13. Properties of Fields in a Transmission Line.

The field or wave in a transmission line is TEM (Transmission Electro-Magnetic) because both the **H**-field and the **E**-field are transverse to the direction of propagation. If the wave is propagating in the \hat{z} -direction, then both E_z and H_z are zero for such a wave. In such a case, the fields are

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_s, \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_s, \tag{1}$$

where we have used the subscript s to denote fields transverse to the direction of propagation. We can also define a del operation such that

$$\nabla = \nabla_s + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},\tag{2}$$

where ∇_s is transverse to the \hat{z} -direction, and in Cartesian coordinate, it is $\nabla_s = \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. From

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t},\tag{3}$$

or

$$\left(\nabla_s + \hat{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \times \mathbf{H}_s = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}.$$
(4)

Since $\nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_s$ points in the \hat{z} -direction, $\hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \times \mathbf{H}_s$ is \hat{z} -directed, we have

$$\nabla_s \times \mathbf{H}_s = 0, \tag{5}$$

Similarly, from $\nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_s}{\partial t}$, we can show that

$$\nabla_s \times \mathbf{E}_s = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{E}_s) = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_s}{\partial t}.$$
(8)

Equations (5) and (7) shows that the transverse curl of the fields are zero. This implies that the fields in the transverse directions of a transmission line resembles that of the electrostatic fields. Furthermore, Equations (6) and (8) couple the \mathbf{E}_s and \mathbf{H}_s fields together. These two equations are the electromagnetic field analogues of the telegrapher's equations.



A current in a coaxial cable will produce a magnetic field polarized in the ϕ direction. From Ampere's Law, we have

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H}_s \cdot dl = \int_A \mathbf{J} \cdot ds = I,\tag{9}$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \rho \, d\phi H_\phi = I. \tag{10}$$

Hence,

$$H_{\phi}(\rho, z, t) = \frac{I(z, t)}{2\pi\rho}.$$
(11)

If we assume that the inner conductor in the coaxial line is charged up with the line charge Q in coulomb/m, then from $\oint \epsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{n} \, ds = Q$, we have

$$2\pi\rho\epsilon E_{\rho} = Q,\tag{12}$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$E_{\rho} = \frac{Q}{2\pi\rho\epsilon}.$$
(13)

Since the potential between a and b is $\int_a^b E_{\rho} d\rho$, we have

$$V = \int_{a}^{b} E_{\rho} \, d\rho = \frac{Q}{2\pi\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right). \tag{14}$$

Hence,

$$E_{\rho}(\rho, z, t) = \frac{V(z, t)}{\rho \ln(\frac{b}{a})} = \frac{Q(z, t)}{2\pi\epsilon\rho}.$$
(15)

The ratio $\frac{Q}{V}$ is the capacitance per unit length, and it is

$$C = \frac{2\pi\epsilon}{\ln(\frac{b}{a})}.$$
(16)

If $\mathbf{E}_s = \hat{\rho} E_{\rho}$, $\mathbf{H}_s = \hat{\phi} H_{\phi}$, equations (6) and (8) become

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}H_{\phi} = -\epsilon \frac{\partial E_{\rho}}{\partial t},\tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}E_{\rho} = -\mu \frac{\partial H_{\phi}}{\partial t}.$$
(18)

Substituting (11) for H_{ϕ} and (15) for E_{ρ} , we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}I(z,t) = -\frac{2\pi\epsilon}{\ln(\frac{b}{a})}\frac{\partial V}{\partial t},\tag{19}$$

 and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}V(z,t) = -\frac{\mu\ln(\frac{b}{a})}{2\pi}\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}.$$
(20)

This is just the telegrapher's equations derived from Maxwell's equations. C is given by (16) while the inductance per unit length L is obtained by comparing (20) with the telegrapher's equations

$$L = \mu \frac{\ln(\frac{b}{a})}{2\pi}.$$
(21)

Note that the velocity of the wave on a transmission line is

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}},\tag{22}$$

which is independent of the dimensions of the line. This is because all TEM waves have velocity given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}$.