## CHAPTER 6

## LAGRANGE'S EQUATIONS (Analytical Mechanics)

## Ex. 1: Consider a particle moving on a fixed horizontal surface.

Let, $\underline{r}_{P}$ be the position and $\underline{F}$ be the total force on the particle. The FBD is:


The equation of motion is $m \ddot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{p}}=\underline{\mathrm{F}}(\underline{\mathrm{r}}, \underline{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t})$

In component form, the equation of motion is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m \ddot{x}_{P}=F_{x}(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, t) \\
& m \ddot{y}_{P}=F_{y}(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, t) \\
& m \ddot{z}_{P}=F_{z}(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, motion is restricted to $x y$ plane
$\rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}}$ - equation of constraint
$\rightarrow$ It is a geometric restriction on where the particle can go in the 3-D space.
$\rightarrow$ Clearly, there is a constraint reaction (force) that needs to be included in the total force F .

## Ex. 2: Consider a particle moving on a surface.



Now, the motion is confined to a prespecified surface (e.g. a roller coaster). The surface is defined by the relation: $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})-\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0}$ - equation of constraint. The equation of motion will again be the same.

- The constraints in the two examples are geometric or configuration constraints.

They could be independent of time $t$, or could depend explicitly on it. For an $\mathbf{N}$ particle system, if the positions of particles are given by $\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \underline{r}_{3}, \ldots$, the constraint can be written as:

$$
f\left(\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \cdots, \underline{r}_{N}, t\right)=0
$$

This is an equation of a finite or geometric or holonomic constraint.

## Ex. 3: Double pendulum: it consists of two

 particles and two massless rigid rodsThe masses are $\mathrm{m}_{1}:\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{m}_{2}:\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$
( $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$ : planar motion)
Number of coordinates
 required is 4 - used to define the configuration

- There are certain constraints on motion:

$$
l_{1}^{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right), l_{2}^{2}=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

$\rightarrow 2$ equations of constraint (they are holonomic, geometric, finite etc.)

- Degrees-of-freedom: the number of independent coordinates needed to completely specify the configuration of the $\operatorname{system}(4-2)=2$.
One could perhaps find another set of two coordinates (variables) that are independent: e.g., $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, the two angles with the vertical.

Then, there are no constraints on $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$,

## Ex. 4: A dumbbell moving in space



- one possible specification of position is:

$$
m_{1}: x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1} ; m_{2}: x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}
$$

- these are 6 variables or coordinates, and there is one constraint

$$
\ell^{2}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

## $\rightarrow$ degrees-of-freedom of the system 6-1=5

- another possible specification for the configuration of the system:


Location of center of mass $\mathbf{C}:\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$; and orientation of the rod: $(\phi, \theta)$. These are independent $\rightarrow$ no constraint relation for these variables.

- generalized coordinates - any number of variables needed to completely specify the configuration of a system. e.g., for the dumbbell in space motion:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}, \phi, \theta\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

there are two sets of generalized coordinates

Important: some sets consist of independent coordinates (no constraints) where as others are not independent.

## Ex. 5: Ice skate

## Basic facts:

Configuration of the skate can be specified by the coordinates $(x, y)$ and the angle $\theta$. The ice skates can only move along the plane of the skate, i.e., in the tangent direction
 specified by angle $\theta$.
(a constraint)

## Let $\mathbf{t}$ - tangent to the path, $\underline{\mathbf{n}}$ - normal to the

 path. Then $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{n}=0 \quad$ for the skate, or$$
(\dot{\mathrm{x}} \underline{\underline{i}}+\dot{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\underline{j}}{ }) \cdot(-\cos \theta \underline{j}+\sin \theta \underline{i})=0
$$

or

$$
\dot{x} \sin \theta-\dot{y} \cos \theta=0
$$

a constraint which depends both, on coordinates and their time derivatives.

- In general

$$
\phi\left(\underline{\mathrm{r}}_{1}, \cdots, \underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{1}, \cdots, \dot{\underline{\underline{r}}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=0
$$

Such a constraint is called a kinematical, differential, nonholonomic constraint.

We have seen then that, in general:
Holonomic constraints are of the form

$$
\phi_{j}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}, t\right)=0, j=1,2,3, \ldots, g
$$

$\rightarrow$ equality constraints involving only generalized coordinates and time
Nonholonomic constraints are of the form $\phi_{j}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}, \dot{q}_{1}, \ldots, \dot{q}_{N}, t\right)=0, j=1,2,3, \ldots, d$
$\rightarrow$ they depend on generalized coordinates, velocities, as well as time.

