# Office of the Registrar FORM 40G REV. 10/10

#### **PURDUE UNIVERSITY**

REQUEST FOR ADDITION, EXPIRATION, OR REVISION OF A GRADUATE COURSE (50000-60000 LEVEL) Print Form

EFD 53-11

EPARTMENT School of Engineering Education					
INSTRUCTIONS: Please check the items below	which describe the purpose of this request.				
1. New course with supporting docu  2. Add existing course offered at and  3. Expiration of a course  4. Change in course number  5. Change in course title  6. Change in course credit/type  PROPOSED:  Subject Abbreviation ENE  Course Number 50400  Long Title Leadership, Policy, and Change in Short Title  Abbreviated title will be entered	EXISTING: Subject Abbreviation Course Number	8. Chan 9. Chan 10. Chan 11. Chan 12. Trans	Cont Ed Tech	Summer ED Central n Statewide afayette	
CREDIT TYPE  1.Fixed Credit: Cr. Hrs. 3  2.Variable Credit Range: Minimum Cr. Hrs (Check One) To Or Maximum Cr. Hrs.  3.Equivalent Credit: Yes No	1. Pass/Not Pass Only 2. Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory Only 3. Repeatable Maximum Repeatable Credit: 4. Credit by Examination 5. Special Fees	Depa 7. Variable T 8. Honors 9. Full Time	on Approval Type artment Instructor Title		
Schedule Type Minutes Per Mto Week 170 170 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Offered Allocated		Cross-Listed	Courses	
COURSE DESCRIPTION (INCLUDE REQUISITE This course will be framed around a historical and organizations (state, national, and international g relationships among education policy, leadership lecture, experiential exercises, discussion, in-clast opportunities to complete projects and/or product policy and influence leadership, policy, and organ	d current perspective of STEM policy across overnments), and across different groups of theories, models of systemic change and S as presentations, videos, individual assignment de deliverables (e.g., research proposals) that	f people (e.g., students, teac TEM constituents and organ ents, and team assignments at explore ways that they mig	chers, and policymakers) and will exa nizations. The class involves a combi s. At the end of the course, students we got add to the scholarship of STEM ex	mine the nation of will have	
Calumet Department Head Date	Calumet School Dean	Date Calur	met Undergrad Curriculum Committee	Date	
Fort Wayne Department Head Date	Fort Wayne School Dean	Date Fort	Wayne Chancellor	Date	
Indianapolis Department Head Date	Indianapolis School Dean	Date Unde	ergrad Curriculum Committee	Date	
North Central Department Head Date  West Letta yettle Department Head Date  Date	North Central School Dean  West afayette College/School Dean	- 5 bg/12 _	e Approved by Graduate Council	Date	
Graduate Area Committee Convener Date	Graduate Dean	Date Wes	st Lafayette Registrar	Date	
	OFFICE OF THE RE	EGISTRAR			

.

•

-

To:

The Faculty of the College of Engineering

From:

School of Engineering Education

Subject: New Graduate Course, ENE 50400

The faculty of the Department of Engineering Education has approved the following new This action is now submitted to the Engineering Faculty with a graduate ENE course. recommendation for approval.

ENE 50400: Leadership, Policy, and Change in Science, Technology, Engineering, and

Mathematics (STEM) Education

Sem. 2, Class 3, Cr. 3.

Prerequisite: There are no course prerequisites.

#### Course description:

This course will be framed around a historical and current perspective of STEM education policy across various educational domains (e.g., secondary and postsecondary), political organizations (state, national, and international governments), and across different groups of people (e.g., students, teachers, and policymakers) and will examine the relationships among education policy, leadership theories, models of systemic change and STEM constituents and organizations. Students will complete projects and/or produce deliverables that explore ways that they might add to the scholarship of STEM education policy and influence leadership, policy, and organizational change as it relates to STEM education topics of their choosing. This course is a fast-paced, reading intensive course that provides a broad overview of STEM leadership, policy, and change.

