

## Exercises 1.1

Ex 3)

3. a. T b. F c. F d. F e. T f. F g. T h. T

Ex 8)

- a. Either the processor is slow or the printer is fast.
- c. The processor is fast but so is the printer.
- f. The printer is slow and the file is not damaged.

Ex 13)

- a.  $H \rightarrow K$
- c.  $K \rightarrow H$
- d.  $K \leftrightarrow A$

## Exercises 1.2

42. The argument is  $(C \rightarrow F') \wedge (F \vee S) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow S)$

A proof sequence is:

1.  $C \rightarrow F'$           hyp
2.  $F \vee S$                 hyp
3.  $C$                         hyp
4.  $F'$                       1, 3, mp
5.  $S$                         2, 4, ds

47. The argument is  $[((J \vee L) \rightarrow C) \wedge T' \wedge (C \rightarrow T)] \rightarrow J'$

A proof sequence is:

1.  $(J \vee L) \rightarrow C$     hyp
2.  $T'$                       hyp
3.  $C \rightarrow T$             hyp
4.  $T' \rightarrow C'$          3, cont
5.  $C'$                       2, 4, mp
6.  $C' \rightarrow (J \vee L)'$  1, cont
7.  $(J \vee L)'$              5, 6, mp
8.  $J' \wedge L'$              7, De Morgan
9.  $J'$                       8, sim

## Exercises 1.3

Ex 2)

- e. false (may have  $x = y$ )
- f. true (pick  $y = -x$ )
- g. true (pick  $x = 2, y = 4$ )
- h. false (may have  $x = 0$ )

Ex 11)

- b.  $(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow (\exists y)(T(y) \wedge F(x, y)))$
- c.  $[(\forall x)(\forall y)(P(x) \wedge T(y) \rightarrow F(x, y))]'$  or  $(\exists x)(\exists y)(P(x) \wedge T(y) \wedge (F(x, y))'$   
or  $[(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(T(y) \rightarrow F(x, y)))]'$

Ex 16)

- a.  $(\forall x)[B(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(F(y) \rightarrow L(x, y))]$  or  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(B(x) \wedge F(y)) \rightarrow L(x, y)]$ 
  - i.  $((\exists x)[B(x) \wedge (\forall y)(L(x, y) \rightarrow F(y))])'$
- l.  $[(\exists x)[B(x) \wedge (\forall y)(F(y) \rightarrow (L(x, y)))]'$  or  $(\forall x)[B(x) \rightarrow (\exists y)(F(y) \wedge L(x, y))]$

## Exercises 1.4

5. One conclusion is that some flowers are weeds. The hypotheses have the form  $(\exists x)(F(x) \wedge P(x) \wedge T(x))$ ,  $(\forall x)(F(x) \wedge T(x) \rightarrow B(x))$ , and  $(\forall x)(F(x) \wedge B(x) \rightarrow W(x))$ . By existential and universal instantiation (in that order),  $F(a) \wedge P(a) \wedge T(a)$ ,  $F(a) \wedge T(a) \rightarrow B(a)$ , and  $F(a) \wedge B(a) \rightarrow W(a)$ . Simplification gives  $F(a) \wedge T(a)$  which, using modus ponens, gives  $B(a)$ . Combining  $F(a)$  with  $B(a)$  and using modus ponens results in  $W(a)$ . Combining  $F(a)$  and  $W(a)$  and using existential generalization results in  $(\exists x)(F(x) \wedge W(x))$ . Other possible conclusions are: Some pink flowers smell bad, Some pink, thorny, smelly flowers are weeds.
9. a. domain is the integers,  $Q(x, y)$  is " $x < y$ "; for every  $y$  there is an  $x$  with  $x < y$  but there is no single integer  $x$  that is less than every integer  $y$ .  
 b. The use of universal generalization at step 4 is illegal because step 3 was deduced by ei from  $(\exists x)Q(x, y)$  in which  $y$  is a free variable.
33. The argument is:  
 $(\exists x)(M(x) \wedge (\forall y)R(x, y)) \wedge (\forall x)(\forall y)(R(x, y) \rightarrow T(x, y)) \rightarrow (\exists x)(M(x) \wedge (\forall y)T(x, y))$   
 A proof sequence is:
- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 1. $(\exists x)(M(x) \wedge (\forall y)R(x, y))$         | hyp       |
| 2. $M(a) \wedge (\forall y)R(a, y)$                      | 1, ei     |
| 3. $M(a)$  | 2, sim    |
| 4. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(R(x, y) \rightarrow T(x, y))$ | hyp       |
| 5. $(\forall y)(R(a, y) \rightarrow T(a, y))$            | 4, ui     |
| 6. $(R(a, y) \rightarrow T(a, y))$                       | 5, ui     |
| 7. $(\forall y)R(a, y)$                                  | 2, sim    |
| 8. $R(a, y)$   | 7, ui     |
| 8. $T(a, y)$   | 6, 8, mp  |
| 9. $(\forall y)T(a, y)$                                  | 8, ug     |
| 10. $M(a) \wedge (\forall y)T(a, y)$                     | 3, 9, con |
| 11. $(\exists x)(M(x) \wedge (\forall y)T(x, y))$        | 10, eg    |

37. The argument is:

$$(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow (\exists y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y))) \wedge (\forall x)(\forall y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y) \rightarrow [D(x)]') \\ \rightarrow (\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow [D(x)]')$$

A proof sequence is:

1. $(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow (\exists y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y)))$	hyp
2. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y) \rightarrow [D(x)]')$	hyp
3. $F(x) \rightarrow (\exists y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y))$	1, ui
4. $F(x)$	temporary hyp
5. $(\exists y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y))$	3, 4, mp
6. $C(a) \wedge O(x, a)$	5, ei
7. $(\forall y)(C(y) \wedge O(x, y) \rightarrow [D(x)]')$	2, ui
8. $C(a) \wedge O(x, a) \rightarrow [D(x)]'$	7, ui
9. $[D(x)]'$	6, 8, mp
10. $F(x) \rightarrow [D(x)]'$	temporary hyp discharged
11. $(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow [D(x)]')$	10, ui

## Q5

### Problem 1

Are  $A \vee (B \wedge C)$  and  $(A \vee B) \wedge C$  equivalent?

### Solution

They are not. Draw up the truth tables for the two and you will see that they do not match for all rows.

Side note:  $A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$

This is the Distributive Law.

Q6

Problem II

Aristotle's Fallacy

No M are P.

Some S are M.

$\therefore$  Some S are not P.

1.  $(\forall x)(M(x) \rightarrow P'(x))$  hyp.

2.  $(\exists x)(S(x) \wedge M(x))$  hyp.

To prove:  $(\exists x)(S(x) \wedge P'(x))$

3.  $S(a) \wedge M(a)$   $a$  is a constant  
2, ei

4.  $M(a) \rightarrow P'(a)$  1, ei

5.  $S(a)$  3, sim

6.  $M(a)$  3, sim

7.  $P'(a)$  6, 4, mp

---

8.  $S(a) \wedge P'(a)$  5, 7, con

9.  $(\exists x)(S(x) \wedge P'(x))$  8, eg