. This mismatch can be mitigated by using a flared horn (see Figure 28.5) [132].

One can think that the characteristic impedance of a transmission line made of two pieces of metal as $Z_0 = \sqrt{L/C}$. As the horn flares, $C$ becomes smaller, increasing its characteristic impedance to get close to that of free space. This allows for better impedance matching from the source to free space.

A corrugated horn, as we have discussed previously in a circular waveguide, discourages current flows in the non-axial symmetric mode. It encourages the propagation of the TE_{01} mode in the circular waveguide and hence, the circular horn antenna. This mode is axially symmetric. Hence, this antenna can radiate fields that are axially symmetric [133,134].
Figure 28.5: A horn antenna works with the same principle as the biconical antenna. Its flared horn changes the waveguide impedance so as to match from that of a waveguide to that of free space. The lower figure is that of a corrugated circular horn antenna. The corrugation enhances the propagation of the TE_{01} mode in the circular waveguide, and hence, the cylindrical symmetry of the mode (courtesy of tutorialpoints.com and comsol.com).

A Vivaldi antenna (invented by P. Gibson in 1978 [135]), is shown in Figure 28.6. It is also called a notch antenna. It works by the same principle to gradually match the impedance of the source to that of free space. But such a gradually flared horn has the element of a frequency independent antenna. The low frequency component of the signal will radiate from the wide end of the flared notch, while the high frequency component will radiate from the narrow end of the notch. Thus, this antenna can radiate well over a broad range of frequencies, and this gives the antenna a broad bandwidth performance. It is good for transmitting a pulsed signal which has a broad frequency spectrum.
28.1.3 Quasi-Optical Antennas

High-frequency or short wavelength electromagnetic field behaves like light ray as in optics. Therefore, many high-frequency antennas are designed based on the principle of ray optics. A reflector antenna is such an antenna as shown in Figure 28.7. The reflector antenna in this case is a Cassegrain design [137] where a sub-reflector is present. This allows the antenna to be fed from behind the parabolic dish where the electronics can be stored and isolated as well. Reflector antennas [139] is used a lot in radio astronomy and space exploration due to their high directivity and sensitivity.

\[^{1}\text{The name came from an optical telescope of similar design [138]}\]
Another recent invention is the reflectarray antenna [140,141] which is very popular. One of them is as shown in Figure 28.8. Due to recent advent in simulation technology, complicated structures can be simulated on a computer, including one with a complicated surface design. Patch elements can be etched onto a flat surface as shown, giving it effective impedance that is spatially varying, making it reflect like a curved surface. Such a surface is known as a meta-surface [142,143]. It can greatly economize on the space of a reflector antenna.
Another quasi-optical antenna is the lens antenna as shown in Figure 28.9 [144]. The design of this antenna follows lens optics, and is only valid when the wavelength is very short compared to the curvature of the surfaces. In this case, reflection and transmission at a curve surface is similar to that of a flat surface. This is called the tangent-plane approximation of a curve surface, and is valid at high frequencies.
Small antennas are in vogue these days due to the advent of the cell phone, and the importance of economizing on the antenna size. Also, the antennas should have enough bandwidth to accommodate the signals from different cell phone companies, which use different carrier frequencies. An interesting small antenna is the PIFA (planar inverted F antenna) shown in Figure 28.10 [145]. Because it is shorted at one end and open circuit at the other end, it acts like a quarter wavelength resonator, making it substantially smaller. But the resonator is low $Q$ because of the “slots” around it from whom energy can leak. The low $Q$ gives this antenna a broader bandwidth.
An interesting small antenna is the U-slot antenna shown in Figure 28.11 [146, 147]. Because the current is forced to follow a longer path by the U-slot, it has a lower resonant frequency and hence, can be made smaller. In order to give the antenna a larger bandwidth, its $Q$ is made smaller by etching it on a thick dielectric substrate (shown as the dielectric material region in the figure). But feeding it with a longer probe will make the bandwidth of the antenna smaller, due to the larger inductance of the probe. An ingenious invention is to use an L probe [148]. The L probe has an inductive part as well as a capacitive part. Their reactance cancel each other, allowing the electromagnetic energy to tunnel through the antenna, making it a better radiator.
Another area where small antennas are needed is in RFID (radio frequency identification) tag [149]. Since tags are placed outside the packages of products, an RFID tag has a transmit-receive antenna which can talk to a small computer chip where data about the package can be stored. An RFID reader can quickly communicate with the RFID tag to retrieve information about the package. Such a small antenna design for RFID tag is shown in Figure 28.12. It uses image theorem so that the antenna can be made half as small. Then slots are cut into the radiating patch, so that the current follows a longer path. This lowers the resonant frequency of the antenna, allowing it to be made smaller.
An RFID reader can be designed to read the information from a batch of vials or tubes containing different chemicals. Hence, a large loop antenna is needed at a sufficiently high frequency (for large bandwidth). However, driving a loop antenna at a sufficiently high frequency will result in a non-constant current around the loop. (Fundamentally, this comes from the retardation effect of electromagnetic field.) This will result in a non-uniform field inside the loop defeating the design of the RFID reader.

One way to view how the non-uniform current come about is that a piece of wire becomes a tiny inductor. Across an inductor, $V = j\omega LI$, implying a $90^\circ$ phase shift between the voltage and the current. In other words, the voltage drop is always nonzero, and hence, the voltage cannot be constant around the loop. Since the voltage and current are locally related by the local inductance, the current cannot be constant also.

To solve the problem of the current and voltage being non-constant around the loop, the local inductor is connected in series with a capacitor [150]. This causes them to resonate. At resonance, the current-voltage relationship across the tank circuit is such that there is no voltage drop across the tank circuit. In this case, the voltage becomes uniform across the loop so is the current. Therefore, one way to enable a uniform current in a large loop is to capacitively load the loop. This will ensure a constant phase, or a more uniform current around the loop, and hence, a more efficient reader. Such a design is shown in Figure 28.13.
Figure 28.13: The top figure shows a RFID reader designed by [151]. The bottom figure shows simulation and measurement done at The University of Hong Kong (courtesy of Z.N. Chen [151] and P. Yang, Y. Li, J. Huang, L.J. Jiang, S.Q. He, T. Ye, and W.C. Chew).
Lecture 29

Uniqueness Theorem

29.1 Uniqueness Theorem

The uniqueness of a solution to a linear system of equations is an important concept in mathematics. Under certain conditions, ordinary differential equation partial differential equation and matrix equations will have unique solutions. But uniqueness is not always guaranteed as we shall see. This issue is discussed in many math books and linear algebra books [69,81]. The prove of uniqueness for Laplace and Poisson equations are given in [29,48] which is slightly different for electrodynamic problems. In this section, we will prove uniqueness theorem for electrodynamic problems [31,47,59,75].

First, let us assume that there exist two solutions in the presence of one set of common impressed sources $J_i$ and $M_i$. Namely, these two solutions are $E^a, H^a, E^b, H^b$. Both of them satisfy Maxwell’s equations and the same boundary conditions. Are $E^a = E^b, H^a = H^b$?

To study the uniqueness theorem, we consider general linear anisotropic inhomogeneous media, where the tensors $\mu$ and $\varepsilon$ can be complex so that lossy media can be included, it follows that

$$\nabla \times E^a = -j\omega \mu \cdot H^a - M_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.1)
$$\nabla \times E^b = -j\omega \mu \cdot H^b - M_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.2)
$$\nabla \times H^a = j\omega \varepsilon \cdot E^a + J_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.3)
$$\nabla \times H^b = j\omega \varepsilon \cdot E^b + J_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.4)

By taking the difference of these two solutions, we have

$$\nabla \times (E^a - E^b) = -j\omega \mu \cdot (H^a - H^b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.5)
$$\nabla \times (H^a - H^b) = j\omega \varepsilon \cdot (E^a - E^b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.6)

Or alternatively, defining $\delta E = E^a - E^b$ and $\delta H = H^a - H^b$, we have

$$\nabla \times \delta E = -j\omega \mu \cdot \delta H$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.7)
$$\nabla \times \delta H = j\omega \varepsilon \cdot \delta E$$  \hspace{1cm} (29.1.8)
The difference solutions satisfy the original source-free Maxwell’s equations.

By taking the left dot product of $\delta H^*$ with (29.1.7), and then the left dot product of $\delta E^*$ with the complex conjugation of (29.1.8), we obtain

$$
\delta H^* \cdot \nabla \times \delta E = -j\omega \delta H^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta H
\delta E \cdot \nabla \times \delta H^* = -j\omega \delta E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta E^*
$$

(29.1.9)

Now, taking the difference of the above, we get

$$
\delta H^* \cdot \nabla \times \delta E - \delta E \cdot \nabla \times \delta H^* = \nabla \cdot (\delta E \times \delta H^*)
= -j\omega \delta H^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta H + j\omega \delta E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta E^*
$$

(29.1.10)

![Figure 29.1: Geometry for proving the uniqueness theorem.](image)

We like to know the boundary conditions needed on $S$ in order to guarantee the uniqueness of the solution in $V$.

Next, integrating the above equation over a volume $V$ bounded by a surface $S$ as shown in Figure 29.1. Two scenarios are possible: one that the volume $V$ contains the impressed sources, and two, that the sources are outside the volume $V$. After making use of Gauss’ divergence theorem, we arrive at

$$
\iiint_V \nabla \cdot (\delta E \times \delta H^*) dV = \iint_S (\delta E \times \delta H^*) \cdot dS
= \iiint_V [-j\omega \delta H^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta H + j\omega \delta E \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta E^*] dV
$$

(29.1.11)

And next, we would like to know the kind of boundary conditions that would make the left-hand side equal to zero. It is seen that the surface integral on the left-hand side will be
Theorem

zero if:

1. If \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} \) is specified over \( S \) so that \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}_a = \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}_b \), then \( \hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{E} = 0 \) or the PEC boundary condition for \( \delta \mathbf{E} \), and then

\[
\oint_S (\hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{E}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{H}^* dS = 0.
\]

2. If \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} \) is specified over \( S \) so that \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_a = \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_b \), then \( \hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{H} = 0 \) or the PMC boundary condition for \( \delta \mathbf{H} \), and then

\[
\oint_S (\hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{H}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} dS = 0.
\]

3. If \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} \) is specified over \( S_1 \), and \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} \) is specified over \( S_2 \) (where \( S_1 \cup S_2 = S \)), then \( \hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{E} = 0 \) (PEC boundary condition) on \( S_1 \), and \( \hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{H} = 0 \) (PMC boundary condition) on \( S_2 \), then the left-hand side becomes

\[
\oint_S (\hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{E}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{H}^* dS = \oint_{S_1} (\hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{E}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{H}^* dS
\]

\[
- \oint_{S_2} (\hat{n} \times \delta \mathbf{H}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} dS = 0.
\]

Thus, under the above three scenarios, the left-hand side of (29.1.11) is zero, and then the right-hand side of (29.1.11) becomes

\[
\iint_V [-j \omega \delta \mathbf{H}^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta \mathbf{H} + j \omega \delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}^*] dV = 0 \quad (29.1.12)
\]

For lossless media, \( \mu \) and \( \varepsilon \) are hermitian tensors (or matrices\(^3\)), then it can be seen, using the properties of hermitian matrices or tensors, that \( \delta \mathbf{H}^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta \mathbf{H} \) and \( \delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}^* \) are purely real. Taking the imaginary part of the above equation yields

\[
\iint_V [-\delta \mathbf{H}^* \cdot \mu \cdot \delta \mathbf{H} + \delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \varepsilon^* \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}^*] dV = 0 \quad (29.1.13)
\]

The above two terms correspond to stored magnetic field energy and stored electric field energy in the difference solutions \( \delta \mathbf{H} \) and \( \delta \mathbf{E} \), respectively. The above being zero does not imply that \( \delta \mathbf{H} \) and \( \delta \mathbf{E} \) are zero.

For resonance solutions, the stored electric energy can balance the stored magnetic energy. The above resonance solutions are those of the difference solutions satisfying PEC or PMC boundary condition or mixture thereof. Therefore, \( \delta \mathbf{H} \) and \( \delta \mathbf{E} \) need not be zero, even though (29.1.13) is zero. This happens when we encounter solutions that are the resonant modes of the volume \( V \) bounded by surface \( S \).

Uniqueness can only be guaranteed if the medium is lossy as shall be shown later. It is also guaranteed if lossy impedance boundary conditions are imposed.\(^4\) First we begin with the isotropic case.

---

1. In the following, please be reminded that PEC stands for “perfect electric conductor”, while PMC stands for “perfect magnetic conductor”. PMC is the dual of PEC. Also, a fourth case of impedance boundary condition is possible, which is beyond the scope of this course. Interested readers may consult Chew, Theory of Microwave and Optical Waveguides [75].

2. Using the vector identity that \( \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a}) \).

3. Tensors are a special kind of matrices.

4. See Chew, Theory of Microwave and Optical Waveguides.
29.1.1 Isotropic Case

It is easier to see this for lossy isotropic media. Then (29.1.12) simplifies to

\[
\iint_V \left[ -j\omega \mu |\delta H|^2 + j\omega \varepsilon^* |\delta E|^2 \right] dV = 0 \tag{29.1.14}
\]

For isotropic lossy media, \(\mu = \mu' - j\mu''\) and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''\). Taking the real part of the above, we have from (29.1.14) that

\[
\iint_V \left[ -\omega \mu'' |\delta H|^2 - \omega \varepsilon'' |\delta E|^2 \right] dV = 0 \tag{29.1.15}
\]

Since the integrand in the above is always negative definite, the integral can be zero only if

\[
\delta E = 0, \quad \delta H = 0 \tag{29.1.16}
\]

everywhere in \(V\), implying that \(E_a = E_b\), and that \(H_a = H_b\). Hence, it is seen that uniqueness is guaranteed only if the medium is lossy. The physical reason is that when the medium is lossy, a pure time-harmonic solution cannot exist due to loss. The modes, which are the source-free solutions of Maxwell’s equations, are decaying sinusoids.

Notice that the same conclusion can be drawn if we make \(\mu''\) and \(\varepsilon''\) negative. This corresponds to active media, and uniqueness can be guaranteed for a time-harmonic solution. In this case, no time-harmonic solution exists, and the resonant solution is a growing sinusoid.

29.1.2 General Anisotropic Case

The proof for general anisotropic media is more complicated. For the lossless anisotropic media, we see that (29.1.12) is purely imaginary. However, when the medium is lossy, this same equation will have a real part. Hence, we need to find the real part of (29.1.12) for the general lossy case.

About taking the Real and Imaginary Parts of a Complex Expression

To this end, we digress on taking the real and imaginary parts of a complex expression. Here, we need to find the complex conjugate\(^5\) of (29.1.12), which is scalar, and add it to itself to get its real part. The complex conjugate of the scalar

\[
c = \delta H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot \delta H
\]

is\(^6\)

\[
c^* = \delta H \cdot \bar{\mu}^* \cdot \delta H^* = \delta H^* \cdot \bar{\mu}^1 \cdot \delta H
\]

\(^5\)Also called hermitian conjugate.

\(^6\)To arrive at these expressions, one makes use of the matrix algebra rule that if \(D = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C}\), then \(D^\dagger = \mathbf{C}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{B}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A}^\dagger\). This is true even for non-square matrices. But for our case here, \(\mathbf{A}\) is a \(1 \times 3\) row vector, and \(\mathbf{C}\) is a \(3 \times 1\) column vector, and \(\mathbf{B}\) is a \(3 \times 3\) matrix. In vector algebra, the transpose of a vector is implied. Also, in our case here, \(D\) is a scalar, and hence, its transpose is itself.
Similarly, the complex conjugate of the scalar
\[ d = \delta E \cdot \bar{\varepsilon} \cdot \delta E^* = \delta E^* \cdot \bar{\varepsilon} \cdot \delta E \]
is
\[ d^* = \delta E^* \cdot \bar{\varepsilon} \cdot \delta E \]
Therefore,
\[ \Im (\delta H^* \cdot \bar{\mu} \cdot \delta H) = \frac{1}{2j} \delta H^* \cdot (\bar{\mu} - \mu^\dagger) \cdot \delta H \]
\[ \Im (\delta E \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \delta E^*) = \frac{1}{2j} \delta E^* \cdot (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\dagger) \cdot \delta E \]
and similarly for the real part.

Finally, after taking the complex conjugate of the scalar quantity (29.1.12) and adding it to itself, we have
\[
\iiint_V [-j\omega \delta H^* \cdot (\bar{\mu} - \mu^\dagger) \cdot \delta H - j\omega \delta E^* \cdot (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\dagger) \cdot \delta E] dV = 0 \tag{29.1.17}
\]
For lossy media, \(-j(\bar{\mu} - \mu^\dagger)\) and \(-j(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\dagger)\) are hermitian negative matrices. Hence the integrand is always negative definite, and the above equation cannot be satisfied unless \(\delta H = \delta E = 0\) everywhere in \(V\). Thus, uniqueness is guaranteed in a lossy anisotropic medium.

Similar statement can be made as the isotropic case if the medium is active. Then the integrand is positive definite, and the above equation cannot be satisfied unless \(\delta H = \delta E = 0\) everywhere in \(V\) and hence, uniqueness is satisfied.

29.1.3 Hind Sight

The proof of uniqueness for Maxwell's equations is very similar to the proof of uniqueness for a matrix equation [69]
\[ \bar{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \tag{29.1.18} \]
If a solution to a matrix equation exists without excitation, namely, when \(\mathbf{b} = 0\), then the solution is the null space solution [69], namely, \(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_N\). In other words,
\[ \bar{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_N = 0 \tag{29.1.19} \]
These null space solutions exist without a “driving term” \(\mathbf{b}\) on the right-hand side. For Maxwell's Equations, \(\mathbf{b}\) corresponds to the source terms. They are like the homogeneous solution of an ordinary differential equation or a partial differential equation [81]. In an enclosed region of volume \(V\) bounded by a surface \(S\), homogeneous solutions are the resonant solutions of this Maxwellian system. When these solutions exist, they give rise to non-uniqueness.

Also, notice that (29.1.7) and (29.1.8) are Maxwell's equations without the source terms. In a closed region \(V\) bounded by a surface \(S\), only resonance solutions for \(\delta E\) and \(\delta H\) with the relevant boundary conditions can exist when there are no source terms.
As previously mentioned, one way to ensure that these resonant solutions are eliminated is to put in loss or gain. When loss or gain is present, then the resonant solutions are decaying sinusoids or growing sinusoids. Since we are looking for solutions in the frequency domain, or time harmonic solutions, we are only looking for the solution on the real $\omega$ axis on the complex $\omega$ plane. These non-sinusoidal solutions are outside the solution space: They are not part of the time-harmonic solutions we are looking for. Therefore, there are no resonant null-space solutions.

\subsection{29.1.4 Connection to Poles of a Linear System}

The output to input of a linear system can be represented by a transfer function $H(\omega)$ \cite{45,152}. If $H(\omega)$ has poles, and if the system is lossless, the poles are on the real axis. Therefore, when $\omega = \omega_{\text{pole}}$, the function $H(\omega)$ becomes undefined. This also gives rise to non-uniqueness of the output with respect to the input. Poles usually correspond to resonant solutions, and hence, the non-uniqueness of the solution is intimately related to the non-uniqueness of Maxwell’s equations at the resonant frequencies of a structure. This is illustrated in the upper part of Figure 29.2.

Figure 29.2: The non-uniqueness problem is intimately related to the locations of the poles of a transfer function being on the real axis.
Uniqueness Theorem

If the input function is \( f(t) \), with Fourier transform \( F(\omega) \), then the output \( y(t) \) is given by the following Fourier integral, viz.,

\[
y(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega t} H(\omega) F(\omega)
\]

(29.1.20)

where the Fourier inversion integral path is on the real axis on the complex \( \omega \) plane. The Fourier inversion integral is undefined or non-unique.

However, if loss is introduced, these poles will move away from the real axis as shown in the lower part of Figure 29.2. Then the transfer function is uniquely determined for all frequencies on the real axis. In this way, the Fourier inversion integral in (29.1.20) is well defined, and uniqueness of the solution is guaranteed.

29.1.5 Radiation from Antenna Sources

The above uniqueness theorem guarantees that if we have some antennas with prescribed current sources on them, the radiated field from these antennas are unique. To see how this can come about, we first study the radiation of sources into a region \( V \) bounded by a large surface \( S_{\text{inf}} \) as shown in Figure 29.3 [34].

Even when \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} \) or \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} \) are specified on the surface at \( S_{\text{inf}} \), the solution is nonunique because the volume \( V \) bounded by \( S_{\text{inf}} \) can have many resonant solutions. In fact, the region will be replete with resonant solutions as one makes \( S_{\text{inf}} \) become very large. The way to remove these resonant solutions is to introduce an infinitesimal amount of loss in region \( V \). Then these resonant solutions will disappear. Now we can take \( S_{\text{inf}} \) to infinity, and the solution will always be unique.

Notice that if \( S_{\text{inf}} \to \infty \), the waves that leave the sources will never be reflected back because of the small amount of loss. The radiated field will just disappear into infinity. This is just what radiation loss is: power that propagate to infinity, but never to return. In fact, one way of guaranteeing the uniqueness of the solution in region \( V \) when \( S_{\text{inf}} \) is infinitely large, or that \( V \) is infinitely large is to impose the radiation condition: the waves that radiate to infinity are outgoing waves only, and never do they return. This is also called the Sommerfeld radiation condition [153]. Uniqueness of the field outside the sources is always guaranteed if we assume that the field radiates to infinity and never to return. This is equivalent to solving the cavity solutions with an infinitesimal loss, and then letting the size of the cavity become infinitely large.
Figure 29.3: The solution for antenna radiation is unique because we impose the Sommerfeld radiation condition when seeking the solution. This is equivalent to assuming an infinitesimal loss when seeking the solution in $V$. 
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Reciprocity Theorem

30.1 Reciprocity Theorem

Reciprocity theorem is one of the most important theorems in electromagnetics. With it we can develop physical intuition to ascertain if a certain design or experiment is wrong. It also tells us what is possible and what is impossible in design of many systems. Reciprocity theorem is like “tit-for-tat” relationship in humans: Good-will is reciprocated with good will while ill-will is reciprocated with ill-will. Not exactly: In in electromagnetics, this relationship can be expressed precisely and succinctly using mathematics. We shall see how this is done.

Consider a general anisotropic inhomogeneous medium where both $\overline{\mu}(r)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}(r)$ are described by permeability tensor and permittivity tensor over a finite part of space as shown in Figure 30.1. This representation of the medium is quite general, and it can include conductive
media as well. It can represent complex terrain as well as complicated electronic circuit structures in circuit boards or microchips, and complicated antenna structures.

When only $J_1$ and $M_1$ are turned on, they generate fields $E_1$ and $H_1$ in this medium. On the other hand, when only $J_2$ and $M_2$ are turned on, they generate $E_2$ and $H_2$ in this medium. Therefore, the pertinent equations, for linear time-invariant systems, for these two cases are

\[ \nabla \times E_1 = -j\omega \mu \cdot H_1 - M_1 \quad (30.1.1) \]
\[ \nabla \times H_1 = j\omega \epsilon \cdot E_1 + J_1 \quad (30.1.2) \]
\[ \nabla \times E_2 = -j\omega \mu \cdot H_2 - M_2 \quad (30.1.3) \]
\[ \nabla \times H_2 = j\omega \epsilon \cdot E_2 + J_2 \quad (30.1.4) \]

From the above, we can show that (after left dot-multiply (30.1.1) with $H_2$ and (30.1.4) with $E_1$),

\[ H_2 \cdot \nabla \times E_1 = -j\omega H_2 \cdot \mu \cdot H_1 - H_2 \cdot M_1 \quad (30.1.5) \]
\[ E_1 \cdot \nabla \times H_2 = j\omega E_1 \cdot \epsilon \cdot E_2 + E_1 \cdot J_2 \quad (30.1.6) \]

Then, using the above, and the following identity, we get the second equality in the following expression:

\[ \nabla \cdot (E_1 \times H_2) = H_2 \cdot \nabla \times E_1 - E_1 \cdot \nabla \cdot H_2 \]
\[ = -j\omega H_2 \cdot \mu \cdot H_1 - j\omega E_1 \cdot \epsilon \cdot E_2 - H_2 \cdot M_1 - E_1 \cdot J_2 \quad (30.1.7) \]

By the same token,

\[ \nabla \cdot (E_2 \times H_1) = -j\omega H_1 \cdot \mu \cdot H_2 - j\omega E_2 \cdot \epsilon \cdot E_1 - H_1 \cdot M_2 - E_2 \cdot J_1 \quad (30.1.8) \]

If one assumes that

\[ \mu = \mu', \quad \epsilon = \epsilon' \quad (30.1.9) \]

or when the tensors are symmetric, then $H_1 \cdot \mu \cdot H_2 = H_2 \cdot \mu \cdot H_1$ and $E_1 \cdot \epsilon \cdot E_2 = E_2 \cdot \epsilon \cdot E_1$.\(^2\)

Upon subtracting (30.1.7) and (30.1.8), one gets

\[ \nabla \cdot (E_1 \times H_2 - E_2 \times H_1) = -H_2 \cdot M_1 - E_1 \cdot J_2 + H_1 \cdot M_2 + E_2 \cdot J_1 \quad (30.1.10) \]

\(^1\)The current sources are impressed currents so that they are immutable, and not changed by the environment they are immersed in [47].

\(^2\)It is to be noted that in matrix algebra, the dot product between two vectors are often written as $a^t \cdot b$, but in the physics literature, the transpose on $a$ is implied. Therefore, the dot product between two vectors is just written as $a \cdot b$. 
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Figure 30.2: The geometry for proving reciprocity theorem when the surface $S$ does not enclose the sources.

