CVD HAFNIUM DIBORIDE AS A CONTACT MATERIAL FOR NANOELECTROMECHANICAL SWITCHES

W.S. Lee^{1*}, A.N. Cloud², J. Provine¹, N. Tayebi¹, R. Parsa¹, S. Mitra¹,

H.-S. P. Wong¹, J. R. Abelson², and R.T. Howe¹

¹Electrical Engineering Department, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

Urbana, Illinois, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the first usage of hafnium diboride (HfB₂) deposited via chemical vapor deposition as the contact material for nanoelectromechanical (NEM) switches. HfB₂ is an excellent candidate for NEM switches due to its reasonable conductivity, high hardness, and high melting point. HfB₂ was deposited conformally at 250°C onto the sidewalls of laterally actuated, polysilicon switches. HfB₂ coated switches showed sharp switching characteristics when tested in a nitrogen ambient. The contact resistance was initially 29.6MΩ but decreased to 1.43kΩ by adding a dilute HF dip to remove the surface oxide.

INTRODUCTION

Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) scaling has resulted in the mass production and proliferation of microelectronics. Recently, power constraints have become a limiting factor to scaling. Scaling the supply voltage requires simultaneous scaling of the threshold voltage to avoid incurring a delay penalty [1]. Threshold voltage scaling, however, leads to an exponential increase in leakage current. A further reduction in CMOS power consumption requires a device that does not suffer from these same scaling limits such as the nanoelectromechanical (NEM) switch. The NEM switch has zero leakage current, highly scalable actuation voltages, and very sharp switching characteristics [2, 3].

A three terminal NEM switch consists of a movable beam electrode, a fixed gate electrode, and a fixed drain electrode. Initially, the gate-to-beam voltage, V_{GB} , is zero, and the beam is electrically isolated from the drain so the drain-to-beam current, I_{DB} , is zero. As V_{GB} increases, the electrostatic force is balanced by the elastic force of the beam. As V_{GB} continues to increase, the beam can no longer balance the electrostatic force so the beam pulls into the drain, and current flows between the drain and beam. This voltage is called the pull-in voltage, V_{PI} , and the switch is in the on-state. As V_{GB} decreases, the electrostatic force also decreases. Due to the reduced gap between the beam to pull-out. This voltage is called the pull-out voltage, V_{PO} . A five terminal NEM switch has an additional gate and drain electrode that enables bi-directional switching.

Unfortunately, NEM switches suffer from a long mechanical delay. Hybrid systems that combine CMOS with NEM switches have been proposed that take advantage of the low power characteristics of NEM switches while mitigating their long switching delay. Examples include FPGA routing [4], CMOS power gating [5], and SRAM cells [6]. The FPGA routing application showed substantial power and area reductions without a delay penalty by utilizing switches with $2k\Omega$ contact resistances [7]. This contact resistance requirement is much higher than the sub-1 Ω resistances required by RF MEM switch applications [8] and enables the use of harder, more robust contact materials.

We investigate hafnium diboride (HfB₂) as a contact material for NEM switches. HfB₂ is a conductive ceramic with a hardness, elastic modulus, and melting point of 20GPa, 400GPa, and 3250°C

[9], respectively, that can be deposited via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) at back-end-of-line compatible temperatures. Since the deposited film is very conformal, it can be used to coat trenches and form sidewalls [9]. HfB₂ has been shown to have higher scratch resistance with a lower coefficient of friction than titanium nitride [10] and has been used as a protective coating on a silicon tip showing negligible wear after 5 x $10^9 \mu m$ of sliding [11]. Hard coatings are desirable for NEM switches because they can suppress plastic deformation of asperities, which leads to variations in contact area, contact resistance, and surface forces.