## Fundamental difference:

- A geometric constraint restricts the configurations that can be achieved during motion. Certain regions (positions) are inaccessible
- A kinematic constraint only restricts the velocities that can be acquired at a given position. The system can, however, occupy any position desired (e.g.: one can reach any point in the skating rink - it is just that one cannot move in arbitrary direction).

We can also write the constraints in the form: (in differential form)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i}\left(q_{1}, \ldots ., q_{n}, t\right) d q_{i}+a_{j t}\left(q_{1}, \ldots ., q_{n}, t\right) d t=0, \\
\boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \ldots ., \boldsymbol{d}
\end{array}
$$

- Whether a constraint is holonomic or nonholonomic depends on whether the differential form is integrable or nonintegrable.

Ex 6: Particle model of a skate: two equal masses are connected by a massless rigid rod. They slide on the XY plane. $G$ is the centroid of the system.

- $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$

The other constraints on motion are:

- length is constant

$$
\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}=\ell^{2}
$$

(holonomic)


- Skate cannot move $\underline{v}_{G} \cdot \underline{n}=0$ along $\underline{n}$ direction (nonholonomic)

We now define these constraints in terms of the physical coordinates, and then the generalized coordinates $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :
The CG has $\underline{v}_{G}=\left[\left(\dot{x}_{1}+\dot{x}_{2}\right) \underline{i}+\left(\dot{y}_{1}+\dot{y}_{2}\right) \underline{j}\right] / 2$
Now $\quad \underline{n}=-\cos \theta \underline{j}+\sin \theta \underline{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \theta=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) / l, \quad \sin \theta=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) / l \\
\rightarrow \quad & \underline{n}=\left[\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) \underline{i}-\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \underline{j}\right] / l
\end{aligned}
$$

The nonholonomic constraint is

$$
\left(\dot{x}_{1}+\dot{x}_{2}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)-\left(\dot{y}_{1}+\dot{y}_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=0
$$

The coordinates are: $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ Generalized coordinates:

$$
x_{1}=q_{1}, y_{1}=q_{2}, z_{1}=q_{3}, x_{2}=q_{4}, y_{2}=q_{5}, z_{2}=q_{6}
$$

Then, the constraints have to be written in terms of $q$ 's:

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{1}=0, z_{2}=0 \quad \text { constraints \#1 and \#2 } \\
\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)^{2}-\ell^{2}=0 \\
\text { constraint \#3 } \\
\left(\dot{x}_{1}+\dot{x}_{2}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)-\left(\dot{y}_{1}+\dot{y}_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=0 \\
\text { constraint \#4 }
\end{gathered}
$$

Constraint \#1: $z_{1}=0 \Rightarrow \dot{z}_{1}=0$

## In differential form

$$
\frac{d z_{1}}{d t} d t=0 \quad \rightarrow d z_{1}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad d\left(q_{3}\right)=0
$$

In general form, we have
$\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{j i} d q_{i}+a_{j t} d t=0, j=1$
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} d q_{1}+a_{12} d q_{2}+\cdots+a_{16} d q_{6}+a_{1 t} d t=0 \\
& \rightarrow a_{11}=0, a_{12}=0, a_{13}=1, a_{14}=0 \\
& \quad a_{15}=0, a_{16}=0, a_{1 t}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Constraint \#2: $z_{2}=0 \Rightarrow \dot{z}_{2}=0$

## In differential form

$\frac{d z_{2}}{d t} d t=0$

$$
\rightarrow d z_{2}=0 \text { or } \quad d\left(q_{6}\right)=0
$$

In general form, we have
$\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{j i} d q_{i}+a_{j t} d t=0, j=2$
or
$a_{21} d q_{1}+a_{22} d q_{2}+\cdots+a_{26} d q_{6}+a_{2 t} d t=0$
$\rightarrow a_{21}=0, a_{22}=0, a_{23}=0, a_{24}=0$,

$$
a_{25}=0, a_{26}=1, a_{2 t}=0
$$

## constraint \#3:

$$
\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)^{2}-\ell^{2}=0
$$

In differential form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(d x_{2}-d x_{1}\right)+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)\left(d y_{2}-d y_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \quad \quad\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\left(d z_{2}-d z_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \text { or } \quad\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) d x_{1}+\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) d x_{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) d y_{1}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) d y_{2} \\
& \quad \quad+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) d z_{1}+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) d z_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline\left(q_{1}-q_{4}\right) d q_{1}+\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{4}+\left(q_{2}-q_{5}\right) d q_{2} \\
+\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{5}+\left(q_{3}-q_{6}\right) d q_{3}+\left(q_{6}-q_{3}\right) d q_{6}=0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## constraint \#4:

$\left(\dot{x}_{1}+\dot{x}_{2}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)-\left(\dot{y}_{1}+\dot{y}_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=0$
In Differential form:
$\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right)\left(d q_{1}+d q_{4}\right)-\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right)\left(d q_{2}+d q_{5}\right)=0$
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{1}-\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{2}+\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{4} \\
& -\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{5}+(0) d q_{5}+(0) d q_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- differential form of constraints (in general): $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i} d q_{i}+a_{i t} d t=0, j=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots m$

A constraint (or differential form) is integrable if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial a_{j i} / \partial q_{k}=\partial a_{j k} / \partial q_{i} \\
& \partial a_{j i} / \partial t=\partial a_{j i} / \partial q_{i}, \quad i, k=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

These are conditions for exactness (of a differential form)

Ex 7: Consider a constraint $a_{11} \dot{x}_{1}+a_{12} \dot{x}_{2}+a_{1 t}=0$
In differential form, it is: $a_{11} d x_{1}+a_{12} d x_{2}+a_{1 t} d t=0$
Suppose that $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{1 t}$ are constants.
Clearly, the constraint is integrable:
The integrated form is: $a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{11} t=c$
Mathematically, if integrable, there is a function $\phi$ such that $d \phi / d t=0$
or $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}} d x_{1}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}} d x_{2}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} d t=0$
$\rightarrow a_{11}=\partial \phi / \partial x_{1}, a_{12}=\partial \phi / \partial x_{2}, a_{1 t}=\partial \phi / \partial t$

Clearly, then $\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial a_{12}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial x_{2}}=0$
or $\quad \frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial a_{12}}{\partial x_{1}} \leftarrow$
Similarily $\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial a_{1 t}}{\partial x_{1}}=0, \frac{\partial a_{12}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial a_{1 t}}{\partial x_{2}}=0$

- These are sufficient conditions for the constraint to be integrable.


## e.g.: consider the constraint \#3 ( $\mathbf{j}=3$ )

$\left(q_{1}-q_{4}\right) d q_{1}+\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{4}+\left(q_{2}-q_{5}\right) d q_{2}$

$$
+\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{5}=0
$$

Here $a_{j 1}=q_{1}-q_{4}, a_{j 2}=q_{2}-q_{5}, a_{j 3}=0$
Thus $\partial a_{j 1} / \partial q_{2}=0=\partial a_{j 2} / \partial q_{1}$
Similarly $a_{j 4}=q_{4}-q_{1}, a_{j 5}=q_{5}-q_{2}, a_{j 6}=0$
and $\partial a_{j 1} / \partial q_{4}=-1=\partial a_{j 4} / \partial q_{1}$, etc.
$\Rightarrow$ This constraint is integrable.

Also, $\partial a_{j 1} / \partial q_{3}=0=\partial a_{j 3} / \partial q_{1}$

$$
\partial a_{j 1} / \partial q_{5}=0=\frac{\partial a_{j 5}}{\partial q_{1}} ; a_{j 5}=q_{5}-q_{2}
$$

$\partial a_{j 1} / \partial q_{6}=0=\partial a_{j 6} / \partial q_{1} ; \partial a_{j t} / \partial q_{1}=\partial a_{j 1} / \partial t=0$

$$
\partial a_{j 2} / \partial q_{3}=0=\partial a_{j 3} / \partial q_{2}
$$

Now, consider constraint \#4:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{1}-\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{2}+\left(q_{5}-q_{2}\right) d q_{4} \\
-\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right) d q_{5}=0
\end{array}
$$

Then $a_{41}=q_{5}-q_{2}, a_{42}=-\left(q_{4}-q_{1}\right), a_{43}=0$,
or, $\partial a_{41} / \partial q_{2}=-1 \neq \partial a_{42} / \partial q_{1}=1$
$\Rightarrow$ not an exact differential; i.e., it is not an integrable constraint.

Classification: an $\mathbf{N}$ particle system is said to be:

- Holonomic - if all constraints are geometric, or if kinematic - are integrable (reducible to geometric).
- Nonholonomic - if there is a constraint which is kinematic and not integrable.
- Schleronomic - all the constraints, geometric as well as kinetic, are independent of time $t$ explicitly.
- Rheonomic - if at least one constraint depends explicitly on time $t$.