Figure 30.3: The geometry for proving reciprocity theorem when the surface $S$ encloses the sources.

Now, integrating (30.1.10) over a volume $V$ bounded by a surface $S$, and invoking Gauss’ divergence theorem, we have the reciprocity theorem that

$$
\iiint_S dS \cdot (E_1 \times H_2 - E_2 \times H_1) = - \iiint_V dV \left[ H_2 \cdot M_1 + E_1 \cdot J_2 - H_1 \cdot M_2 - E_2 \cdot J_1 \right] \quad (30.1.11)
$$

When the volume $V$ contains no sources (see Figure 30.2), the reciprocity theorem reduces to

$$
\iiint_S dS \cdot (E_1 \times H_2 - E_2 \times H_1) = 0 \quad (30.1.12)
$$

The above is also called Lorentz reciprocity theorem by some authors.$^3$

Next, when the surface $S$ contains all the sources (see Figure 30.3), then the right-hand side of (30.1.11) will not be zero. On the other hand, when the surface $S \to \infty$, $E_1$ and

$^3$Harrington, Time-Harmonic Electric Field [47].
\( H_2 \) becomes spherical waves which can be approximated by plane waves sharing the same \( \beta \) vector. Moreover, under the plane-wave approximation, \( \omega \mu_0 H_2 = \beta \times E_2, \omega \mu_0 H_1 = \beta \times E_1 \), then

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 \times H_2 &\sim E_1 \times (\beta \times E_2) = E_1(\beta \cdot E_2) - \beta(E_1 \cdot E_2) \\
E_2 \times H_1 &\sim E_2 \times (\beta \times E_1) = E_2(\beta \cdot E_1) - \beta(E_2 \cdot E_1)
\end{align*}
\]

(30.1.13) (30.1.14)

But \( \beta \cdot E_2 = \beta \cdot E_1 = 0 \) in the far field and the \( \beta \) vectors are parallel to each other. Therefore, the two terms on the left-hand side of (30.1.11) cancel each other, and it vanishes when \( S \to \infty \). (Furthermore, they cancel each other so that the remnant field vanishes faster than \( 1/r^2 \). This is necessary as the surface area \( S \) is growing larger and proportional to \( r^2 \).)

As a result, (30.1.11) can be rewritten simply as

\[
\int_V dV [E_2 \cdot J_1 - H_2 \cdot M_1] = \int_V dV [E_1 \cdot J_2 - H_1 \cdot M_2]
\]

(30.1.15)

The inner product symbol is often used to rewrite the above as

\[
\langle E_2, J_1 \rangle - \langle H_2, M_1 \rangle = \langle E_1, J_2 \rangle - \langle H_1, M_2 \rangle
\]

(30.1.16)

where the inner product \( \langle A, B \rangle = \int_V dV A(r) \cdot B(r) \).

The above inner product is also called reaction, a concept introduced by Rumsey. Therefore, the above is rewritten more succinctly as

\[
\langle 2, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, 2 \rangle
\]

(30.1.17)

where

\[
\langle 2, 1 \rangle = \langle E_2, J_1 \rangle - \langle H_2, M_1 \rangle
\]

(30.1.18)

The concept of inner product or reaction can be thought of as a kind of “measurement”. The reciprocity theorem can be stated as that the fields generated by sources 2 as “measured” by sources 1 is equal to fields generated by sources 1 as “measured” by sources 2. This measurement concept is more lucid if we think of these sources as Hertzian dipoles.

**30.1.1 Conditions for Reciprocity**

It is seen that the above proof hinges on (30.1.9). In other words, the anisotropic medium has to be described by symmetric tensors. They include conductive media, but not gyrotrropic media. A ferrite biased by a magnetic field is often used in electronic circuits, and it corresponds to a gyrotrropic, non-reciprocal medium.\(^4\) Also, our starting equations (30.1.1) to (30.1.4) assume that the medium and the equations are linear time invariant so that Maxwell’s equations can be written down in the frequency domain easily.

\(^4\) Non-reciprocal media are important for making isolators in microwave. Microwave signals can travel from Port 1 to Port 2, but not vice versa.
30.1.2 Application to a Two-Port Network

![Figure 30.4: A geometry for proving the circuit relationship between two antennas using reciprocity theorem. Circuit relationship is possible when the ports of the antennas are small compared to wavelength.](image)

The reciprocity theorem can be used to distill and condense the interaction between two antennas over a complex terrain as long as the terrain comprises reciprocal media. In Figure 30.4, we assume that antenna 1 is driven by current $J_1$ while antenna 2 is driven by current $J_2$. Since the system is linear time invariant, it can be written as the interaction between two ports as in circuit theory as shown in Figure 30.5. Assuming that these two ports are small compared to wavelengths, then we can apply circuit concepts like potential theory at the ports.

![Figure 30.5: The interaction between two antennas in the far field of each other can be reduced to a circuit theory description since the input and output ports of the antennas are small compared to wavelength.](image)

Focusing on a two-port network as shown in Figure 30.5, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30.1.19)$$

Then assuming that the port 2 is turned on with $J_2 \neq 0$, while port 1 is turned off with $J_1 = 0$. In other words, port 1 is open circuit, and the source $J_2$ will produce an electric field
$\mathbf{E}_2$ at port 1. Consequently,

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_2, \mathbf{J}_1 \rangle = \int_V dV (\mathbf{E}_2 \cdot \mathbf{J}_1) = I_1 \int_{\text{Port 1}} \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -I_1 V_{1 \text{oc}} \tag{30.1.20}$$

Even though port 1 is assumed to be off, the $\mathbf{J}_1$ to be used above is the $\mathbf{J}_1$ when port 1 is turned on. Given that the port is in the circuit physics regime, then the current $\mathbf{J}_1$ is a constant current at the port when it is turned on. The current $\mathbf{J}_1 = \hat{l} I_1 / A$ where $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the wire, and $\hat{l}$ is a unit vector aligned with the axis of the wire. The volume integral $dV = Adl$, and hence the second equality follows above, where $dl = \hat{l}dl$. Since $\int_{\text{Port 1}} \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -V_{1 \text{oc}}$, we have the last equality above.

We can repeat the derivation with port 2 to arrive at

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{J}_2 \rangle = I_2 \int_{\text{Port 2}} \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -I_2 V_{2 \text{oc}} \tag{30.1.21}$$

But from (30.1.19), we can set the pertinent currents to zero to find these open circuit voltages. Therefore, $V_{1 \text{oc}} = Z_{12} I_2$, $V_{2 \text{oc}} = Z_{21} I_1$. Since $I_1 V_{1 \text{oc}} = I_2 V_{2 \text{oc}}$ by the reaction concept or by reciprocity, then $Z_{12} = Z_{21}$. The above analysis can be easily generalized to an $N$-port network.

The simplicity of the above belies its importance. The above shows that the reciprocity concept in circuit theory is a special case of reciprocity theorem for electromagnetic theory. The terrain can also be replaced by complex circuits as in a circuit board, as long as the materials are reciprocal, linear and time invariant. The complex terrain can also be replaced by complex antenna structures.

### 30.1.3 Voltage Sources in Electromagnetics

![Figure 30.6: Two ways to model voltage sources: (i) A current source $\mathbf{J}_a$ driving a very short antenna, and (ii) A magnetic frill source (loop source) $\mathbf{M}_a$ driving a very short antenna (courtesy of Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory [31]).](image)

- a. 
- b.
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In the above discussions, we have used current sources in reciprocity theorem to derive certain circuit concepts. Before we end this section, it is prudent to mention how voltage sources are modeled in electromagnetic theory. The use of the impressed currents so that circuit concepts can be applied is shown in Figure 30.6. The antenna in (a) is driven by a current source. But a magnetic current can be used as a voltage source in circuit theory as shown by Figure 30.6b. By using duality concept, an electric field has to curl around a magnetic current just in Ampere’s law where magnetic field curls around an electric current. This electric field will cause a voltage drop between the metal above and below the magnetic current loop making it behave like a voltage source.\(^5\)

30.1.4 Hind Sight

The proof of reciprocity theorem for Maxwell’s equations is very deeply related to the symmetry of the operator involved. We can see this from linear algebra. Given a matrix equation driven by two different sources, they can be written succinctly as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 &= \mathbf{b}_1 \\
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 &= \mathbf{b}_2
\end{align*}
\]

We can left dot multiply the first equation with \(\mathbf{x}_2\) and do the same with the second equation with \(\mathbf{x}_1\) to arrive at

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}_2^t \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 &= \mathbf{x}_2^t \cdot \mathbf{b}_1 \\
\mathbf{x}_1^t \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 &= \mathbf{x}_1^t \cdot \mathbf{b}_2
\end{align*}
\]

If \(\mathbf{A}\) is symmetric, the left-hand side of both equations are equal to each other. Subtracting the two equations, we arrive at

\[
\mathbf{x}_2^t \cdot \mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1^t \cdot \mathbf{b}_2
\]

The above is analogous to the statement of the reciprocity theorem. The above inner product is that of dot product in matrix theory, but the inner product for reciprocity is that for infinite dimensional space. So if the operators in Maxwell’s equations are symmetrical, then reciprocity theorem applies.

\(^5\)More can be found in Jordan and Balmain, Electromagnetic Waves and Radiation Systems [48].
Transmit and Receive Patterns of an Antenna

Reciprocity also implies that the transmit and receive properties of an antenna is similar to each other. Consider an antenna in the transmit mode. Then the radiation power density that it will yield around the antenna is

\[ S_{\text{rad}} = \frac{P_t}{4\pi r^2} G(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.27)

where \( P_t \) is the total power radiated by the transmit antenna, and \( G(\theta, \phi) \) is its directive gain function. It is to be noted that in the above

\[ \int_{4\pi} d\Omega G(\theta, \phi) = 4\pi. \]

Effective Gain versus Directive Gain

At this juncture, it is important to introduce the concept of effective gain versus directive gain. The effective gain, also called the power gain, is

\[ G_e(\theta, \phi) = f_e G(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.28)

where \( f_e \) is the efficiency of the antenna, a factor less than 1. It accounts for the fact that not all power pumped into the antenna is delivered as radiated power. For instance, power can be lost in the circuits and mismatch of the antenna. Therefore, the correct formula the

---

\(^6\)The author is indebted to inspiration from E. Kudeki of UIUC for this part of the lecture notes [125].
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radiated power density is

\[ S_{\text{rad}} = \frac{P_t}{4\pi r^2} G_e(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.29)

If this power density is intercepted by a receive antenna, then the receive antenna will see an incident power density as

\[ S_{\text{inc}} = S_{\text{rad}} = \frac{P_t}{4\pi r^2} G_e(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.30)

The effective area or aperture of a receive antenna is used to characterize its receive property. The power received by such an antenna is then

\[ P_r = S_{\text{inc}} A_e(\theta', \phi') \]  

(30.1.31)

where \((\theta', \phi')\) are the angles at which the plane wave is incident upon the receiving antenna (see Figure 30.7). Combining the above formulas, we have

\[ P_r = \frac{P_t}{4\pi r^2} G_e(\theta, \phi) A_e(\theta', \phi') \]  

(30.1.32)

Now assuming that the transmit and receive antennas are identical. We swap their roles of transmit and receive, and also the circuitries involved in driving the transmit and receive antennas. Then,

\[ P_r = \frac{P_t}{4\pi r^2} G_e(\theta', \phi') A_e(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.33)

We also assume that the receive antenna, that now acts as the transmit antenna is transmitting in the \((\theta', \phi')\) direction. Moreover, the transmit antenna, that now acts as the receive antenna is receiving in the \((\theta, \phi)\) direction (see Figure 30.7).

By reciprocity, these two powers are the same, because \(Z_{12} = Z_{21}\). Furthermore, since these two antennas are identical, \(Z_{11} = Z_{22}\). So by swapping the transmit and receive electronics, the power transmitted and received will not change.

Consequently, we conclude that

\[ G_e(\theta, \phi) A_e(\theta', \phi') = G_e(\theta', \phi') A_e(\theta, \phi) \]  

(30.1.34)

The above implies that

\[ \frac{A_e(\theta, \phi)}{G_e(\theta, \phi)} = \frac{A_e(\theta', \phi')}{G_e(\theta', \phi')} = \text{constant} \]  

(30.1.35)

The above Gedanken experiment is carried out for arbitrary angles. Therefore, the constant is independent of angles. Moreover, this constant is independent of the size, shape, and efficiency of the antenna, as we have not used their shape, size, and efficiency in the above discussion. One can repeat the above for a Hertzian dipole, wherein the mathematics of calculating \(P_r\)
and $P_t$ is a lot simpler. This constant is found to be $\lambda^2/(4\pi)$\textsuperscript{7}. Therefore, an interesting relationship between the effective aperture (or area) and the directive gain function is that

$$A_e(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} G_e(\theta, \phi) \quad (30.1.36)$$

One amusing point about the above formula is that the effective aperture, say of a Hertzian dipole, becomes very large when the frequency is low, or the wavelength is very long. Of course, this cannot be physically true, and I will let you meditate on this paradox and muse over this point.

\textsuperscript{7}See Kong [31][p. 700]. The derivation is for 100% efficient antenna. A thermal equilibrium argument is used in [125] and Wikipedia [126] as well.
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Equivalence Theorem, Huygens’ Principle

31.1 Equivalence Theorem or Equivalence Principle

Another theorem that is closely related to uniqueness theorem is the equivalence theorem or equivalence principle. This theorem is discussed in many textbooks [31, 47, 48, 59, 154]. Some authors also call it Love’s equivalence principle [155]. We can consider three cases: (1) The inside out case. (2) The outside in case. (3) The general case.

31.1.1 Inside-Out Case

![Inside-Out Case Diagram](image)

Figure 31.1: The inside-out problem where equivalent currents are impressed on the surface \( S \) to produce the same fields outside in \( V_o \) in both cases.

In this case, we let \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \mathbf{M} \) be the time-harmonic radiating sources inside a surface \( S \) radiating into a region \( V = V_o \cup V_i \). They produce \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) everywhere. We can construct...
an equivalence problem by first constructing an imaginary surface \( S \). In this equivalence problem, the fields outside \( S \) in \( V_o \) are the same in both (a) and (b). But in (b), the fields inside \( S \) in \( V_i \) are zero.

Apparently, the tangential components of the fields are discontinuous at \( S \). We ask ourselves what surface currents are needed on surface \( S \) so that the boundary conditions for field discontinuities are satisfied on \( S \). Therefore, surface currents needed for these field discontinuities are to be impressed on \( S \). They are

\[
J_s = \hat{n} \times H, \quad M_s = E \times \hat{n}
\]  

We can convince ourselves that \( \hat{n} \times H \) and \( E \times \hat{n} \) just outside \( S \) in both cases are the same. Furthermore, we are persuaded that the above is a bona fide solution to Maxwell’s equations.

- The boundary conditions on the surface \( S \) satisfy the boundary conditions required of Maxwell’s equations.
- By the uniqueness theorem, only the equality of one of them \( E \times \hat{n} \), or \( \hat{n} \times H \) on \( S \), will guarantee that \( E \) and \( H \) outside \( S \) are the same in both cases (a) and (b).

The fact that these equivalent currents generate zero fields inside \( S \) is known as the extinction theorem. This equivalence theorem can also be proved mathematically, as shall be shown.

### 31.1.2 Outside-in Case

![Figure 31.2: The outside-in problem where equivalent currents are impressed on the surface \( S \) to produce the same fields inside in both cases.](image)

Similar to before, we find an equivalent problem (b) where the fields inside \( S \) in \( V_i \) is the same as in (a), but the fields outside \( S \) in \( V_o \) in the equivalent problem is zero. The fields are discontinuous across the surface \( S \), and hence, impressed surface currents are needed to account for these discontinuities.

Then by the uniqueness theorem, the fields \( E_i, H_i \) inside \( V \) in both cases are the same. Again, by the extinction theorem, the fields produced by \( E \times \hat{n} \) and \( \hat{n} \times H \) are zero outside \( S \).
31.1.3 General Case

From these two cases, we can create a rich variety of equivalence problems. By linear superposition of the inside-out problem, and the outside-in problem, then a third equivalence problem is shown in Figure 31.3:

![Figure 31.3: The general case where the fields are non-zero both inside and outside the surface S. Equivalence currents are needed on the surface S to support the discontinuities in the fields.](image)

31.1.4 Electric Current on a PEC

First, from reciprocity theorem, it is quite easy to prove that an impressed current on the PEC cannot radiate. We can start with the inside-out equivalence problem. Then using a Gedanken experiment, since the fields inside $S$ is zero for the inside-out problem, one can insert an PEC object inside $S$ without disturbing the fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ outside. As the PEC object grows to snugly fit the surface $S$, then the electric current $\mathbf{J}_s = \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}$ does not radiate by reciprocity. Only one of the two currents is radiating, namely, the magnetic current $\mathbf{M}_s = \mathbf{E} \times \hat{n}$ is radiating, and $\mathbf{J}_s$ in Figure 31.4 can be removed. This is commensurate with the uniqueness theorem that only the knowledge of $\mathbf{E} \times \hat{n}$ is needed to uniquely determine the fields outside $S$. 
31.1.5 Magnetic Current on a PMC

Again, from reciprocity, an impressed magnetic current on a PMC cannot radiate. By the same token, we can perform the Gedanken experiment as before by inserting a PMC object inside $S$. It will not alter the fields outside $S$, as the fields inside $S$ is zero. As the PMC object grows to snugly fit the surface $S$, only the electric current $J_s = \hat{n} \times H$ radiates, and the magnetic current $M_s = E \times \hat{n}$ does not radiate and it can be removed. This is again commensurate with the uniqueness theorem that only the knowledge of the $\hat{n} \times H$ is needed to uniquely determine the fields outside $S$.

![Diagram: Magnetic Current on a PMC](image)

Figure 31.5: Similarly, on a PMC, only an electric current is needed to produce the field outside the surface $S$.

31.2 Huygens’ Principle and Green’s Theorem

Huygens’ principle shows how a wave field on a surface $S$ determines the wave field outside the surface $S$. This concept was expressed by Huygens in the 1600s [156]. But the mathematical expression of this idea was due to George Green\(^1\) in the 1800s. This concept can be expressed

\(^1\)George Green (1793-1841) was self educated and the son of a miller in Nottingham, England [157].
mathematically for both scalar and vector waves. The derivation for the vector wave case is homomorphic to the scalar wave case. But the algebra in the scalar wave case is much simpler. Therefore, we shall first discuss the scalar wave case first, followed by the electromagnetic vector wave case.

31.2.1 Scalar Waves Case

For a $\psi(r)$ that satisfies the scalar wave equation

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) \psi(r) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (31.2.1)

the corresponding scalar Green’s function $g(r, r')$ satisfies

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) g(r, r') = -\delta(r - r').$$  \hspace{1cm} (31.2.2)

Next, we multiply (31.2.1) by $g(r, r')$ and (31.2.2) by $\psi(r)$. And then, we subtract the resultant equations and integrating over a volume $V$ as shown in Figure 31.6. There are two cases to consider: when $r'$ is in $V$, or when $r'$ is outside $V$. Thus, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{if } r' \in V, & \psi(r') \\
\text{if } r' \notin V, & 0
\end{array} \right\} = \int_V d\mathbf{r} [g(r, r') \nabla^2 \psi(r) - \psi(r) \nabla^2 g(r, r')],$$  \hspace{1cm} (31.2.3)

The left-hand side evaluates to different values depending on where $r'$ is due to the sifting property of the delta function $\delta(r - r')$. Since $g \nabla^2 \psi - \psi \nabla^2 g = \nabla \cdot (g \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla g)$, the left-hand
side of (31.2.3) can be rewritten using Gauss' divergence theorem, giving

\[
\begin{cases}
    \psi(r') = \oint_S dS \hat{n} \cdot [g(r,r') \nabla \psi(r) - \psi(r) \nabla g(r,r')], & \text{if } r' \in V, \\
    0, & \text{if } r' \notin V,
\end{cases}
\]

(31.2.4)

where \( S \) is the surface bounding \( V \). The above is the mathematical expression that once \( \psi(r) \) and \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi(r) \) are known on \( S \), then \( \psi(r') \) away from \( S \) could be found. This is similar to the expression of equivalence principle where \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi(r) \) and \( \psi(r) \) are equivalence sources on the surface \( S \). In acoustics, these are known as single layer and double layer sources, respectively. The above is also the mathematical expression of the extinction theorem that says if \( r' \) is outside \( V \), the left-hand side evaluates to zero.

In (31.2.4), the surface integral on the right-hand side can be thought of as contributions from surface sources. Since \( g(r,r') \) is a monopole Green’s function, the first term can be thought of as radiation from single layer sources on the surface \( S \). Also, since \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla g(r,r') \) is the field due to a dipole, the second term is thought of as contributions from double layer sources.

The equivalence of the volume integral in (31.2.3) to the surface integral in (31.2.4) is also known as Green’s theorem [81].

The symbol “\( O \)” means “of the order.”
and \( \psi(r) \sim O(1/r) \), when \( r \rightarrow \infty \), if \( \psi(r) \) is due to a source of finite extent. Then, the integral over \( S_{\text{inf}} \) in (31.2.4) vanishes, and (31.2.4) is valid for the case shown in Figure 31.7 as well but with the surface integral over surface \( S \) only. Here, the field outside \( S \) at \( r' \) is expressible in terms of the field on \( S \). This is similar to the inside-out equivalence principle we have discussed previously.

Notice that in deriving (31.2.4), \( g(r, r') \) has only to satisfy (31.2.2) for both \( r \) and \( r' \) in \( V \) but no boundary condition has yet been imposed on \( g(r, r') \). Therefore, if we further require that \( g(r, r') = 0 \) for \( r \in S \), then (31.2.4) becomes

\[
\psi(r') = -\oint_S dS \psi(r) \hat{n} \cdot \nabla g(r, r'), \quad r' \in V. \tag{31.2.5}
\]

On the other hand, if require additionally that \( g(r, r') \) satisfies (31.2.2) with the boundary condition \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla g(r, r') = 0 \) for \( r \in S \), then (31.2.4) becomes

\[
\psi(r') = \oint_S dS g(r, r') \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi(r), \quad r' \in V. \tag{31.2.6}
\]

Equations (31.2.4), (31.2.5), and (31.2.6) are various forms of Huygens’ principle, or equivalence principle for scalar waves (acoustic waves) depending on the definition of \( g(r, r') \). Equations (31.2.5) and (31.2.6) stipulate that only \( \psi(r) \) or \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \psi(r) \) need be known on the surface \( S \) in order to determine \( \psi(r') \). The above are analogous to the PEC and PMC equivalence principle considered previously. (Note that in the above derivation, \( k^2 \) could be a function of position as well.)

### 31.2.2 Electromagnetic Waves Case

![Figure 31.8: Derivation of the Huygens’ principle for the electromagnetic case. One only needs to know the surface fields on surface \( S \) in order to determine the field at \( r' \) inside \( V \).](image)
In a source-free region, an electromagnetic wave satisfies the vector wave equation

\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) - k^2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \]  

(31.2.7)

The analogue of the scalar Green’s function for the scalar wave equation is the dyadic Green’s function for the electromagnetic wave case [1, 31, 158, 159]. Moreover, the dyadic Green’s function satisfies the equation

\[ 4 \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - k^2 \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \mathbf{I} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \]  

(31.2.8)

It can be shown by direct back substitution that the dyadic Green’s function in free space is [159]

\[ \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\nabla \nabla}{k^2} \right) g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \]  

(31.2.9)

The above allows us to derive the vector Green’s theorem [1, 31, 158]. Then, after post-multiplying (31.2.7) by \( \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \), pre-multiplying (31.2.8) by \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \), subtracting the resultant equations and integrating the difference over volume \( V \), considering two cases as we did for the scalar wave case, we have

\[ \text{if } \mathbf{r}' \in V, \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}') \quad \text{if } \mathbf{r}' \notin V, \quad 0 \]  

\[ = \int_V dV \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \right]. \]  

(31.2.10)

Next, using the vector identity that

\[ -\nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \right] \]

\[ = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \]  

(31.2.11)

Equation (31.2.10), with the help of Gauss’ divergence theorem, can be written as

\[ \text{if } \mathbf{r}' \in V, \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}') \quad \text{if } \mathbf{r}' \notin V, \quad 0 \]  

\[ = - \oint_S dS \hat{n} \cdot \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \right] \]

\[ = - \oint_S dS \left[ \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + i\omega \mu \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \right]. \]  

(31.2.12)

The above is just the vector analogue of (31.2.4). Since \( \mathbf{E} \times \hat{n} \) and \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} \) are associated with surface magnetic current and surface electric current, respectively, the above can be

---

4 A dyad is an outer product between two vectors, and it behaves like a tensor, except that a tensor is more general than a dyad. A purist will call the above a tensor Green’s function, as the above is not a dyad in its strictest definition.

5 This identity can be established by using the identity \( \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \). We will have to let (31.2.11) act on a constant vector to convert the dyad into a vector before we can apply this identity. The equality of the volume integral in (31.2.10) to the surface integral in (31.2.12) is also known as vector Green’s theorem [31, 158]. Earlier form of this theorem was known as Franz formula [160].
thought of having these equivalent surface currents radiating via the dyadic Green’s function. Again, notice that (31.2.12) is derived via the use of (31.2.8), but no boundary condition has yet been imposed on $G(r, r')$ on $S$ even though we have given a closed form solution for the free-space case.