#### Reasons:

This course provides an opportunity for STEM graduate and advanced undergraduate students to engage in conversations about leadership, policy, and change within the context of STEM education and to frame these ideas within the context of real cases and practical problems. Since Purdue's College of Education no longer has a primary concentration in Higher Education Administration, this course allows STEM students to engage in leadership, policy, and change topics within the context of postsecondary education. Such a course has allowed approximately 70 students from the Colleges of Education, Technology, Engineering, and Science to engage in STEM policy and to understand how such policies relate to their current and future research interests.

This course was originally taught as ENE 695I, has been offered five terms in spring 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 with enrollments between 6 and 22 students. Although a majority of the enrollment has come from Engineering Education, the course also enrolls students from the Colleges of Education, Technology, Engineering, and Science. Since its first offering, approximately 70 students have enrolled in the course. Evaluation results indicate the course is well received by students, and is achieving its intended learning outcomes.

David Radcliffe, Kamyar Haghighi Head

School of Engineering Education

APPROVED FOR THE FACULTY OF THE SCHOOLS OF ENGINEERING BY THE ENGINEERING CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

ECC Minutes Date <u>5-25-2012</u>
Chairman ECC R. Cipra ENE 50400: Leadership, Policy, and Change in Science, Technology, Engineering, &

Mathematics (STEM) Education

Course instructor(s): Dr. Monica F. Cox

#### Course description:

This course will be framed around a historical and current perspective of STEM education policy across various educational domains (e.g., secondary and postsecondary), political organizations (state, national, and international governments), and across different groups of people (e.g., students, teachers, and policymakers) and will examine the relationships among education policy, leadership theories, models of systemic change and STEM constituents and organizations. The class involves a combination of lecture, experiential exercises, discussion, inclass presentations, videos, individual assignments, and team assignments. At the end of the course, students will have opportunities to complete projects and/or produce deliverables (e.g., research proposals) that explore ways that they might add to the scholarship of STEM education policy and influence leadership, policy, and organizational change as it relates to STEM education topics of their choosing. Since a diverse group of students representing the multiple Colleges enroll in the course. It is helpful for students to have engaged in prior reading- and writing- intensive courses that required them to synthesize large amounts of information.

#### Course learning outcomes:

As a result of taking this course, participants will develop the knowledge and skills to:

- Develop new ways to think about the leadership process
- Explore factors that contribute to effective and ineffective leadership within STEM organizations
- Explore and understand the political and policy dimensions of leadership via theoretical approaches to political and policy analysis
- Investigate the roles of STEM policy at local, state, national, and international levels
- Develop skills in analyzing policy alternatives and selecting "solutions" within the STEM education domain
- Evaluate barriers and enablers of diffusion of innovative processes
- Evaluate challenges for and models of systemic change
- Explore key aspects and applications of systemic (sustainable and deep) change of educational systems
- Explore negotiation strategies and ways to implement change within STEM environments

#### Course outline

#### TENTATIVE COURSE SCHEDULE/ TOPICS

Date	Topic Readings Due
Jan 21	Introductions, course syllabus, course overview, and introduction to leadership, policy,
Jan. 21	and change

Jan. 28  Leadership  Leadership	
Feb. 4  Feb. 4  Leadership/ STEM Education  Leadership/ STEM Education  Graham, R., Crawley Engineering Leaders, International Good P Bernard M. Gordon D Program.  Vecchio- Part V (exc 507-562)  Dunn, K. (2009). The Engineering Curricul Section Conference of Education.  Zoli et al., (2008). Er Morgan and Claypoo  NSF Engineering and responsible for readin chapter only). http://www.nsf.gov/s Each student will pre of the chapters. This overview of the major	(1997). Leadership: Understanding the and influence in organizations. Notre ame Press. (Parts I and II)  S.G., & Forsythe, G.B. (1997). ment for engineering managers. Journal Engineering, 13, 4, 38-41. earch Service (CRS) (2007). Science, sering, and Mathematics (STEM) and Federal Policy, and Legislative
Feb. 11 Leadership Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Leadership  Vecchio- Part V (exc 507-562)  Dunn, K. (2009). The Engineering Curricul Section Conference of Education.  Zoli et al., (2008). Er Morgan and Claypoo NSF Engineering and responsible for reading chapter only). <a href="http://www.nsf.gov/s">http://www.nsf.gov/s</a> Each student will presof the chapters. This overview of the major overview of the major.	Education. (2007). Report of the live Council, Washington, DC.  Ey, E., & Mendelsohn, B.R. (2009).  Ership Education: A Snapshot Review of Practice. White Paper sponsored by the admit Engineering Leadership
Feb.  18  NSF Engineering and responsible for reading chapter only).  http://www.nsf.gov/s Each student will present of the chapters. This overview of the major	he Case of Leadership Skills Courses in ulum. Proceedings of the 2009 Midwest of the American Society of Engineering Engineering Women and Leadership.
thus far). Provide any and identify future di	nd Science Indicators 2010 (You are ling the overview and the content in your

