

13.0 BICYCLE EXAMPLE

(Updated Spring 2005)

Interested in time it takes to pedal up a hill. 7 variables of interest:

Variable	Low	High
1-Set	Up	Down
2-Dynamo	Off	On
3-Handlebars	Up	Down
4-Gear	Low	Medium
5-Raincoat	On	Off
6-Breakfast	Yes	No
7-Tires	Hard	Soft

Test	I	1	2	3	12	13	23	123
1	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
2	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
3	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
4	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
5	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
6	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
7	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-
8	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Introduce variables 4,5,6,&7 as follows:

4=12 I=124
 5=13 => I=135 Generators
 6=23 I=236
 7=123 I=1237

Selected generator member of family: $I = \pm 124 = \pm 135 = \pm 236 = \pm 1237$

Defining relationship:

$I=124=135=236=1237$

2@ a time =2345=1346=347=1256=257=167

3@ a time =456=1457=2467=3567

4 @ a time = 1234567

Resolution = III

Run the experiment.

Test	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	y
1	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	69
2	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	52
3	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	60
4	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	83
5	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	71
6	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	50
7	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	59
8	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	88

Use Base design calc. matrix to calc l_i 's

$$l_1 = (-69 + 52 - 60 + 83 - 71 + 50 - 59 + 88)/4 = 3.5$$

$$l_{123} = (-69 + 52 + 60 - 83 + 71 - 50 - 59 + 88)/4 = 2.5$$

$$l_1 = 66.5 \text{ est I}$$

$$l_1 = 3.5 \text{ est } 1+24+35+67$$

$$l_2 = 12.0 \text{ est } 2+14+36+57$$

$$l_3 = 1.0 \text{ est } 3+15+26+47$$

$$l_{12} = 22.5 \text{ est } 12+4+37+56$$

$$l_{13} = 0.5 \text{ est } 13+5+27+46$$

$$l_{23} = 1.0 \text{ est } 23+6+17+45$$

$$l_{123} = 2.5 \text{ est } 34+25+16+7$$

- Could plot l 's on NPP to find important ones
- In this case, we know from past experiments that $\sigma_y = 3$

$$\sigma_e^2 = \sigma_{effect}^2 = \frac{4\sigma_y^2}{N}$$

therefore

$$\sigma_e = \left[\frac{4 \cdot 3^2}{8} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{4.5} \approx 2.1$$

It can be easily found out that l_2 and l_{12} are statistically significant with 95% confidence.

Simple conclusion: μ_2 & μ_4 are important

Could also be: μ_2 & μ_{12} important or μ_2 & μ_{14} important or...

To unconfound, sort out what is/is not important, let's run another 8 tests. Overlaying our knowledge on the experiment results suggests that probably 4 & 14 is important, 2nd experiment will unconfound 4 and its interactions.

Test	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	y
9	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	63
10	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	82
11	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	73
12	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	53
13	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	64
14	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	84
15	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	72
16	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	45

Signs of column 4 in this recipe matrix are opposite/flipped/ “folded” from those of 1st recipe matrix. All the other signs are the same.

Let's examine the recipe matrix to see what the generators are for this 2nd 2^{7-4} design. $4 = -12, 5 = 13, 6 = 23, 7 = 123$. So the defining relation for the 2nd design is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= -124 = 135 = 236 = 1237 \\
 &= -2345 = -1346 = -347 = 1256 = 257 = 167 \\
 &= -456 = -1457 = -2467 = 3567 = -1234567
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$l_I' = 67.0$ is the estimate of the effect of I