Now, if we require the addition boundary condition that $\hat{n} \times G(r, r') = 0$ for $r \in S$. This corresponds to a point source radiating in the presence of a PEC surface. Then (31.2.12) becomes

$$E(r') = -\oint_S dS \hat{n} \times E(r) \cdot \nabla \times G(r, r'), \quad r' \in V$$

(31.2.13)

for it could be shown that $\hat{n} \times H \cdot \mathcal{G} = H \cdot \hat{n} \times \mathcal{G}$ implying that the second term in (31.2.12) is zero. On the other hand, if we require that $\hat{n} \times \nabla \times G(r, r') = 0$ for $r \in S$, then (31.2.12) becomes

$$E(r') = -i\omega \mu \oint_S dS \hat{n} \times H(r) \cdot \mathcal{G}(r, r'), \quad r' \in V$$

(31.2.14)

Equations (31.2.13) and (31.2.14) state that $E(r')$ is determined if either $\hat{n} \times E(r)$ or $\hat{n} \times H(r)$ is specified on $S$. This is in agreement with the uniqueness theorem. These are the mathematical expressions of the PEC and PMC equivalence problems we have considered in the previous sections.

The dyadic Green’s functions in (31.2.13) and (31.2.14) are for a closed cavity since boundary conditions are imposed on $S$ for them. But the dyadic Green’s function for an unbounded, homogeneous medium, given in (31.2.10) can be written as

$$\mathcal{G}(r, r') = \frac{1}{k^2} [\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathcal{I} g(r - r') - \mathcal{I} \delta(r - r')],$$

(31.2.15)

$$\nabla \times \mathcal{G}(r, r') = \nabla \times \mathcal{I} g(r - r').$$

(31.2.16)

Then, (31.2.12), for $r' \in V$ and $r' \neq r$, becomes

$$E(r') = -\nabla' \times \oint_S dS g(r - r') \hat{n} \times E(r) + \frac{1}{i\omega \epsilon} \nabla' \times \nabla' \times \oint_S dS g(r - r') \hat{n} \times H(r).$$

(31.2.17)

The above can be applied to the geometry in Figure 31.7 where $r'$ is enclosed in $S$ and $S_{inf}$. However, the integral over $S_{inf}$ vanishes by virtue of the radiation condition as for (31.2.4). Then, (31.2.17) relates the field outside $S$ at $r'$ in terms of only the field on $S$. This is similar to the inside-out problem in the equivalence theorem. It is also related to the fact that if the radiation condition is satisfied, then the field outside of the source region is uniquely satisfied. Hence, this is also related to the uniqueness theorem.
Lecture 32

Shielding, Image Theory

32.1 Shielding

We can understand shielding by understand how charges move around in a conductive medium. These charges move around to shield out the electric field. So it is imperative to understand how charges are shielded in an electrostatic problems.

32.1.1 A Note on Electrostatic Shielding

For electrostatic problems, a conductive medium suffices to produce surface charges that shield out the electric field from the conductive medium. If the electric field is not zero, then since $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$, the electric current inside the conductor will keep flowing until inside the conductive medium $\mathbf{E} = 0$, and no electric current can flow in the conductor. In other words, when the field reaches the quiescent state, the charges redistribute themselves so as to shield out the electric field, and that the total internal electric field, $\mathbf{E} = 0$. And from Faraday's law that tangential $\mathbf{E}$ field is continuous, then $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ on the conductor surface since $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ inside the conductor. Figure 32.1 shows the static electric field, in the quiescent state, between two conductors (even though they are not PEC), and the electric field has to be normal to the conductor surfaces.

32.1.2 Relaxation Time

The time it takes for the charges to move around until they reach their quiescent distribution is called the relaxation time. It is very much similar to the RC time constant of an RC circuit consisting of a resistor in series with a capacitor. It can be proven that this relaxation time is related to $\varepsilon/\sigma$, but the proof is beyond the scope of this course. Note that when $\sigma \to \infty$, the relaxation time is zero. In other words, in a perfect conductor or a superconductor, the charges can reorient themselves instantaneously if the external field is time-varying.

Electrostatic shielding or low-frequency shielding is important at low frequencies. The Faraday cage is an important application of such a shielding.
Figure 32.1: The objects can just be conductors, and in the quiescent state (static state), the tangential electric field will be zero on their surfaces. However, if the conductor charges are induced by an external electric field that is time varying, then the charges have to constantly redistribute/re-orient themselves to try to shield out the incident time-varying electric field. Currents have to constantly flow around the conductor. Then the electric field cannot be zero inside the conductors as shown in Figure 32.2. In other words, a finite conductor cannot shield out completely a time-varying electric field.
Figure 32.2: If the source that induces the charges on the conductor is time varying, the current in the conductor is always nonzero so that the charges can move around to respond to the external time-varying charges.

For a perfect electric conductor (PEC), \( \mathbf{E} = 0 \) inside with the following argument: Because \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \) where \( \sigma \to \infty \), let us assume an infinitesimally time-varying electric field in the PEC to begin with. It will yield an infinite electric current, and hence an infinite time-varying magnetic field. A infinite time-varying magnetic field in turn yields an infinite electric field that will drive an electric current, and these fields and current will be infinitely large. This is an unstable sequence of events if it is true. Hence, the only possibility is for the time-varying electromagnetic fields to be zero inside a PEC.

Thus, for the PEC, the charges can re-orient themselves instantaneously on surface when the inducing electric fields from outside are time varying. In other words, the relaxation time \( \varepsilon/\sigma \) is zero. As a consequence, the time-varying electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) is always zero inside PEC, and hence \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \) on the surface of the PEC.
32.2 Image Theory

Image theory can be used to derive closed form solutions to boundary value problems when the geometry is simple and has a lot of symmetry. The closed form solutions in turn offer physical insight into the problems. This theory or method is also discussed in many textbooks [1, 48, 59, 71, 154, 161, 162].

32.2.1 Electric Charges and Electric Dipoles

Image theory for a flat conductor surface or a half-space is quite easy to derive. To see that, we can start with electro-static theory of putting a positive charge above a flat plane. As mentioned before, for electrostatics, the plane or half-space does not have to be a perfect conductor, but only a conductor (or a metal). The tangential static electric field on the surface of the conductor has to be zero.

The tangential static electric field can be canceled by putting an image charge of opposite sign at the mirror location of the original charge. This is shown in Figure 32.3. Now we can mentally add the total field due to these two charges. When the total static electric field due to the original charge and image charge is sketched, it will look like that in Figure 32.4. It is seen that the static electric field satisfies the boundary condition that \( \hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \) at the conductor interface due to symmetry.
Figure 32.3: The use of image theory to solve the BVP of a point charge on top of a conductor. The boundary condition is that $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ on the conductor surface.
An electric dipole is made from a positive charge placed in close proximity to a negative charge. Using that an electric charge reflects to an electric charge of opposite polarity above a conductor, one can easily see that a static horizontal electric dipole reflects to a static horizontal electric dipole of opposite polarity. By the same token, a static vertical electric dipole reflects to static vertical electric dipole of the same polarity as shown in Figure 32.5.
Figure 32.5: On a conductor surface, a horizontal static dipole reflects to one of opposite polarity, while a static vertical dipole reflects to one of the same polarity. If the dipoles are time-varying, then a PEC will have a same reflection rule.

If this electric dipole is a Hertzian dipole whose field is time-varying, then one needs a PEC half-space to shield out the electric field. Also, the image charges will follow the original dipole charges instantaneously. Then the image theory for static electric dipoles over a half-space still holds true if the dipoles now become Hertzian dipoles.

### 32.2.2 Magnetic Charges and Magnetic Dipoles

A static magnetic field can penetrate a conductive medium. This is apparent from our experience when we play with a bar magnet over a copper sheet: the magnetic field from the magnet can still be experienced by iron filings put on the other side of the copper sheet.

However, this is not the case for a time-varying magnetic field. Inside a conductive medium, a time-varying magnetic field will produce a time-varying electric field, which in turn produces the conduction current via $J = \sigma E$. This is termed eddy current, which by Lenz’s law, repels the magnetic field from the conductive medium.\(^1\)

Now, consider a static magnetic field penetrating into a perfect electric conductor, an minute amount of time variation will produce an electric field, which in turn produces an infinitely large eddy current. So the stable state for a static magnetic field inside a PEC is for it to be expelled from the perfect electric conductor. This in fact is what we observe when a magnetic field is brought near a superconductor. Therefore, for the static magnetic field, where $\mathbf{B} = 0$ inside the PEC, then $\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ on the PEC surface.

Now, assuming a magnetic monopole exists, it will reflect to itself on a PEC surface so that $\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ as shown in Figure 32.6. Therefore, a magnetic charge reflects to a charge of similar polarity on the PEC surface.

\(^1\)The repulsive force occurs by virtue of energy conservation. Since “work done” is needed to set the eddy current in motion, or to impart kinetic energy to the electrons forming the eddy current, a repulsive force is felt in Lenz’s law so that work is done in pushing the magnetic field into the conductive medium.
Figure 32.6: On a PEC surface, $\mathbf{\hat{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Hence, a magnetic monopole on top of a PEC surface will have magnetic field distributed as shown.

By extrapolating this to magnetic dipoles, they will reflect themselves to the magnetic dipoles as shown in Figure 32.7. A horizontal magnetic dipole reflects to a horizontal magnetic dipole of the same polarity, and a vertical magnetic dipole reflects to a vertical magnetic dipole of opposite polarity. Hence, a dipolar bar magnet can be levitated by a superconductor when this magnet is placed closed to it. This is also known as the Meissner effect [163], which is shown in Figure 32.8.

A time-varying magnetic dipole can be made from an electric current loop. Over a PEC, a time-varying magnetic dipole will reflect the same way as a static magnetic dipole as shown in Figure 32.7.
Figure 32.7: Using the rule of how magnetic monopole reflects itself on a PEC surface, the reflection rules for magnetic dipoles can be ascertained.

Figure 32.8: On a PEC (superconducting) surface, a vertical magnetic dipole reflects to one of opposite polarity. Hence, the dipoles repel each other displaying the Meissner effect. The magnet, because of the repulsive force from its image, levitates above the superconductor (courtesy of Wikipedia [164]).

32.2.3 Perfect Magnetic Conductor (PMC) Surfaces

Magnetic conductor does not come naturally in this world since there are no free-moving magnetic charges around. Magnetic monopoles are yet to be discovered. On a PMC surface,
by duality, $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} = 0$. At low frequency, it can be mimicked by a high $\mu$ material. One can see that for magnetostatics, at the interface of a high $\mu$ material and air, the magnetic flux is approximately normal to the surface, resembling a PMC surface. High $\mu$ materials are hard to find at higher frequencies. Since $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H} = 0$ on such a surface, no electric current can flow on such a surface. Hence, a PMC can be mimicked by a surface where no surface electric current can flow. This has been achieved in microwave engineering with a mushroom surface as shown in Figure 32.9 [165]. The mushroom structure consisting of a wire and an end-cap, can be thought of as forming an LC tank circuit. Close to the resonance frequency of this tank circuit, the surface of mushroom structures essentially becomes open circuits resembling a PMC. Therefore, there is no surface electric current on this surface, and the tangential magnetic field is small, the hallmark of a good magnetic conductor.

![Mushroom Structure](image)

**Figure 32.9:** A mushroom structure operates like an LC tank circuit. At the right frequency, the surface resembles an open-circuit surface where no current can flow. Hence, tangential magnetic field is zero resembling perfect magnetic conductor (courtesy of Sievenpiper [165]).

Mathematically, a surface that is dual to the PEC surface is the perfect magnetic conductor (PMC) surface. The magnetic dipole is also dual to the electric dipole. Thus, over a PMC surface, these electric and magnetic dipoles will reflect differently as shown in Figure 32.10. One can go through Gedanken experiments and verify that the reflection rules are as shown in the figure.
32.2.4 Multiple Images

For the geometry shown in Figure 32.11, one can start with electrostatic theory, and convince oneself that $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ on the metal surface with the placement of charges as shown. For conducting media, they charges will relax to the quiescent distribution after the relaxation time. For PEC surfaces, one can extend these cases to time-varying dipoles because the charges in the PEC medium can re-orient instantaneously (i.e. with zero relaxation time) to shield out or expel the $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ fields. Again, one can repeat the above exercise for magnetic charges, magnetic dipoles, and PMC surfaces.
32.2.5 Some Special Cases

One curious case is for a static charge placed near a conductive sphere (or cylinder) as shown in Figure 32.12. A charge of $+Q$ reflects to a charge of $-Q_I$ inside the sphere. For electrostatics, the sphere (or cylinder) need only be a conductor. However, this cannot be generalized to electrodynamics or a time-varying problem, because of the retardation effect: A time-varying dipole or charge will be felt at different points asymmetrically on the surface of the sphere from the original and image charges. Exact cancelation of the tangential electric field cannot occur for time-varying field.

![Figure 32.12](image.png)

Figure 32.12: Image theory for a point charge near a cylinder or a sphere can be found in closed form [29].

When a static charge is placed over a dielectric interface, image theory can be used to find the closed form solution. This solution can be derived using Fourier transform technique which we shall learn later [34]. It can also be extended to multiple interfaces. But image theory cannot be used for the electrodynamic case due to the different speed of light in different media, giving rise to different retardation effects.

![Figure 32.13](image.png)

Figure 32.13: A static charge over a dielectric interface can be found in closed form.

2This is worked out in p. 48 and p. 49, Ramo et al [29].
Lecture 33

High Frequency Solutions, Gaussian Beams

33.1 High Frequency Solutions

High frequency solutions are important in many real-world applications. This occurs when the wavelength is much smaller than the size of the structure. This can occur even in microwave interacting with reflector antennas for instance. It is also the transition from waves regime to the optics regime in the solutions of Maxwell’s equations. Often times, the term “quasi-optical” is used to describe the solutions in this regime.

33.1.1 Tangent Plane Approximations

We have learnt that reflection and transmission of waves at a flat surface can be solved in closed form. The important point here is the physics of phase matching. Due to phase matching, we have the law of reflection, transmission and Snell’s law [52].

When a surface is not flat anymore, there is no closed form solution. But when a surface is curved, an approximate solution can be found. This is obtained by using a local tangent-plane approximation when the radius of curvature is much larger than the wavelength. Hence, this is a good approximation when the frequency is high or the wavelength is short. This is similar in spirit that we can approximate a spherical wave by a local plane wave at the spherical wave front when the wavelength is short.

When the wavelength is short, phase matching happens locally, and the law of reflection, transmission, and Snell’s law are satisfied approximately as shown in Figure 33.1. The tangent plane approximation is the basis for the geometrical optics (GO) approximation [31, 167]. In GO, light waves are replaced by light rays. The reflection and transmission of these rays at an interface is estimated with the tangent plane approximation. This is also the basis for lens or ray optics from which lens technology is derived. It is also the basis for ray tracing for high-frequency solutions [168, 169].

\[1\] This law is also known in the Islamic world in 984 [166].
Most of these problems do not have closed-form solutions, and have to be treated with approximate methods. In addition to geometrical approximations mentioned above, asymptotic methods are also used to find approximate solutions. Asymptotic methods implies finding a solution when there is a large parameter in the problem. In this case, it is usually the frequency. Such high-frequency approximate methods are discussed in [170–174].

![Figure 33.1: In the tangent plane approximation, the surface where reflection and refraction occur is assumed to be locally flat. Hence, phase-matching is approximately satisfied, and hence, the law of reflection, transmission, and Snell’s law.](image)

### 33.1.2 Fermat’s Principle

Fermat’s principle (1600s) [52,175] says that a light ray follows the path that takes the shortest time between two points.\(^2\) Since time delay is related to the phase delay, and that a light ray can be locally approximated by a plane wave, this can be stated that a plane wave follows the path that has a minimal phase delay. This principle can be used to derive law of reflection, transmission, and refraction for light rays. It can be used as the guiding principle for ray tracing.

\(^2\)This eventually give rise to the principle of least action.
Figure 33.2: In Fermat’s principle, a light ray, when propagating from point $A$ to point $C$, takes the path of least delay.

Given two points $A$ and $C$ in two different half spaces as shown in Figure 33.2. Then the phase delay between the two points, per Figure 33.2, can be written as

$$P = k_i \cdot r_i + k_t \cdot r_t$$

(33.1.1)

As this is the shortest path according to Fermat’s principle, another other path will be longer. In other words, if $B$ were to move to another point, a longer path will ensue, or that $B$ is the stationary point of the path length or phase delay. Specializing (33.1.1) to a 2D picture, then the phase delay as a function of $x_i$ is stationary. In this Figure 33.2, we have $x_i + x_t = \text{const}$. Therefore, taking the derivative of (33.1.1) with respect to $x_i$, one gets

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} = 0 = k_i - k_t$$

(33.1.2)

The above yields the law of refraction that $k_i = k_t$, which is just Snell’s law. It can also be
obtained by phase matching. Notice that in the above, only $x_i$ is varied to find the stationary point and $k_i$ and $k_t$ remain constant.

### 33.1.3 Generalized Snell’s Law

![Metasurface Diagram](image)

Figure 33.3: A phase screen which is position dependent can be made. In such a case, one can derive a generalized Snell’s law to describe the diffraction of a wave by such a surface (courtesy of Capasso’s group [176]).

Metasurfaces are prevalent these days due to our ability for nano-fabrication and numerical simulation. One of them is shown in Figure 33.3. Such a metasurface can be thought of as a phase screen, providing additional phase shift for the light as it passes through it. Moreover, the added phase shift can be controlled to be a function of position due to advent in fabrication technology and commercial software for numerical simulation.

To model this phase screen, we can add an additional function $\Phi(x, y)$ to (33.1.1), namely that

$$P = k_i \cdot r_i + k_t \cdot r_t - \Phi(x_i, y_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (33.1.3)

Now applying Fermat’s principle that there should be minimal phase delay, and taking the derivative of the above with respect to $x_i$, one gets

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} = k_i - k_t - \frac{\partial \Phi(x_i, y_i)}{\partial x_i} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (33.1.4)

The above yields that the generalized Snell’s law [176] that

$$k_i - k_t = \frac{\partial \Phi(x_i, y_i)}{\partial x_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (33.1.5)

It yields the fact that the transmitted light can be directed to other angles due to the additional phase screen.
33.2 Gaussian Beam

We have seen previously that in a source free space
\[ \nabla^2 A + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon A = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.1)
\[ \nabla^2 \Phi + \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \Phi = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.2)

The above are four scalar equations with the Lorenz gauge
\[ \nabla \cdot A = -j \omega \mu \varepsilon \Phi \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.3)
connecting \( A \) and \( \Phi \). We can examine the solution of \( A \) such that
\[ A(r) = A_0(r) e^{-j \beta z} \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.4)
where \( A_0(r) \) is a slowly varying function while \( e^{-j \beta z} \) is rapidly varying in the \( z \)-direction. (Here, \( \beta = \omega \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} \).) This is primarily a quasi-plane wave propagating predominantly in the \( z \)-direction. We know this to be the case in the far field of a source, but let us assume that this form persists less than the far field, namely, in the Fresnel as well.

Taking the \( x \) component of (33.2.4), we have
\[ A_x(r) = \Psi(r) e^{-j \beta z} \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.5)
where \( \Psi(r) = \Psi(x,y,z) \) is a slowly varying envelope function of \( x, y, \) and \( z \).

33.2.1 Derivation of the Paraxial/Parabolic Wave Equation

Substituting (33.2.5) into (33.2.1), we arrive at
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}[\Psi(x,y,z)e^{-j \beta z}] = \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \Psi(x,y,z) - 2j \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi(x,y,z) - \beta^2 \Psi(x,y,z) \right] e^{-j \beta z} \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.6)

Consequently, after substituting the above into the \( x \) component of (33.2.1), we obtain an equation for \( \Psi(r) \), the slowly varying envelope as
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \Psi - 2j \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \Psi = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.7)
When \( \beta \to \infty \), or in the high frequency limit,
\[ \left| 2j \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi \right| \gg \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \Psi \right| \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.8)

In the above, we assume the envelope to be slowly varying and \( \beta \) large, so that \( |\beta \Psi| \gg |\partial/\partial z \Psi| \). And then (33.2.7) can be approximated by
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} - 2j \beta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} \approx 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (33.2.9)

\(^3\)Also, the wave becomes a transverse wave in the far field, and keeping the transverse component suffices.
The above is called the paraxial wave equation. It is also called the parabolic wave equation.\footnote{The paraxial wave equation, the diffusion equation and the Schrodinger equation are all classified as parabolic equations in mathematical parlance \cite{34,43,177,178}.} It implies that the $\beta$ vector of the wave is approximately parallel to the $z$ axis, and hence, the name.

### 33.2.2 Finding a Closed Form Solution

A closed form solution to the paraxial wave equation can be obtained by a simple trick\footnote{Introduced by Georges A. Deschamps of UIUC \cite{179}.}. It is known that

$$ A_x(r) = e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} $$

is the solution to

$$ \nabla^2 A_x + \beta^2 A_x = 0 $$

if $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{r}'$. If we make $\mathbf{r}' = -\hat{z}jb$, a complex number, then (33.2.10) is always a solution to (33.2.10) for all $\mathbf{r}$, because $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \neq 0$ always. Then

$$ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z + jb)^2} $$

$$ \approx (z + jb) \left[ 1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{(z + jb)^2} + \ldots \right]^{1/2} $$

$$ \approx (z + jb) + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2(z + jb)} + \ldots, \quad |z + jb| \to \infty $$

And then

$$ A_x(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{e^{-j\beta(z+jb)}}{4\pi(z+jb)} e^{-j\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2(z+jb)}} $$

By comparing the above with (33.2.5), we can identify

$$ \Psi(x, y, z) = A_0 \frac{jb}{z+jb} e^{-j\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2(z+jb)}} $$

By separating the exponential part into the real part and the imaginary part, and writing the prefactor in terms of amplitude and phase, we have

$$ \Psi(x, y, z) = \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{1+z^2/b^2}} e^{i\tan^{-1}(\frac{z}{b})} e^{-j\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2(z^2+b^2)}} e^{-b\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2(z^2+b^2)}} $$

The above can be rewritten as

$$ \Psi(x, y, z) = \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{1+z^2/b^2}} e^{-j\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2b}} e^{-\frac{e^2+y^2}{\omega^2}} e^{j\psi} $$
where $A_0$ is a new constant introduced to absorb undesirable constants arising out of the algebra, and

$$w^2 = \frac{2b}{\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{b^2} \right), \quad R = \frac{z^2 + b^2}{z}, \quad \psi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{z}{b} \right) \tag{33.2.17}$$

For a fixed $z$, the parameters $w$, $R$, and $\psi$ are constants. Here, $w$ is the beam waist which varies with $z$, and it is smallest when $z = 0$, or $w = w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2b}{\beta}}$. And $R$ is the radius of curvature of the constant phase front. This can be appreciated by studying a spherical wave front $e^{-j\beta R}$, and make a paraxial wave approximation, namely, $x^2 + y^2 \ll z^2$ to get

$$e^{-j\beta R} = e^{-j\beta(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{1/2}} = e^{-j\beta z \left( 1 + \frac{x^2+y^2}{z^2} \right)^{1/2}} \approx e^{-j\beta z - j\beta z \frac{x^2+y^2}{2z}} \approx e^{-j\beta z - j\beta \frac{x^2+y^2}{2R}} \tag{33.2.18}$$

In the last approximation, we assume that $z \approx R$ in the paraxial approximation. The phase $\psi$ changes rapidly with $z$.

A cross section of the electric field due to a Gaussian beam is shown in Figure 33.4.

Figure 33.4: Electric field of a Gaussian beam in the $x-z$ plane frozen in time. The wave moves to the right as time increases; $b/\lambda = 10/6$ (courtesy of Haus, Electromagnetic Noise and Quantum Optical Measurements [74]).

### 33.2.3 Other solutions

In general, the paraxial wave equation has solution of the form\textsuperscript{6}

$$\Psi_{nm}(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{2}{\pi n!m!} \right)^{1/2} 2^{-N/2} \left( \frac{1}{w} \right) e^{-\left( x^2+y^2 \right)/w^2} e^{-j \frac{\pi n}{2}(x^2+y^2)} e^{j(m+n+1)\psi}$$

$$\cdot H_n \left( x\sqrt{2}/w \right) H_m \left( y\sqrt{2}/w \right) \tag{33.2.19}$$

\textsuperscript{6}See F. Pampaloni and J. Enderlein [180].
where $H_n(\xi)$ is a Hermite polynomial of order $n$. The solution can also be expressed in terms of Laguere polynomials, namely,

$$
\Psi_{nm}(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi n!m!}\right)^{1/2} \text{min}(n, m)! \frac{1}{w} e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2w^2} - \frac{\rho^2}{w^2}} e^{\frac{\rho^2}{w^2}} e^{j(n+m+1)\phi} e^{jl\phi}
$$

where $L_{kn}^k(\xi)$ is the associated Laguerre polynomial.

These Gaussian beams have rekindled recent excitement in the community because, in addition to carrying spin angular momentum as in a plane wave, they can carry orbital angular momentum due to the complex transverse field distribution of the beams.\(^7\) They harbor potential for optical communications as well as optical tweezers to manipulate trapped nano-particles. Figure 33.5 shows some examples of the cross section ($xy$ plane) field plots for some of these beams.

\(^7\)See D.L. Andrew, Structured Light and Its Applications and articles therein [181].
Rayleigh scattering is a solution to the scattering of light by small particles. These particles are assumed to be much smaller than wavelength of light. Then a simple solution can be found by the method of asymptotic matching. This single scattering solution can be used to explain a number of physical phenomena in nature. For instance, why the sky is blue, the sunset so magnificently beautiful, how birds and insects can navigate without the help of a compass. By the same token, it can also be used to explain why the Vikings, as a seafaring people, could cross the Atlantic Ocean over to Iceland without the help of a magnetic compass.
When a ray of light impinges on an object, we model the incident light as a plane electromagnetic wave (see Figure 34.2). Without loss of generality, we can assume that the electromagnetic wave is polarized in the $z$ direction and propagating in the $x$ direction. We assume the particle to be a small spherical particle with permittivity $\varepsilon_s$ and radius $a$. Essentially, the particle sees a constant field as the plane wave impinges on it. In other words, the particle feels an almost electrostatic field in the incident field.