Date	Topic	Readings Due
Feb. 25	Policy	Ripley, R.B. The Nature of the Policy Process. (1997). In Public Policy and Higher Education. (Eds.) (Goodchild et. al.). ASHE Readers Series, pp. 3-16.  Lindblom, C.E. & Woodhouse, E.J. (1992). The Challenges Facing Policy Making. In The Policy-Making Process. (3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed.) Prentice Hall: NJ. pp. 2-12.  McDonnell, L.M., & Elmore, R.F. (1987). Getting the Job Done: Alternative Policy Instruments. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis. 9, 2, pp. 133-152.
Mar. 4	Policy	Additional Policy Readings TBD.  Gill, J.I., & Saunders, L. (1997). Conducting Policy Analysis in Higher Education. In Public Policy and Higher Education. (Eds.) (Goodchild et. al.). ASHE Readers Series, pp. 225-233.  Hanushek, E.A. (2005), Policy Analysis: Is It, or Could it Be the Fifth Estate? Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management, 20 pages.  McCarthy, M.M., & Hall, G.C. (1989). The Emergence of University-Based Education Policy Centers. ERIC/CEM Trends and Issues Series, Number 2, 23 pages.  BEST: Building Engineering and Science Talent. (2004a.) A Bridge for All: Higher Education Design Principles to Broaden Participation in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. San Diego, Calif.: BEST,  BEST: Building Engineering and Science Talent. (2004b). The Talent Imperative: Diversifying America's Science and Engineering Workforms, San Diego, Calif. BEST
Mar.	Policy	Engineering Workforce. San Diego, Calif.: BEST.  National Academy of Engineering. Rising Above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2006.  National Academy of Engineering. Rising Above the Gathering Revisted: Rapidly Approaching Category 5 Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2010.  National Science Board (2007). A National Plan for Addressing the Critical Needs of the U.S. Science,

Date	Topic	Readings Due
		Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Education System.
		National Governors Association: Innovation America: A Final Report (2007).
		America Competes Act. Located at http://thomas.loc.gov/cgibin/bdquery/z?d110:HR02272:@@@D&summ2=m&)
		A Nation at Risk: The Imperative for Educational Reform (1983). Located at http://www2.ed.gov/pubs/NatAtRisk/index.html.
	·	A Nation Accountable: Twenty-Five Years after a Nation At Risk (25 Years Later). Located at http://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/research/pubs/accountable/accountable.pdf
		International policies also will be reviewed.
Mar. 18	Spring Break (No Class)	Leadership and Policy Case Analysis Due
		Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.
Mar. 25	Change	Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing. <i>Reflections</i> , 2, 3, 46-57.
23		Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.
		Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.

Date	Topic	n II		
April 1	Topic	Readings Due  Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.		
April 8	Change	Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings		
April 15	Synthesis of Course Topics	Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class		
April 22	Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			
April 29	Course Wrap-Up	Synthesis Assignment Due		

## Text and/or Reading List

A primary text for the class is found below:

Vecchio, R.P. (Ed). (1997). <u>Leadership: Understanding the dynamics of power and influence in organizations.</u> Notre Dame, IN: Notre Dame Press. (Parts I and II).

All readings are identified in the table above.