## Possible and Virtual Displacements

Suppose that a system of $\mathbf{N}$ particles, with position vectors $\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \cdots, \underline{r}_{N}$ has $\boldsymbol{d}$ geometric constraints

$$
\phi_{i}\left(\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \underline{r}_{3}, \ldots, \underline{r}_{N}, t\right)=0, i=1,2,3, \ldots ., d,
$$

and $\mathbf{g}$ kinematic constraints

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{j i} \cdot \underline{\underline{\dot{q}}}_{j}+D_{j}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, g
$$

Here $\underline{l}_{j i} \equiv \underline{l}_{j i}\left(\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \underline{r}_{3}, \ldots, \underline{r}_{N}, t\right)$, etc.

## In differential form

$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla \phi_{i j} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}_{j}+\partial \phi_{i} / \partial t=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{l}_{j i} \bullet \dot{\underline{r}}_{j}+D_{j}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ For the given system at time $t$, with position fixed by the values of $\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \ldots, \underline{r}_{N}$, the velocities cannot be arbitrary. They must satisfy $\mathbf{d}+\mathrm{g}$ equations.

Possible velocities: the set of all velocities which satisfy the $(d+g)$ linear equations of constraints.
3N > (d+g) - infinity of possible velocities.
One of these is realized in an actual motion of the system. Let

$$
d \underline{\underline{r}}_{i} \equiv \dot{\underline{r}}_{i} d t, i=1,2, \ldots ., N
$$

These are the_possible (infinitesimal) displacements. They satisfy
$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla \phi_{i j} \cdot d \underline{r}_{j}+\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial t} d t=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{l}_{j i} \cdot d \underline{r}_{i}+D_{j} d t=0, j=1,2, \ldots, g \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, there are $d+g$ equations in $\mathbf{3 N}$ possible (scalar) displacements $d \underline{r}_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, N$.

- Consider two sets of possible displacements at the same instant at a given position of the system:
$d \underline{r}_{i}^{\prime}=\underline{v}_{i}^{\prime} d t$ and $d \underline{r}_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\underline{v}_{i}^{\prime \prime} d t, i=1,2, \ldots, N$
Both these displacements satisfy the above equations.


## Taking their differences $\Rightarrow$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla \phi_{i j} \cdot\left(d \underline{r}_{j}^{\prime}-d \underline{r}_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{j i} \bullet\left(d \underline{r}_{j}^{\prime}-d \underline{r}_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, i=1,2, \ldots, g
$$

These are homogeneous relations not involving (dt).
Def: $\delta \underline{r}_{i} \equiv d \underline{r}^{\prime}-d \underline{r}^{\prime \prime} \quad$ - virtual displacement Virtual displacement $\equiv$ a possible displacement with frozen time. (dt set to 0 ).

Note: If the constraints are independent of time (schleronomic), a possible displacement = virtual displacement.
Ex 8: A particle is moving on a fixed surface defined by $f(x, y, z)-c=0$. The velocity $\underline{v}$ is always tangent to the surface $\Rightarrow d \underline{r} \cdot \underline{n}=\delta \underline{r} \bullet \underline{n}=0$ where

$$
\underline{n}=\nabla f /|\nabla f|, \nabla f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \underline{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \underline{j}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \underline{k}
$$

## Ex 9: A particle is moving on a surface

 which itself moves to the right with velocity $\underline{u}$.
## Possible velocities

$$
\underline{v}=\underline{v}_{R}+\underline{u}
$$

( $\underline{v}_{R}$-relative velocity)
Possible displacements $d \underline{r}=\underline{v} d t=\left(\underline{v}_{R}+\underline{u}\right) d t$
Two possible displacements:
$\Rightarrow d \underline{r}^{\prime}=\left(\underline{v}_{R}^{\prime}+\underline{u}\right) d t, d \underline{r}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\underline{v}_{R}^{\prime \prime}+\underline{u}\right) d t$


- Thus, a virtual displacement is

$$
\delta \underline{r}=d \underline{r}^{\prime}-d \underline{r}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\underline{v}_{R}^{\prime}-\underline{v}_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right) d t=\delta \underline{r}_{R}^{\prime}-\delta \underline{r}_{R}^{\prime \prime}
$$


$d \underline{r}-$ is along absolute velocity direction, whereas $\delta \underline{r}-$ is along relative velocity or
tangent to the surface (frozen constraint) ( set $\mathbf{d t}=0$ ).