CONTACT MECHANICS

NEM switches are actuated either laterally or vertically. We characterize HfB₂ with lateral NEM switches because the fabrication process requires a single HfB₂ deposition and two mask layers. The fabrication will be further described in the next section. The shape of the contacting surface between the beam and the drain is defined by the sidewall roughness of the polysilicon reactive ion etch. We approximate the contacting surface as a single asperity with a radius, r, which is on the order of 10 nm. The contact asperity radius contributes to both the Maxwell resistance, R_{M_5} and Sharvin resistance, R_S , components of the total contact resistance, R_C . They originate from electron lattice scattering and electron boundary scattering, respectively. For a round asperity that undergoes elastic deformation, R_C is given by [12, 13]

$$R_C = \gamma(\frac{\lambda}{r})R_M + R_S = \frac{1 + 0.83(\frac{\lambda}{r})}{1 + 1.33(\frac{\lambda}{r})}\frac{\rho}{2r} + \frac{4\rho\lambda}{3\pi r^2}$$
(1)

where ρ is the resistivity of the material, λ is the electron mean free path, and γ is a fitting function used to transition between the Maxwell and Sharvin resistance regimes. The resistivity of HfB₂ was measured to be $303\mu\Omega$ -cm. Since λ is unknown for HfB₂, we estimate the contact resistance for two cases. The first case is when the contact asperity is much larger than the electron mean free path (i.e., $\lambda/r \approx 0$). The second case is when the contact asperity is roughly the same size as the electron mean free path (i.e., $\lambda/r \approx 1$). Figure 1 shows the contact resistance for each of these cases for contact radii ranging from 1nm to 50nm. For a 10nm radius, the estimated contact resistance is 150 Ω and 250 Ω , for $\lambda/r = 0$ and λ/r = 1, respectively. These estimated contact resistances are only based on the contact geometry and do not account for any insulating layer that may appear on the surface of the contact. The measured contact resistance will be higher than these values if there is an oxide or contamination layer on the surface of the contact.

DESIGN AND FABRICATION

The lateral switch fabrication process flow initially follows the methods presented at Hilton Head 2010 [14] where a 1 μ m *insitu* doped polysilicon structural layer is deposited on a 2 μ m LTO sacrificial layer and annealed. The polysilicon layer is patterned using a photoresist mask exposed with an i-line stepper. The

Solid-State Sensors, Actuators, and Microsystems Workshop Hilton Head Island, South Carolina, June 3-7, 2012



Figure 1: Contact resistance, $R_{\rm C}$, for HfB_2 as a function of contact radius for $\lambda/r \approx 0$ (i.e., contact asperity radius is much larger than the electron mean free path) and $\lambda/r \approx 1$ (i.e., the contact asperity radius is approximately the same size as the electron mean free path).



Figure 2: 30nm of HfB_2 film with subnanometer surface roughness deposited on crystalline silicon by chemical vapor deposition.

pattern is transferred to the polysilicon layer using a reactive ion etch (RIE) (12mTorr, 25secm Cl_2 , 140secm HBr, 3secm O_2).

Instead of coating the devices with sputtered platinum as in [14], the devices are coated in CVD HfB₂ at 250°C using Hf(BH₄)₄ precursor in an ultra high vacuum chamber as described in [15]. Figure 2 shows an SEM cross section of a typical 30nm film deposited on a silicon substrate. A contact aligner is used to define the pads for the devices. The HfB₂ is etched using a directional, chlorine-based RIE (5mTorr, 40sccm BCl₃, 10sccm Cl₂, 10sccm Ar) that removes the HfB₂ from the exposed lateral surfaces and electrically isolates the various terminals. A continuous layer of HfB₂ remains on the devices. The photoresist is stripped using an O_2 plasma followed by a 10 minute acetone soak and isopropanol rinse.

The switches are released by etching the LTO for 15 minutes in a 5:3 mixture of buffered oxide etch(6 parts 40% NH_4F to 1 part 49% HF) to glycerin, which obtains a good etch selectivity between the LTO and the HfB₂. The switches were dried after the BOE/glycerin etch using a critical point dryer (CPD) to avoid stiction. The final released device is shown in Figure 3. The zoomed-in view of the contacting region in Figure 3 shows the



Figure 3: (a) HfB_2 coated relay that is actuated under SEM; (b) zoomed in view of the contact region.



Figure 4: (a) Zoomed-out view of an HfB_2 coated switch that was placed in XeF_2 to remove the polysilicon and confirm the presence of HfB_2 . (b) Zoomed-in view that shows very smooth HfB_2 sidewalls.

high conformality of the HfB_2 coating. The sidewall surface roughness is equivalent to the bare polysilicon devices and is much smoother than the sputtered platinum coated devices [14]. The presence of HfB_2 on the sidewalls was confirmed by etching a device in XeF₂ that removed the polysilicon layer without attacking the HfB_2 sidewalls as shown in Figure 4.