#### Grading

In-Class Participation/Facilitation, 10%; Weekly Questions, 10%; Weekly Responses, 15%; Case Analysis of Leadership and Policy, 20%; Group Change Deliverable, 15%, and Synthesis Assignment 30%

		I			
v					
	•				
				·	
·					

#### **ENE 50400**

# Leadership, Policy, and Change in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Education

Supporting document for Form 40G Rev 7/08

То:	Purdue Universit	y Graduate	Council		For Reviewer's comments
From;	Faculty Members Department: Campus:	: Monica Engine		on	(Select 1)  Accept as is  Requests additional information  Disapproves of proposal
Date:	March 3, 2011				Reviewer:
Subject:	Proposal for New Documentation I to Accompany R	Required by	y the Graduat	e Council	Comments:
	Contact for inf if questions ari		Name Phone Number; E-mail; Campus Address:	6-326 rsadar	Adams 7 ns@purdue.edu Lafayette
	Course Subject Abbreviation and Number:			Leadership, Policy, and Change in STEM Education ENE 50400	
	Course Title:			Change in	Science, Technology,

#### A. Justification for the Course:

#### Explanation of need for the course:

- 1. This course provides an opportunity for STEM students to engage in conversations about leadership, policy, and change. Unlike traditional courses that focus on general leadership, policy, and change practices, this course is taught in the context of STEM education. No such STEM course is offered in the College of Engineering, although national initiatives (e.g., the National Academy of Engineering's Engineer of 2020) and Purdue initiatives (i.e., Purdue's Engineer of 2020, the College of Engineering's Strategic Planning process, Global Policy Institute) highlight the importance of engineering students' engagement with leadership and policy.
- 2. This course provides a classroom context for engineering students to be introduced to the concepts of leadership, policy, and change. Examples are framed within the context of real cases and practical problems. An introduction to these topics is needed, since many engineers become leaders in industry or academic and play pivotal roles in the development of national and global STEM education policies and since less than 10% of elected U.S. government representatives hold STEM degrees.

Although many students will engage in experiences that prepare them to engage in leadership, policy, and change, they often do not have opportunities to receive feedback about leadership dynamics, the policy process, and ways to implement change. This course allows students to interact with their peers and with faculty who might provide constructive feedback for students who are developing as formal and informal leaders in STEM education and policy.

3. Since Purdue's College of Education no longer has a primary concentration in Higher Education Administration, this course allows STEM students to engage in leadership, policy, and change topics within the context of postsecondary education. Such a course has allowed approximately 50 students from the Colleges of Education, Technology, Engineering, and Science to engage in STEM education policy and to understand how such policies relate to their current and future research interests.

<u>Iustification for course level</u>: The level of the proposed course is at the 50000-level because (1) this is a core course for ENE Ph.D. students (approximately 10-20 students per year) and for graduate and advanced undergraduate students with interests in leadership, policy, and change across the educational continuum, particularly within the context of their STEM disciplinary areas (approximately 5 students per year), (2) students are expected to produce high-quality, comprehensive deliverables that reflect their abilities to critique policies and cases; to identify diverse stakeholders and analyze the perspectives and needs of these stakeholders; and to process course literature in a way that adds to current knowledge about leadership, policy, and/or change in STEM education, and (3) students engage in reflective instructional activities that require them to synthesize knowledge and to present this information to their peers in a way that allows the class to engage in deep conversations about course content. This course is a fast-paced, reading intensive course that provides a broad overview of STEM leadership, policy, and change

#### B. Learning Outcomes and Method of Evaluation or Assessment:

<u>Course objectives</u>: As a result of taking this course, participants will develop the knowledge and skills to:

- Develop new ways to think about the leadership process
- Explore factors that contribute to effective and ineffective leadership within STEM organizations
- Explore and understand the political and policy dimensions of leadership via theoretical approaches to political and policy analysis
- Investigate the roles of STEM policy at local, state, national, and international levels
- Develop skills in analyzing policy alternatives and selecting "solutions" within the STEM education domain
- Evaluate barriers and enablers of diffusion of innovative processes
- Evaluate challenges for and models of systemic change
- Explore key aspects and applications of systemic (sustainable and deep) change of educational systems
- Explore negotiation strategies and ways to implement change within STEM environments

#### Student learning outcomes:

(1) Identify positive and negative aspects of leadership and apply leadership concepts within the context of STEM education

<u>Instructional objectives</u>: Opportunities to (1) read and critique cases of leadership across a variety of STEM environments and (2) apply leadership theories and vocabulary to the current STEM landscape via writing and discussion.

