PURDUE **UNIVERSITY**

School of Materials Engineering

Analysis of Coatings for The Prevention of SnQ Adhesion inside the Tin Droplet Generator Capillary

Student names: Victor Wallemacq, Frank Huang, Andrew Weiss, Justin Prukop **Faculty Advisors: Dr. David Bahr** Industrial Sponsors: Wei-Hsun Chen, Yuanyuan Jing, Yuri Fedorak

Cycle Temperature

25°C -> 400°C

400°C -> 260°C

260°C -> 25°C

The senior design team was tasked with recommending a new coating to prevent SnO_x buildup on a thin capillary. MoS₂ and a-C:H DLC were tested alongside a Cr control using three different tests: Wetting Angle, Thermal Cycling, and SnO₂ tape test. These tests helped downselect the DLC coating as the most promising candidate, worthy of further research and development. Additional work may be completed to investigate the adhesion of SnO_x nanoparticles on a-C:H DLC or other DLC types through macroscale spectroscopy methods, to assess adhesion at a scale representative of ASML's capillary.

This work is sponsored by ASML San Diego, CA



Project Background

Problem Statement: The senior design team is tasked selecting a



Experimental Procedure A) Thermal Cycling Test

• Samples were imaged with desktop SEM before cycling and following each cycling interval

Recommendations

Through an in depth literature review and supporting experiments, the team identified a-C:H DLC as a candidate coating to replace the current Cr coating onto the quartz capillary wall. Some recommendations for future exploration are discussed.

coating for the capillary wall inside the EUV ASML's droplet generator of lithography equipment. The nozzle of the droplet generator is composed of a fused quartz capillary with a conductive Cr coating. The conductive coating is intended to allow electrostatic charge bleed-off within the nozzle and enable SEM analysis of the nozzle. ASML observed build-up in SnO_x particles on the capillary walls. Build-up was found to increase with time, suggesting that SnOx particles not only adhere to the capillary walls, but also to one another.



Capillary with SnO_x

buildup

moisture using Calcium Sulfate.

1. Flush Soxhlet tube with Ar and remove

Overnight (12 hours)

B) Wetting Angle Test

Cycle Time

3 hours

3 hours

- 2. Place Sn pellet on coated surface and Stopper heat to approximately 260°C until pellet is melted. Hold for 5 minutes.
- 3. Take a picture of the coated sample for angle analysis.
- 4. Determine angle using image analysis software (ImageJ).

C) SnO₂ Adhesion Tape Test

- 1. Spin coat 15 mg of SnO_2 (35-55 nm diameter particles) onto surface.
- 2. Photograph 3 spots on each coated surface and review them.
- 3. Perform tape test and then photograph 3 locations afterwards.
- 4. Analyze two sets of images for differences in small particle count (<0.5
- μm).

Results

1) Further Exploration of different DLC types as a **Candidate Coating:**

Hydrogenated amorphous carbon samples deposited by Magnetron Sputtered Physical Vapor Deposition (MSPVD) revealed a high experimental wetting angle, which confirms a Sn-phobic surface predicted by DFT adsorption energy for a Sn and a-C:H system. Likewise, the DLC surface showed no signs of degradation through a thermal cycling test. The MSPVD deposition method provided a homogeneous coating morphology, free of pores or defects, at the resolutions analyzed. Further research at a lower coating thickness (<500 nm) would help evaluate whether a-C:H coatings can maintain their properties at sub-micron thicknesses. Finally, exploring different types of DLC including ta-C. ta-C:H, and fluorinated amorphous carbon, which may feasibly work in place of a-C:H used in this experiment.

2) Different Surface Characterization and Coating **Deposition Methods:**

The lackluster brush coating deposition method of the MoS₂ samples directly contributed to the samples' poor performance in two of the three tests. We recommend analyzing MoS₂ that has been deposited using a PVD method, instead of brush coating deposition. Similarly, different characterization methodologies should be used to compare the relative adhesion of SnO_x nanoparticles between candidates in the tape test. SEM and XRD analysis were both found insufficient to characterize the macroscale surface adhesion tendencies. It may be useful to explore alternate EDS and spectroscopy methods that could aid in characterizing the bulk coating surface [37]. Further surface characterization of DLC types and nanoparticle adhesion are recommended to better gauge the potential efficacy of DLC based coatings to replace Cr.

Requirements for the new material:

- Operate over 250°C.
- Withstand a pressure of 275 MPa.
- Coated in 10:1 aspect ratio in nanotube of ~1mm in diameter.
- Unreactive with Sn as well as SnO_x .

