


22. Hollow Waveguide.



A **hollow** cylindrical waveguide of uniform and arbitrary cross-section can guide waves. The fields inside a hollow waveguide can guide waves of both TE and TM types. When the field is of TE type, the electric field is purely transverse to the direction of wave propagation z ; Hence $E_z = 0$. For TM fields, the magnetic field is purely transverse to the z -axis and hence, $H_z = 0$. Therefore, the field components of **TE fields** are

$$E_x, E_y, H_x, H_y, H_z,$$

and for **TM fields**, they are

$$H_x, H_y, E_x, E_y, E_z.$$

We can hence characterize **TE fields** as having $E_z = 0, H_z \neq 0$, and **TM fields** as $H_z = 0, E_z \neq 0$. Hence, the z -component of the **H** field can be used to characterize TE fields, while the z -component of the **E** field can be used to characterize TM fields in a hollow waveguide. Given E_z , and H_z , it will be desirable to derive the transverse components of the fields. We shall denote a vector transverse to \hat{z} by a subscript s . In this notation, Maxwell's equations become

$$\left(\nabla_s + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (\mathbf{H}_s + \hat{z} H_z) = j\omega\epsilon(\mathbf{E}_s + \hat{z} E_z), \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\nabla_s + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (\mathbf{E}_s + \hat{z} E_z) = -j\omega\mu(\mathbf{H}_s + \hat{z} H_z), \quad (2)$$

where $\nabla_s = \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and \mathbf{E}_s and \mathbf{H}_s are the electric field and the magnetic field, respectively, transverse to the z direction. Equating the transverse components in (1) and (2), we have

$$\nabla_s \times \hat{z} H_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{H}_s = j\omega\epsilon \mathbf{E}_s, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla_s \times \hat{z} E_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{E}_s = -j\omega\mu \mathbf{H}_s. \quad (4)$$

Substituting (4) for \mathbf{H}_s into (3), we have

$$\nabla_s \times \hat{z}H_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times \frac{j}{\omega\mu} \left(\nabla_s \times \hat{z}E_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{E}_s \right) = j\omega\epsilon\mathbf{E}_s. \quad (5)$$

Using the vector identity

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C}) - \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \quad (6)$$

we can show that

$$\hat{z} \times \nabla_s \times \hat{z}E_z = \nabla_s(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}E_z) - \hat{z}E_z(\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_s) = \nabla_s E_z, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\hat{z} \times (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{E}_s) = \hat{z}(\hat{z} \cdot \mathbf{E}_s) - \mathbf{E}_s(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}) = -\mathbf{E}_s. \quad (8)$$

Hence, (5) becomes

$$\nabla_s \times \hat{z}H_z + \frac{j}{\omega\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla_s E_z - \frac{j}{\omega\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \mathbf{E}_s = j\omega\epsilon\mathbf{E}_s. \quad (9)$$

If \mathbf{E} is of the form $\mathbf{A}e^{-j\beta_z z} + \mathbf{B}e^{j\beta_z z}$, then $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} = -\beta_z^2$ and (9) becomes

$$\mathbf{E}_s = \frac{1}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla_s E_z - j\omega\mu \nabla_s \times \hat{z}H_z \right]. \quad (10)$$


In a similar fashion, we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_s = \frac{1}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla_s H_z + j\omega\epsilon \nabla_s \times \hat{z}E_z \right]. \quad (11)$$

The above equations can be used to derive the transverse components of the fields given the \hat{z} -components. Hence, in general, we only need to know the \hat{z} -components of the fields.

I. Rectangular Waveguides

Rectangular waveguides are a special case of cylindrical waveguides with uniform rectangular cross section. Hence, we can divide the waves inside the waveguide into TM and TE types.