<u>Mapping to course tasks</u>: (1) Class discussion – participation and engagement, (2) in-class presentations, (3) leadership case analysis

(2) Analyze STEM policy at local, state, national, and international levels using appropriate policy frameworks.

Instructional objectives: Opportunities to (1) read and critique STEM policy documents offering recommendations for improving K-12 education and higher education, (2) understand basic principles of policy analysis and the roles of diverse stakeholders in the policy process, and (3) identify the relationship between research and policy.

<u>Mapping to course tasks</u>: (1) Class discussion – participation and engagement, (2) in-class presentations, (3) analyses of STEM policies across the educational continuum via a group deliverable

Link to ENE Graduate Competencies: Synthesize knowledge, Communicate knowledge, Think critically and reflectively, Explain and Critique Education Policy

(3) Identify change models and the applicability of these models within the context of STEM education

<u>Instructional objectives</u>: Opportunities to (1) identify the pros and cons of change models and apply models to STEM education and (2) develop strategies for implementing change in educational systems and within STEM environments

<u>Mapping to course tasks</u>: (1) Class discussion – participation and engagement, (2) in-class presentations, (3) develop of a synthesis projects that propose changes to a current system or develop new models for STEM education

<u>Link to ENE Graduate Competencies</u>: Synthesize knowledge, Communicate knowledge, Think critically and reflectively, Explain and Critique Education Policy

<u>Methods of evaluation and assessment:</u> Grading criteria - Papers and tasks (see table below for Grading Criteria)

<u>Grading criteria</u> used to assess students and articulate final grades are shown in the table below.

Grading Tasks	Portion of total grade	Assessment
In-Class Participation/ Facilitation	10%	Students will receive credit for attending class and for actively participating in all discussion and facilitation activities. This should be demonstrated by the quality of discussions, prior preparation for these discussions, and overall engagement in the course.

	Manalalan O	4.004	Y
	Weekly Questions	10%	Before each class, students are required to
		(submitted weekly but	submit via the Blackboard Discussion tool
		averaged over the	a typed list of two questions or integrative
İ		semester)	statements based upon assignments from
			the previous week. Sample questions
			should not represent basic, fact-based
			questions or definitions but higher order
			questions that allow the class to engage in
			deep discussion about course content.
			Sample questions might compare or
			contrast differences in methods, theories,
			or perspectives mentioned in the control of
			or perspectives mentioned in the readings,
			or they might ask students to elaborate on
			complex theories or policies presented in
			the readings. The instructor will compile
			all students' questions and will bring a
			hard copy of these questions to class. Any
			question or statement may be used as a
			point of discussion during class.
			Students will receive 0 points if they do
			not submit questions, partial credit (i.e., 5
			points) if they submit questions that do
			not ask higher order questions about
			course readings, and full credit (10 points)
			for their submissions if they submit high
			quality questions that demonstrate
			engagement with readings and the
			creation of higher order questions for the
			class.
	Weekly Reponses	15%	Between the class when students
		(submitted weekly but	submitted their two questions and the
		averaged over the	next class, students must submit via the
		semester)	Blackboard Assignments tool a typed
			response to any two questions that were
			presented in the class the previous week.
			This response is expected to be one to two
			paragraphs in length. Responses also
			should refer to course literature and/or
			other pertinent examples, not just
			students' personal stories or experiences.
			After responding to the two questions, you
			should answer the following question:
			"What did the reading mean to me?"
			Students will receive 0 points if they do
			not submit responses, partial credit (i.e., 5
		·	points) if they submit responses that do
			not refer to appropriate literature and/or
			other pertinent examples, and full credit