Previous Work:

- Electrostatic forces are the biggest contributor to particle adhesion.
- Conductive coatings are needed to bleed off the electrostatic charge on the capillary walls.
- Oxidized metals perform the worst while purely metallic materials and amorphous carbon are best.





Tape Test Setup

Density Functional Theory

Background and Motivation

- Quantum computational modeling method used to investigate and calculate electronic structures.
- Utilizing Quantum Espresso V6.6 PWscf (plane wave self consistent field) and Halstead community cluster to run computations.
- Utilizing DFT to calculate surface energies of coatings to narrow down coating candidates and selection.
- Determine adsorption energies of SnO₂ adsorbing onto coating candidates to determine the most favorable coating candidate in prevention of SnO_2 adhesion.
- Determine adsorption energies of Sn adsorbing onto coatings.
- Correlating DFT SnO₂ and Sn adsorption energy calculations to wetting angle and adhesion tape tests to determine whether DFT will be a useful tool in the future.

Parameters and Equations

- Software such as BURAI V1.3, ASE (Atomic Simulation Environment) and Materials Square used for modeling slabs and supercells of our selected coatings.
- Pseudopotentials were obtained from PSLibrary via Q.E.
- Miller indices plane for slabs were chosen based on the closed packed plane for each coating, allowing the most representative plane in nature.
- Adsorption site of Sn,SnO₂ placed onto top center (001) plane of the slabs.
- Equations used to calculate surface and adsorption energies are specified:

A)Thermal Cycling Test

Only MoS₂ showed any signs of degradation following the second



A)Wetting Angle Test

The three coating candidates all performed well with high wetting angles. There was no statistical difference found between them. The Cu wetted significantly showed that some coatings are unsuitable for passing this test, thus verifying this test works.



Average				
Wetting	29.2 ± 3.57	145.1 ± 3.5	137.88 ± 10.68	139.88 ± 1.7
Angle	degrees	degrees	degrees	degrees

A)SnO₂ Adhesion Tape Test

table

three

three

coating.

Before

After

The

There was a difference between the before and after images for both Cr and DLC. It was found to be statistically insignificant. The MoS₂ was not analyzed for a difference in particle count due to a scaly surface topography that made identifying particles difficult.

3) DFT Variations for Complete Experimentation:

Variations in the DFT simulation framework could help to provide further information about the coatings and their efficacy in ASML's system. Some recommendations include: performing calculations on differing absorption sites, calculating the adhesion energies for less common crystallographic planes, and including different forces such as electrostatic forces.

References



$$E_{final} = nE_{bulk} + A(E_{surface})$$
$$E_a = -[E_{system} - (E_{adsorbent} + E_{adsorbate})]$$

Adsorption energy calculations of Sn onto respective coating candidates

Coating	Adsorbent (eV)	Adsorbate (eV)	Adsorbent + Adsorbate (eV)	Adsorption Energy (eV)
Cr	-38,184.713	-2,217.652	-40,405.571	-3.222
MoS ₂	-40,389.629	-2,217.652	-42,608.505	-1.224
a-C-H	-40,089.142	-2,217.652	-42,307.889	-1.106
Cu	-197,766.794	-2,217.652	-199,987.31	-2.861

Adsorption energy calculations of SnO₂ onto respective coating candidates

-				
Coating	Adsorbent (eV)	Adsorbate (eV)	Adsorbent + Adsorbate (eV)	Adsorption Energy (eV)
Cr	-28,634.240	-3,349.912	-31,984.913	-0.767
MoS ₂	-20,165.895	-3,349.912	-23,516.335	-0.542
a-C-H DLC	-40,089.142	-3,349.912	-43,438.876	-0.180





Due to the large number of references consulted for the literature review, a QR code has been provided to our complete list of references. This includes references consulted and cited throughout the duration of this project.

Conclusions

The DFT simulations correlated with our physical testing results. Based on our tests and resultant imaging, the DLC coating was found to be the best candidate. The wetting angle test proved to be the most successful in showing the coating's tendency to resist wetting by Sn. SEM and XRD analysis were not suitable to characterize the adhesion of SnO_x nanoparticles on the coating surfaces. Additionally, the brush coated MoS₂ samples showed low resistance to thermal degradation, making MoS₂ undesirable as a Cr replacement in ASML's droplet generator capillary.

MSE 430-440: Materials Processing and Design