RESULTS

The switches were tested in a nitrogen glovebox at room temperature using a Keithley 4200 parameter analyzer. The drainbeam voltage, V_{DB} , was set to 1V, the beam voltage, V_B , was set to 0V, and the current compliance was set to 100nA to protect the contact from welding and Joule heating. V_{GB} was swept from 0V to 60V, and no drain current was measured with the 1V V_{DB} . The drain-beam voltage was then increased to 5V, the V_{GB} sweep was repeated, and the current reached the 100nA compliance with sharp switching characteristics as shown in (Figure 5a). To maintain the current compliance, the parameter analyzer adjusts the voltage on both the beam and drain electrodes. By measuring the voltages required to maintain the current compliance, the voltage across the contact and the contact resistance can be calculated. For these initial devices, the contact resistance was 29.6M Ω , which is much higher than the predicted contact resistance of 250Ω . On the subsequent voltage sweep, the current compliance was increased to 1µA (Figure 5b) while maintaining the V_{DB} at 5V. The contact resistance decreased to $171k\Omega$. For the third voltage sweep, V_{DB} voltage was decreased to 1V (Figure 5c), the 1µA current compliance was still reached, and the contact resistance decreased to 8.9k Ω . By passing 1µA of current through the contact, the contact resistance decreased from 29.6M Ω to 8.9k Ω while the minimum voltage across the contact decreased from 3.0V to



Figure 5 – (a) Initial IV sweep of HfB₂ coated relay that requires high drain voltage to breakdown insulating film (V_{DB} =5V, Current Compliance=100nA). (b) Subsequent IV sweep with increased current compliance (V_{DB} =5V, Current Compliance = 1 μ A). (c) Subsequent sweep with decreased drain voltage with the higher current maintained (V_{DB} =1V, Current Compliance = 1 μ A). Insulating film broken down at contact by high voltage and high current. Actual V_{DB} were less than the setpoint to maintain current compliance.

8.9mV. These results were indicative of an insulating surface film that experienced breakdown with sufficient current flow.

The HfB₂ surface modifications were further characterized by performing a voltage sweep on an unpatterned HfB₂ film. The current flow was initially very small. When the applied voltage was 2.8V, breakdown of the surface was observed, and the current increased significantly (Figure 6a). A second voltage sweep showed only resistive current flow without any breakdown voltage. These results matched the device results showing where the initial contact voltage was measured to be 3.0V to observe current flow.

To determine the source of the breakdown voltage, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a sample of HfB_2 that had been exposed to air for three months. The analysis confirmed the presence of an oxide layer on the surface. As shown in Figure 7a, approximately 80% of the Hf signal and 50% of the B



Figure 6 – (a) IV characteristics of HfB_2 film without HF dip and (b) with 30s dip in 50:1 HF. Two probes were placed on an HfB_2 pad, and the voltage between them was swept.



Figure 7 – (a) XPS spectra of a HfB_2 film that was exposed to air for 3 months, (middle) Same film after 15 minute etch in glycerin/BOE solution, (bottom) Same film after 15 minute etch in glycerin/BOE and 30s dip in 50:1 HF. The oxygen content of the surface layer is significantly reduced by the etching steps.

signal have binding energy peaks indicative of oxides. The fabricated switches are exposed to a 15 minute etch in the BOE/glycerin solution to release the devices that could also affect the surface oxide layer. Therefore, the HfB2 sample was exposed to this same solution for 15 minutes and reanalyzed under XPS. The oxygen content was significantly reduced as shown in Figure 7b, but it was still present. To further reduce the oxide layer, a 30s dip in 50:1 HF following the BOE/glycerin etch was added. The oxygen content continued to decrease as shown in Figure 7c. The reduced oxygen content is closer to the less than 1% oxygen content found in the as-deposited film [9]. Based on the XPS results, a 60s dip in 50:1 HF was added to the release process between the BOE/glycerin step and the CPD step. After the CPD step, the samples are immediately stored in nitrogen. The two probe test was repeated and the breakdown was eliminated (Figure 6b).



Figure 8 – IV characteristics of HfB_2 coated relay treated with 60s etch in 50:1 HF. Required drain voltage is reduced ($V_{DB}=1V$) without requiring an initial high drain voltage sweep.



Figure 9 – Five cycles of HfB_2 coated switch with V_{DB} set to 1V with 100nA current compliance. The contact resistance was measured to be 1.43k Ω based on the measured drain-to-beam voltage when actuated.