		(10 points) for their submissions if they submit high quality responses that demonstrate engagement with readings and thoughtful responses to selected questions.
Case Analysis of Leadership and Policy	20%	For this assignment, students will be assigned a portion of a STEM case and will write an 8+ page, doubled-spaced critique of this case. Students are expected to answer the following questions at a minimum: What are some of the current policies within the case? If applicable, select policy changes that you believe would make a significant improvement in some component of the case, and justify the proposed changes in policies. What support do you think would be necessary to achieve the desired end? How would you compare your policy change and your strategy with one or more of those within class articles and texts? What role does leadership play within this case? What might you do if you were a leader within this scenario? You may reference class articles and additional texts to support your points.  A breakdown of points for the assignment
		are found below (total 20 points): (1) STEM Case Description (8 points) (2) Explanation of and Reference to Current Policies (4 points) (3) Description of Necessary Policy Changes and a Justification of Policy Changes (4 points) (4) Explicit Description of Case Elements to Course Content and to Other Relevant Literature (4 points)
Group Change Deliverable	15%	Group Change Deliverable As a group, you will explore the role of change within the leadership and policy case(s) submitted earlier in the semester using multiple change models. Use language from this text to discuss how your proposed policies might be implemented. How might you have to alter your leadership strategies or policies to promote this change or changes? The assignment must be 8+ page, doubled-spaced pages and is due on April 15,

		<b>2009.</b> Note that this is part of a larger case deliverable.
Synthesis Assignment	30%	Synthesis Assignment This synthesis assignment will document the content and context that you have chosen to highlight in the areas of Leadership, Policy, and/or Change. It is not tightly specified in an effort to provide flexibility and opportunity for each of you to do something valuable for you that is connected to the course. Talk with one another and with the instructor to develop your ideas early in the semester. Using a call for proposals, journal or proceedings guidelines, or some other external solicitation, you will develop a deliverable that could be disseminated to a national or international audience. Examples of possible synthesis assignments are listed below.
		Example 1: Write a literature synthesis that could be published within a journal such as <i>The Leadership Quarterly</i> . Using the "Guide for Authors," format your paper appropriately and submit work that would be of interest to the targeted audience.
		Example 2: Find a Request for Proposals (RFP), and write a proposal that will explore leadership, policy, or change topics within your targeted population. One solicitation that might be of interest could be the National Science Foundation's (www.nsf.gov) Course, Curriculum, Laboratory, and Instruction Phase 1 solicitation.
		<b>Example 3:</b> Write a paper that explores the themes of leadership, policy, and/or change within a current research or thesis project.

### Method of instruction: Lecture

This course is comprised of discussion that is informed from weekly readings, weekly reflections that allow students to engage more deeply with course materials, and weekly in-

class, small group laboratories that allow students to apply theoretical concepts that they have learned throughout the semester. Such activities help students to identify the educational topics (e.g. engineers as academic leaders, the pros and cons of "No Child Left Behind") and the projects (e.g., a review of literature, article critique) that can connect the themes of leadership, policy, and change to their current and future STEM education interests. Students receive summative feedback from the instructor and formative feedback from their peers throughout the course

The course is divided into three distinct themes- (1) leadership, (2) policy, and (3) change. To inform their knowledge of the themes in STEM education, students read literature from disciplines such as organizational management, engineering, and education. Although many of the seminal pieces have been written in non-engineering disciplines, this course requires that students apply knowledge from these disciplines to a new realm- STEM education. By referencing works from other disciplines, students obtain foundational knowledge of course themes and can enroll in complementary courses such as public policy, education policy, organizational change, management, or business.

#### C. Prerequisite(s):

There are no course prerequisites.

#### D. Course Instructor(s):

#### Course Instructor(s):

Dr. Monica F. Cox, Assistant Professor, School of Engineering Education

Dr. Cox is currently a member of the Graduate Faculty.

#### E. Course Outline:

#### Course Outline:

The tentative syllabus below describes course topics and indicates the amount of time devoted to leadership, policy, and change.