![Geometry for studying the Rayleigh scattering problem.](image)
34.1.1 Scattering by a Small Spherical Particle

The incident field polarizes the particle making it look like an electric dipole. Since the incident field is time harmonic, the small electric dipole will oscillate and radiate like a Hertzian dipole in the far field. First, we will look at the solution in the vicinity of the scatter, namely, in the near field. Then we will motivate the form of the solution in the far field of the scatterer. Solving a boundary value problem by looking at the solutions in two different physical regimes, and then matching the solutions together is known as asymptotic matching.

A Hertzian dipole can be approximated by a small current source so that

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{z}Il\delta(\mathbf{r})$$ \hfill (34.1.1)

In the above, we can let the time-harmonic current

$$I = dq/dt = j\omega q$$

$$Il = j\omega ql = j\omega p$$ \hfill (34.1.2)

where the dipole moment $p = ql$. The vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ due to a Hertzian dipole, after substituting (34.1.1), is

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int \int \int d\mathbf{r}^\prime \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}^\prime)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^\prime|} e^{-j\beta|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^\prime|}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{z}}{4\pi r} \mu Il e^{-j\beta r}$$ \hfill (34.1.3)

Near Field

From prior knowledge, we know that the electric field is given by $\mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mathbf{A} - \nabla \Phi$. From a dimensional analysis, the scalar potential term dominates over the vector potential term in the near field of the scatterer. Hence, we need to derive the corresponding scalar potential.

The scalar potential $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ is obtained from the Lorenz gauge that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -j\omega \mu \varepsilon \Phi$. Therefore,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{j\omega \mu \varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\frac{Il}{j\omega \mu \varepsilon 4\pi} \frac{1}{r} e^{-j\beta r}$$ \hfill (34.1.4)

When we are close to the dipole, by assuming that $\beta r \ll 1$, we can use a quasi-static approximation about the potential.\footnote{This is the same as ignoring retardation effect.} Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} e^{-j\beta r} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = -\frac{z}{r \sqrt{r^2}}$$ \hfill (34.1.5)

or after using that $z/r = \cos \theta$,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{ql}{4\pi \varepsilon r^2} \cos \theta$$ \hfill (34.1.6)
This dipole induced in the small particle is formed in response to the incident field. The incident field can be approximated by a constant local static electric field, 

$$E_{\text{inc}} = \hat{z}E_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.7)

The corresponding electrostatic potential for the incident field is then\(^2\)

$$\Phi_{\text{inc}} = -zE_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.8)

so that \(E_{\text{inc}} \approx -\nabla \Phi_{\text{inc}} = \hat{z}E_i\), as \(\omega \to 0\). The scattered dipole potential from the spherical particle in the vicinity of it is given by

$$\Phi_{\text{sca}} = E_s \frac{a^3}{r^2} \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.9)

The electrostatic boundary value problem (BVP) has been previously solved and\(^3\)

$$E_s = \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} E_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.10)

Using (34.1.10) in (34.1.9), and comparing with(34.1.6), one can see that the dipole moment induced by the incident field is that

$$p = ql = 4\pi\varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} a^3 E_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.11)

Far Field

In the far field of the Hertzian dipole, we can start with

$$E = -j\omega A - \nabla \Phi = -j\omega A - \frac{1}{j\omega \mu\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.12)

But when we are in the far field, \(\mathbf{A}\) behaves like a spherical wave which in turn behaves like a local plane wave if one goes far enough. Therefore, \(\nabla \to -j\beta = -j\beta \hat{r}\). Using this approximation in (34.1.12), we arrive at

$$E = -j\omega \left( A - \frac{\beta A}{\beta} \cdot A \right) = -j\omega (A - \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot A) = -j\omega (A\theta \hat{A}_\theta + \hat{A}_\phi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.13)

where we have used \(\hat{r} = \beta/\beta\).

34.1.2 Scattering Cross Section

From (34.1.3), we see that \(A_\phi = 0\) while

$$A_\theta = -\frac{j\omega \mu q}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r \sin \theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.14)

\(^2\)It is not easier to get here from electrodynamics. One needs vector spherical harmonics [184].

\(^3\)It was one of the homework problems.
Consequently, using (34.1.11) for $q_l$, we have in the far field that

$$E_\theta \approx -j\omega A_\theta = -\frac{\omega^2 \mu q_l}{4\pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \sin \theta = -\omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} \right) \frac{a^3}{r} E_i e^{-j\beta r} \sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.15)

$$H_\phi \approx \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\eta} E_\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.16)

where $\eta = \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon}$. The time-averaged Poynting vector is given by $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = 1/2 \Re \{ \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^* \}$. Therefore, the total scattered power is

$$P_s = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi E_\theta H_\phi^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \beta^4 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{a^6}{r^2} |E_i|^2 r^2 \left( \int_0^\pi \sin^3 \theta \, d\theta \right) 2\pi$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.17)

But

$$\int_0^\pi \sin^3 \theta \, d\theta = -\int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta \, d\cos \theta = -\int_0^\pi (1 - \cos^2 \theta) d\cos \theta$$

$$= -\int_1^{-1} (1 - x^2) \, dx = \frac{4}{3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.19)

Therefore

$$P_s = \frac{4\pi}{3\eta} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} \right)^2 \beta^4 a^6 |E_i|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.20)

The scattering cross section is the effective area of a scatterer such that the total scattered power is proportional to the incident power density times the scattering cross section. As such it is defined as

$$\Sigma_s = \frac{P_s}{\frac{1}{2\eta}|E_i|^2} = \frac{8\pi a^2}{3} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon_s + 2\varepsilon} \right)^2 (\beta a)^4$$  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.21)

In other words,

$$P_s = \langle S_{inc} \rangle \times \Sigma_s$$

It is seen that the scattering cross section grows as the fourth power of frequency since $\beta = \omega/c$. The radiated field grows as the second power because it is proportional to the acceleration of the charges on the particle. The higher the frequency, the more the scattered power. this mechanism can be used to explain why the sky is blue. It also can be used to explain why sunset has a brilliant hue of red and orange. The above also explain the brilliant glitter of gold plasmonic nano-particles as discovered by ancient Roman artisans. For gold,
the medium resembles a plasma, and hence, we can have $\varepsilon_s < 0$, and the denominator can be very small.

Furthermore, since the far field scattered power density of this particle is

\[ \langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2\eta} E_\theta H_\phi^* \sim \sin^2 \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (34.1.22)

the scattering pattern of this small particle is not isotropic. In other words, these dipoles radiate predominantly in the broadside direction but not in their end-fire directions. Therefore, insects and sailors can use this to figure out where the sun is even in a cloudy day. In fact, it is like a rainbow: If the sun is rising or setting in the horizon, there will be a bow across the sky where the scattered field is predominantly linearly polarized.\footnote{You can go through a Gedanken experiment to convince yourself of such.} Such a “sunstone” is shown in Figure 34.3.

Figure 34.3: A sunstone can indicate the polarization of the scattered light. From that, one can deduce where the sun is located (courtesy of Wikipedia).

34.1.3 Small Conductive Particle

The above analysis is for a small dielectric particle. The quasi-static analysis may not be valid for when the conductivity of the particle becomes very large. For instance, for a perfect electric conductor immersed in a time varying electromagnetic field, the magnetic field in the long wavelength limit induces eddy current in PEC sphere. Hence, in addition to an electric dipole component, a PEC sphere has a magnetic dipole component. The scattered field due to a tiny PEC sphere is a linear superposition of an electric and magnetic dipole components.
These two dipolar components have electric fields that cancel precisely at certain observation angle. This gives rise to deep null in the bi-static radar scattering cross-section (RCS)\(^6\) of a PEC sphere as illustrated in Figure 34.4.

![Figure 34.4: RCS (radar scattering cross section) of a small PEC scatterer (courtesy of Sheng et al. [185]).](image)

### 34.2 Mie Scattering

When the size of the dipole becomes larger, quasi-static approximation is insufficient to approximate the solution. Then one has to solve the boundary value problem in its full glory usually called the full-wave theory or Mie theory [186, 187]. With this theory, the scattering cross section does not grow indefinitely with frequency. For a sphere of radius \(a\), the scattering cross section becomes \(\pi a^2\) in the high-frequency limit. This physical feature of this plot is shown in Figure 34.5, and it also explains why the sky is not purple.

---

\(^6\)Scattering cross section in microwave range is called an RCS due to its prevalent use in radar technology.
34.2.1 Optical Theorem

Before we discuss Mie scattering solutions, let us discuss an amazing theorem called the optical theorem. This theorem says that the scattering cross section of a scatterer depends only on the forward scattering power density of the scatterer. In other words, if a plane wave is incident on a scatterer, the scatterer will scatter the incident power in all directions. But the total power scattered by the object is only dependent on the forward scattering power density of the object or scatterer. This amazing theorem is called the optical theorem, and the proof of this is given in J.D. Jackson’s book [42].

The true physical reason for this is power orthogonality. Two plane waves can interact or exchange power with each other unless they share the same \( k \) or \( \beta \) vector. This happens in orthogonal modes in waveguides [75,188].

The scattering pattern of a scatterer for increasing frequency is shown in Figure 34.6. For Rayleigh scattering where the wavelength is long, the scattered power is distributed isotropically save for the doughnut shape of the radiation pattern, namely, the \( \sin^2(\theta) \) dependence. As the frequency increases, the power is scattered increasingly in the forward direction. The reason being that for very short wavelength, the scatterer looks like a disc to the incident wave, casting a shadow in the forward direction. Hence, there has to be scattered field in the forward direction to cancel the incident wave to cast this shadow.

Figure 34.5: Radar cross section (RCS) calculated using Mie scattering theory [187].
In a nutshell, the scattering theorem is intuitively obvious for high-frequency scattering. The amazing part about this theorem is that it is true for all frequencies.

Figure 34.6: A particle scatters increasingly more in the forward direction as the frequency increases. Courtesy of hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu.

34.2.2 Mie Scattering by Spherical Harmonic Expansions

As mentioned before, as the wavelength becomes shorter, we need to solve the boundary value problem in its full glory without making any approximations. This can be done by using separation of variables and spherical harmonic expansions that will be discussed in the section.

The Mie scattering solution by a sphere is beyond the scope of this course. The problem must be solved by the separation of variables in spherical coordinates. The separation of variables in spherical coordinates is not only useful for Mie scattering, it is also useful for analyzing spherical cavity. So we will present the precursor knowledge so that you can read further into Mie scattering theory if you need to in the future.

34.2.3 Separation of Variables in Spherical Coordinates

To this end, we look at the scalar wave equation \((\nabla^2 + \beta^2)\Psi(r) = 0\) in spherical coordinates. A lookup table can be used to evaluate \(\nabla \cdot \nabla\), or divergence of a gradient in spherical coordinates. Hence, the Helmholtz wave equation becomes

\[
\left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \beta^2 \right) \Psi(r) = 0
\]  

(34.2.1)

Noting the \(\partial^2/\partial \phi^2\) derivative, by using separation of variables technique, we assume \(\Psi(r)\) to be

\[
\Psi(r) = F(r, \theta)e^{im\phi}
\]  

(34.2.2)
where \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} e^{im\phi} = -m^2 e^{im\phi} \). Then (34.2.1) becomes

\[
\left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{m^2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} + \beta^2 \right) F(r, \theta) = 0 \tag{34.2.3}
\]

Again, by using the separation of variables, and letting further that

\[
F(r, \theta) = b_n(\beta r) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \tag{34.2.4}
\]

where we require that

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \sin \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} + \left[ n(n+1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] \right\} P_n^m(\cos \theta) = 0 \tag{34.2.5}
\]

when \( P_n^m(\cos \theta) \) is the associate Legendre polynomial. Note that (34.2.5) is an eigenvalue problem, and \(|m| \leq |n|\).

Consequently, \( b_n(\beta r) \) satisfies

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{n(n+1)}{r^2} + \beta^2 \right] b_n(\beta r) = 0 \tag{34.2.6}
\]

The above is the spherical Bessel equation where \( b_n(\beta r) \) is either the spherical Bessel function \( j_n(\beta r) \), spherical Neumann function \( n_n(\beta r) \), or the spherical Hankel functions, \( h_n^{(1)}(\beta r) \) and \( h_n^{(2)}(\beta r) \). The spherical functions are related to the cylindrical functions via [34,43] \(^9\)

\[
b_n(\beta r) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\beta r}} B_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\beta r) \tag{34.2.7}
\]

It is customary to define the spherical harmonic as

\[
Y_{nm}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}} P_n^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi} \tag{34.2.8}
\]

The above is normalized such that

\[
Y_{n,-m}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_{nm}^*(\theta, \phi) \tag{34.2.9}
\]

and that

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta Y_{n,m}^*(\theta, \phi) Y_{n,m}(\theta, \phi) = \delta_{n'n} \delta_{m'm} \tag{34.2.10}
\]

These functions are also complete\(^{10}\) if like Fourier series, so that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{m=-n}^n Y_{nm}^*(\theta', \phi') Y_{nm}(\theta, \phi) = \delta(\phi - \phi') \delta(\cos \theta - \cos \theta') \tag{34.2.11}
\]

\(^9\)By a quirk of nature, the spherical Bessel functions needed for 3D wave equations are in fact simpler than cylindrical Bessel functions needed for 2D wave equation. One can say that 3D is real, but 2D is surreal.

\(^{10}\)In a nutshell, a set of basis functions is complete in a subspace if any function in the same subspace can be expanded as a sum of these basis functions.
35.1 Spectral Representations of Sources

A plane wave is a mathematical idealization that does not exist in the real world. In practice, waves are nonplanar in nature as they are generated by finite sources, such as antennas and scatterers. For example, a point source generates a spherical wave which is nonplanar. Fortunately, these waves can be expanded in terms of sum of plane waves. Once this is done, then the study of non-plane-wave reflections from a layered medium becomes routine. In the following, we shall show how waves resulting from a point source can be expanded in terms of plane waves summation. This topic is found in many textbooks [1, 31, 34, 87, 88, 154, 177, 189].

35.1.1 A Point Source

From this point onward, we will adopt the \( \exp(-i\omega t) \) time convention to be commensurate with the optics and physics literatures.

There are a number of ways to derive the plane wave expansion of a point source. We will illustrate one of the ways. The spectral decomposition or the plane-wave expansion of the field due to a point source could be derived using Fourier transform technique. First, notice that the scalar wave equation with a point source is

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k_0^2 \phi(x, y, z) = -\delta(x) \delta(y) \delta(z). \tag{35.1.1}
\]

The above equation could then be solved in the spherical coordinates, yielding the solution

\[
\phi(r) = \frac{e^{ik_0 r}}{4\pi r}. \tag{35.1.2}
\]
Next, assuming that the Fourier transform of \( \phi(x, y, z) \) exists, we can write

\[
\phi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y dk_z \tilde{\phi}(k_x, k_y, k_z) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z}. \tag{35.1.3}
\]

Then we substitute the above into (35.1.1), after exchanging the order of differentiation and integration, one can convert

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} = -k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2
\]

Then, together with the Fourier representation of the delta function, which is

\[
\delta(x) \delta(y) \delta(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y dk_z e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z} \tag{35.1.4}
\]

we convert (35.1.1) into

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y dk_z \left[ k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2 \right] \tilde{\phi}(k_x, k_y, k_z) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z} \tag{35.1.5}
\]

\[
= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y dk_z e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z}. \tag{35.1.6}
\]

Since the above is equal for all \( x, y, \) and \( z, \) we can Fourier inverse transform the above to get

\[
\tilde{\phi}(k_x, k_y, k_z) = \frac{-1}{k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2}. \tag{35.1.7}
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
\phi(x, y, z) = \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \frac{e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z}}{k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2}. \tag{35.1.8}
\]
In the above, if we examine the $k_z$ integral first, then the integrand has poles at $k_z = \pm (k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2)^{1/2}$. Moreover, for real $k_0$, and real values of $k_x$ and $k_y$, these two poles lie on the real axis, rendering the integral in (35.1.8) undefined. However, if a small loss is assumed in $k_0$ such that $k_0 = k_0' + ik''_0$, then the poles are off the real axis (see Figure 35.1), and the integrals in (35.1.8) are well-defined. As we shall see, this is intimately related to the uniqueness principle we have studied before. First, the reason is that $\phi(x, y, z)$ is not strictly absolutely integrable for a lossless medium, and hence, its Fourier transform may not exist [45]. Second, the introduction of a small loss also guarantees the radiation condition and the uniqueness of the solution to (35.1.1), and therefore, the equality of (35.1.2) and (35.1.8) [34].

Observe that in (35.1.8), when $z > 0$, the integrand is exponentially small when $\Im k_z \to \infty$. Therefore, by Jordan’s lemma, the integration for $k_z$ over the contour $C$ as shown in Figure 35.1 vanishes. Then, by Cauchy’s theorem, the integration over the Fourier inversion contour on the real axis is the same as integrating over the pole singularity located at $(k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2)^{1/2}$, yielding the residue of the pole (see Figure 35.1). Consequently, after doing the residue evaluation, we have

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y \frac{e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik'_z z}}{k'_z}, \quad z > 0,$$

where $k'_z = (k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2)^{1/2}$.

\footnote{In (35.1.8), the pole is located at $k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_x^2 = k_0^2$. This equation describes a sphere in $\mathbf{k}$ space, known as the Ewald's sphere [190].}
Similarly, for \( z < 0 \), we can add a contour \( C \) in the lower-half plane that contributes to zero to the integral, one can deform the contour to pick up the pole contribution. Hence, the integral is equal to the pole contribution at \( k'_{z} = -(k_{0}^{2} - k_{x}^{2} - k_{y}^{2})^{1/2} \) (see Figure 35.1). As such, the result for all \( z \) can be written as

\[
\phi(x, y, z) = \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{x} dk_{y} \frac{e^{ik_{x}x + ik_{y}y + ik'_{z}|z|}}{k'_{z}}, \quad \text{all } z. \quad (35.1.10)
\]

By the uniqueness of the solution to the partial differential equation (35.1.1) satisfying radiation condition at infinity, we can equate (35.1.2) and (35.1.10), yielding the identity

\[
\frac{e^{ik_{0}r}}{r} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{x} dk_{y} \frac{e^{ik_{x}x + ik_{y}y + ik_{z}|z|}}{k_{z}}, \quad \text{(35.1.11)}
\]

where \( k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2} + k_{z}^{2} = k_{0}^{2} \), or \( k_{z} = (k_{0}^{2} - k_{x}^{2} - k_{y}^{2})^{1/2} \). The above is known as the Weyl identity (Weyl 1919). To ensure the radiation condition, we require that \( \text{Im}[k_{z}] > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}[k_{z}] > 0 \) over all values of \( k_{x} \) and \( k_{y} \) in the integration. Furthermore, Equation (35.1.11) could be interpreted as an integral summation of plane waves propagating in all directions, including evanescent waves. It is the plane-wave expansion of a spherical wave.

Figure 35.2: The wave is propagating for \( k_{\rho} \) vectors inside the disk, while the wave is evanescent for \( k_{\rho} \) outside the disk.

One can also interpret the above as a 2D surface integral in the Fourier space over the \( k_{x} \) and \( k_{y} \) variables. When \( k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2} < k_{0}^{2} \), or inside a disk of radius \( k_{0} \), the waves are propagating...
waves. But for contributions outside this disk, the waves are evanescent (see Figure 35.2). And the high Fourier (or spectral) components of the Fourier spectrum correspond to evanescent waves. Since high spectral components, which are related to the evanescent waves, are important for reconstructing the singularity of the Green’s function.

![Figure 35.3: The $k_\rho$ and the $\rho$ vector on the $xy$ plane.](image)

In (35.1.11), we can write $k_\rho = \hat{\rho} k_\rho \cos \alpha + \hat{\rho} k_\rho \sin \alpha$, $\rho = \hat{\rho} \rho \cos \phi + \hat{\rho} \rho \sin \phi$ (see Figure 35.3), and $dk_x dk_y = k_\rho dk_\rho d\alpha$. Then, $k_x x + k_y y = k_\rho \cdot \rho = k_\rho \cos(\alpha - \phi)$, and we have

$$\frac{e^{ik_\alpha r}}{r} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty k_\rho dk_\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \frac{e^{ik_\rho \rho \cos(\alpha - \phi) + ik_z |z|}}{k_z},$$  \tag{35.1.12}

where $k_z = (k_0^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2)^{1/2} = (k_0^2 - k_\rho^2)^{1/2}$, where in cylindrical coordinates, in the $k_\rho$-space, or the Fourier space, $k_\rho^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$. Then, using the integral identity for Bessel functions given by \(^2\)

$$J_0(k_\rho \rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha e^{ik_\rho \rho \cos(\alpha - \phi)},$$  \tag{35.1.13}

(35.1.12) becomes

$$\frac{e^{ik_\alpha r}}{r} = i \int_0^\infty dk_\rho \frac{k_\rho}{k_z} J_0(k_\rho \rho) e^{ik_z |z|}.$$

The above is also known as the Sommerfeld identity (Sommerfeld 1909 [192], [177][p. 242]). Its physical interpretation is that a spherical wave can be expanded as an integral summation of conical waves or cylindrical waves in the $\rho$ direction, times a plane wave in the $z$ direction over all wave numbers $k_\rho$. This wave is evanescent in the $\pm z$ direction when $k_\rho > k_0$.

\(^2\)See Chew [34], or Whitaker and Watson(1927) [191].
By using the fact that \( J_0(k_\rho \rho) = 1/2[H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) + H_0^{(2)}(k_\rho \rho)] \), and the reflection formula that \( H_0^{(1)}(e^{i\pi} x) = -H_0^{(2)}(x) \), a variation of the above identity can be derived as

\[
e^{ik_0 r} \frac{e^{ik_0 r}}{r} = \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_\rho k_\rho H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) e^{ik_\rho |z|}.
\]

(35.1.15)

Figure 35.4: Sommerfeld integration path.

Since \( H_0^{(1)}(x) \) has a logarithmic branch-point singularity at \( x = 0 \), and \( k_z = (k_0^2 - k_\rho^2)^{1/2} \) has algebraic branch-point singularities at \( k_\rho = \pm k_0 \), the integral in Equation (35.1.15) is undefined unless we stipulate also the path of integration. Hence, a path of integration adopted by Sommerfeld, which is even good for a lossless medium, is shown in Figure 35.4. Because of the manner in which we have selected the reflection formula for Hankel functions, i.e., \( H_0^{(1)}(e^{i\pi} x) = -H_0^{(2)}(x) \), the path of integration should be above the logarithmic branch-point singularity at the origin.

### 35.1.2 Riemann Sheets and Branch Cuts

The function \( k_z = (k_0^2 - k_\rho^2)^{1/2} \) in (35.1.14) and (35.1.15) are double-value functions because, in taking the square root of a number, two values are possible. In particular, \( k_z \) is a double-value function of \( k_\rho \). Consequently, for every point on a complex \( k_\rho \) plane in Figure 35.4, there are two possible values of \( k_z \). Therefore, the integral (35.1.10) is undefined unless we stipulate which of the two values of \( k_z \) is adopted in performing the integration.

A multivalued function is denoted on a complex plane with the help of Riemann sheets [34, 81]. For instance, a double-value function such as \( k_z \) is assigned two Riemann sheets to a single complex plane. On one of these Riemann sheets, \( k_z \) assumes a value just opposite in sign to the value on the other Riemann sheet. The correct sign for \( k_z \) is to pick the square root solution so that \( Im(k_z) > 0 \). This will ensure a decaying wave from the source.

### 35.2 A Source on Top of a Layered Medium

It can be shown that plane waves reflecting from a layered medium can be decomposed into TE-type plane waves, where \( E_z = 0, H_z \neq 0 \), and TM-type plane waves, where \( H_z = 0, \)

\(^3H_0^{(1)}(x) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(x), \) see Chew [34][p. 14], or Abromowitz or Stegun [106].
In view of the above observations, when a point source is on top of a layered medium, it is then best to decompose its field in terms of waves of TE-type and TM-type. Then, the nonzero component of $E_z$ characterizes TM waves, while the nonzero component of $H_z$ characterizes TE waves. Hence, given a field, its TM and TE components can be extracted readily. Furthermore, if these TM and TE components are expanded in terms of plane waves, their propagations in a layered medium can be studied easily.

The problem of a vertical electric dipole on top of a half space was first solved by Sommerfeld (1909) [192] using Hertzian potentials, which are related to the $z$ components of the electromagnetic field. The work is later generalized to layered media, as discussed in the literature. Later, Kong (1972) [193] suggested the use of the $z$ components of the electromagnetic field instead of the Hertzian potentials.

### 35.2.1 Electric Dipole Fields

The $\mathbf{E}$ field in a homogeneous medium due to a point current source or a Hertzian dipole directed in the $\hat{\alpha}$ direction, $\mathbf{J} = \hat{\alpha} I \ell \delta(\mathbf{r})$, is derivable via the vector potential method or the dyadic Green’s function approach. Then, using the dyadic Green’s function approach, or the vector/scalar potential approach, the field due to a Hertzian dipole is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{i} \omega \mu \left( \mathbf{l} + \nabla \nabla \right) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbf{I} \ell e^{i k r} \frac{\mathbf{e}^{i k r}}{4 \pi r}, \quad (35.2.1)$$

where $I \ell$ is the current moment and $k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \epsilon}$, the wave number of the homogeneous medium. Furthermore, from $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = i \omega \mu \mathbf{H}$, the magnetic field due to a Hertzian dipole is given by

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{\hat{\alpha}} I \ell \frac{e^{i k r}}{4 \pi r}. \quad (35.2.2)$$

With the above fields, their TM and TE components can be extracted easily.

