

School of Materials Engineering

# **Machining Effects on Mechanical Properties of Ni and Ti Based Superalloy Systems**

Natalie Carter, Jacob Melvin, Dylan Morris, Brooke Prestin Faculty Advisors: Dr. Maria Okuniewski and Dr. Kevin Trumble Industrial Sponsors: Andrew Hinshaw, Brian Griffin, and Rachel Pry

The machining effects of turning and low stress grinding Ti-834, CMSX-4®, and Haynes® 242® were examined through statistical analysis of mechanical properties from room temperature (26°C) tensile (RTT), elevated temperature (600°C) tensile (ETT), creep, and notched stress rupture (NSR) tests. Percent elongation (%EL), reduction of area (ROA), yield strength (YS), ultimate tensile strength (UTS), creep rupture life, and notched rupture life were analyzed for practical and statistical differences using equivalence tests. Results from Ti-834 were statistically and practically equivalent, but CMSX-4® and Haynes® 242® were largely inconclusive due to sample size. Further analysis was done on all alloys to study machining effect, and on Haynes® 242® to start a path to determining the cause of notch failure in LSG test specimen.

This work is sponsored by Howmet Aerospace Whitehall, MI



#### **Project Background**

Titanium and nickel-based superalloys are produced at Howmet via investment casting and forging. Mechanical testing is a required part of qualifying alloy heats for production and sale. For each test, customers specify parameters, properties of interest, and sample preparation methods. Turning and low stress grinding (LSG) are used to prepare Ti-834, CMSX-4®, and Haynes® 242® test specimen. LSG is currently the required machining method for tensile, creep, and notched stress rupture specimen production, however turning is a lower cost, alternative fabrication method.

## Approach

Statistical analysis was used to determine if machining method affects mechanical properties. Observational studies were completed before the project began with



**Project Goal:** Determine whether turning can replace LSG for Ni and Ti based superalloys without significantly affecting mechanical properties.

### **Ti-834**

RTT and ETT tests were performed on Ti-834. An observational study was conducted using a historical dataset provided by Howmet. Average values of 2-12 tests from various heats, dates, and test rings were provided. The experimental test eliminated variability due to heat, test specimen preparation, and testing environment.





**CMSX-4**®

RTT, ETT, and creep tests were performed on CMSX-4®. Observational tests were not performed, as Howmet has not previously turned the alloy. The experimental test used material from the same heat to avoid variability due to heat, test specimen preparation, and testing environment.



various heats, preparation methods, and sample sizes. Experimental tests reduced variability and tested within one heat. Equivalence tests compare 95% confidence intervals between two datasets to identify statistical and practical equivalence. Values were weighted if sample size was inconsistent.

 $CI = (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t_{df} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}\right) (SE)$ 

**Equation 1:** Confidence interval equation, where  $\bar{x}$  is the mean of the dataset (1 LSG) and 2 turned),  $t_{df}$  is the z value,  $\alpha$  is the confidence level, and SE is standard error. For observational studies, a pooled standard deviation is used to calculate SE.

Figure 1: Equivalence test scenarios, including (A) statistically equivalent, (B) statistically and practically different, (C) statistically different but practically equivalent, and (D) not equivalent and not different, largely inconclusive. Difference in means is defined as mean LSG – mean turned. Bounds for each property were defined by Howmet.

# Haynes® 242®

NSR tests were performed on Haynes® 242®. An additional study was conducted using a dataset provided by Howmet, and rupture life and failure mechanisms were examined using statistical and SEM analysis. The experimental study used material from the same heat and looked at rupture life variability between machining methods. Notch failure has historically been an issue, so emphasis was placed on finding its source.



fracture mechanisms

forged, near-α precipitation strengthened Ti alloy with a two-phase microstructure known for its strength and corrosion resistance. [1]

Surface Analysis



Figure 3: Micrographs of machined surfaces. The top image is a LSG specimen tested at 26°C and the bottom is a turned specimen tested at 600°C.

Surface Observations:

analysis.