## Degrees-of-freedom:

N - number of particles
$(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{g})$ - geometric + kinematic constraints
$\rightarrow$ there are $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 N}-(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{g})$ independent virtual displacements
Problem of Dynamics:
Given a system with - external forces

$$
\underline{F}_{i} \equiv \underline{F}_{i}(\underline{r}, \underline{\dot{r}}, t), i=1,2, \ldots ., N
$$

Initial positions $\underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{io}}$, and initial velocities $\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{io}}$ compatible with constraints; we need to
determine the motion of the system of particles, i.e., the positions $\left(\underline{r}_{i}(t)\right)$, the velocities $\dot{\underline{r}}_{i}$, and the constraint or reaction forces $\underline{R}_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, N$.

$$
\text { - } m_{i} \ddot{\underline{\ddot{q}}}_{i}=\underline{F}_{i}+\underline{R}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

(3N equations)

- $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla \phi_{i j} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}_{j}+\partial \phi_{i} / \partial t=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d$
(d equations)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{j i} \cdot d \underline{r}_{i}+D_{j} d t=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, g \\
\quad \text { (g equations) }
\end{array}
$$

In these equations, the unknowns are: $\underline{r}_{i}, \underline{R}_{i}-$ 6N unknowns
Thus, additional relations required:

$$
\mathbf{6 N}-(\mathbf{3 N}+\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{g})=\mathbf{3 N}-(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{g}) \equiv \mathbf{n}
$$

(equal to the number of degrees-of-freedom)
Need to define concept of workless constraints. 6.4 Virtual Work

Definition: A workless constraint is any constraint such that the virtual work (work done in a virtual displacement) of the constraint forces acting on the system is zero for any reversible virtual displacement.

## Ex 10: Consider a double pendulum.

The positions are:
$\underline{r}_{1}=x_{1} \underline{i}+y_{1} \underline{j}$
$\underline{r}_{2}=x_{2} \underline{i}+y_{2} \underline{j}$
Constraints are:
$\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)-\ell^{2}=0$
or $x_{1} \dot{x}_{1}+y_{1} \dot{y}_{1}=0$

(differential form)
$\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}-\ell^{2}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or }\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(\dot{x}_{2}-\dot{x}_{1}\right)+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}_{2}-\dot{y}_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \text { (differential form) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Consider FBD's

## Then,

$\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1}\left(x_{1} / y_{1}\right)$

$$
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(x_{2}-x\right)}{y_{2}-y_{1}}
$$



The equations of motion for $A$ are:
$\underline{\underline{x}}: \quad m \ddot{x}_{1}=T_{2} \sin \theta_{2}-T_{1} \sin \theta_{1}$
$\underline{\underline{y}}: \quad m \ddot{y}_{1}=T_{2} \cos \theta_{2}-T_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+m g$

## The equations of motion for $B$ are:


$\mathbf{2 N}$ - differential equations of motion
2 - equations of constraint
variables (unknowns): $x_{1}(t), y_{1}(t)$

$$
x_{2}(t), y_{2}(t) \quad T_{1}(t), T_{2}(t)
$$

## Ex 11: Consider the motion of an ideal pendulum

 The position is$$
\underline{r}=x \underline{i}+y \underline{j}
$$

Newton's Law:
$\ddot{r}+\ddot{x} \underline{i}+\ddot{y} \underline{j}$
$\sum \underline{F}=m \underline{\ddot{r}}$
FBD:
The reaction
force is:
$\underline{\mathbf{R}}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{x}} \underline{\boldsymbol{i}}+\mathbf{R}_{y} \boldsymbol{i}$


Newton's 2nd law gives
$\underline{\underline{x}}: \quad R_{x}=m \ddot{x}$
(1)
$\underline{\underline{y}}: \quad R_{y}+m g=m \ddot{y}$
Constraint on motion is:

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}-l^{2}=0
$$

$$
\text { or } \quad x \dot{x}+y \dot{y}=0 \quad(\underline{r} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}}=0)
$$

(differentiated form)
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x ~ d x}+\mathbf{y} \mathbf{d y}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \quad \text { (differential form) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Counting: $\quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \text { equations } \\ & \\ & \mathbf{4} \text { variables }-x, y, R_{x}, R_{y}\end{aligned}$
Need one more relation:

- something about the nature of the constraint force $\underline{R}=R_{x} \underline{i}+R_{y} \underline{j}$

Hindsight: We know $\underline{R}$ along the rod normal to the direction of velocity - does no work in motion of the particle (motion that is consistent with the constraint).