\footnote{Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media [34]; Kong, Electromagnetic Wave Theory [31].}
(a) Vertical Electric Dipole (VED)

A vertical electric dipole shown in Figure 35.5 has $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{z}$; hence, the TM component of the field is characterized by

$$E_z = \frac{i\omega\mu I\ell}{4\pi k^2} \left( k^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r},$$

(35.2.3)

and the TE component of the field is characterized by

$$H_z = 0,$$

(35.2.4)

implying the absence of the TE field.

Next, using the Sommerfeld identity (35.1.15) in the above, and after exchanging the order of integration and differentiation, we have

$$E_z = \frac{-I\ell}{8\pi\omega\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_\rho \frac{k_\rho^3}{k_z} H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) e^{ik_\rho|z|},$$

(35.2.5)

after noting that $k_\rho^2 + k_z^2 = k^2$. Notice that now Equation (35.2.5) expands the $z$ component of the electric field in terms of cylindrical waves in the $\rho$ direction and a plane wave in the $z$ direction. Since cylindrical waves actually are linear superpositions of plane waves, because we can work backward from (35.1.15) to (35.1.11) to see this. As such, the integrand in (35.2.5) in fact consists of a linear superposition of TM-type plane waves. The above is also the primary field generated by the source.

Consequently, for a VED on top of a stratified medium as shown, the downgoing plane wave from the point source will be reflected like TM waves with the generalized reflection

---

5By using (35.1.15) in (35.2.3), the $\partial^2/\partial z^2$ operating on $e^{ik_\rho|z|}$ produces a Dirac delta function singularity. Detail discussion on this can be found in the chapter on dyadic Green’s function in Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media [34].
coefficient $\tilde{R}_{12}^{TM}$. Hence, over a stratified medium, the field in region 1 can be written as

$$E_{1z} = -\frac{I\ell}{8\pi\omega\epsilon_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_p \frac{k_1^3}{k_{1z}} H_0^{(1)}(k_p\rho) \left[ e^{ik_{1z}|z|} + \tilde{R}_{12}^{TM} e^{ik_{1z}z + 2ik_{1z}d_1} \right], \quad (35.2.6)$$

where $k_{1z} = (k_1^2 - k_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $k_1^2 = \omega^2 \mu_1 \epsilon_1$, the wave number in region 1.

The phase-matching condition dictates that the transverse variation of the field in all the regions must be the same. Consequently, in the $i$-th region, the solution becomes

$$\epsilon_i E_{iz} = -\frac{I\ell}{8\pi\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_p \frac{k_1^3}{k_{1z}} H_0^{(1)}(k_p\rho) A_i \left[ e^{-ik_{1z}z} + \tilde{R}_{i,i+1}^{TM} e^{ik_{1z}z + 2ik_{1z}d_i} \right]. \quad (35.2.7)$$

Notice that Equation (35.2.7) is now expressed in terms of $\epsilon_i E_{iz}$ because $\epsilon_i E_{iz}$ reflects and transmits like $H_{iy}$, the transverse component of the magnetic field or TM waves. Therefore, $\tilde{R}_{i,i+1}^{TM}$ and $A_i$ could be obtained using the methods discussed in Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media.

This completes the derivation of the integral representation of the electric field everywhere in the stratified medium. These integrals are known as Sommerfeld integrals. The case when the source is embedded in a layered medium can be derived similarly.

(b) Horizontal Electric Dipole (HED)

For a horizontal electric dipole pointing in the $x$ direction, $\hat{a} = \hat{x}$; hence, (35.2.1) and (35.2.2) give the TM and the TE components as

$$E_z = \frac{iI\ell}{4\pi\omega\epsilon} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} e^{ikr}, \quad (35.2.8)$$

$$H_z = -\frac{I\ell}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{ikr}. \quad (35.2.9)$$

Then, with the Sommerfeld identity (35.1.15), we can expand the above as

$$E_z = \pm \frac{iI\ell}{8\pi\omega\epsilon} \cos \phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_p \frac{k_1^2}{k_z} H_1^{(1)}(k_p\rho)e^{ik_{1z}|z|} \quad (35.2.10)$$

$$H_z = i\frac{I\ell}{8\pi} \sin \phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_p \frac{k_1^2}{k_z} H_1^{(1)}(k_p\rho)e^{ik_{1z}|z|}. \quad (35.2.11)$$

Now, Equation (35.2.10) represents the wave expansion of the TM field, while (35.2.11) represents the wave expansion of the TE field. Observe that because $E_z$ is odd about $z = 0$ in (35.2.10), the downgoing wave has an opposite sign from the upgoing wave. At this point, the above are just the primary field generated by the source.

---

6See Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media [34], p. 46, (2.1.6) and (2.1.7)
On top of a stratified medium, the downgoing wave is reflected accordingly, depending on its wave type. Consequently, we have

\[ E_{1z} = \frac{iI}{8\pi\omega\epsilon_1} \cos \phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_\rho \frac{k^2}{k_\rho} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) \left[ \pm e^{ik_1|z|} - \tilde{R}^{TM}_{12} e^{ik_1(z+2d_1)} \right], \]  

(35.2.12)

\[ H_{1z} = \frac{iI}{8\pi} \sin \phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_\rho \frac{k^2}{k_\rho} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) \left[ e^{ik_1|z|} + \tilde{R}^{TE}_{12} e^{ik_1(z+2d_1)} \right]. \]  

(35.2.13)

Notice that the negative sign in front of \( \tilde{R}^{TM}_{12} \) in (35.2.12) follows because the downgoing wave in the primary field has a negative sign.

### 35.2.2 Some Remarks

Even though we have arrived at the solutions of a point source on top of a layered medium by heuristic arguments of plane waves propagating through layered media, they can also be derived more rigorously. For example, Equation (35.2.6) can be arrived at by matching boundary conditions at every interface. The reason why a more heuristic argument is still valid is due to the completeness of Fourier transforms. It is best explained by putting a source over a half space and a scalar problem.

We can expand the scalar field in the upper region as

\[ \Phi_1(x, y, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y \tilde{\Phi}_1(k_x, k_y, z) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} \]  

(35.2.14)

and the scalar field in the lower region as

\[ \Phi_2(x, y, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y \tilde{\Phi}_2(k_x, k_y, z) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} \]  

(35.2.15)

If we require that the two fields be equal to each other at \( z = 0 \), then we have

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y \tilde{\Phi}_1(k_x, k_y, z = 0) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_x dk_y \tilde{\Phi}_2(k_x, k_y, z = 0) e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} \]  

(35.2.16)

In order to remove the integral, and replace it with a simple scalar problem, one has to impose the above equation for all \( x \) and \( y \). Then the completeness of Fourier transform implies that\(^7\)

\[ \tilde{\Phi}_1(k_x, k_y, z = 0) = \tilde{\Phi}_2(k_x, k_y, z = 0) \]  

(35.2.17)

The above equation is much simpler than that in (35.2.16). In other words, due to the completeness of Fourier transform, one can match a boundary condition spectral-component by spectral-component. If the boundary condition is matched for all spectral components, than (35.2.16) is also true.

\(^7\)Or that we can perform a Fourier inversion on the above integrals.
36.1 Introduction to Computational Electromagnetics

Due to the advent of digital computers, numerical methods to seek solutions of Maxwell’s equations have become vastly popular. Due to the high fidelity of Maxwell’s equations in describing electromagnetic physics in nature, often time, a numerical solution obtained by solving Maxwell’s equations are more reliable than laboratory experiments. This field is also known as computational electromagnetics.

Computational electromagnetics consists mainly of two kinds of numerical solvers: one that solves the differential equations directly, the differential-equation solvers; and one that solves the integral equations which are derived from Maxwell’s equations.

Differential equation solvers are generally easier to implement, but they solve for the fields directly. The fields permeate all of space, and hence, the unknowns are volumetrically distributed. When the fields are digitized by representing them by their point values in space, they require a large number of unknowns to represent.

On the other hand, the derivation of integral equations require the use of the Green’s functions. Green’s functions are in general singular when \( r = r' \), or when the observation point (observation point) \( r \) and the source point \( r' \) coincide. Care has to be taken to discretize the integral equations. However, because the unknowns are current sources or equivalent sources rather the fields, the unknowns needed are greatly reduced.

There are differences between differential equation solvers and differential equation solvers that will become clearer as we delve into this topic.
36.2 Finite-Difference Method

To obtain the transient (time-domain) solution of the wave equation for a more general, inhomogeneous medium, a numerical method has to be used. The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method, a numerical method, is particularly suitable for solving transient problems. Moreover, it is quite versatile, and given the present computer technology, it has been used with great success in solving many practical problems. This method is based on a simple Yee algorithm [194] and has been vastly popularized by Taflove [195, 196].

In the finite-difference method, continuous space-time is replaced with a discrete space-time. Then, in the discrete space-time, partial differential equations are replaced with difference equations. These difference equations are readily implemented on a digital computer. Furthermore, an iterative or time-stepping scheme can be implemented without having to solve large matrices, resulting in a great savings in computer time.\footnote{We shall learn later that most computational electromagnetics methods convert Maxwell’s equations into a matrix equation. Then numerical linear algebra methods are used to solve the ensuing matrix equation. Methods where such a matrix is not generated is called a matrix-free method, resulting in great savings in memory.} More recently, the development of parallel processor architectures in computers has also further enhanced the efficiency of the finite-difference scheme [197].

This method is also described in numerous works (see, for example, Potter 1973 [198]; Taflove 1988 [195]; Ames 2014 [199]; Morton 2019 [200].

36.2.1 The Finite-Difference Approximation

Consider first a scalar wave equation of the form

\[
\frac{1}{c^2(r)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(r, t) = \mu(r) \nabla \cdot \mu^{-1}(r) \nabla \phi(r, t). \tag{36.2.1}
\]

The above equation appears in scalar acoustic waves in inhomogeneous media [34, 201].

To convert the above into a form that can be solved by a digital computer, one needs to find finite-difference approximations to the time derivatives. Then, the time derivative can be approximated in many ways. For example,

**Forward difference:**

\[
\frac{\partial \phi(r, t)}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\phi(r, t + \Delta t) - \phi(r, t)}{\Delta t}, \tag{36.2.2}
\]

**Backward difference:**

\[
\frac{\partial \phi(r, t)}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\phi(r, t) - \phi(r, t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t}, \tag{36.2.3}
\]

**Central difference:**

\[
\frac{\partial \phi(r, t)}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\phi(r, t + \Delta t) - \phi(r, t - \Delta t)}{2 \Delta t}. \tag{36.2.4}
\]
where $\Delta t$ is a small number. Of the three methods of approximating the time derivative, the central-difference scheme is the best approximation, as is evident in Figure 36.1. The errors in the forward and backward differences are $O(\Delta t)$ (first-order error) while the central-difference approximation has an error $O((\Delta t)^2)$ (second-order error). This can be easily illustrated by Taylor series expanding the right-hand sides of (36.2.2) to (36.2.4).

Consequently, using the central-difference formula twice, we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(r, t) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{\phi(r, t + \Delta t) - \phi(r, t - \Delta t)}{2\Delta t} \right]$$

(36.2.5)

$$\approx \frac{\phi(r, t + \Delta t) - 2\phi(r, t) + \phi(r, t - \Delta t)}{(\Delta t)^2}.$$  

(36.2.6)

Next, if the function $\phi(r, t)$ is indexed on discrete time steps on the $t$ axis, such that for $t = l\delta t$, then $\phi(r, t) = \phi(r, l\Delta t) = \phi^l(r)$, where $l$ is an integer. Using this notation, Equation
(36.2.6) then becomes
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi(r, t)}{\partial t^2} \approx \frac{\phi^{l+1}(r) - 2\phi^l(r) + \phi^{l-1}(r)}{(\Delta t)^2}. \] (36.2.7)

### 36.2.2 Time Stepping or Time Marching

With this notation and approximations, Equation (36.2.1) becomes a time-stepping (or time-marching) formula, namely,
\[ \phi^{l+1}(r) = c^2(r)(\Delta t)^2 \mu(r) - \mu^{-1}(r) \nabla \cdot \nabla \phi^l(r) + 2\phi^l(r) - \phi^{l-1}(r). \] (36.2.8)

Therefore, given the knowledge of \( \phi(r, t) \) at \( t = l\Delta t \) and \( t = (l-1)\Delta t \) for all \( r \), one can deduce \( \phi(r, t) \) at \( t = (l+1)\Delta t \). In other words, given the initial values of \( \phi(r, t) \) at, for example, \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \Delta t \), \( \phi(r, t) \) can be deduced for all subsequent times, provided that the time-stepping formula is stable.

At this point, the right-hand side of (36.2.8) involves the space derivatives. There exist a plethora of ways to approximate and calculate the right-hand side of (36.2.8) numerically. Here, we shall illustrate the use of the finite-difference method to calculate the right-hand side of (36.2.8). Before proceeding further, note that the space derivatives on the right-hand side in Cartesian coordinates are
\[ \mu(r) \nabla \cdot \mu^{-1}(r) \nabla \phi = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \phi. \] (36.2.9)

Then, one can approximate, using central differencing that
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \phi(x, y, z) \approx \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left[ \phi(x, y, z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}) - \phi(x, y, z - \frac{\Delta z}{2}) \right]. \] (36.2.10)

Consequently, using central differencing two times,
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \phi(x, y, z) \approx \frac{1}{(\Delta z)^2} \left\{ \mu^{-1} \left(z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right) \phi(x, y, z + \Delta z) - \left[ \mu^{-1} \left(z + \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right) + \mu^{-1} \left(z - \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right) \right] \phi(x, y, z) + \mu^{-1} \left(z - \frac{\Delta z}{2}\right) \phi(x, y, z - \Delta z) \right\}. \] (36.2.11)

Furthermore, after denoting \( \phi(x, y, z) = \phi_{m,n,p} \), \( \mu(x, y, z) = \mu_{m,n,p} \), on a discretized grid point at \((x = m\Delta x, y = n\Delta y, z = p\Delta z)\), we have \((x, y, z) = (m\Delta x, n\Delta y, p\Delta z)\), and then
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \phi(x, y, z) \approx \frac{1}{(\Delta z)^2} \left[ \mu_{m,n,p+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \phi_{m,n,p+1} - \left( \mu_{m,n,p+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} + \mu_{m,n,p-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \right) \phi_{m,n,p} + \mu_{m,n,p-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \phi_{m,n,p-1} \right]. \] (36.2.12)
This cumbersome equation can be abbreviated if we define a central difference operator as
\[
\tilde{\partial}_z \phi_m = \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left( \phi_{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{m-\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\] (36.2.13)

Then the right-hand side of (36.2.12) can be written succinctly as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \phi(x, y, z) \approx \tilde{\partial}_z \mu_{m,n,p} \tilde{\partial}_z \phi_{m,n,p}
\] (36.2.14)

With similar approximations to the other terms in (36.2.9), Equation (36.2.8) becomes
\[
\phi_{m,n,p}^{l+1} = (\Delta t)^2 c^2 \mu_{m,n,p} \left[ \tilde{\partial}_x \mu_{m,n,p} \tilde{\partial}_x + \tilde{\partial}_y \mu_{m,n,p} \tilde{\partial}_y + \tilde{\partial}_z \mu_{m,n,p} \tilde{\partial}_z \right] \phi_{m,n,p}^l + 2\phi_{m,n,p}^l - \phi_{m,n,p}^{l-1}
\] (36.2.15)

The above can be readily implemented on a computer for time stepping. Notice however, that the use of central differencing results in the evaluation of medium property \(\mu\) at half grid points. This is inconvenient, as the introduction of half grid points increases computer memory requirements. Hence, it is customary to approximate \(\mu_{m+\frac{1}{2},n,p}\) and \(\mu_{m-\frac{1}{2},n,p}\)
\[
\mu_{m+\frac{1}{2},n,p} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{m+1,n,p} + \mu_{m,n,p}), \quad \mu_{m-\frac{1}{2},n,p} \approx 2\mu_{m,n,p},
\] (36.2.16) (36.2.17)

and so on. Moreover, if \(\mu\) is a smooth function of space, it is easy to show that the errors in the above approximations are of second order by Taylor series expansions.

For a homogeneous medium, with \(\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = \Delta s\), (36.2.15) becomes
\[
\phi_{m,n,p}^{l+1} = \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta s} \right)^2 c^2 \left[ \phi_{m+1,n,p}^l + \phi_{m-1,n,p}^l + \phi_{m,n+1,p}^l + \phi_{m,n-1,p}^l + \phi_{m,n+1,p}^l + \phi_{m,n-1,p}^l + \phi_{m,n+1,p}^l + \phi_{m,n-1,p}^l \right] + 6\phi_{m,n,p}^l - 6\phi_{m,n,p}^{l-1} + 2\phi_{m,n,p}^l - \phi_{m,n,p}^{l-1}
\] (36.2.18)

Notice then that with the central-difference approximation, the value of \(\phi_{m,n,p}^{l+1}\) is dependent only on \(\phi_{m,n,p}^l\), and its nearest neighbors, \(\phi_{m\pm1,n,p}^l\), \(\phi_{m,n\pm1,p}^l\), \(\phi_{m,n,p\pm1}^l\), and \(\phi_{m,n,p}^{l-1}\), its value at the previous time step. Such a scheme is also known as an explicit scheme. The use of an explicit scheme is a major advantage of the finite-difference method compared to the finite-element methods. Consequently, in order to update \(N\) grid points using (36.2.15) or (36.2.18), \(O(N)\) multiplications are required for each time step. In comparison, \(O(N^3)\) multiplications are required to invert an \(N \times N\) full matrix, e.g., using Gaussian elimination. The simplicity and efficiency of these algorithms have made them very popular.

\[^2\text{This is in the spirit of [202].}\]
36.2.3 Stability Analysis

The implementation of the finite-difference scheme does not always lead to a stable scheme. Hence, in order for the solution to converge, the time-stepping scheme must at least be stable. Consequently, it is useful to find the condition under which a numerical finite-difference scheme is stable. To do this, one performs the von Neumann stability analysis (von Neumann 1943 [203]) on Equation (36.2.18). We will assume the medium to be homogeneous to simplify the analysis.

As shown previously, any wave can be expanded in terms of sum of plane waves in different directions. So if a scheme is not stable for a plane wave, it would not be stable for any wave. Consequently, to perform the stability analysis, we assume a propagating plane wave as a trial solution

$$\phi(x, y, z, t) = A(t)e^{ik_x x + ik_y y + ik_z z},$$

(36.2.19)

In discretized form, it is just

$$\phi^l_{m,n,p} = A^l e^{ik_x m \Delta s + ik_y n \Delta s + ik_z p \Delta s}.$$  

(36.2.20)

Using (36.2.20), it is easy to show that for the $x$ space derivative,

$$\phi^{l+1}_{m,n,p} - 2\phi^l_{m,n,p} + \phi^{l-1}_{m,n,p} = 2[\cos(k \Delta s) - 1]\phi^l_{m,n,p}$$

$$= -4 \sin^2\left(\frac{k \Delta s}{2}\right) \phi^l_{m,n,p}.$$  

(36.2.21)

The space derivatives in $y$ and $z$ directions can be similarly derived.

The time derivative can be treated and it is proportional to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(r, t)(\Delta t)^2 \approx \phi^{t+1}_{m,n,p} - 2\phi^t_{m,n,p} + \phi^{t-1}_{m,n,p}.$$  

(36.2.22)

Substituting (36.2.20) into the above, we have the second time derivative being proportional to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(r, t)(\Delta t)^2 \approx (A^{t+1} - 2A^t + A^{t-1})e^{ik_x m \Delta s + ik_y n \Delta s + ik_z p \Delta s}.$$  

(36.2.23)

To simplify further, one can assume that

$$A^{t+1} = gA^t.$$  

(36.2.24)

This is commensurate with assuming that

$$A(t) = A_0 e^{-\omega t}.$$  

(36.2.25)

where $\omega$ can be complex. In other words, our trial solution (36.2.19) is also a time-harmonic signal. If the finite-difference scheme is unstable for such a signal, it is unstable for all signals.

Consequently, the time derivative is proportional to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi(r, t)(\Delta t)^2 \approx (g - 2 + g^{-1})\phi^l_{m,n,p}.$$  

(36.2.26)
To find the value of $g$ such that the solution (36.2.20) satisfies (36.2.18), one uses (36.2.21) and (36.2.24) in (36.2.18), and repeating (36.2.21) for the $n$ and $p$ variables, one obtains

$$(g - 2 + g^{-1})\phi^l_{m,n,p} = -4 \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta s} \right)^2 c^2 \left[ \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_x \Delta s}{2} \right) + \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_y \Delta s}{2} \right) + \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_z \Delta s}{2} \right) \right] \phi^l_{m,n,p}$$

$$= -4r^2 s^2 \phi^l_{m,n,p}, \quad (36.2.27)$$

where

$$r = \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta s} \right) c, \quad s^2 = \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_x \Delta s}{2} \right) + \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_y \Delta s}{2} \right) + \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_z \Delta s}{2} \right). \quad (36.2.28)$$

Equation (16) implies that, for nonzero $\phi^l_{m,n,p}$,

$$g^2 - 2g + 4r^2 s^2 g + 1 = 0, \quad (36.2.29)$$

or that

$$g = (1 - 2r^2 s^2)^{\pm} 2rs \sqrt{(r^2 s^2 - 1)}. \quad (36.2.30)$$

In order for the solution to be stable, it is necessary that $|g| \leq 1$. But if

$$r^2 s^2 < 1, \quad (36.2.31)$$

the second term in (36.2.30) is pure imaginary, and

$$|g|^2 = (1 - 2r^2 s^2)^2 + 4r^2 s^2 (1 - r^2 s^2) = 1, \quad (36.2.32)$$

or stability is ensured. Since $s^2 \leq 3$ for all $k_x$, $k_y$, and $k_z$, from (36.2.31), one concludes that the general condition for stability is

$$r < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta t < \frac{\Delta s}{c\sqrt{3}}. \quad (36.2.33)$$

It is clear from the above analysis that for an $n$-dimensional problem,

$$\Delta t < \frac{\Delta s}{c\sqrt{n}}. \quad (36.2.34)$$

One may ponder on the meaning of this inequality further: but it is only natural that the time step $\Delta t$ has to be bounded from above. Otherwise, one arrives at the ludicrous notion that the time step can be arbitrarily large thus violating causality. Moreover, if the grid points of the finite-difference scheme are regarded as a simple cubic lattice, then the distance $\Delta s/\sqrt{n}$ is also the distance between the closest lattice planes through the simple cubic lattice. Notice that the time for the wave to travel between these two lattice planes is
\[ \Delta s / (c \sqrt{n}) \] Consequently, the stability criterion (36.2.34) implies that the time step \( \Delta t \) has to be less than the shortest travel time for the wave between the lattice planes in order to satisfy causality. In other words, if the wave is time-stepped ahead of the time on the right-hand side of (36.2.34), instability ensues. The above is also known as the CFL (Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy 1928 [204]) stability criterion. It could be easily modified for \( \Delta x \neq \Delta y \neq \Delta z \).

The above analysis implies that we can pick a larger time step if the space steps are larger. A larger time step will allow one to complete generating a time-domain response rapidly. However, one cannot arbitrary make the space step large due to grid-dispersion error, as shall be discussed next.

### 36.2.4 Grid-Dispersion Error

When a finite-difference scheme is stable, it still may not produce good results because of the errors in the scheme. Hence, it is useful to ascertain the errors in terms of the size of the grid and the time step. An easy error to analyze is the **grid-dispersion error**. In a homogeneous, dispersionless medium, all plane waves propagate with the same phase velocity. However, in the finite-difference approximation, all plane waves will not propagate at the same phase velocity due to the grid-dispersion error.

As a consequence, a pulse in the time domain, which is a linear superposition of plane waves with different frequencies, will be distorted if the dispersion introduced by the finite-difference scheme is intolerable. Therefore, to make things simpler, we will analyze the grid-dispersion error in a homogeneous free space medium.

To ascertain the grid-dispersion error, we assume the solution is time-harmonic, or that \( A^l = e^{-i\omega \Delta t} \) in (36.2.20). In this case, the left-hand side of (36.2.27) becomes

\[
(e^{-i\omega \Delta t} - 2 + e^{+i\omega \Delta t}) \phi_{m,n,p}^l = -4 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \right) \phi_{m,n,p}^l.
\]  

Then, from Equation (36.2.27), it follows that

\[
\sin \left( \frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \right) = rs,
\]  

where \( r \) and \( s \) are given in (36.2.28). Now, Equation (36.2.36) governs the relationship between \( \omega \) and \( k_x \), \( k_y \), and \( k_z \) in the finite-difference scheme, and hence, is a dispersion relation.

But if a medium is homogeneous, it is well known that (36.2.1) has a plane-wave solution of the type given by (36.2.19) where

\[
\omega = c \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2} = c|\mathbf{k}| = ck.
\]

where \( \mathbf{k} = \hat{x}k_x + \hat{y}k_y + \hat{z}k_z \) is the direction of propagation of the plane wave. Defining the phase velocity to be \( \omega / k = c \), this phase velocity is isotropic, or the same in all directions. Moreover, it is independent of frequency. But in (36.2.36), because of the definition of \( s \) as given by (36.2.28), the dispersion relation between \( \omega \) and \( \mathbf{k} \) is not isotropic. This implies that plane waves propagating in different directions will have different phase velocities.
Equation (36.2.36) departs from Equation (36.2.37) as a consequence of the finite-difference approximation. This departure gives rise to errors, which are the consequence of grid dispersion. For example, when \( c \) is a constant, (36.2.37) states that the phase velocities of plane waves of different wavelengths and directions are the same. However, this is not true for (36.2.36), as shall be shown.