- The turned surface had some discoloration due to oxidation during high temperature testing

20 Mean Difference (MPa) Mean Difference (MPa)

Figure 4: 95% confidence intervals for al properties evaluated after tensile testing at 600°C (ETT) and 26°C (RTT). Experimental sample sizes were much smaller than observational, creating a larger confidence interval. Results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Conclusions from tensile tests. (+) indicates LSG value was higher than turned. Green is an acceptable difference, and yellow is inconclusive. Reference Figure 1 for type explanations.

	n				
	(LSG/Turned)	Elong	ROA	UTS	YS
600°C Experimental	4/4	D	D	Α	Α
600°C Observational	29/176	А	А	Α	С
26°C Experimental	5/5	Α	D+	Α	Α
26°C Observational	28/167	Α	Α	С	Α

**Statistical Observations:** 

- ETT experimental test was inconclusive (due to sample size)
- The experimental results with conclusive intervals were consistent with the observational study
- Other tests were practically

Figure 5: Micrograph of CMSX-4®. It is a cast, single crystal Ni alloy with 9.6% Co and a two-phase y-y' cuboidal microstructure. [2]

Surface Analysis



Figure 6: Micrographs of machined surface (top) and SEM images (bottom) of machining layer effect on creep specimen after testing.

Surface Observations:

- Machining lines were less distinguishable in the LSG specimen
- The LSG specimen has a more random machining pattern

Statistical Observations:

- ETT: unacceptable to switch machining method
- RTT: inconclusive
- Creep: inconclusive
- Sample size caused wide confidence intervals
- Mean Difference (%EL) ROA 10 Mean Difference (%ROA) Notched Rupture Life 200 -100 100 Mean Difference (hr) Figure 10: 95% confidence intervals for all properties evaluated after NSR testing at 649°C and 621MPa. Values were reported for all tests that did not fail in the notch. **Statistical Observations:** % Elongation: Type D, inconclusive % ROA: no bounds given, inconclusive Notched rupture life: Type D, inconclusive • 4/8 of the LSG specimen and all 7 turned specimen failed in the gauge region with brittle, intergranular
- Sample size caused wide confidence intervals that Notch-failed surface had spread outside of the given

<ul> <li>The turned surface has vertical machining lines from the lathe tool</li> <li>and statistically equivaler</li> <li>Machining methods did r affect mechanical results</li> </ul>	t Ot Machining method does not yet exhibit a statistical effect on mechanical properties. More testing should	non uniform failure typesboundsHaynes® 242® Conclusion:It is recommended to switch from LSG to turning, butgeometric measurements of each notch should betaken to understand variability.		
<i>Ti-834 Conclusion:</i> It is recommended to switch from LSG to turning.	be done with a larger sample size because initial testing discourages turning the alloy.			
<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b> Based on the results from the equivalence tests, turning can replace LSG for Ti-834. It cannot be concluded whether turning can replace LSG for CMSX-4® creep testing, as the sample size in the experimental studies was too small to determine a practical difference. It is not recommended to replace LSG with turning for CMSX-4® tensile testing, as the results were statistically and practically different. For NSR testing (Haynes® 242®), LSG should be replaced with turning, as LSG showed more frequent notch failure. It is recommended that additional NSR (Haynes® 242®) and creep (CMSX-		<b>Acknowledgements</b> Special thanks to: Joseph Byerly, Mengqian Shen, Honglin Xu, Yunke Zhou, Dr. Arman Sabbaghi (Department of Applied Statistics, statistics consulting), Jonova Thomas (SEM), Darren Pauly (sample preparation), Tim VanMeter and Aury Ku Blanco (administrative and sample assistance) and the Howmet team (Howmet Research Center) for their assistance with this project.		
4 <sup>®</sup> ) testing should be done to help quantify the difference in mechanical properties. For NSR tests, statistical results were inconclusive due to the small sample size. Geometric measurements should be taken on each test specimen and correlated with mechanical properties. Until rupture life becomes consistent within a machining method, it should not be permanently replaced with the other, as the ideal machining method has not yet been determined through statistical		<b>References</b> 1. P. Davies, et al. "The hierarchy of microstru 51-67, 2016. 2. N. S. Husseini et al, "Mapping single-crysta no. 17, pp. 4715-4723, 2016.	icture parameters," Acta Materialia, vol. 117, pp. Il dendritic microstructure," Acta Materialia, vol. 56,	

- no. 17, pp. 4715-4723, 2016.
- 3. "Haynes 242 alloy data sheet," 2017. [Online]. Available: http://haynesintl.com/. [Accessed October 2019].

MSE 430-440: Materials Processing and Design