Work done in a virtual displacement of the system

$$
\delta W=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{R}_{i} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}
$$

Ex 12: Consider a particle moving on a smooth surface. Then the work done by the constraint force $\underline{R}$ in a virtual displacement $\delta \underline{r}$
 (consistent with constraint) is

$$
\delta W=\underline{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}=R \underline{n} \cdot \delta \underline{r}=0
$$

Ex 13: Consider the same situation, with the particle now moving on a moving surface:

Here again
$\delta W=R \underline{n} \cdot \delta \underline{r}=0$
Note however that $\underline{R} \cdot d \underline{r} \neq 0$ since $d \underline{r}$ is not in the tangent direction.


Ex 14: Consider two particles connected by
a rigid rod:


$\underline{R}_{1}=-\underline{R}_{2}=+R_{2} \underline{e}_{R}$ where $\left|\underline{R}_{2}\right|=R_{2}=\left|\underline{R}_{1}\right|$
$\underline{e}_{R}$ - unit vector from $m_{1}$ to $m_{2}$
The length constraint is: $\left(\underline{r}_{1}-\underline{r}_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\underline{r}_{1}-\underline{r}_{2}\right)-l^{2}=0$
Differentiating, the constraint on possible
displacements is: $\left(\underline{r}_{1}-\underline{r}_{2}\right) \cdot\left(d \underline{r}_{1}-d \underline{r}_{2}\right)=0$
Thus, $\left(\underline{r}_{1}-\underline{r}_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\delta \underline{r}_{1}-\delta \underline{r}_{2}\right)=0=\underline{e}_{R} \cdot\left(\delta \underline{r}_{1}-\delta \underline{r}_{2}\right)$
or

$$
\underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{1}=\underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{2}
$$

$\delta w=$ virtual work done on the system (the two particles)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underline{R}_{1} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{1}+\underline{R}_{2} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{2}=R_{2} \underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{1}-R_{2} \underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{2} \\
& =R_{2}\left(\underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{1}-\underline{e}_{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Other examples of workless constraints:
hinged constraints; sliding on smooth surfaces; rolling without slipping, etc.
Remark: Reaction forces corresponding to workless constraints may do work on individual components of the system.

Ex 15: A particle moves on a fixed rough surface.

Clearly, the
work done by the $\quad f(x, y, z)=0$
normal force $\underline{R}$ in a virtual
displacement is $\underline{R} \cdot \delta \underline{r}=0$
Note that the friction force
does do work - it can be
accounted for by treating
$f=\mu_{k}|\underline{R}|$ as an external force.

## The Principle of Virtual Work:

Consider a system of $\mathbf{N}$ particles, with positions

$$
\underline{r}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

Forces acting on the ith particle of mass
$m_{i}: \underbrace{F_{i}}_{\uparrow}+\underline{R}_{i}\} \leftarrow$ workless constraint forces
external as well
as constraint forces
not accounted for in workless constraint forces.

## Static equilibrium for the ith particle $\Rightarrow$

$$
\underline{F}_{i}+\underline{R}_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

Suppose that the system also satisfies some constraints:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla \phi_{i j} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{j}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d & \text { geometric } \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{j i i} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}=0, j=1,2, \ldots, g \quad \text { kinematic }
\end{array}
$$

(these are requirements written in terms of the virtual displacements)

Now, virtual work done by all the forces acting on the system as a result of an arbitrary virtual displacement $\delta \underline{r}_{i}$ at a given system configuration is

$$
\delta W=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\underline{F}_{i}+\underline{R}_{i}\right) \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}
$$

(Note: $\delta \underline{r}_{i}$ are required to satisfy the constraint relations, i.e., are the possible infinite displacement with frozen time).

- Assume workless constraints:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{R}_{i} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}=0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{F}_{i} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}=\delta W=0 \quad \text { (scalar eqn.) }
$$

If a system of particles with workless constraints is in static equilibrium, the virtual work of the applied forces is zero for any virtual displacement consistent with constraints.

- Also, if the work done at a given configuration is zero in any arbitrary virtual displacement from that configuration, the system must be in static equilibrium.
- Principle of virtual work.

Ex 16:A inhomogeneous rod AB is resting on two smooth planes. The rod is nonuniform with its center of mass located at $\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{AG}: \mathrm{GB}=k:(1-k)$. Find: The equilibrium position of the rod.


The constraints are: the ends must remain in contact with respective surfaces.