Assuming \( s \) small, (36.2.36), after using Taylor series expansion, can be written as

\[
\frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} = \sin^{-1} rs \cong rs + \frac{r^3 s^3}{6}.
\]

(36.2.38)

When \( \Delta s \) is small, using the small argument approximation for the sine function, one obtains from (36.2.28)

\[
s \cong \frac{\Delta s}{2} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2}
\]

(36.2.39)

Equation (36.2.38), by taking the higher-order Taylor expansion of (36.2.38), then becomes

\[
\frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \cong r \frac{\Delta s}{2} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2} [1 - \delta]
\]

(36.2.40)

where (see [34])

\[
\delta = \frac{\Delta s^2}{24} \left(\frac{k_x^4}{k_x^2} + \frac{k_y^4}{k_y^2} + \frac{k_z^4}{k_z^2} + \frac{r^2 \Delta s^2}{24} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)\right)
\]

(36.2.41)

Since \( \mathbf{k} \) is inversely proportional to wavelength \( \lambda \), then \( \delta \) in the correction to the above equation is proportional to \( \Delta s^2 / \lambda^2 \). Therefore, to reduce the grid dispersion error, it is necessary to have

\[
\left(\frac{\Delta s}{\lambda}\right)^2 \ll 1.
\]

(36.2.42)

When this is true, using the fact that \( r = c \Delta t / \Delta s \), then (36.2.40) becomes

\[
\frac{\omega}{c} \approx \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}.
\]

(36.2.43)

which is close to the dispersion relation of free space. Consequently, in order for the finite-difference scheme to propagate a certain frequency content accurately, the grid size must be much less than the wavelength of the corresponding frequency. Furthermore, \( \Delta t \) must be chosen so that the CFL stability criterion is met. Hence, the rule of thumb is to choose about 10 to 20 grid points per wavelength. Also, for a plane wave propagating as \( e^{ik \cdot r} \), an error \( \delta \mathbf{k} \) in the vector \( \mathbf{k} \) gives rise to cumulative error \( e^{i(\delta \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})} \). The larger the distance traveled, the larger the cumulative phase error, and hence the grid size must be smaller in order to arrest such phase error due to the grid dispersion.
36.3 The Yee Algorithm

The Yee algorithm (Yee 1966 [194]) is specially designed to solve vector electromagnetic field problems on a rectilinear grid. The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method (Taflov 1988) when applied to solving electromagnetics problems, usually uses this method. To derive it, Maxwell’s equations are first written in Cartesian coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z}, \quad (36.3.1) \\
\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x}, \quad (36.3.2) \\
\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y}, \quad (36.3.3) \\
\frac{\partial D_x}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} - J_x, \quad (36.3.4) \\
\frac{\partial D_y}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial x} - J_y, \quad (36.3.5) \\
\frac{\partial D_z}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y} - J_z. \quad (36.3.6)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 36.2: The assignment of fields on a grid in the Yee algorithm.

After denoting \( f(n\Delta x, m\Delta y, p\Delta z, l\Delta t) = f_{m,n,p}^l \), and replacing derivatives with central finite-differences in accordance with Figure 36.2, (36.3.1) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ B_{x,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}} - B_{x,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left[ E_{y,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p+1}^l - E_{y,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p}^l \right] - \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left[ E_{z,m,n+1,p+\frac{1}{2}}^l - E_{z,m,n,p+\frac{1}{2}}^l \right]. \quad (36.3.7)
\]
Moreover, the above can be repeated for (36.3.2) and (36.3.3). Notice that in Figure 36.2, the electric field is always assigned to the edge center of a cube, whereas the magnetic field is always assigned to the face center of a cube.

In fact, after multiplying (36.3.7) by $\Delta z \Delta y$, (36.3.7) is also the approximation of the integral forms of Maxwell’s equations when applied at a face of a cube. By doing so, the left-hand side of (36.3.7) becomes

$$
(\Delta y \Delta z / \Delta t) \left[ B_{x,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p+\frac{1}{2}}^{t+\frac{1}{2}} - B_{x,m,n+\frac{1}{2},p+\frac{1}{2}}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} \right],
$$

which is the time variation of the total flux through an elemental area $\Delta y \Delta z$. Moreover, by summing this flux on the six faces of the cube shown in Figure 36.2, and using the right-hand side of (36.3.7) and its equivalent, it can be shown that the magnetic flux adds up to zero. Hence, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ condition is satisfied within the numerical approximations of Yee’s algorithm.

Furthermore, a similar approximation of (36.3.4) leads to

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ D_{x,m+\frac{1}{2},n,p}^{t} - D_{x,m+\frac{1}{2},n,p}^{t-1} \right] = \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left[ H_{z,m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2},p}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} - H_{z,m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2},p}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} \right]
- \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left[ H_{y,m+\frac{1}{2},n,p+\frac{1}{2}}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} - H_{y,m+\frac{1}{2},n,p-\frac{1}{2}}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - J_{x,m+\frac{1}{2},n,p}^{t-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Also, similar approximations apply for (36.3.5) and (36.3.6). In addition, the above has an interpretation similar to (36.3.7) if one thinks in terms of a cube that is shifted by half a grid point in each direction. Hence, the approximations of (36.3.4) to (36.3.6) are consistent with the approximation of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$. This way of alternatively solving for the $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ fields in tandem while the fields are placed on a staggered grid is also called the leap-frog scheme.

In the above, $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}$. Since the magnetic field and the electric field are assigned on staggered grids, $\mu$ and $\varepsilon$ may have to be assigned on staggered grids. This does not usually lead to serious problems if the grid size is small. Alternatively, (36.2.16) and (36.2.17) can be used to remove this problem.

By eliminating the $\mathbf{E}$ or the $\mathbf{H}$ field from the Yee algorithm, it can be shown that the Yee algorithm is equivalent to finite differencing the vector wave equation directly. Hence, the Yee algorithm is also constrained by the CFL stability criterion.

The following figures show some results of FDTD simulations. Because the answers are in the time-domain, beautiful animations of the fields are also available online:

https://www.remcom.com/xfdtd-3d-em-simulation-software
36.3.1 Finite-Difference Frequency Domain Method

Unlike electrical engineering, in many fields, nonlinear problems are prevalent. But when we have a linear time-invariant problem, it is simpler to solve the problem in the frequency domain. This is analogous to perform a time Fourier transform of the pertinent linear equations.

Consequently, one can write (36.3.1) to (36.3.6) in the frequency domain to remove the time derivatives. Then one can apply the finite difference approximation to the space derivatives using the Yee grid. As a result, one arrives at a matrix equation

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \quad (36.3.10)$$

where \(\mathbf{x}\) is an unknown vector containing \(\mathbf{E}\) and \(\mathbf{H}\) fields, and \(\mathbf{b}\) is a source vector that drives the system containing \(\mathbf{J}\). Due to the near-neighbor interactions of the fields on the Yee
grid, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is highly sparse and contains $O(N)$ non-zero elements. When an iterative method is used to solve the above equation, the major cost is in performing a matrix-vector product $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. However, in practice, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is never generated nor stored. Because of the simplicity of the Yee algorithm, a code can be written to produce the action of $\mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbf{x}$. This can greatly result in memory savings: such methods are called matrix-free methods.

36.4 Absorbing Boundary Conditions

It will not be complete to close this lecture without mentioning absorbing boundary conditions. As computer has finite memory, space of infinitely large extend cannot be simulated with finite computer memory. Hence, it is important to design absorbing boundary conditions at the walls of the simulation domain or box, so that waves impinging on it are not reflected. This mimicks the physics of an infinitely large box.

This is analogous to experiments in microwave engineering. In order to perform experiments in an infinite space, such experiments are usually done in an anechoic (non-echoing or non-reflecting) chamber. An anechoic chamber has its walls padded with absorbing materials or microwave absorbers as to minimize the reflections off its walls (see Figure 36.5). Figure 36.6 shows an acoustic version of anechoic chamber.

Figure 36.5: An anechoic chamber for radio frequency. In such an electromagnetically quiet chamber, interference from other RF equipment is minimized (courtesy of Panasonic).
Figure 36.6: An acoustic anechoic chamber. In such a chamber, even the breast-feeding sound of a baby can be heard clearly (courtesy of AGH University, Poland).

By the same token, in order to simulate an infinite box with a finite-size box, absorbing boundary conditions (ABCs) are designed at its walls. The simplest of such ABCs is the impedance boundary condition. (A transmission line terminated with an impedance reflects less than one terminated with an open or a short circuit.) Another simple ABC is to mimic the Sommerfeld radiation condition (much of this is reviewed in [34]).

A recently invented ABC is the perfectly matched layers (PML) [205]. Also, another similar ABC is the stretched coordinates PML [206]. Figure 36.7 shows simulation results with and without stretched coordinates PMLs on the walls of the simulation domain [207].

Figure 36.7: Simulation of a source on top of a half-space (left) without stretched coordinates PML; and (right) with stretched coordinates PML [207].
37.1 Computational Electromagnetics and Numerical Methods

Numerical methods exploit the blinding speed of modern digital computers to perform calculations, and hence to solve large system of equations. These equations are partial differential equations or integral equations. When these methods are applied to solving Maxwell’s equations and related equations, the field is known as computational electromagnetics.

Maxwell’s equations are a form of partial differential equations (PDE). Boundary conditions have to be stipulated for these PDE’s, and the solution can be sought by solving a boundary value problem (BVP). In solving PDE’s the field in every point in space is solved for.

On the other hand, the Green’s function method can be used to convert a PDE into an integral equation (IE). Then the fields are expressed in terms of the sources, and the sources are the unknowns to be solved. Sources in IEs are supported by a finite part of space, for instance on the surface of the scatterer, whereas fields from PDEs permeate all of space. Therefore, sources in IEs can be represented by a smaller set of unknowns, and therefore, are easier to solve for compared to fields in PDEs.

37.1.1 Examples of Differential Equations

An example of differential equations written in terms of sources are the scalar wave equation:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) \phi(r) = Q(r), \quad (37.1.1)$$
An example of vector differential equation for vector electromagnetic field is

$$\nabla \times \mu^{-1} \cdot \nabla \times E(r) - \omega^2 \epsilon \cdot E(r) = i\omega J(r)$$  \hspace{1cm} (37.1.2)

These equations are linear equations. They have one commonality, i.e., they can be abstractly written as

$$\mathcal{L} f = g$$  \hspace{1cm} (37.1.3)

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the differential operator which is linear, and $f$ is the unknown, and $g$ is the driving source. Differential equations, or partial differential equations, as mentioned before, have to be solved with boundary conditions. Otherwise, there is no unique solution to these equations.

In the case of the scalar wave equation (37.1.1), $\mathcal{L} = (\nabla^2 + k^2)$ is a differential operator. In the case of the electromagnetic vector wave equation (37.1.2), $\mathcal{L} = (\nabla \times \mu^{-1} \cdot \nabla \times) - \omega^2 \epsilon$. Furthermore, $f$ will be $\phi(r)$ for the scalar wave equation (37.1.1), while it will be $E(r)$ in the case of vector wave equation for an electromagnetic system (37.1.2). The $g$ on the right-hand side can represent $Q$ in (37.1.1) or $i\omega J(r)$ in (37.1.2).

### 37.1.2 Examples of Integral Equations

This course is replete with PDE’s, but we have not come across too many integral equations. Therefore, we shall illustrate the derivation of some integral equations. Since the acoustic wave problem is homomorphic to the electromagnetic wave problem, we will illustrate the derivation of integral equation of scattering using acoustic wave equation.\(^1\)

The surface integral equation method is rather popular in a number of applications, because it employs a homogeneous-medium Green’s function which is simple in form, and the unknowns reside on a surface rather than in a volume. In this section, the surface integral equations\(^2\) for scalar and will be studied first. Then, the volume integral equation will be discussed next.

#### Surface Integral Equations

In an integral equation, the unknown to be sought is embedded in an integral. An integral equation can be viewed as an operator equation as well, just as are differential equations. We shall see how such integral equations with only surface integrals are derived, using the scalar wave equation.

\(^1\)The cases of electromagnetic wave equations can be found in Chew, *Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media* [34].

\(^2\)These are sometimes called boundary integral equations [208, 209].
Consider a scalar wave equation for a two-region problem as shown in Figure 37.1. In region 1, the governing equation for the total field is

\[ (\nabla^2 + k_1^2) \phi_1(r) = Q(r), \]

(37.1.4)

For simplicity, we will assume that the scatterer is impenetrable, meaning that the field in region 2 is zero. Therefore, we need only define Green’s functions for regions 1 to satisfy the following equations:

\[ (\nabla^2 + k_1^2) g_1(r, r') = -\delta(r - r'), \]

(37.1.5)

The derivation here is similar to the that of Huygens’ principle. On multiplying Equation (37.1.1) by \( g_1(r, r') \) and Equation (37.1.5) by \( \phi_1(r) \), subtracting the two resultant equations, and integrating over region 1, we have, for \( r' \in V_1 \),

\[
\int_{V_1} dV \left[ g_1(r, r') \nabla^2 \phi_1(r) - \phi_1(r) \nabla^2 g_1(r, r') \right] = \int_{V_1} dV g_1(r, r') Q(r) + \phi_1(r'), \quad r' \in V_1.
\]

(37.1.6)

Since \( \nabla \cdot (g \nabla \phi - \phi \nabla g) = g \nabla^2 \phi - \phi \nabla^2 g \), by applying Gauss’ theorem, the volume integral on the left-hand side of (37.1.6) becomes a surface integral over the surface bounding \( V_1 \). Consequently,

\[
- \int_{S + S_{inf}} dS \hat{n} \cdot \left[ g_1(r, r') \nabla \phi_1(r) - \phi_1(r) \nabla g_1(r, r') \right] = -\phi_{inc}(r') + \phi_1(r'), \quad r' \in V_1.
\]

(37.1.7)

\footnote{The equality of the volume integral on the left-hand side of (37.1.6) and the surface integral on the left-hand side of (37.1.7) is also known as Green’s theorem.}
In the above, we have let
\[ \phi_{inc}(r') = -\int_{V_1} dV g_1(r, r') Q(r), \]  
(37.1.8)
since it is the incident field generated by the source \( Q(r) \).

Note that up to this point, \( g_1(r, r') \) is not explicitly specified, as long as it is a solution of (37.1.5). A simple choice for \( g_1(r, r') \) that satisfies the radiation condition is
\[ g_1(r, r') = \frac{e^{ik_1|r - r'|}}{4\pi|r - r'|}, \]  
(37.1.9)
It is the unbounded, homogeneous medium scalar Green’s function. In this case, \( \phi_{inc}(r) \) is the incident field generated by the source \( Q(r) \). Moreover, the integral over \( S_{inf} \) vanishes when \( S_{inf} \to \infty \) by virtue of the radiation condition. Then, after swapping \( r \) and \( r' \), we have
\[ \phi_1(r) = \phi_{inc}(r) - \int_S dS' \hat{n}' \cdot [g_1(r, r') \nabla' \phi_1(r') - \phi_1(r') \nabla' g_1(r, r')], \quad r \in V_1. \]  
(37.1.10)
But if \( r' \notin V_1 \) in (37.1.6), the second term, \( \phi_1(r') \), on the right-hand side of (37.1.6) would be zero, for \( r' \) would be in \( V_2 \) where the integration is not performed. Therefore, we can write (37.1.10) as
\[ \begin{cases} \phi_1(r) = \phi_{inc}(r) & \text{if } r \in V_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in V_2 \end{cases} = \phi_{inc}(r) - \int_S dS' \hat{n}' \cdot [g_1(r, r') \nabla' \phi_1(r') - \phi_1(r') \nabla' g_1(r, r')]. \]  
(37.1.11)

The above equation is evocative of Huygens’ principle. It says that when the observation point \( r \) is in \( V_1 \), then the total field \( \phi_1(r) \) consists of the incident field, \( \phi_{inc}(r) \), and the contribution of field due to surface sources on \( S \), which is the second term on the right-hand side of (37.1.11). But if the observation point is in \( V_2 \), then the surface sources on \( S \) generate a field that exactly cancels the incident field \( \phi_{inc}(r) \), making the total field in region 2 zero. This fact is the core of the **extinction theorem** as shown in Figure 37.2 (see Born and Wolf 1980).

In (37.1.11), \( \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \phi_1(r) \) and \( \phi_1(r) \) act as surface sources. Moreover, they are impressed on \( S \), creating a field in region 2 that cancels exactly the incident field in region 2 (see Figure 37.2).
Applying the extinction theorem, integral equations can now be derived. So, using the lower parts of Equations (37.1.11), we have

$$\phi_{inc}(r) = \int_{S} dS' \hat{n}' \cdot [g_1(r, r') \nabla' \phi_1(r') - \phi_1(r') \nabla' g_1(r, r')], \quad r \in V_2,$$

(37.1.12)

The integral equations above still has two independent unknowns, $\phi_1$ and $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \phi_1$. Next, boundary conditions can be used to eliminate one of these two unknowns.

An acoustic scatterer which is impenetrable either has a hard surface boundary condition where normal velocity is zero, or it has soft surface where the pressure is zero (also called a pressure release surface). Since the force or the velocity of the particle is proportional to the $\nabla \phi$, a hard surface will have $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \phi_1 = 0$, or a homogeneous Neumann boundary condition, while a soft surface will have $\phi_1 = 0$, a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.

$$\phi_{inc}(r) = \int_{S} dS' \hat{n}' \cdot [g_1(r, r') \nabla' \phi_1(r')], \quad r \in V_2, \quad \text{soft boundary condition}$$

(37.1.13)

$$\phi_{inc}(r) = - \int_{S} dS' \phi_1(r') \nabla' g_1(r, r'), \quad r \in V_2, \quad \text{hard boundary condition}$$

(37.1.14)

More complicated surface integral equations (SIEs) for penetrable scatterers, as well as vector surface integral equations for the electromagnetics cases are derived in Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media [34,210]. Also, there is another class of integral equations called volume integral equations (VIEs) [211]. They are also derived in [34].

Nevertheless, all the linear integral equations can be unified under one notation:

$$\mathcal{L}f = g$$

(37.1.15)

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear operator. This is similar to the differential equation case. The difference is that the unknown $f$ represents the source of the problem, while $g$ is the incident field impinging on the scatterer or object. Furthermore, $f$ does not need to satisfy any boundary condition, since the field radiated via the Green’s function satisfies the radiation condition.
37.2 Subspace Projection Methods

Several operator equations have been derived in the previous sections. They are all of the form

\[ \mathcal{L} f = g \]  

(37.2.1)

37.2.1 Function as a Vector

In the above, \( f \) is a functional vector which is the analogy of the vector \( f \) in matrix theory or linear algebra. In linear algebra, the vector \( f \) is of length \( N \) in an \( N \) dimensional space. It can be indexed by a set of countable index, say \( i \), and we can described such a vector with \( N \) numbers such as \( f_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \) explicitly. This is shown in Figure 37.3(a).

A function \( f(x) \), however, can be thought of as being indexed by \( x \) in the 1D case. However, the index in this case is a continuum, and countably infinite. Hence, it corresponds to a vector of infinite dimension and it lives in an infinite dimensional space.\(^4\)

To make such functions economical in storage, for instance, we replace the function \( f(x) \) by its sampled values at \( N \) locations, such that \( f(x_i), \ i = 1, \ldots, N \). Then the values of the function in between the stored points \( f(x_i) \) can be obtained by interpolation. Therefore, a function vector \( f(x) \), even though it is infinite dimensional, can be approximated by a finite length vector, \( f \). This concept is illustrated in Figure 37.3(b) and (c). This concept can be generalized to a function of 3D space \( f(r) \). If \( r \) is sampled over a 3D volume, it can provide an index to a vector \( f_i = f(r_i) \), and hence, \( f(r) \) can be thought of as a vector as well.

\(^4\)When these functions are square integrable implying finite “energy”, these infinite dimensional spaces are called Hilbert spaces.
37.2.2 Operator as a Map

An operator like $\mathcal{L}$ above can be thought of as a map or a transformation. It maps a function $f$ defined in a Hilbert space $V$ to $g$ defined in another Hilbert space $W$. Mathematically, this is written as

$$\mathcal{L}: V \rightarrow W$$  \hspace{1cm} (37.2.2)

Indicating that $\mathcal{L}$ is a map of vectors in the space $V$ to the space $W$. Here, $V$ is also called the domain space (or domain) of $\mathcal{L}$ while $W$ is the range space (or range) of $\mathcal{L}$.

37.2.3 Approximating Operator Equations with Matrix Equations

One main task of numerical method is first to approximate an operator equation $\mathcal{L}f = g$ by a matrix equation $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g}$. To convert the above, we first let

$$f \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n f_n = g$$  \hspace{1cm} (37.2.3)
In the above, \( f_n, n, \ldots, N \) are known functions called basis functions. Now, \( a_n \)'s are the new unknowns to be sought. Also the above is an approximation, and the accuracy of the approximation depends very much on the original function \( f \). A set of very popular basis functions are functions that form a piece-wise linear interpolation of the function from its nodes. These basis functions are shown in Figure 37.4.

![Figure 37.4: Examples of basis function in (a) one dimension, (b) two dimension. Each of these functions are define over a finite domain. Hence, they are also called sub-domain basis functions.](image)

Upon substituting (37.2.3) into (37.2.1), we obtain

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \mathcal{L} f_n = g \tag{37.2.4}
\]

Then, multiplying (37.2.4) by \( w_m \) and integrate over the space that \( w_m(\mathbf{r}) \) is defined, then we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \langle w_m, \mathcal{L} f_n \rangle = \langle w_m, g \rangle, \quad m = 1, \ldots, N \tag{37.2.5}
\]

In the above, the inner product is defined as

\[
\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} f_1(\mathbf{r}) f_2(\mathbf{r}) \tag{37.2.6}
\]

where the integration is over the support of the functions, or the space over which the functions are defined.\(^5\) For PDEs these functions are defined over a 3D space, while in SIEs, these

\(^5\)This is known as the reaction inner product [34,47,120]. As oppose to most math and physics literature, the energy inner product is used [120].
functions are defined over a surface. In a 1D problems, these functions are defined over a 1D space.

The functions $w_m, m = 1, \ldots, N$ is known as the weighting functions or testing functions. The testing functions should be chosen so that they can approximate well a function that lives in the range space $W$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$. Such set of testing functions lives in the dual space of the range space. For example, if $f_r$ lives in the range space of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, the set of function $f_d$, such that the inner product $\langle f_d, f_r \rangle$ exists, forms the dual space of $W$.

The above is a matrix equation of the form

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{g} \tag{37.2.7}$$

where

$$[\mathbf{L}]_{mn} = \langle w_m, \mathcal{L} f_n \rangle$$
$$[\mathbf{a}]_n = a_n, [\mathbf{g}]_m = \langle w_m, g \rangle \tag{37.2.8}$$

What has effectively happened here is that given an operator $\mathcal{L}$ that maps a function that lives in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $V$, to another function that lives in another infinite dimensional Hilbert space $W$, via the operator equation $\mathcal{L} f = g$, we have approximated the Hilbert spaces with finite dimensional spaces (subspaces), and finally, obtain a finite dimensional matrix equation that is the representation of the original infinite dimensional operator equation.

In the above, $\mathbf{L}$ is the matrix representation of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the subspaces, and $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are the vector representations of $f$ and $g$, respectively, in their respective subspaces.

When such a method is applied to integral equations, it is usually called the method of moments (MOM). (Surface integral equations are also called boundary integral equations (BIEs) in other fields [209]. When finite discrete basis are used to represent the surface unknowns, it is also called the boundary element method (BEM) [212]. But when this method is applied to solve PDEs, it is called the finite element method (FEM) [213–216], which is a rather popular method due to its simplicity.

### 37.2.4 Mesh Generation

In order to approximate a function defined on an arbitrary shaped surface or volume by sum of basis functions, it is best to mesh (tessellate or discretize) the surface and volume by meshes. In 2D, all shapes can be tessellated by unions of triangles, while a 3D volume can be meshed (tessellated) by unions of tetrahedrons. Such meshes are used not only in CEM, but in other fields such as solid mechanics. Hence, there are many commercial software available to generate sophisticated meshes.

When a surface is curved, or of arbitrary shape, it can be meshed by union of triangles as shown in Figure 37.5. When a volume is of arbitrary shape of a volume is around an arbitrary shape object, it can be meshed by tetrahedrons as shown in Figure 37.6. Then basis functions are defined to interpolate the field between nodal values or values defined on the edges of a triangle or a tetrahedron.
37.3 Solving Matrix Equation by Optimization

When a matrix system get exceedingly large, it is preferable that a direct inversion of the matrix equation not performed. Direct inversions (e.g., using Gaussian elimination [217] or Kramer’s rule [218]) have computational complexity of $O(N^3)$, and requiring storage of $O(N^2)$. Hence, when $N$ is large, other methods have to be sought.

To this end, it is better to convert the solving of a matrix equation into an optimization problem. These methods can be designed so that a much larger system can be solved with a digital computer. Optimization problem results in finding the stationary point of a functional. First, we will figure out how to find such a functional.

Consider a matrix equation given by

$$\bar{L} \cdot \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g} \quad (37.3.1)$$

$^6$The scaling of computer time with respect to the number of unknowns (degrees of freedom) is known in the computer parlance as computational complexity.

$^7$Functional is usually defined as a function of a function [34, 43]. Here, we include a function of a vector to be a functional as well.
For simplicity, we consider $\mathbf{L}$ as a symmetric matrix. Then one can define a functional

$$I = \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f} - 2 \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{g} \quad (37.3.2)$$

Such a functional is called a quadratic functional because it is analogous to $I = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{x}^2 - 2 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{g}$, which is quadratic, in its simplest 1D rendition.