TENTATIVE COURSE SCHEDULE/ TOPICS

Date	Topic	Readings Due
Jan. 21	Introductions, course syllabus, course overview, and introduction to leadership, policy, and change	
Jan. 28	Leadership	Vecchio, R.P. (Ed). (1997). <u>Leadership: Understanding the dynamics of power and influence in organizations.</u> Notre Dame, IN: Notre Dame Press. (Parts I and II)  Farr, J.V., Walesh, S.G., & Forsythe, G.B. (1997).  Leadership development for engineering managers.  Journal of Management in Engineering, 13, 4, 38-41.

		Congressional Research Service (CRS) (2007). Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Education: Background, Federal Policy, and Legislative Action	
Feb. 4	Leadership/ STEM Education	Vecchio- Parts III and IV	
		U.S. Department of Education. (2007). Report of the Academic Competitive Council, Washington, DC.	
		Graham, R., Crawley, E., &. Mendelsohn, B.R. (2009).  Engineering Leadership Education: A Snapshot Review of International Good Practice. White Paper sponsored by the Bernard M. Gordon-MIT Engineering Leadership Program.	
Feb. 11	Leadership	Vecchio- Part V (excluding Rafferty p. 434), Part VI (p. 507-562)	
		Dunn, K. (2009). The Case of Leadership Skills Courses in Engineering Curriculum. Proceedings of the 2009 Midwest Section Conference of the American Society of Engineering Education.	
		Zoli et al., (2008). Engineering Women and Leadership.  Morgan and Claypool Publishers.	
Feb. 18	Leadership	NSF Engineering and Science Indicators 2010 (You are responsible for reading the overview and the content in your chapter only).	
		http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/seind10/	
		Each student will present a 5-10 minute summary about one of the chapters. This presentation should present an overview of the major points within your chapter and a connection of this content to leadership (refer to reading thus far). Provide any criticisms about the data or findings, and identify future directions for STEM education.	
Feb. 25	Policy	One-Page Proposal of Synthesis Project Due Ripley, R.B. The Nature of the Policy Process. (1997). In Public Policy and Higher Education. (Eds.) (Goodchild et.	
		Lindblom, C.E. & Woodhouse, E.J. (1992). The Challenges Facing Policy Making. In <i>The Policy-Making Process.</i> (3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed.) Prentice Hall: NJ. pp. 2-12.	
		McDonnell, L.M., & Elmore, R.F. (1987). Getting the Job Done: Alternative Policy Instruments. <u>Educational</u> <u>Evaluation and Policy Analysis</u> , 9, 2, pp. 133-152.	

·		Additional Policy Readings TBD.
Mar. 4	Policy	Gill, J.I., & Saunders, L. (1997). Conducting Policy Analysis in Higher Education. In <i>Public Policy and Higher Education</i> . (Eds.) (Goodchild et. al.). ASHE Readers Series, pp. 225-233.
		Hanushek, E.A. (2005), Policy Analysis: Is It, or Could it Be the Fifth Estate? Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management, 20 pages.
		McCarthy, M.M., & Hall, G.C. (1989). The Emergence of University-Based Education Policy Centers. ERIC/CEM Trends and Issues Series, Number 2, 23 pages.
		BEST: Building Engineering and Science Talent. (2004a.) A Bridge for All: Higher Education Design Principles to Broaden Participation in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. San Diego, Calif.: BEST,
		BEST: Building Engineering and Science Talent. (2004b).  The Talent Imperative: Diversifying America's Science and Engineering Workforce. San Diego, Calif.: BEST.
Mar. 11	Policy	National Academy of Engineering. Rising Above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2006.
		National Academy of Engineering. Rising Above the Gathering Revisted: Rapidly Approaching Category 5 Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2010.
		National Science Board (2007). A National Plan for Addressing the Critical Needs of the U.S. Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Education System.
		National Governors Association: Innovation America: A Final Report (2007).
		America Competes Act. Located at http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d110:HR02272:@@@D&summ2=m&)
		A Nation at Risk: The Imperative for Educational Reform (1983). Located at http://www2.ed.gov/pubs/NatAtRisk/index.html.
		A Nation Accountable: Twenty-Five Years after a Nation

