## Lecture Outline for Proof Techniques

- Exhaustive Proof
- Refuting by counter-example
- Direct proof
- Indirect proof by contraposition
- Proof by contradiction
- Fallacies in proofs
- Rules of thumb
- Section 2.1 of text


## Proofs - key concepts

Formal vs. informal proofs.
Inductive vs. Deductive reasoning.

- Claim: $n^{2}-n+41$ is prime

| $n$ | $n^{2}-n+41$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 41 |
| 2 | 43 |
| 3 | 47 |
| 4 | 53 |
| Inductive reasoning might <br> conclude claim is true <br> But is there a counter-example? <br> 5 | 61 |

## An Example Proof Technique

## Exhaustive proof

- If an integer between 1 and 20 is divisible by 6 , it is also divisible by 3 .

Proof Techniques - key concepts
Choosing to prove or to refute.

- Counterexamples refute a claim
- e.g. Prove or refute
- that every odd integer is prime.

More techniques
Direct proof using the deduction method

- To prove $P \rightarrow Q$, start with the hypothesis $P$ and prove conclusion Q .
- The product of two even integers is even

Indirect proof: proving the contrapositive

- The contrapositive of $P \rightarrow Q$ is $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$
- $P \rightarrow Q \equiv Q^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$
- If $n^{2}$ is odd, then $n$ is odd
- So, instead prove:
- ???


## Proof by contradiction

- Prove that the square root of 2 is irrational.
- Any rational number can be represented as $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}$, where $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ are integers with no common factor and $\mathrm{n} \neq 0$.
- To prove by contradiction assume $\sqrt{ } 2$ is rational $=\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}$
- Then $2=(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n})^{2}$
-So, $2 \mathrm{n}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}$
- $m^{2}$ is divisible by 2 .
- Therefore, $m$ is divisible by 2. (Fundamental theorem in arithmetic)
- Therefore, $m^{2}$ is divisible by 4.


## Proof by contradiction

- Prove that the square root of 2 is irrational.
- So, $2 n^{2}=m^{2}$
- $m^{2}$ is divisible by 4.
- $2 n^{2}=4 x$
- $\mathrm{n}^{2}=2 \mathrm{x}$
- $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ is divisible by 2 .
- Therefore, n is divisible by 2 .
- Thus, $m$ and $n$ are both divisible by 2 .
- Contradiction of the assumption that $m$ and $n$ cannot have a common factor.

Contradiction vs. Contrapositive

- Proof by contradiction is more powerful than proving the contrapositive.
- In trying to prove $P \rightarrow Q$,
- Proving the contrapositive:
- Assume $Q^{\prime}$, try to prove $P^{\prime}$
- Proof by contradiction:
- Assume Q'along with P, try to prove a contradiction.
- We have more premises (can only help)
- The goal of proving a contradiction may seem harder than proving $P^{\prime}$, but it is not:
- If we prove $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, we'll have a contradiction...


## Proof by Contradiction (Example)

- Prove that the product of two odd integers is an odd integer.


## Proving if and only if statements

- To prove $P$ iff $Q$, you must prove both directions separately.
- Prove $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow P$
- Note: these are separate, independent statements that must be proven.
- $Q \rightarrow P$ is called the converse of $P \rightarrow Q$
- e.g. If it is raining out, the ground is wet. If the ground is wet, it is raining out.
- Proving a group of formulas equivalent:
- Prove $\mathrm{P} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 3 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 1$


## Fallacies

- Affirming the conclusion
- $Q$ together with $P \rightarrow Q$ does not imply $P$ !
- e.g. If it is raining outside, the ground is wet.

The ground is wet.
Therefore, it is raining outside. (not true!)

- Denying the hypothesis
- $\neg P$ together with $P \rightarrow Q$ does not imply $\neg Q$ !
- e.g. If it is raining outside, the ground is wet. It is not raining outside.
Therefore, the ground is not wet. (not true!)


## Another Fallacy

- Incorrect use of proof by contradiction
- Assume $\mathrm{P}_{\wedge} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ and without using $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ are able to prove Q.
- Then we assert $\mathrm{Q}_{\wedge} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ is a contradiction.
- What we have effectively done is proven $P \rightarrow Q$.
- Example:
- "Prove by contradiction that if a number added to itself gives the number, then the number is 0. ."


## Example

a) Draw conclusion(s) using rules of inference for the following statement. "If I play hockey, then I am sore the next day." "I use the whirlpool if I am sore." " I did not use the whirlpool."
b) Construct an argument using the rules of inference to show that the hypothesis "Randy works hard", "If Randy works hard, he is a dull boy", "If Randy is a dull boy, then he will not get the job" imply the conclusion "Randy will not get the job"

## Examples (Cont)

Determine which of the following arguments are valid (give proper reasoning).

1. If $n$ is a real number such that $n>1$, then $n^{2}>1$. Suppose that $n^{2}>1$ then $n>1$.
2. The number $\log _{2} 3$ is irrational if it is not the ratio of two integers. Therefore, since $\log _{2} 3$ cannot be written in the form $a / b$ where $a$ and $b$ are integers, it is irrational.
3. If $n$ is a real number with $n>3$ then $n^{2}>9$. Suppose $n^{2} \leq 9$ then $\mathrm{n} \leq 3$.
4. If $n$ is a real number with $n>2$, then $n^{2}>4$. Suppose that $n \leq 2$. Then $n^{2} \leq 4$.

## Rules of Thumb

- When to use which proof technique

1. Exhaustive proof:

- Can only be used for a finite number of cases.
- Demonstrate $P \rightarrow Q$ for all cases of $P$

2. Direct proof:

- The cleanest approach, use whenever possible
- Assume P, prove Q


## Rules of Thumb

- When to use which proof technique

3. Proof by contraposition:

- If Q' proves powerful to reason with.
- Demonstrate $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ by demonstrating $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$

4. Proof by contradiction:

- Use this when having $P$ and $Q^{\prime}$ in the premise set is helpful
- Assume P and Q' and show a contradiction

