

#### 4. Using Phasor Techniques to Solve Maxwell's Equations

For a time-harmonic (simple harmonic) signal, Maxwell's Equations can be easily solved using phasor techniques. For example, if we let

$$\mathbf{H} = \Re e[\tilde{\mathbf{H}}e^{j\omega t}], \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \Re e[\tilde{\mathbf{E}}e^{j\omega t}], \quad (2)$$

and substituting into (3.1), we have

$$\Re e[\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}e^{j\omega t}] = \Re e \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}}e^{j\omega t} \right]. \quad (3)$$

We could replace  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  by  $j\omega$  since the signal is time harmonic. Furthermore, we can remove the  $\Re e$  operator and obtain

$$\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}e^{j\omega t} = j\omega \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}}e^{j\omega t}, \quad (4)$$

where  $e^{j\omega t}$  cancels out on both sides.

Equation (4) implies Equation (3). Also, any time dependence cancels out in the problem. Hence,

$$\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = j\omega \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (5)$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -j\omega \mu \tilde{\mathbf{H}}, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mu \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Taking the curl of (6) and substituting (5) into it, we have

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -j\omega \mu \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \omega^2 \mu \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (9)$$

Again, making use of the identity  $\nabla \times \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}) - \nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ , and  $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = 0$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -\omega^2 \mu \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (10)$$

Similarly,

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = -\omega^2 \mu \epsilon \tilde{\mathbf{H}}. \quad (11)$$

These are the Helmholtz's wave equations.

#### Lossy Medium (Conductive Medium)

Phasor technique is particularly appropriate for solving Maxwell's equations in a lossy medium. In a lossy medium, Equation (3.1) becomes

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathbf{J}$  is the induced currents in the medium, and hence,

$$\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}. \quad (13)$$

Applying phasor technique to (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}} &= j\omega\epsilon\tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \sigma\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \\ &= j\omega\left(\epsilon - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We can define the quantity

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \quad (15)$$

to be the complex permittivity of the medium, and (14) becomes

$$\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = j\omega\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (16)$$

Notice that the only difference between (16) and (5) is the complex permittivity versus the real permittivity. If one goes about deriving the Helmholtz wave equations for a lossy medium, the results are

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -\omega^2 \mu \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{H}} = -\omega^2 \mu \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}. \quad (18)$$

Hence, a lossy medium is easily treated using phasor technique by replacing a real permittivity with a complex permittivity.

If we restrict ourselves to one dimension, Equation (17), for instance, becomes of the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \tilde{E}_x(z) - \gamma^2 \tilde{E}_x(z) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\gamma = j\omega\sqrt{\mu\tilde{\epsilon}} = j\omega\sqrt{\mu\left(\epsilon - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\right)} = \alpha + j\beta. \quad (20)$$

The general solution to (19) is of the form

$$\tilde{E}_x(z) = C_1 e^{-\gamma z} + C_2 e^{+\gamma z}. \quad (21)$$

In real space time,

$$\begin{aligned} E_x(z, t) &= \Re[\tilde{E}_x(z)e^{j\omega t}] \\ &= \Re[C_1 e^{-\gamma z} e^{j\omega t}] + \Re[C_2 e^{\gamma z} e^{j\omega t}] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

If  $C_1 = |C_1| e^{j\phi_1}$ ,  $C_2 = |C_2| e^{j\phi_2}$ ,  $\gamma = \alpha + j\beta$ , then

$$E_x(z, t) = |C_1| \cos(\omega t - \beta z + \phi_1) e^{-\alpha z} + |C_2| \cos(\omega t + \beta z + \phi_2) e^{\alpha z}. \quad (24)$$

Note that one of the solutions in (24) is decaying with  $z$  while another solution is growing with  $z$ . The function  $\cos(\omega t \pm \beta z + \phi)$  can be written as  $\cos[\pm\beta(z \pm \frac{\omega}{\beta}t) + \phi]$ . Hence, it moves with a velocity

$$v = \frac{\omega}{\beta}. \quad (25)$$

Depending on its sign, it moves either in the positive or negative  $z$  direction. In the above,  $\gamma$  is the **propagation constant**,  $\alpha$  is the **attenuation constant** while  $\beta$  is the **phase constant**.

### Intrinsic Impedance

The intrinsic impedance can be easily derived also in the phasor world. The phasor representation of Equation (3.23) is

$$\frac{d}{dz} \tilde{E}_x = -j\omega\mu \tilde{H}_y. \quad (26)$$

A corresponding one for  $\tilde{H}_y$  is

$$\frac{d}{dz} \tilde{H}_y = -j\omega\epsilon \tilde{E}_x. \quad (27)$$

If we now let  $\tilde{E}_x = E_0 e^{-\gamma z}$ ,  $\tilde{H}_y = H_0 e^{-\gamma z}$ , and using them in (26) yields

$$-\gamma E_0 e^{-\gamma z} = -j\omega\mu H_0 e^{-\gamma z}. \quad (28)$$

The above implies that

$$\eta = \frac{E_0}{H_0} = \frac{j\omega\mu}{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}}. \quad (29)$$

For a lossy medium, we replace  $\epsilon$  by the complex permittivity and the intrinsic impedance becomes

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\epsilon}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega}}} = \sqrt{\frac{j\omega\mu}{\sigma + j\omega\mu}}. \quad (30)$$

The above is obviously a complex number.