April 1 Change  Change  Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		ALDU COCU		
Mar. 18   Spring Break (No Class)   Change   Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October)   Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.			At Risk (25 Years Later). Located at		
Mar. 18 Spring Break (No Class)  Mar. 25 Change Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.  Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing. Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.  Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.  Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1 Change Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class Course Topics  Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			http://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/research/pubs/accountabl		
Mar. 18   Spring Break (No Class)   Leadership and Policy Case Analysis Due (Class)    Mar. 25   Change   Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform. Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.    Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing, Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.    Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.    Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.    April 1   Change   Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.    Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.    Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.    Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.    Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.    Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings    Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class    Course Topics   Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			e/accountable.pdf		
Mar. 18   Spring Break (No Class)   Leadership and Policy Case Analysis Due (Class)    Mar. 25   Change   Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform. Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.    Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing, Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.    Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.    Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.    April 1   Change   Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.    Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.    Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.    Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.    Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.    Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings    Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class    Course Topics   Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments					
Mar. 25 Change Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.  Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing. Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.  Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.  Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1 Change  April 2 Change  Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (MarchApril), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Course Topics  April 25 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	Man 10	0 1 0	International policies also will be reviewed.		
Dancy, M. & Henderson, C. (2008, October) Barriers and Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.    Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing, Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.   Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.   Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.   Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.   Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.   Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.   Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.   Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.   Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Course Topics   April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	Mar. 18		Leadership and Policy Case Analysis Due		
Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate Education, Washington, DC, Oct 13-14, 2008.  Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing. Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.  Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.  Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1  Change  April 2  Change  April 3  Change  April 4  Change  Change National Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due  April 8  Change  Synthesis of Change Readings  Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class  Occurse Topics  April 22  Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments					
Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs Changing. Reflections, 2, 3, 46-57.  Smith et al., (2004). Engineering Change. Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.  Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1  Change  Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  April 25  Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class	Mar. 25	Change	Promises in STEM Reform, Commissioned Paper for National Academies of Science Workshop on Linking Evidence and Promising Practices in STEM Undergraduate		
the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition, 18 pages.  Bransford, J. (2007). Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1  Change  Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March- April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due April 8  Change Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22  Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			Axelrod, R.H., (2002). Why Change Management Needs		
Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.  April 1 Change Hargrave, T.J., & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A Collective Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			the 2004 American Society for Engineering Education		
Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of Management Review, 31, 4, 864-888.  Duderstadt, J. (2008). Engineering for a changing world (Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  April 25 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			Changing Environments. Guest Editorial for the Journal of Engineering Education.		
(Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.  Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due Synthesis of Change Readings  April 2 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	April 1	Change	Action Model of Institutional Innovation. Academy of		
Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.  Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due April 8 Change Synthesis of Change Readings  April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			(Technical Report). Millennium Project, Ann Arbor:		
Efforts Fail. Harvard Business Review OnPoint (March-April), 1-10.  Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due April 8 Change Synthesis of Change Readings April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			Palmer, P.J. Divided No More: A Movement Approach to Educational Reform. Triarchy Press.		
Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.  Finalization of Synthesis Project Topic and Scope Due April 8 Change Synthesis of Change Readings April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments					
April 8 Change Synthesis of Change Readings  April 15 Synthesis of Croup Change Deliverable Due In-Class Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			Strebel, P. (1996). Why Do Employees Resist Change? Harvard Business Review, 74, 86-92.		
April 8 Change Synthesis of Change Readings  April 15 Synthesis of Croup Change Deliverable Due In-Class Course Topics  April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments			Finalization of Synthesis Project Tonic and Scone Due		
April 15 Synthesis of Course Topics Group Change Deliverable Due In-Class April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	April 8	Change			
Course Topics April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments					
April 22 Discussion & Presentation of Synthesis Assignments	1	I = .	and a sum Bo pointer abic pac in-class		
	April 22		entation of Synthesis Assignments		
April 29   Course Wrap-Up   Synthesis Assignment Due	April 29				

## F. Reading List (including course text):

Reading List (including course text):
All readings are identified in the syllabus above.

## G. Library Resources

<u>Library resources:</u> All readings are available on Blackboard Vista.

		w
-		