To properly set up the problem, we need to first define a coordinate system so that the appropriate position vectors can be defined. Then, we can define the constraints and the virtual displacements.
Let $z_{A}$ and $z_{B^{-}}$positions of $\mathbf{A}$ and B along the inclined surfaces. Also, $\theta$ - the angle of inclination of the rod. The constraints are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell \cos \theta & =\left(Z_{A}+Z_{B}\right) \cos \alpha \\
\ell \sin \theta & =\left(Z_{B}-Z_{A}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

## The variables are described here on the picture more clearly:



## A possible set of virtual displacements consistent with constraints are shown here:



## FBD:



Principle of virtual work:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\boldsymbol{R}_{A}}_{=0} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{A}+\underline{R}_{B} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{B}
\end{aligned}+\underline{W} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{G}=0 .
$$

## Now,

$h=z_{A} \sin \alpha+k \ell \sin \theta$
$\Rightarrow \delta h=\delta z_{A} \sin \alpha+k \ell \cos \theta \delta \theta$

- constraints:
$z_{A}+z_{B}=l \cos \theta / \cos \alpha, z_{B}-z_{A}=l \sin \theta / \sin \alpha$
$\Rightarrow z_{A}=\left\{\frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \alpha}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \alpha}\right\} \frac{1}{2}$
$=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos \theta \sin \alpha-\sin \theta \cos \alpha}{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha}$
or $z_{A}=l \sin (\alpha-\theta) / \sin 2 \alpha$
differentiating, we get

$$
\delta z_{A}=-\{l \cos (\alpha-\theta) / \sin 2 \alpha\} \delta \theta .
$$

Thus,

$$
\delta h=-\{l \cos (\alpha-\theta) \sin \alpha / \sin 2 \alpha\} \delta \theta
$$ $+k l \cos \theta \delta \theta$

$$
=\left\{-\frac{l \cos (\alpha-\theta)}{\sin 2 \alpha} \sin \alpha+k l \cos \theta\right\} \delta \theta=0
$$

$\delta \theta$ - arbitrary virtual displacement $\Rightarrow$
$\{-\sin \alpha \cos (\alpha-\theta) / \sin 2 \alpha+k \cos \theta\}=0$
or $\tan \theta=(2 k-1) / \tan \alpha$

D'Alembert's Principle:
Consider a system with

- N particles, the masses are given by $m_{i}$
- The external force on ith particle $\underline{F}_{i}(t, \underline{r}, \underline{\dot{r}})$
- geometric constraints:

$$
f_{i}\left(\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}, \ldots, \underline{r}_{N}, t\right)=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d,
$$

- kinematic constraints:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_{i j} \bullet \dot{\underline{r}}_{j}+D_{i}(\underline{r}, t)=0, i=1,2, \ldots, g
$$

constraints $\rightarrow$ reaction forces

$$
\underline{R}_{i},(\underline{r}, \underline{\underline{r}}, t), i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

- The equations of motion are:

$$
m_{i} \ddot{\underline{\ddot{x}}}_{i}=\underline{F}_{i}+\underline{R}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots ., N
$$

These are subject to the constraints: (in differential form)
$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial \underline{r}_{j}\right) \cdot d \underline{r}_{j}+\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial t\right) d t=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d$
$\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_{i j} \cdot d \underline{r}_{j}+D_{i}(\underline{r}, t) d t=0, i=1,2, \ldots ., g$

- Then, the relations satisfied by virtual displacements are

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial \underline{r}_{j}\right) \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{j}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, d
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_{i j} \bullet \delta \underline{r}_{j}=0, i=1,2, \ldots ., g
$$

- The condition on constraint forces for the constraints to be workless is:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{R}_{i} \cdot \delta \underline{\delta}_{i}=0
$$

Newton's law $\Rightarrow$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} \ddot{\underline{G}}_{i} \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\underline{F}_{i}+\underline{R}_{i}\right) \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}
$$

Workless constraints $\Rightarrow$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(m_{i} \ddot{\underline{r}}_{i}-\underline{F}_{i}\right) \cdot \delta \underline{r}_{i}=0
$$

D'Alembert's
Principle
(a single scalar equation)
(Note: $\delta \underline{r}_{i}$ are not independent. They satisfy the differential constraints).

## Ex 17: Spherical pendulum with variable length

particle of mass $m$ $r=(a+b \cos \omega t)$, $a>b>0$.
$\underline{e}_{r}, \underline{e}_{\theta}$ in OPZ plane; $\underline{e}_{\phi} \perp^{r}$ to OPZ plane