TM Case, $H_z = 0, E_z \neq 0$

Inside the waveguide, we have a source free region, therefore

$$[\nabla^2 + \omega^2 \mu \epsilon] \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (12)$$

or

$$[\nabla^2 + \omega^2 \mu \epsilon] E_z = 0. \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) admits solutions of the form

$$E_z = E_0 \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_x x \\ \cos \beta_x x \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_y y \\ \cos \beta_y y \end{Bmatrix} e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (14)$$

since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_x x \\ \cos \beta_x x \end{Bmatrix} = \beta_x^2 \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_x x \\ \cos \beta_x x \end{Bmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_y y \\ \cos \beta_y y \end{Bmatrix} = -\beta_y^2 \begin{Bmatrix} \sin \beta_y y \\ \cos \beta_y y \end{Bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} e^{-j\beta_z z} = -\beta_z^2 e^{-j\beta_z z}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore

$$(\nabla^2 + \omega^2 \mu \epsilon) E_z = (-\beta_x^2 - \beta_y^2 - \beta_z^2 + \omega^2 \mu \epsilon) E_z = 0. \quad (17)$$

This is only possible if

$$\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu \epsilon, \quad (18)$$

which is the **dispersion relation**. The boundary conditions require that

$$E_z(x=0) = 0, \quad E_z(y=0) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Hence, the admissible solution is

$$E_z = E_0 \sin(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}. \quad (20)$$

Also, we require that

$$E_z(x=a) = 0, \quad E_z(y=b) = 0. \quad (21)$$

This is only possible if $\sin(\beta_x a) = 0$ and $\sin(\beta_y b) = 0$, or

$$\beta_x a = m\pi, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \beta_y b = n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (22)$$

However, when m or $n = 0$, $E_z = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\beta_x = \frac{m\pi}{a}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad \beta_y = \frac{n\pi}{b}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (23)$$

which are the **guidance conditions**. To get the transverse \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} fields, we use (10) and (11)

$$E_x = \frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z = \frac{-j \beta_x \beta_z}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} E_0 \cos(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y y) e^{-j \beta_z z}, \quad (24)$$

$$E_y = \frac{1}{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z = \frac{-j \beta_x \beta_z}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} E_0 \sin(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) e^{-j \beta_z z}, \quad (25)$$

$$H_x = \frac{j \omega \epsilon}{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z = \frac{j \omega \epsilon \beta_y}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} E_0 \sin(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) e^{-j \beta_z z}, \quad (26)$$

$$H_y = \frac{-j \omega \epsilon}{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z = \frac{-j \omega \epsilon \beta_x}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} E_0 \cos(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y y) e^{-j \beta_z z}. \quad (27)$$

We note that the electric fields satisfy their boundary conditions. From the dispersion relation (18), we have

$$\beta_z = \sqrt{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2}. \quad (28)$$

The solution that corresponds to a particular choice of m and n in (23) is known as the **TM_{mn} mode**. For a given TM_{mn} mode, β_z will be pure imaginary if

$$\omega^2 \mu \epsilon < \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2, \quad (29)$$

or

$$\omega < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \epsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (30)$$

In this case, the mode is cutoff, and the fields decay in the \hat{z} -direction and become purely **evanescent**. We define the cutoff frequency for the TM_{mn} mode to be

$$\omega_{mnc} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu \epsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = v \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (31)$$

The TM_{mn} mode will not propagate if

$$\omega < \omega_{mnc} \text{ or } f < f_{mnc}, \quad (32)$$

where $f_{mnc} = \frac{\omega_{mnc}}{2\pi}$, $f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi}$. The corresponding cutoff wavelength is

$$\lambda_{mnc} = 2\pi \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (31a)$$

Only when the wavelength λ is smaller than this “size” can the wave “enter” the waveguide and be guided as the TM_{mn} mode.

To find the power flowing in the waveguide, we use the Poynting theorem.

$$S_z = E_x H_y^* - E_y H_x^*, \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\omega\epsilon\beta_x^2\beta_z}{(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2)^2} |E_0|^2 \cos^2(\beta_x x) \sin^2(\beta_y y) + \frac{\omega\epsilon\beta_y^2\beta_z}{(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2)^2} |E_0|^2 \sin^2(\beta_x x) \cos^2(\beta_y y) \\ &= \frac{\omega\epsilon\beta_z}{(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2)^2} |E_0|^2 [\beta_x^2 \cos^2(\beta_x x) \sin^2(\beta_y y) + \beta_y^2 \sin^2(\beta_x x) \cos^2(\beta_y y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The total power

$$P_z = \int_0^b dy \int_0^a dx S_z = \frac{\omega\epsilon\beta_z ab |E_0|^2}{4(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2)^2} (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2) = \frac{\omega\epsilon\beta_z ab |E_0|^2}{4(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2)}. \quad (35)$$

When $f < f_{mnc}$, β_z is purely imaginary and the power becomes purely reactive. No real power or time average power flows down a waveguide when all the modes are cutoff.