$l_1' = -2.0$ is the estimate of the effect of 1-24+35+67

$l_2' = -12.5$ is the estimate of the effect of 2-14+36+57

$l_3' = -1.5$ is the estimate of the effect of 3+15+26-47

$l_{12}' = -21.5$ is the estimate of the effect of 12-4+37+56

$l_{13}' = -1.5$ is the estimate of the effect of 13+5+27-46

$l_{23}' = -3.0$ is the estimate of the effect of 23+6+17-45

$l_{123}' = -2.0$ is the estimate of the effect of -34+25+16+7

$(l_1 + l_1')/2 = 66.75$ est I $(l_1 - l_1')/2 = -0.5$ est Higher Order Terms

$(l_1 + l_1')/2 = 0.75$ est 1+35+67 $(l_1 - l_1')/2 = 2.75$ est 24

$(l_2 + l_2')/2 = -0.25$ est 2+36+57 $(l_2 - l_2')/2 = 12.25$ est 14

$(l_3 + l_3')/2 = -0.25$ est 3+15+26 $(l_3 - l_3')/2 = 1.25$ est 47

$(l_{12} + l_{12}')/2 = 0.5$ est 12+37+56 $(l_{12} - l_{12}')/2 = 22.0$ est 4

$(l_{13} + l_{13}')/2 = -0.5$ est 13+5+27 $(l_{13} - l_{13}')/2 = 1.0$ est 46

$(l_{23} + l_{23}')/2 = -1.0$ est 23+6+17 $(l_{23} - l_{23}')/2 = 2.0$ est 45

$(l_{123} + l_{123}')/2 = 0.25$ est 25+16+7 $(l_{123} - l_{123}')/2 = 2.25$ est 34

It can be seen that all interactions and main effects of 4 are unconfounded.

If $\sigma_y = 3$, $\sigma_{eff} = \left(\frac{4\sigma^2}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{4 \cdot 3^2}{16}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.5$. So, average (I), 14, and 4 are important and estimated to be 66.75, 12.25, and 22.0 respectively.

Model for response is:

$$\hat{y} = 66.75 + \frac{22.0}{2}x_4 + \frac{12.25}{2}x_1x_4$$

Summary:

- First we conducted the “principal fraction” from the family of generators

$I = \pm 124 = \pm 135 = \pm 236 = \pm 1237$, i.e., we used the generators with all “+” signs:

$$4=12, 5=13, 6=23, 7=123$$

- Second we conducted another 2^{7-4} design with generators 4=-12, 5=13, 6=23, 7=123. We noted that the recipe matrix for this 2nd design was identical to that of our first design except that column 4 was folded. We saw that the results of this fold-over design when combined with those of the 1st design unconfounded the effects of 4 and all of its 2-factor interactions.

Another type of follow-up design - to clear up confounding left by a 1st design is the Mirror Image Design which flips all signs in the recipe matrix

of the 1st design.

Test	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
10	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
11	+	-	+	+	-	+	-
12	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
13	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
14	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
15	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

The mirror image design when combined with the 1st design will unconfound all the main effects. Let's examine the recipe matrix to see what the generators happen to be:

$$4 = -12, 5 = 13, 6 = 23, 7 = 123.$$

So defining relation is:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -124 = -135 = -236 = 1237 \\ &= 2345 = 1346 = 347 = 1256 = -257 = -167 \\ &= -456 = 1457 = 2467 = 356 \\ &= -1234567 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, assuming 3-factor interactions and higher are negligible,

1st Design

l_I est I

l_1 est 1+24+35+67

l_2 est 2+14+36+57

l_3 est 3+15+26+47

l_{12} est 12+4+37+56

l_{13} est 13+5+27+46

l_{23} est 23+6+17+45

l_{123} est 34+25+16+7

2nd Design: Mirror Image Design

l_I'' est I

l_1'' est 1-24-35-67

l_2'' est 2-14-36-57

l_3'' est 3-15-26-47

l_{12}'' est 12-4+37+56

l_{13}'' est 13-5+27+46

l_{23}'' est 23-6+17+45

l_{123}'' est -34-25-16+7

By combining the two designs, we can obtain

$\frac{1}{2}(l_I + l_I'') \text{ est I}$	$(l_I - l_I'') \text{ est Higher Order Eff.}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_1'') \text{ est 1}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_1 - l_1'') \text{ est 24+35+67}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_2 + l_2'') \text{ est 2}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_1 - l_1'') \text{ est +14+36+57}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_3 + l_3'') \text{ est 3}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_3 - l_3'') \text{ est 15+26+47}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_{12} + l_{12}'') \text{ est 12+37+56}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_{12} - l_{12}'') \text{ est 4}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_{13} + l_{13}'') \text{ est 13+27+46}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_{13} - l_{13}'') \text{ est 5}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_{23} + l_{23}'') \text{ est 23+17+45}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_{23} - l_{23}'') \text{ est 6}$
$\frac{1}{2}(l_{123} + l_{123}'') \text{ est 7}$	$\frac{1}{2}(l_{123} - l_{123}'') \text{ est 34+25+16}$