position of the ball: $\underline{r}_{P}=r \underline{e}_{r}$ velocity: $\dot{\underline{r}}_{P}=\dot{r} \underline{e}_{r}+r \underline{\underline{e}}_{r}$
or $\underline{\underline{r}}_{P}=\dot{r} \underline{e}_{r}+r \dot{\theta} \underline{e}_{\theta}+r \dot{\phi} \sin \theta \underline{e}_{\phi}$
acceleration: $\ddot{\underline{r}}=\left(\ddot{r}-r \dot{\theta}^{2}-r \dot{\phi}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right) \underline{e}_{r}+$
$\left(r \ddot{\theta}+2 \dot{r} \dot{\theta}-r \dot{\phi}^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right) e_{\theta}+(r \ddot{\phi} \sin \theta+$
$2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta+2 r \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos \theta) \underline{e}_{\phi}$
virtual displacement:
possible velocity $\quad \underline{\underline{r}}_{P}=\dot{r} \underline{e}_{r}+r \dot{\theta} \underline{e}_{\theta}+r \dot{\phi} \sin \theta \underline{e}_{\phi}$ possible displ. $d \underline{r}=d r \underline{e}_{r}+r d \theta \underline{e}_{\theta}+r d \phi \sin \theta \underline{e}_{\phi}$ constraint: $r=a+b \cos \omega t$
or $d r=-(b \omega \sin \omega t) d t$
since constraint frozen $\rightarrow d t=0 \rightarrow d r=0$
virtual displacement: $\delta \underline{r}=r \delta \theta \underline{e}_{\theta}+r \delta \phi \sin \theta \underline{e}_{\phi}$
External force acting:

$$
\underline{F}=-m g \cos \theta \underline{e}_{r}+m g \sin \theta \underline{e}_{\theta}=-m g \underline{K}
$$

D'Alembert's Principle

$$
(m \ddot{\underline{r}}-\underline{F}) \cdot \delta \underline{r}=0
$$

Note: on the FBD of the particle, tension force also acts along the rod - a workless constraint force

$$
\Rightarrow m r\left[g \sin \theta-\left(r \ddot{\theta}+2 \dot{r} \dot{\theta}-r \dot{\phi}^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right)\right] \delta \theta
$$

$$
-m r \sin \theta[r \ddot{\phi} \sin \theta+2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta+
$$

$$
2 r \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos \theta] \delta \phi=0
$$

$\delta \theta, \delta \phi$ - independent virtual displacements

$$
\Rightarrow(\quad) \delta \theta+(\quad) \delta \phi=0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(r \ddot{\theta}+2 \dot{r} \dot{\theta}-r \dot{\phi}^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right)-g \sin \theta=0 ;
$$

$$
r \ddot{\phi} \sin \theta+2 \dot{r} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta+2 r \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos \theta=0
$$

(equations of motion)

Here $r=a+b \cos \omega t \neq 0$

### 6.5 Generalized Coordinates and Forces

## Ex 18: consider the double pendulum:

the position vectors are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{r}_{1}=x_{1} \underline{i}+y_{1} \underline{j} \\
& \underline{r}_{2}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \underline{i}+\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \underline{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

the constraints are

- $x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}=0$
- $x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}=0$


Thus, there are:
4 (or 6 counting $z$ 's) variables or generalized coordinates

- 2 (or 4 if $\mathbf{z}$ included) constraints $\left(z_{1}=0, z_{2}=0\right)$
- $\mathbf{n}=$ degrees of freedom $=2$.
$\Rightarrow$ Need only 2 independent variables (for geometric constraints case) to specify the configuration at any given time
e.g.: let $y_{1}, y_{2}$ be the two chosen independent coordinates. Then, we can write

$$
x_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\left(l_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}\right)}, x_{2}= \pm \sqrt{\left(l_{2}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{r}_{1} & = \pm \sqrt{\left.l_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}\right)} \underline{i}+y_{1} \underline{j} \equiv \underline{r}_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
\underline{r}_{2} & =\left\{ \pm \sqrt{l_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}} \pm \sqrt{\left(l_{2}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)}\right\} \underline{i}+\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \underline{j} \\
& \equiv \underline{r}_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Note: geometric constraint now automatically satisfied.
- Another possible choice of generalized coordinates are:
$\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}-$ angles with $\mathbf{y}$ axis


## Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=l_{1} \sin \phi_{1}, & y_{1}=l_{1} \cos \phi_{1} \\
x_{2}=l_{2} \sin \phi_{2}, & y_{2}=l_{2} \cos \phi_{2} \\
\Rightarrow &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\underline{r}_{1}=l_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{1} \underline{i}+\cos \phi_{1} \underline{j}\right) \equiv \underline{r}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\underline{r}_{2}=l_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{1}+l_{2} \sin \phi_{2}\right) \underline{i}
$$

$$
+\left(l_{1} \cos \phi_{1}+l_{2} \cos \phi_{2}\right) \underline{j} \equiv \underline{r}_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)
$$

Again: geometric constraints automatically satisfied.