With the added dilute HF dip, the 100nA current compliance was reached with a 1 V drain bias without requiring high current to breakdown an insulating film (Figure 8). The measured voltage across the contact was 143μ V corresponding to a measured contact resistance of $1.43k\Omega$. The switch showed correct operation over five cycles (Figure 9).

CONCLUSION

HfB₂ coated polysilcon switches were fabricated and tested. The switches initially had a contact resistance of 29.6M Ω , which was attributed to the presence of a surface oxide. By removing this oxide, the contact resistance decreased to 1.43k Ω , which is close to the 250 Ω calculated contact resistance. The measured contact resistance may be higher due to either the contacting asperity being smaller than the estimated 10nm or due to the presence of a thin insulating film on the surface of the contact.

These preliminary results show that HfB_2 is a promising NEM switch contact material, but further investigation into long term cycling and device statistics are required. Furthermore, future HfB_2 switches should be encapsulated in an oxygen free ambient to prevent oxidation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Fabrication work performed at the Stanford Nanofabrication Facility (member of the National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network) supported by the NSF under Grant ECS-9731293. HfB₂ film deposition took place at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Materials characterization was carried out in part in the Frederick Seitz Materials Research Laboratory Central Facilities, University of Illinois, which is partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under grants DEFG02-07ER46453 and DE-FG02-07ER46471. The work was supported by the DARPA MTO program "Nano Electro Mechanical Computers (NEMS)" (Contract number: NBCH 1090002, Program manager: Dr. T. Akinwande).

REFERENCES

- V. Kursen et al., *Multi-Voltage CMOS Circuit Design*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., England, 2006.
- [2] F. Chen et al., "Demonstration of Integrated Micro-Electro-Mechanical Switch Circuits for VLSI Applications", IEEE ISSCC 2010 pp. 150-152.
- [3] K. Akarvardar et al., "Design Considerations for Complementary Nanoelectromechanical Logic Gates", IEDM 2007 pp. 299-302.
- [4] Y. Zhou et al., "Low Power FPGA Design Using Hybrid CMOS-NEMS Approach", Proc. Intl. Symp. Low Power Electronics and Design, 2007, pp. 14-19.
- [5] H. Fariborzi et al., "Analysis and Demonstration of MEM-Relay Power Gating", Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC) (2010) pp. 1-4
- [6] S. Chong et al., "Nanoelectromechanical (NEM) Relays Integrated with CMOS SRAM for Improved Stability and Low Leakage", ICCAD 2009, pp. 478-484.
- [7] C. Chen et al., "Nano-Electro-Mechanical relays for FPGA Routing: Experimental Demonstration and a Design Technique", IEEE/ACM Design Automation and Test in Europe, Dresden, Germany, March 2012.
- [8] G. Rebeiz, *RF MEMS: Theory, Design, and Technology*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., England, 2004.
- [9] S. Jayaraman et al., "HfB₂ and Hf-B-N Hard Coatings by Chemical Vapor Deposition", Surface and Coatings Technology, 200, 22-23 (2006).
- [10] A. Chatterjee et al., "Nanoscratch and Nanofriction Behavior of Hafnium Diboride thin films", Wear, 265 (2008).
- [11] N. Tayebi et al., "Ultra Hard HfB₂ Metallic Tip-Coatings for Ultra-High Density Probe-Based Storage", ACS Nano [Submitted].
- [12] B. Nikolic et al., "Electron Transport Through a Circular Constriction", Physical Review B, 60, 6 (1999).
- [13] B. Jensen et al., "Effect of Nanoscale Heating on Electrical Transport in RF MEMS Switch Contacts", JMEMS, 14, 5 (2005).
- [14] R. Parsa et al., "Composite Polysilicon-Platinum Lateral Nanoelectromechanical Relays", Technical Digest of the 2010 Solid-State Sensor and Actuator Workshop, Hilton Head Isl., SC, 6/6-10/2010, Transducer Research Foundation, Cleveland (2010), pp. 7-10.
- [15] S. Jayaraman et al., "Hafnium Diboride Thin Films by Chemical Vapor Deposition from a Single Source Precursor", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 23, 6 (2005).

CONTACT

*W.S. Lee, tel: +1-650-918-7006; wslee@stanford.edu