Taking the first variation with respect to $\mathbf{f}$, namely, we let $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_o + \delta \mathbf{f}$, and find the leading order approximation of the functional. Therefore, one gets

$$\delta I = \delta \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f}_o + \mathbf{f}_o^t \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \delta \mathbf{f} - 2 \delta \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{g} \quad (37.3.3)$$

If $\mathbf{L}$ is symmetric, the first two terms are the same, and the above becomes

$$\delta I = 2 \delta \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f}_o - 2 \delta \mathbf{f}^t \cdot \mathbf{g} \quad (37.3.4)$$

For $\mathbf{f}_o$ to be the optimal point or the stationary point, then its first variation has to be zero, or that $\delta I = 0$. Thus we conclude that

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f}_o = \mathbf{g} \quad (37.3.5)$$

Hence, the optimal point to the functional $I$ in (37.3.2) is the solution to (37.3.1).

Such method, when applied to an infinite dimensional Hilbert space problem, is called variational method, but the main ideas are similar. The wonderful idea about such a method is that instead of doing direct inversion, one can search for the optimal point or stationary point of the quadratic functional using gradient search or gradient descent methods or some optimization method.

### 37.3.1 Gradient of a Functional

It turns out that the gradient of a quadratic functional can be found quite easily. Also it is cheaper to compute the gradient of a functional than to find the inverse of a matrix operator. To do this, it is better to write out functional using index (or indicial, or Einstein) notation [219]. In this notation, the functional first variation $\delta I$ in (37.3.4) becomes

$$\delta I = 2 \delta f_j L_{ij} f_i - 2 \delta f_j g_j \quad (37.3.6)$$

In the above, we neglect to distinguish between $\mathbf{f}_o$ and $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ represents the optimal point is implied. Also, in this notation, the summation symbol is dropped, and summations over repeated indices are implied. In this notation, it is easier to see what a functional derivative is. We can differentiate the above with respect to $f_j$ easily to arrive at

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial f_j} = 2 L_{ij} f_i - 2 g_j \quad (37.3.7)$$

---

\(^8\)Functional for the asymmetric case can be found in Chew, Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media, Chapter 5 [34].
Notice that the remaining equation has one index $j$ remaining in index notation, meaning that it is a vector equation. We can reconstitute the above using our more familiar matrix notation that

$$\nabla_f I = 2\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f} - 2g$$  (37.3.8)

The left-hand side is a notation for the gradient of a functional in a multi-dimensional space defined by $f$, and the right-hand side is the expression for calculating this gradient. One needs only to perform a matrix-vector product to find this gradient. Hence, the computational complexity of finding this gradient is $O(N^2)$ at worst, and $O(N)$ for many sparse matrices. In a gradient search method, such a gradient is calculated repeated until the optimal point is found. Such methods are called iterative methods.

If the optimal point can be found in $N_{\text{iter}}$ iterations, then the CPU time scales as $N_{\text{iter}} N^{\alpha}$ where $1 < \alpha < 2$. There is a clever gradient search algorithm, called the conjugate gradient method that can find the optimal point in $N_{\text{iter}}$ in exact arithmetics. In many gradient search methods, $N_{\text{iter}} \ll N$ resulting in great savings in computer time.

What is more important is that this method does not require the storage of the matrix $\mathbf{L}$, but a computer code that produces the vector $\mathbf{g}_o = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{f}$ as an output, with $\mathbf{f}$ as an input. Both $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}_o$ require only $O(N)$ memory storage. Such methods are called matrix-free methods. Even when $\mathbf{L}$ is a dense matrix, but is the matrix representation of some Green’s function, fast methods now exist to perform the dense matrix-vector product in $O(N \log N)$ operations.\footnote{Chew et al, Fast and Efficient Algorithms in CEM [9].}

The value $I$ is also called the cost function, and its minimum is sought in the seeking of the solution by gradient search methods. Detail discussion of these methods is given in [220]. Figure 37.7 shows the contour plot of a cost function in 2D. When the condition number\footnote{This is the ratio of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix to its smallest eigenvalue.} of the matrix $\mathbf{L}$ is large (implying that the matrix is ill-conditioned), the contour plot will resemble a deep valley. And hence, the gradient search method will tend to zig-zag along the way as it finds the solution. Therefore, convergence is slow for matrices with large condition numbers.
Figure 37.7: Plot of a 2D cost function for an ill-conditioned system (courtesy of Numerical Recipe [220]).

Figure 37.8 shows a cartoon picture in 2D of the histories of different search paths from a machine-learning example where a cost functional similar to $I$ has to be minimized. Finding the optimal point or the minimum point of a general functional is still a hot topic of research: it is important in artificial intelligence.

Figure 37.8: Gradient search or gradient descent method is finding an optimal point (courtesy of Y. Ioannou: https://blog.yani.io/sgd/).
Lecture 38

Quantum Theory of Light

38.1 Quantum Theory of Light

38.1.1 Historical Background

Quantum theory is a major intellectual achievement of the twentieth century, even though we are still discovering new knowledge in it. Several major experimental findings led to the revelation of quantum theory or quantum mechanics of nature. In nature, we know that many things are not infinitely divisible. Matter is not infinitely divisible as vindicated by the atomic theory of John Dalton (1766-1844) [221]. So fluid is not infinitely divisible: as when water is divided into smaller pieces, we will eventually arrive at water molecule, H₂O, which is the fundamental building block of water.

In turns out that electromagnetic energy is not infinitely divisible either. The electromagnetic radiation out of a heated cavity would obey a very different spectrum if electromagnetic energy is infinitely divisible. In order to fit experimental observation of radiation from a heated electromagnetic cavity, Max Planck (1900s) [222] proposed that electromagnetic energy comes in packets or is quantized. Each packet of energy or a quantum of energy \( E \) is associated with the frequency of electromagnetic wave, namely

\[
E = h\omega = h2\pi f = hf \tag{38.1.1}
\]

where \( h \) is now known as the Planck constant and \( h = h/2\pi = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s} \) (Joule-second). Since \( h \) is very small, this packet of energy is very small unless \( \omega \) is large. So it is no surprise that the quantization of electromagnetic field is first associated with light, a very high frequency electromagnetic radiation. A red-light photon at a wavelength of 700 nm corresponds to an energy of approximately 2 eV \( \approx 3 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} \approx 75 \ k_B T \), where \( k_B T \) denotes the thermal energy. A microwave photon has approximately \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV} \).

The second experimental evidence that light is quantized is the photo-electric effect [223]. It was found that matter emitted electrons when light shined on it. First, the light frequency has to correspond to the “resonant” frequency of the atom. Second, the number of electrons emitted is proportional to the number of packets of energy \( h\omega \) that the light carries. This
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was a clear indication that light energy traveled in packets or quanta as posited by Einstein in 1905.

That light is a wave has been demonstrated by Newton’s ring effect [224] in the eighteenth century (1717) (see Figure 38.1). In 1801, Thomas Young demonstrated the double slit experiment [225] that further confirmed the wave nature of light (see Figure 38.2). But by the beginning of the 20-th century, one has to accept that light is both a particle, called a photon, carrying a quantum of energy with momentum, as well as a particle endowed with wave-like behavior. This is called wave-particle duality.

Figure 38.1: A Newton’s rings experiment (courtesy of [224]).
This concept was not new to quantum theory as electrons were known to behave both like a particle and a wave. The particle nature of an electron was confirmed by the measurement of its charge by Millikan in 1913 in his oil-drop experiment. (The double slit experiment for electron was done in 1927 by Davison and Germer, indicating that an electron has a wave nature [225].) In 1924, De Broglie [227] suggested that there is a wave associated with an electron with momentum \( p \) such that

\[
p = \hbar k \tag{38.1.2}
\]

where \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \), the wavenumber. All this knowledge gave hint to the quantum theorists of that era to come up with a new way to describe nature.

Classically, particles like an electron moves through space obeying Newton’s laws of motion first established in 1687 [228]. Old ways of describing particle motion were known as classical mechanics, and the new way of describing particle motion is known as quantum mechanics. Quantum mechanics is very much motivated by a branch of classical mechanics called Hamiltonian mechanics. We will first use Hamiltonian mechanics to study a simple pendulum and connect it with electromagnetic oscillations.

### 38.1.2 Connecting Electromagnetic Oscillation to Simple Pendulum

The quantization of electromagnetic field theory was started by Dirac in 1927 [3]. In the beginning, it was called quantum electrodynamics important for understanding particle physics
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Phenomena and light-matter interactions [229]. Later on, it became important in quantum optics where quantum effects in electromagnetics technologies first emerged. Now, microwave photons are measurable and are important in quantum computers. Hence, quantum effects are important in the microwave regime as well.

Maxwell’s equations can be regarded as for describing an infinite set of coupled harmonic oscillators. In one dimension, when a wave propagates on a string, or an electromagnetic wave propagating on a transmission line, they can be regarded as propagating on a set of coupled harmonic oscillators as shown in Figure 38.3. Maxwell’s equations describe the waves travelling in 3D space due to the coupling between an infinite set of harmonic oscillators. In fact, methods have been developed to solve Maxwell’s equations using transmission-line-matrix (TLM) method [230], or the partial element equivalent circuit (PEEC) method [231].

![Figure 38.3](image)

Figure 38.3: Maxwell’s equations describe the coupling of harmonic oscillators in a 3D space. This is similar to waves propagating on a string or a 1D transmission line, or a 2D array of coupled oscillators. The saw-tooth symbol in the figure represents a spring.

The cavity modes in electromagnetics are similar to the oscillation of a pendulum. To understand the quantization of electromagnetic field, we start by connecting these cavity modes oscillations to the oscillations of a simple pendulum. It is to be noted that fundamentally, electromagnetic oscillation exists because of displacement current. Displacement current exists even in vacuum because vacuum is polarizable, namely that \( \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \). Furthermore, displacement current exists because of the \( \partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t \) term in the generalized Ampere’s law added by Maxwell, namely,

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}
\]

(38.1.3)
Together with Faraday’s law that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

(38.1.4)

(38.1.3) and (38.1.4) together allow for the existence of wave. The coupling between the two equations gives rise to the “springiness” of electromagnetic fields.

Wave exists due to the existence of coupled harmonic oscillators, and at a fundamental level, these harmonic oscillators are electron-positron (e-p) pairs. The fact that they are coupled allows waves to propagate through space, and even in vacuum.

Figure 38.4: A one-dimensional cavity solution to Maxwell’s equations is one of the simplest way to solve Maxwell’s equations.

To make life simpler, we can start by looking at a one dimensional cavity formed by two PEC (perfect electric conductor) plates as shown in Figure 38.4. Assume source-free Maxwell’s equations in between the plates and letting \( \mathbf{E} = \hat{x} E_x, \mathbf{H} = \hat{y} H_y \), then (38.1.3) and (38.1.4) become

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_x$$

(38.1.5)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_x = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_y$$

(38.1.6)

The above are similar to the telegrapher’s equations. We can combine them to arrive at

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} E_x = \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} E_x$$

(38.1.7)

There are infinitely many ways to solve the above partial differential equation. But here, we use separation of variables to solve the above by letting \( E_x(z, t) = E_0(t)f(z) \). Then we arrive
at two separate equations that

\[ \frac{d^2 E_0(t)}{dt^2} = -\omega_l^2 E_0(t) \]  

(38.1.8)

and

\[ \frac{d^2 f(z)}{dz^2} = -\omega_l^2 \mu \varepsilon f(z) \]  

(38.1.9)

where \( \omega_l^2 \) is the separation constant. There are infinitely many ways to solve the above equations which are also eigenvalue equations where \( \omega_l^2 \) and \( \omega_l^2 \mu \varepsilon \) are eigenvalues for the first and the second equations, respectively. The general solution for (38.1.9) is that

\[ E_0(t) = E_0 \cos(\omega_l t + \psi) \]  

(38.1.10)

In the above, \( \omega_l \), which is related to the separation constant, is yet indeterminate. To make \( \omega_l^2 \) determinate, we need to impose boundary conditions. A simple way is to impose homogeneous Dirchlet boundary conditions that \( f(z) = 0 \) at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = L \). This implies that \( f(z) = \sin(k_l z) \). In order to satisfy the boundary conditions at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = L \), one deduces that

\[ k_l = \frac{l \pi}{L}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]  

(38.1.11)

Then,

\[ \frac{\partial^2 f(z)}{\partial z^2} = -k_l^2 f(z) \]  

(38.1.12)

where \( k_l^2 = \omega_l^2 \mu \varepsilon \). Hence, \( k_l = \omega_l / c \), and the above solution can only exist for discrete frequencies or that

\[ \omega_l = \frac{l \pi}{L} c, \quad l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]  

(38.1.13)

These are the discrete resonant frequencies \( \omega_l \) of the modes of the 1D cavity.

The above solutions for \( E_x(z, t) \) can be thought of as the collective oscillations of coupled harmonic oscillators forming the modes of the cavity. At the fundamental level, these oscillations are oscillators made by electron-positron pairs. But macroscopically, their collective resonances manifest themselves as giving rise to infinitely many electromagnetic cavity modes. The amplitudes of these modes, \( E_0(t) \) are simple harmonic oscillations.

The resonance between two parallel PEC plates is similar to the resonance of a transmission line of length \( L \) shorted at both ends. One can see that the resonance of a shorted transmission line is similar to the coupling of infinitely many LC tank circuits. To see this, as shown in Figure 38.3, we start with a single LC tank circuit as a simple harmonic oscillator with only one resonant frequency. When two LC tank circuits are coupled to each other, they will have two resonant frequencies. For \( N \) of them, they will have \( N \) resonant frequencies. For a continuum of them, they will be infinitely many resonant frequencies or modes as indicated by (38.1.11).
What is more important is that the resonance of each of these modes is similar or homomorphic to the resonance of a simple pendulum or a simple harmonic oscillator. For a fixed point in space, the field due to this oscillation is similar to the oscillation of a simple pendulum.

As we have seen in the Drude-Lorentz-Sommerfeld mode, for a particle of mass \( m \) attached to a spring connected to a wall, where the restoring force is like Hooke’s law, the equation of motion of a pendulum by Newton’s law is

\[
m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \kappa x = 0
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the spring constant, and we assume that the oscillator is not driven by an external force, but is in natural or free oscillation. By letting

\[
x = x_0 e^{-i\omega t}
\]

the above becomes

\[
-m\omega^2 x_0 + \kappa x_0 = 0
\]

Again, a non-trivial solution is possible only at the resonant frequency of the oscillator or that when \( \omega = \omega_0 \) where

\[
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{m}}
\]

### 38.2 Hamiltonian Mechanics

Equation (38.1.14) can be derived by Newton’s law but it can also be derived via Hamiltonian mechanics. Since Hamiltonian mechanics motivates quantum mechanics, we will look at the Hamiltonian mechanics view of the equation of motion (EOM) of a simple pendulum given by (38.1.14).

Hamiltonian mechanics, developed by Hamilton (1805-1865) [232], is motivated by energy conservation. The Hamiltonian \( H \) of a system is given by its total energy, namely that

\[
H = T + V
\]

where \( T \) is the kinetic energy and \( V \) is the potential energy of the system.

For a simple pendulum, the kinetic energy is given by

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 = \frac{1}{2} m^2 v^2 = \frac{p^2}{2m}
\]

where \( p = mv \) is the momentum of the particle. The potential energy, assuming that the particle is attached to a spring with spring constant \( \kappa \), is given by

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_0^2 x^2
\]

\footnote{For this part of the lecture, we will switch to using \( \exp(-i\omega t) \) time convention as is commonly used in optics and physics literatures.}
Hence, the Hamiltonian is given by

\[ H = T + V = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x^2 \] (38.2.4)

At any instant of time \( t \), we assume that \( p(t) = mv(t) = m\frac{dx}{dt}x(t) \) is independent of \( x(t) \).\(^2\) In other words, they can vary independently of each other. But \( p(t) \) and \( x(t) \) have to time evolve to conserve energy to keep \( H \), the total energy, constant or independent of time. In other words,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} H[p(t), x(t)] = 0 = \frac{dp}{dt} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} + \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \] (38.2.5)

Therefore, the Hamilton equations of motion are derived to be\(^3\)

\[ \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \] (38.2.6)

From (38.2.4), we gather that

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = m\omega_0^2x, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m} \] (38.2.7)

Applying (38.2.6), we have\(^4\)

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -m\omega_0^2x \] (38.2.8)

Combining the two equations in (38.2.8) above, we have

\[ m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -m\omega_0^2x = -\kappa x \] (38.2.9)

which is also derivable by Newton’s law.

A typical harmonic oscillator solution to (38.2.9) is

\[ x(t) = x_0 \cos(\omega_0 t + \psi) \] (38.2.10)

The corresponding \( p(t) = m\frac{dx}{dt} \) is

\[ p(t) = -mx_0\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 t + \psi) \] (38.2.11)

Hence

\[ H = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x_0^2\sin^2(\omega_0 t + \psi) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x_0^2\cos^2(\omega_0 t + \psi) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x_0^2 = E \] (38.2.12)

And the total energy \( E \) very much depends on the amplitude \( x_0 \) of the oscillation.

\(^2\)\( p(t) \) and \( x(t) \) are termed conjugate variables in many textbooks.

\(^3\)Note that the Hamilton equations are determined to within a multiplicative constant, because one has not stipulated the connection between space and time, or we have not calibrated our clock [233].

\(^4\)We can also calibrate our clock here so that it agrees with our definition of momentum in the ensuing equation.
38.3 Schrodinger Equation (1925)

Having seen the Hamiltonian mechanics for describing a simple pendulum which is homomorphic to a cavity resonator, we shall next see the quantum mechanics description of the same simple pendulum: In other words, we will look at a quantum pendulum. To this end, we will invoke Schrodinger equation.

Schrodinger equation cannot be derived just as in the case Maxwell’s equations. It is a wonderful result of a postulate and a guessing game based on experimental observations [63,64]. Hamiltonian mechanics says that

\[ H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x^2 = E \]  \hspace{1cm} (38.3.1)

where \( E \) is the total energy of the oscillator, or pendulum. In classical mechanics, the position \( x \) of the particle associated with the pendulum is known with great certainty. But in the quantum world, this position \( x \) of the quantum particle is uncertain and is fuzzy.

To build this uncertainty into a quantum harmonic oscillator, we have to look at it from the quantum world. The position of the particle is described by a wave function, \( \psi \), which makes the location of the particle uncertain. To this end, Schrodinger proposed his equation which is a partial differential equation. He was very much motivated by the experimental revelation then that \( p = \hbar k \) from De Broglie and that \( E = \hbar \omega \) from Planck’s law. Equation (38.3.1) can be written more suggestively as

\[ \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x^2 = \hbar \omega \] \hspace{1cm} (38.3.2)

To add more depth to the above equation, one lets the above become an operator equation that operates on a wave function \( \psi(x,t) \) so that

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x^2\psi(x,t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) \] \hspace{1cm} (38.3.3)

If the wave function is of the form

\[ \psi(x,t) \sim e^{ikx-i\omega t} \] \hspace{1cm} (38.3.4)

then upon substituting (38.3.4) into (38.3.3), we retrieve (38.3.2).

Equation (38.3.3) is Schrodinger equation in one dimension for the quantum version of the simple harmonic oscillator. In Schrodinger equation, we can further posit that the wave function has the general form

\[ \psi(x,t) = e^{ikx-i\omega t}A(x,t) \] \hspace{1cm} (38.3.5)

where \( A(x,t) \) is a slowly varying function of \( x \) and \( t \), compared to \( e^{ikx-i\omega t} \). In other words, this is the expression for a wave packet. With this wave packet, the \( \partial^2/\partial x^2 \) can be again

---

5Since a function is equivalent to a vector, and this wave function describes the state of the quantum system, this is also called a state vector.

6This is similar in spirit when we study high frequency solutions of Maxwell’s equations and paraxial wave approximation.
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approximated by \(-k^2\) as has been done in the paraxial wave approximation. Furthermore, if the signal is assumed to be quasi-monochromatic, then \(i\hbar \partial / \partial t \psi(x, t) \approx \hbar \omega\), we again retrieve the classical equation in (38.3.2) from (38.3.3). Hence, the classical equation (38.3.2) is a short wavelength, monochromatic approximation of Schrodinger equation. However, as we shall see, the solutions to Schrodinger equation are not limited to just wave packets described by (38.3.5).

For this course, we need only to study the one-dimensional Schrodinger equation. The above can be converted into eigenvalue problem, just as in waveguide and cavity problems, using separation of variables, by letting

\[
\psi(x, t) = \psi_n(x)e^{-i\omega_n t}
\]  

(38.3.6)

By so doing, (38.3.3) becomes

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x^2 \right] \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x)
\]  

(38.3.7)

where \(E_n = \hbar \omega_n\) is the eigenvalue of the problem while \(\psi_n(x)\) is the eigenfunction.

The parabolic \(x^2\) potential profile is also known as a potential well as it can provide the restoring force to keep the particle bound to the well classically. The above equation is also similar to the electromagnetic equation for a dielectric slab waveguide, where the second term is a dielectric profile (mind you, varying in the \(x\) direction) that can trap a waveguide mode. Therefore, the potential well is a trap for the particle both in classical mechanics or wave physics.

The above equation (38.3.7) can be solved in closed form in terms of Hermite-Gaussian functions (1864) [234], or that

\[
\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n n! \pi \hbar}} e^{-\frac{m\omega_0^2 x^2}{2\hbar}} H_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{m\omega_0 \hbar}}{\hbar} x \right)
\]  

(38.3.8)

where \(H_n(y)\) is a Hermite polynomial, and the eigenvalues are

\[
E_n = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar \omega_0
\]  

(38.3.9)

Here, the eigenfunction or eigenstate \(\psi_n(x)\) is known as the photon number state (or just a number state) of the solution. It corresponds to having \(n\) photons in the oscillation. If this is conceived as the collective oscillation of the e-p pairs in a cavity, there are \(n\) photons corresponding to energy of \(n\hbar \omega_0\) embedded in the collective oscillation. The larger \(E_n\) is, the larger the number of photons there is. However, there is a curious mode at \(n = 0\). This corresponds to no photon, and yet, there is a wave function \(\psi_0(x)\). This is the zero-point energy state. This state is there even if the system is at its lowest energy state.

It is to be noted that in the quantum world, the position \(x\) of the pendulum is random. Moreover, this position \(x(t)\) is mapped to the amplitude \(E_0(t)\) of the field. Hence, it is the

\textsuperscript{7}Mind you, the following is \(\omega_n\), not \(\omega_0\).
amplitude of an electromagnetic oscillation that becomes uncertain and fuzzy as shown in Figure 38.5.

Figure 38.5: Schematic representation of the randomness of measured electric field. The electric field amplitude maps to the displacement (position) of the quantum harmonic oscillator, which is a random variable (courtesy of Kira and Koch [235]).
38.4 Some Quantum Interpretations–A Preview

Schrodinger used this equation with resounding success. He derived a three-dimensional version of this to study the wave function and eigenvalues of a hydrogen atom. These eigenvalues $E_n$ for a hydrogen atom agreed well with experimental observations that had eluded scientists for decades. Schrodinger did not actually understand what this wave function meant. It was Max Born (1926) who gave a physical interpretation of this wave function.

Given a wave function $\psi(x, t)$, then $|\psi(x, t)|^2 \Delta x$ is the probability of finding the particle in the interval $[x, x + \Delta x]$. Therefore, $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ is a probability density function (PDF), and it is necessary that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |\psi(x, t)|^2 = 1 \quad (38.4.1)$$

The position $x$ of the particle is uncertain and is now a random variable. The average value or expectation value of $x$ is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x |\psi(x, t)|^2 = \langle x(t) \rangle = \bar{x}(t) \quad (38.4.2)$$

This is not the most ideal notation, since although $x$ is not a function of time, its expectation value with respect to a time-varying function, $\psi(x, t)$, can be time-varying.
Notice that in going from (38.3.1) to (38.3.3), or from a classical picture to a quantum picture, we have let the momentum become $p$, originally a scalar number in the classical world, become a differential operator, namely that

$$ p \rightarrow \hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (38.4.3) $$

The momentum of a particle also becomes uncertain, and its expectation value is given by

$$ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) \hat{p} \psi(x, t) = -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi(x, t) = \langle \hat{p}(t) \rangle = \bar{p}(t) \quad (38.4.4) $$

The expectation values of position $x$ and the momentum operator $\hat{p}$ are measurable in the laboratory. Hence, they are also called observables.

One more very important aspect of quantum theory is that since $p \rightarrow \hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\hat{p}$ and $x$ do not commute. In other words, it can be shown that

$$ [\hat{p}, x] = \left[ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \right] = -i\hbar \quad (38.4.5) $$

In the classical world, $[p, x] = 0$, but not in the quantum world. In the equation above, we can elevate $x$ to become an operator by letting $\hat{x} = x\hat{I}$, where $\hat{I}$ is the identity operator. Then both $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{x}$ are now operators, and are on the same footing. In this manner, we can rewrite equation (38.4.5) above as

$$ [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] = -i\hbar \hat{I} \quad (38.4.6) $$

It can be shown easily that when two operators share the same set of eigenfunctions, they commute. When two operators $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{x}$ do not commute, it means that the expectation values of quantities associated with the operators, $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$, cannot be determined to arbitrary precision simultaneously. For instance, $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{x}$ correspond to random variables, then the standard deviation of their measurable values, or their expectation values, obey the uncertainty principle relationship that

$$ \Delta p \Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (38.4.7) $$

where $\Delta p$ and $\Delta x$ are the standard deviation of the random variables $p$ and $x$.