TE Case, $E_z = 0, H_z \neq 0$.

In this case,

$$H_z = H_0 \cos(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (36)$$

so that from equations (10) and (11), we have,

$$E_x = -\frac{j\omega\mu}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_z = \frac{j\omega\mu\beta_y}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} H_0 \cos(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (37)$$

$$E_y = \frac{j\omega\mu}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_z = \frac{-j\omega\mu\beta_x}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} H_0 \sin(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (38)$$

$$H_x = \frac{1}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_z = \frac{j\beta_x\beta_z}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} H_0 \sin(\beta_x x) \cos(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (39)$$

$$H_y = \frac{1}{\omega^2\mu\epsilon - \beta_z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_z = \frac{j\beta_y\beta_z}{\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2} H_0 \cos(\beta_x x) \sin(\beta_y y) e^{-j\beta_z z}, \quad (40)$$

where $\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2 = \beta^2 = \omega^2\mu\epsilon$. Matching boundary conditions for the tangential electric field requires that

$$\beta_x = \frac{m\pi}{a}, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad \beta_y = \frac{n\pi}{b}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (41)$$

Unlike the TM case, the TE case can have either m or n equal to zero. Hence, TE_{m0} or TE_{0n} modes exist. However, when both m and n are zero, $H_z = H_0 e^{-j\beta_z z}$, $H_x = H_y = 0$, and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} \neq 0$, therefore, TE_{00} mode cannot exist.

For the TE_{mn} modes, the subscript m is associated with the longer side of the rectangular waveguide, while n is associated with the shorter side. In

the case of TE_{m0} mode, $\beta_y = 0$, implying that $E_x = 0$, $E_y \neq 0$, $H_y = 0$, $H_x \neq 0$, $H_z \neq 0$. The fields resemble that of the TE_m mode in a **parallel plate waveguide**. For the general TE_{mn} mode, the dispersion relation is

$$\beta_z = \sqrt{\omega^2 \mu \epsilon - \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2}. \quad (42)$$

Hence, the TE_{mn} mode and the TM_{mn} mode have the same cutoff frequency and they are **degenerate**.

Example: Designing a Waveguide to Propagate only the TE_{10} mode

The cutoff frequency of a TM_{mn} or a TE_{mn} mode is given by

$$\omega_{mnc} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}} \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (43)$$

Usually, a is assumed to be larger than b so that TE_{10} mode has the lowest cutoff frequency, which is given by

$$f_{10c} = \frac{v}{2a} \text{ or } \lambda_{10c} = 2a, \quad (44)$$

where $v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}$, and $f_{10c} = \frac{\omega_{10c}}{2\pi}$. The next higher cutoff frequency is either f_{20c} or f_{01c} depending on the ratio of a to b .

$$f_{20c} = \frac{v}{a}, \quad f_{01c} = \frac{v}{2b}. \quad (45)$$

If $a > 2b$, $f_{20c} < f_{01c}$, and if $a < 2b$, $f_{20c} > f_{01c}$. $f_{20c} = f_{01c}$ if $a = 2b$. When $a = 2b$, and we want a waveguide to carry only the TE_{10} mode between 10 GHz and 20 GHz. Therefore, we want $f_{10c} = 10$ GHz, and $f_{20c} = f_{01c} = 20$ GHz. If the waveguide is filled with air, then $v = 3 \times 10^8 \frac{m}{s}$, and we deduce that

$$a = \frac{v}{2f_{10c}} = 1.5\text{cm}, \quad b = \frac{v}{2f_{01c}} = 0.75. \quad (46)$$

In such a rectangular waveguide, only the TE_{10} will propagate above 10 GHz and below 20 GHz. The other modes are all cutoff. Note that no mode could propagate below 10 GHz.