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The history of inherent safety as a documented strategy for loss prevention is rather recent, but the concept is very old.

• On December 14, 1977, Trevor Kletz presented “What you don’t have, can’t leak,” the first clear and concise discussion of the concept of inherently safer chemical processes and plants.

A chemical manufacturing process is inherently safer if it reduces or eliminates the hazards associated with materials and operations used in the process, and this reduction of elimination is permanent and inseparable.

• Called “Inherent Safety,” Inherently Safer Technology,” or “Inherently Safer Design”
Chemical Hazard

• An inherent physical or chemical characteristic that has the potential for causing harm to people, the environment, property, and/ or operations/business.

• Hazards are intrinsic to a material, or its conditions of use.

• Examples
  • Chlorine - toxic by inhalation
  • Gasoline – fire/ thermal radiation
  • Flammable vapor – vapor cloud explosion
  • High pressure system - potential energy due to pressure, high temperature
## Inherent Safety Design Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimize</td>
<td>Use smaller quantities; eliminate unnecessary equipment; reduce size of equipment or volumes processed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitute</td>
<td>Replace material with a less hazardous substance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Use less hazardous conditions, a less hazardous form of material or facilities which minimize the impact of a release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplify</td>
<td>Design facilities which eliminate unnecessary complexity and make operating errors less likely.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Not so Inherently Safe

WEEKLY INVENTION

SAFETY DEVICE FOR WALKING ON ICY PAVEMENTS.

WHEN YOU SLIP ON ICE, YOUR FOOT KICKS PADDLE (A), LOWERING FINGER (B) SNAPPING TURTLE (C) EXTENDS NECK TO BITE FINGER, OPENING ICE TONGS (D) AND DROPPING PILLOW (E), THUS ALLOWING YOU TO FALL ON SOMETHING SOFT!

RUBE GOLDBERG (TM) RGI 100
Inherent Safety Resources

Inherently Safer Chemical Processes
A Life Cycle Approach

Second Edition

Minimize Substitute Moderate Simplify
Implementation of Inherent Safety

• While Inherent Safety concepts for the chemical industry have been around for nearly 40 years, they are still rarely employed to their full potential.

• Misconceptions
  • Only for new facilities
  • Once missed in the process design only traditional means of risk management can be applied

• Inherent Safety Regulations
Inherent Safety Regulations

- Contra Costa County – Industrial Safety Ordinance
  - When PHAs action items are considered, an inherently safer system needs to be implemented
  - If not implemented, need to justify why not
  - An annual report to the County clarifies what was done, and audited periodically for compliance
- City of Richmond, CA (follows CCC ISO)
- New Jersey - Toxic Catastrophe Prevention Act (TPCA)
  - Requirements for performing the IST review and preparing and submitting the IST review report, which occurs every 5 years or whenever a risk assessment is performed.
- EPA RMP Rule Amendments (delayed until Feb. 19, 2019)
  - Program 3 processes would be required to conduct a Safer Technology Alternatives Analysis (STAA) for each process as part of their process hazards analysis (PHA), which occurs every 5 years.
- DHS Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards
  - Inherent Safety concepts can reduce Tiering and security and program requirements
Traditional Risk Management

• Typical risk management practices focus on managing the inherent risk of the process:
  • Capital Projects
  • MOC
  • PHAs
  • PSSR

• Applying IST allows options to lower the inherent risk, and reduce/eliminate need for additional levels of risk mitigation.
Preferred Integration of Inherent Safety

MOC
All changes are evaluated and hazards identified and focus on eliminating or reducing hazards through IST

PHA
Risk-based evaluation throughout the lifecycle of the process seeking IST opportunities

Operational Readiness Review
All changes are evaluated for readiness to initiate operations and consideration of IST as is possible
Process Safety Management Strategy

• Inherent
  • Eliminate or modify the hazard and/or risk by employing one of four strategies of substitution, minimization, moderation, simplification.

• Passive
  • Minimize the hazard by process and equipment design features which reduce either the frequency or consequences of the hazard without the active functioning of any device.

• Active
  • Using controls, safety interlocks, and emergency shutdown systems to detect and correct process deviations.

• Procedural
  • Using operating procedures, administrative checks, and emergency response to prevent incidents, or to minimize the effect of an incident.
• There is a place and need for ALL of these strategies in a complete process safety management program

• IST is just one component
Practical Application of IST

• Inherent Safety will usually not eliminate all risks, but Inherent Safety opportunities can often reduce hazards and increase overall safety.

• The reduction of hazards should be first priority in identifying Inherent Safety opportunities.

• Inherent Safety is usually most impactful for new processes and facilities (applied early in process life cycle).

• Inherent Safety can be useful for existing facilities.
Practical Application of Inherent Safety (continued)

**ACTIVITIES**

1. Identify hazards and assess risk against risk management objectives. If necessary to further reduce risk, apply Steps 2-4.

2. Apply inherently safer strategies to the hazards and design of the entire plant

3. Apply inherently safer strategies to the design of layers of protection

**STEPS**

- **AVOID HAZARDS**
  - Eliminate the hazards altogether

- **REDUCE SEVERITY**
  - Reduce the absolute magnitude of severity or impacts of an incident

- **REDUCE LIKELIHOOD**
  - Reduce the likelihood of an incident or escalation of an incident

- **APPLY PASSIVE SAFEGUARDS**
  - Use passive safeguards for prevention, protection, and mitigation
Practical Application of Inherent Safety (continued)

3. Apply inherently safer strategies to the design of layers of protection

3. a. Use passive safeguards for prevention, protection, and mitigation

3. b. Use active safeguards for prevention, protection, and mitigation

3. c. Use procedures for prevention, protection and mitigation

4. Iterate through inherent safety and layers of protection safeguards until risks are tolerable per objectives in Step 1.

Inherent Safety (IS)  1st order IS  2nd order IS  Layers of Protection

APPLY PASSIVE SAFEGUARDS

APPLY ACTIVE SAFEGUARDS

APPLY PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS

CONSIDER HAZARDS & RISKS UNTIL GOALS ARE MET
Continuing Inherent Safety Challenges

• Focusing upon inherent safety as the single best answer can lead to sub-optimal risk decisions.

• Regulating Inherent Safety is problematic - neither industry nor government are clear on how to regulate inherent safety fairly and adequately

• Inherent Safety may conflict with other goals or transfer risks

• Regulation may limit application of Inherent Safety
  • Need to study/document every suggestion
  • Compliance studies vs “way of doing business”
  • Divert resources from more urgent issues
Future Needs

• Expand application of Inherent Safety in the life cycle of the chemical process and overall process safety strategy

• Inherent safety is not a standalone activity, and should be integrated into other risk assessment activities.

• Evaluation of the cost-benefit of Inherent Safety measures as compared to other traditional risk mitigation measures (e.g., passive, active, procedural)

• Better understanding of Inherent Safety trade-off (Macro Impacts)

• New CCPS guideline in development (3rd edition)
  • Focus on implementation of Inherent Safety
  • Increased and practical examples
Thank you!
Questions/Discussion?
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