### 38.5 Bizarre Nature of the Photon Number States

The photon number states are successful in predicting that the collective e-p oscillations are associated with $n$ photons embedded in the energy of the oscillating modes. However, these number states are bizarre: The expectation values of the position of the quantum pendulum associated these states are always zero. To illustrate further, we form the wave function with a photon-number state

$$ \psi(x, t) = \psi_n(x)e^{-i\omega_n t} $$

---

*The proof of this is quite straightforward but is outside the scope of this course.*
Previously, since the $\psi_n(x)$ are eigenfunctions, they are mutually orthogonal and they can be orthonormalized meaning that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi_n^*(x) \psi_{n'}(x) = \delta_{nn'}$$  \hspace{1cm} (38.5.1)$$

Then one can easily show that the expectation value of the position of the quantum pendulum in a photon number state is

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = \bar{x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x |\psi(x,t)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x |\psi_n(x)|^2 = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (38.5.2)$$

because the integrand is always odd symmetric. In other words, the expectation value of the position $x$ of the pendulum is always zero. It can also be shown that the expectation value of the momentum operator $\hat{p}$ is also zero for these photon number states. Hence, there are no classical oscillations that resemble them. Therefore, one has to form new wave functions by linear superposing these photon number states into a coherent state. This will be the discussion next.
Lecture 39

Quantum Coherent State of Light

39.1 Quantum Coherent State of Light

We have seen that a photon number states\(^1\) of a quantum pendulum do not have a classical correspondence as the average or expectation values of the position and momentum of the pendulum are always zero for all time for this state. Therefore, we have to seek a time-dependent quantum state that has the classical equivalence of a pendulum. This is the coherent state, which is the contribution of many researchers, most notably, George Sudarshan (1931–2018) [237] and Roy Glauber (1925–2018) [238] in 1963. Glauber was awarded the Nobel prize in 2005.

We like to emphasize again that the modes of an electromagnetic cavity oscillation are homomorphic to the oscillation of classical pendulum. Hence, we first connect the oscillation of a quantum pendulum to a classical pendulum. Then we can connect the oscillation of a quantum electromagnetic mode to the classical electromagnetic mode and then to the quantum pendulum.

39.1.1 Quantum Harmonic Oscillator Revisited

To this end, we revisit the quantum harmonic oscillator or the quantum pendulum with more mathematical depth. Rewriting Schrödinger equation as the eigenequation for the photon number state for the quantum harmonic oscillator, we have

\[
\hat{H}\psi_n(x) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega_0^2 x^2 \right] \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x).
\]

(39.1.1)

where \(\psi_n(x)\) is the eigenfunction, and \(E_n\) is the eigenvalue. The above can be changed into a dimensionless form first by dividing \(\hbar \omega_0\), and then let \(\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_0^2}} x\) be a dimensionless

\(^1\)In quantum theory, a “state” is synonymous with a state vector or a function.
variable. The above then becomes
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \xi^2 \right) \psi(\xi) = \frac{E}{\hbar \omega_0} \psi(\xi) \] (39.1.2)

We can define \( \hat{\pi} = -i \frac{d}{d\xi} \) and \( \hat{\xi} = \hat{I} \xi \) to rewrite the Hamiltonian as
\[ \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 (\hat{\pi}^2 + \hat{\xi}^2) \] (39.1.3)

Furthermore, the Hamiltonian in (39.1.2) looks almost like \( A^2 - B^2 \), and hence motivates its factorization. To this end, we first show that
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \xi^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \xi - \xi \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \] (39.1.4)

It can be shown easily that as operators (meaning that they will act on a function to their right), the last term on the right-hand side is an identity operator, namely that
\[ \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \xi - \xi \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) = \hat{I} \] (39.1.5)

Therefore
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \xi^2 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) + \frac{1}{2} \] (39.1.6)

We define the operator
\[ \hat{a}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) \] (39.1.7)

The above is the creations, or raising operator and the reason for its name is obviated later. Moreover, we define
\[ \hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right) \] (39.1.8)

which represents the annihilation or lowering operator. With the above definitions of the raising and lowering operators, it is easy to show that by straightforward substitution that
\[ [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = \hat{I} \] (39.1.9)

Therefore, Schrödinger equation (39.1.2) for quantum harmonic oscillator can be rewritten more concisely as
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \right) \psi = \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi = \frac{E}{\hbar \omega_0} \psi \] (39.1.10)
In mathematics, a function is analogous to a vector. So \( \psi \) is the implicit representation of a vector. The operator

\[
\left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

is an implicit\(^2\) representation of an operator, and in this case, a differential operator. So in the above, (39.1.10), is analogous to the matrix eigenvalue equation \( \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x} \).

Consequently, the Hamiltonian operator can be expressed concisely as

\[
\hat{H} = \hbar \omega_0 \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]  

(39.1.11)

Equation (39.1.10) above is in implicit math notation. In implicit Dirac notation, it is

\[
\left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\psi\rangle = \frac{E}{\hbar \omega_0} |\psi\rangle
\]  

(39.1.12)

In the above, \( \psi(\xi) \) is a function which is a vector in a functional space. It is denoted as \( \psi \) in math notation and \( |\psi\rangle \) in Dirac notation. This is also known as the “ket”. The conjugate transpose of a vector in Dirac notation is called a “bra” which is denoted as \( \langle \psi | \). Hence, the inner product between two vectors is denoted as \( \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \) in Dirac notation.\(^3\)

If we denote a photon number state by \( \psi_n(x) \) in explicit notation, \( \psi_n \) in math notation \( |\psi_n\rangle \) in Dirac notation, then we have

\[
\left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\psi_n\rangle = \frac{E_n}{\hbar \omega_0} |\psi_n\rangle = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\psi_n\rangle
\]  

(39.1.13)

where we have used the fact that \( E_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar \omega_0 \). Therefore, by comparing terms in the above, we have

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle = n |\psi_n\rangle
\]  

(39.1.14)

and the operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \) is also known as the number operator because of the above. It is often denoted as

\[
\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}
\]  

(39.1.15)

and \( |\psi_n\rangle \) is an eigenvector of \( \hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \) operator with eigenvalue \( n \). It can be further shown by direct substitution that

\[
\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |\psi_{n-1}\rangle \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \hat{a} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle
\]  

(39.1.16)

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{n + 1} |\psi_{n+1}\rangle \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \hat{a}^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n + 1} |n+1\rangle
\]  

(39.1.17)

hence their names as lowering and raising operator.\(^4\)

---

\(^2\)A notation like \( \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{x} \), we will call implicit, while a notation \( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x_j \), we will call explicit.

\(^3\)There is a one-to-one correspondence of Dirac notation to matrix algebra notation. \( \hat{A}|x\rangle \leftrightarrow \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{x} \), \( \langle x| \leftrightarrow x^\dagger \)

\(^4\)The above notation for a vector could appear cryptic or too terse to the uninitiated. To parse it, one can always down-convert from an abstract notation to a more explicit notation. Namely, \( |n\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_n\rangle \rightarrow \psi_n(\xi) \).
39.2 Some Words on Quantum Randomness and Quantum Observables

We saw previously that in classical mechanics, the conjugate variables $p$ and $x$ are deterministic variables. But in the quantum world, they become random variables with means and variance. It was quite easy to see that $x$ is a random variable in the quantum world. But the momentum $p$ is elevated to become a differential operator $\hat{p}$, and it is not clear that it is a random variable anymore.

Quantum theory is a lot richer in content than classical theory. Hence, in quantum theory, conjugate variables like $p$ and $x$ are observables endowed with the properties of mean and variance. For them to be endowed with these properties, they are elevated to become quantum operators, which are the representations of these observables. To be meaningful, a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ has to be defined for a quantum system, and these operators represent observables act on the quantum state.

Henceforth, we have to extend the concept of the average of a random variable to the “average” of a quantum operator. Now that we know Dirac notation, we can write the expectation value of the operator $\hat{p}$ with respect to a quantum state $\psi$ as

$$\langle \hat{p} \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = \bar{p} \tag{39.2.1}$$

The above is the elevated way of taking the “average” of an operator which is related to the mean of the random variable $p$.

As mentioned before, Dirac notation is homomorphic to matrix algebra notation. The above is similar to $\psi^\dagger \cdot \bar{P} \cdot \psi = \bar{p}$. This quantity $\bar{p}$ is always real if $\bar{P}$ is a Hermitian matrix. Hence, in (39.2.1), the expectation value $\bar{p}$ is always real if $\hat{p}$ is Hermitian. In fact, it can be proved that $\bar{p}$ is Hermitian in the function space that it is defined.

Furthermore, the variance of the random variable $p$ can be derived from the quantum operator $\hat{p}$ with respect to to a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$. It is defined as

$$\sigma_p^2 = \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{p} \rangle^2 \tag{39.2.2}$$

where $\sigma_p$ is the standard deviation of the random variable $p$ and $\sigma_p^2$ is its variance.

The above implies that the definition of the quantum operators and the quantum states is not unique. One can define a unitary matrix or operator $\bar{U}$ such that $\bar{U}^\dagger \cdot \bar{U} = I$. Then the new quantum state is now given by the unitary transform $\psi' = \bar{U} \cdot \psi$. With this, we can easily show that

$$\bar{p} = \psi^\dagger \cdot \bar{P} \cdot \psi = \psi'^\dagger \cdot \bar{U}^\dagger \cdot \bar{P} \cdot \bar{U} \cdot \psi$$

$$= \psi'^\dagger \cdot \bar{P}' \cdot \psi' \tag{39.2.3}$$

where $\bar{P}' = \bar{U} \cdot \bar{P} \cdot \bar{U}^\dagger$ via unitary transform. Now, $\bar{P}'$ is the new quantum operator representing the observable $p$ and $\psi'$ is the new quantum state vector.

In the previous section, we have elevated the position variable $\xi$ to become an operator $\hat{\xi} = \xi \hat{I}$. This operator is clearly Hermitian, and hence, the expectation value of this position operator is always real. Here, $\hat{\xi}$ is diagonal in the coordinate representation, but it need not be in other representations.
39.3 Derivation of the Coherent States

As one cannot see the characteristics of a classical pendulum emerging from the photon number states, one needs another way of bridging the quantum world with the classical world. This is the role of the coherent state: It will show the correspondence principle, with a classical pendulum emerging from a quantum pendulum when the energy of the pendulum is large. Hence, it will be interesting to see how the coherent state is derived. The derivation of the coherent state is more math than physics. Nevertheless, the derivation is interesting. We are going to present it according to the simplest way presented in the literature. There are deeper mathematical methods to derive this coherent state like Bogoliubov transform which is outside the scope of this course.

Now, endowed with the needed mathematical tools, we can derive the coherent state. To say succinctly, the coherent state is the eigenstate of the annihilation operator, namely that

$$\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$$

(39.3.1)

Here, we use $\alpha$ as an eigenvalue as well as an index or identifier of the state $|\alpha\rangle$.

Since the number state $|n\rangle$ is complete, the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ can be expanded in terms of the number state $|n\rangle$. Or that

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n |n\rangle$$

(39.3.2)

When the annihilation operator is applied to the above, we have

$$\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \hat{a}|n\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \hat{a}|n\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}|n\rangle$$

(39.3.3)

Equating the above with $\alpha|\alpha\rangle$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}|n\rangle = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n |n\rangle$$

(39.3.4)

By the orthonormality of the number states $|n\rangle$, then we can take the inner product of the above with $\langle n|$ and making use of the orthonormal relation that $\langle n'|n\rangle = \delta_{n'n}$ to remove the summation sign. Then we arrive at

$$C_{n+1} = \alpha C_n / \sqrt{n+1}$$

(39.3.5)

Or recursively

$$C_n = C_{n-1} \alpha / \sqrt{n} = C_{n-2} \alpha^2 / \sqrt{n(n-1)} = \ldots = C_0 \alpha^n / \sqrt{n!}$$

(39.3.6)

This notation is cryptic and terse, but one can always down-convert it as $|\alpha\rangle \rightarrow |f_{\alpha}\rangle \rightarrow f_{\alpha}(\xi)$ to get a more explicit notation.
Consequently, the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is

$$|\alpha\rangle = C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.7)

But due to the probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics, the state vector $|\alpha\rangle$ is normalized to one, or that

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.8)

Then

$$\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = C_0^* C_0 \sum_{n,n'} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{\alpha'^n}{\sqrt{n'!}} \langle n' | n \rangle$$

$$= |C_0|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^2n}{n!} = |C_0|^2 |\alpha|^2 = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.9)

Therefore, $C_0 = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}$, or that

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.10)

In the above, to reduce the double summations into a single summation, we have made use of $\langle n' | n \rangle = \delta_{n'n}$, or that the photon-number states are orthonormal. Also since $\hat{a}$ is not a Hermitian operator, its eigenvalue $\alpha$ can be a complex number.

Since the coherent state is a linear superposition of the photon number states, an average number of photons can be associated with the coherent state. If the average number of photons embedded in a coherent is $N$, then it can be shown that $N = |\alpha|^2$. As shall be shown, $\alpha$ is related to the amplitude of the quantum oscillation: The more photons there are, the larger is $|\alpha|$.

### 39.3.1 Time Evolution of a Quantum State

The Schrodinger equation can be written concisely as

$$\hat{H} |\psi\rangle = i\hbar \partial_t |\psi\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.11)

The above not only entails the form of Schrodinger equation, it is the form of the general quantum state equation. Since $\hat{H}$ is time independent, the formal solution to the above is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |\psi(0)\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.12)

Applying this to the photon number state with $\hat{H}$ being that of the quantum pendulum, then

$$e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |n\rangle = e^{-i\omega_n t} |n\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (39.3.13)

---

6The expression can be written more explicitly as $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \langle f_\alpha | f_\alpha \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\xi f^*_\alpha(\xi) f_\alpha(\xi) = 1$. 


where \( \omega_n = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \omega_0 \). The above simplification follows because \(|n\rangle\) an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) for the quantum pendulum. The above follows because

\[
\hat{H}|n\rangle = \hbar \omega_n |n\rangle = \hbar \omega_0 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) |n\rangle
\]  

(39.3.14)

In other words, \(|n\rangle\) is an eigenvector of \( \hat{H} \).

**Time Evolution of the Coherent State**

Using the above time-evolution operator, then the time dependent coherent state evolves in time as

\[
|\alpha,t\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n e^{-i\omega_n t}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle
\]  

(39.3.15)

By letting \( \omega_n = \omega_0 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \), the above can be written as

\[
|\alpha,t\rangle = e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle
\]  

(39.3.16)

\[
= e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} (\alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t}) |\alpha\rangle = e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} |\tilde{\alpha}\rangle
\]  

(39.3.17)

where \( \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t} \). Now we see that the last factor in (39.3.16) is similar to the expression for a coherent state in (39.3.10). Therefore, we can express the above more succinctly by replacing \( \alpha \) in (39.3.10) with \( \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t} \) as

\[
\hat{a}|\alpha,t\rangle = e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} (\alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t}) |\alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t}\rangle = \hat{a}|\alpha,t\rangle
\]  

(39.3.18)

Therefore, \(|\alpha,t\rangle\) is the eigenfunction of the \( \hat{a} \) operator. But now, the eigenvalue of the annihilation operator \( \hat{a} \) is a complex number which is a function of time \( t \).

### 39.4 More on the Creation and Annihilation Operator

In order to connect the quantum pendulum to a classical pendulum via the coherent state, we will introduce some new operators. Since

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right)
\]  

(39.4.1)

\[
\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} + \xi \right)
\]  

(39.4.2)

\footnote{Note that \(|\alpha,t\rangle\) is a shorthand for \(f_\alpha(\xi, t)\).}
We can relate \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{a} \), which are non-hermitian, to the momentum operator \( \hat{\pi} \) and position operator \( \hat{\xi} \) previously defined which are hermitian. Then

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -i\hat{\pi} + \hat{\xi} \right) \tag{39.4.3}
\]
\[
\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( i\hat{\pi} + \hat{\xi} \right) \tag{39.4.4}
\]

We also notice that

\[
\hat{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) = \xi \hat{I} \tag{39.4.5}
\]
\[
\hat{\pi} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) = -i \frac{d}{d\xi} \tag{39.4.6}
\]

Notice that both \( \hat{\xi} \) and \( \hat{\pi} \) are Hermitian operators in the above, with real expectation values.

With this, the average or expectation value of the position of the pendulum in normalized coordinate, \( \xi \), can be found by taking expectation with respect to the coherent state, or

\[
\langle \alpha | \hat{\xi} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} | \alpha \rangle \tag{39.4.7}
\]

Since by taking the complex conjugation transpose of (39.3.1)\(^8\)

\[
\langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger = \langle \alpha | \alpha^* \tag{39.4.8}
\]

and (39.4.7) becomes

\[
\langle \alpha | \hat{\xi} = \langle \alpha | \hat{\xi} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha^* + \alpha) \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \sqrt{2} \Re [\alpha] \neq 0 \tag{39.4.9}
\]

Repeating the exercise for time-dependent case, when we let \( \alpha \to \tilde{\alpha}(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t} \), then, letting \( \alpha = |\alpha|e^{-i\psi} \), then

\[
\langle \hat{\xi}(t) \rangle = \sqrt{2}|\alpha| \cos(\omega_0 t + \psi) \tag{39.4.10}
\]

By the same token,

\[
\tilde{\pi} = \langle \hat{\pi} \rangle = \langle \alpha | \hat{\pi} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha^* - \alpha) \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \sqrt{2} \Im [\alpha] \neq 0 \tag{39.4.11}
\]

For the time-dependent case, we let \( \alpha \to \tilde{\alpha}(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega_0 t} \),

\[
\langle \tilde{\pi}(t) \rangle = -\sqrt{2}|\alpha| \sin(\omega_0 t + \psi) \tag{39.4.12}
\]

Hence, we see that the expectation values of the normalized coordinate and momentum just behave like a classical pendulum. There is however a marked difference: These values have

\(^8\)Dirac notation is homomorphic with matrix algebra notation. \((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^\dagger = \mathbf{x}^\dagger \cdot (\mathbf{a})^\dagger\).
standard deviations or variances that are non-zero. Thus, they have quantum fluctuation or quantum noise associated with them. Since the quantum pendulum is homomorphic with the oscillation of a quantum electromagnetic mode, the amplitude of a quantum electromagnetic mode will have a mean and a fluctuation as well.

Figure 39.1: The time evolution of the coherent state. It follows the motion of a classical pendulum or harmonic oscillator (courtesy of Gerry and Knight [239]).

Figure 39.2: The time evolution of the coherent state for different $\alpha$’s. The left figure is for $\alpha = 5$ while the right figure is for $\alpha = 10$. Recall that $N = |\alpha|^2$. 
39.4.1 Connecting Quantum Pendulum to Electromagnetic Oscillator

We see that the electromagnetic oscillator in a cavity is similar or homomorphic to a pendulum. To make the connection, we next have to elevate a classical pendulum to become a quantum pendulum. The classical Hamiltonian is

\[
H = T + V = \frac{P^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2_0 x^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ P^2(t) + Q^2(t) \right] = E \tag{39.4.13}
\]

In the above, \(P\) is a normalized momentum and \(Q\) is a normalized coordinate, and their squares have the unit of energy. We have also shown that when the classical pendulum is elevated to be a quantum pendulum, then Schrödinger equation becomes

\[
\hbar \omega \left( \hat{a} \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\psi, t\rangle = i\hbar \partial_t |\psi, t\rangle \tag{39.4.14}
\]

Our next task is to connect the electromagnetic oscillator to this pendulum. In general, the total energy or the Hamiltonian of an electromagnetic system is

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varepsilon \mathbf{E}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \right]. \tag{39.4.15}
\]

It is customary to write this Hamiltonian in terms of scalar and vector potentials. For simplicity, we use a 1D cavity, and let \(\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_x, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0\) so that \(\partial_x A_x = 0\), and letting \(\Phi = 0\). Then \(\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}\) and \(\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{A}\), and the classical Hamiltonian from (39.4.15) for a Maxwellian system becomes

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varepsilon \dot{A}_x^2(r, t) + \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t))^2 \right]. \tag{39.4.16}
\]

For the 1D case, the above implies that \(B_y = \partial_z A_x\), and \(E_x = -\partial_t A_x = -\dot{A}_x\). Hence, we let

\[
A_x = A_0(t) \sin(k_l z) \tag{39.4.17}
\]

\[
E_x = -A_0(t) \sin(k_l z) = E_0(t) \sin(k_l z) \tag{39.4.18}
\]

\[
B_y = k_l A_0(t) \cos(k_l z). \tag{39.4.19}
\]

where \(E_0(t) = -\dot{A}_0(t)\). After integrating over the volume such that \(\int_V d\mathbf{r} = A \int_0^L dz\), the Hamiltonian (39.4.16) then becomes

\[
H = \frac{V_0 \varepsilon}{4} \left( \dot{A}_0(t) \right)^2 + \frac{V_0}{4\mu} k_l^2 A_0^2(t). \tag{39.4.20}
\]

where \(V_0 = AC\), is the mode volume. The form of (39.4.20) now resembles the pendulum Hamiltonian. We can think of \(A_0(t)\) as being related to the displacement of the pendulum.
Hence, the second term resembles the potential energy. The first term has the time derivative of \( A_0(t) \), and hence, can be connected to the kinetic energy of the system. Therefore, we can rewrite the Hamiltonian as

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ P^2(t) + Q^2(t) \right] \tag{39.4.21}
\]

where

\[
P(t) = \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{2}} A_0(t) = -\sqrt{\frac{V_0}{2}} E_0(t), \quad Q(t) = \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{2}} \mu k A_0(t) \tag{39.4.22}
\]

By elevating \( P \) and \( Q \) to be quantum operators,

\[
P(t) \to \hat{P} = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_l} \hat{\pi}(t), \quad Q(t) \to \hat{Q} = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_l} \hat{\xi}(t) \tag{39.4.23}
\]

so that the quantum Hamiltonian now is

\[
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{P}^2 + \hat{Q}^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_l \left( \hat{\pi}^2 + \hat{\xi}^2 \right) \tag{39.4.24}
\]

similar to (39.1.3) as before except now that the resonant frequency of this mode is \( \omega_l \) instead of \( \omega_0 \) because these are the cavity modes, each of which is homomorphic to a quantum pendulum. An equation of motion for the state of the quantum system can be associated with the quantum Hamiltonian just as in the quantum pendulum case.

We have shown previously that

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} = \sqrt{2} \hat{\xi} \tag{39.4.25}
\]

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a} = -\sqrt{2} i \hat{\pi} \tag{39.4.26}
\]

Then we can let

\[
\hat{P} = -\sqrt{\frac{V_0}{2}} \hat{E}_0 = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_l} \hat{\pi} \tag{39.4.27}
\]

Finally, we arrive at

\[
\hat{E}_0 = -\sqrt{\frac{2 \hbar \omega_l}{2} \hat{\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_l}{\epsilon V_0}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \right) \tag{39.4.28}
\]

Now that \( E_0 \) has been elevated to be a quantum operator \( \hat{E}_0 \), from (39.4.18), we can put in the space dependence to get

\[
\hat{E}_x(z) = \hat{E}_0 \sin(k_l z) \tag{39.4.29}
\]

Consequently,

\[
\hat{E}_x(z) = \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_l}{\epsilon V_0}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \sin(k_l z) \tag{39.4.30}
\]

Notice that in the above, \( \hat{E}_0 \) and \( \hat{E}_x(z) \) are all Hermitian operators and they correspond to quantum observables that have randomness associated with them. Also, the operators
are independent of time because they are in the Schrödinger picture. The derivation in the Heisenberg picture can be repeated.

In the Schrödinger picture, to get time dependence fields, one has to take the expectation value of the operators with respect to time-varying quantum state vector like the time-varying coherent state.

To let $\hat{E}_x$ have any meaning, it should act on a quantum state. For example,

$$|\psi_E\rangle = \hat{E}_x|\psi\rangle$$

(39.4.31)

Notice that thus far, all the operators derived are independent of time. To derive time dependence of these operators, one needs to find their expectation value with respect to time-dependent state vectors.\(^9\)

To illustrate this, we can take expectation value of the quantum operator $\hat{E}_x(z)$ with respect to a time-dependent state vector, like the time-dependent coherent state, Thus

$$\langle E_x(z,t) \rangle = \langle \alpha, t | \hat{E}_x(z) | \alpha, t \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\hbar \omega_l}{\varepsilon V_0} \langle \alpha, t | \tilde{a} \dagger - \tilde{a} | \alpha, t \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\hbar \omega_l}{\varepsilon V_0} (\tilde{a}^*(t) - \tilde{a}(t)) \langle \alpha, t | \alpha, t \rangle = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon V_0}} \mathbb{Im}(\tilde{a})$$

(39.4.32)

Using the time-dependent $\tilde{a}(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega t} = |\alpha| e^{-i(\omega t + \psi)}$ in the above, we have

$$\langle E_x(z,t) \rangle = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon V_0}} |\alpha| \sin(\omega t + \psi)$$

(39.4.33)

where $\tilde{a}(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega t}$. The expectation value of the operator with respect to a time-varying quantum state in fact gives rise to a time-varying quantity. The above, which is the average of a random field, resembles a classical field. But since it is rooted in a random variable, it has a standard deviation in addition to having a mean.

We can also show that

$$\hat{B}_y(z) = k_I \hat{A}_0 \cos(k_I z) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \mu \hbar \omega_l}{V_0}} \hat{\xi} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu \hbar \omega_l}{V_0}} (\hat{a} \dagger + \hat{a})$$

(39.4.34)

Again, these are time-independent operators in the Schrödinger picture. To get time-dependent quantities, we have to take the expectation value of the above operator with respect to a time-varying quantum state.

---

\(^9\)This is known as the Schrödinger picture.
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