Office of the Registrar FORM 40G REV. 10/10	REQUEST FOR ADD OR REVISION OF A G	EUNIVERSITY DITION, EXPIRATION, BRADUATE COURSE 000 LEVEL)	EFD.	Print Form  02-12-
DEPARTMENT Biomedical Engineering	EF/	FECTIVE SESSION Fall 20	112 /2013/0	
INSTRUCTIONS: Please check the items below	which describe the purpose of this r	equest.	-	<del></del>
2. Add existing course offered at al     3. Expiration of a course     4. Change in course number	uments (complete proposal form) nother campus	7. 8. 9.	Change in course attrib Change in instructional Change in course descr Change in course requi	hours ription
5. Change in course title 6. Change in course credit/type		11.	Change in semesters of	
PROPOSED:	EXISTING:	12.	Transfer from one depa	
Subject Abbreviation BME	Subject Abbreviation			ERMS OFFERED eck All That Apply:
Course Number 62600	Course Number		—— X Fall	Spring Summer
Long Title Engineering Nanomedical Systems			CAMI	PUS(ES) INVOLVED
Short Title	3		Cont Ed	N. Central Tech Statewide
	d by the Office of the Registrar if omit	14	Indianapolis	⊠W. Lafayette s
CREDIT TYPE	by the Office of the Registral if office			
1.Fixed Credit: Cr. Hrs. 3  2.Variable Credit Range: Minimum Cr. Hrs (Check One) To Or Maximum Cr. Hrs.  3.Equivalent Credit: Yes No X  4.Thesis Credit: Yes No X	Pass/Not Pass Only     Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory Only     Repeatable     Maximum Repeatable Credit:     Credit by Examination     Special Fees	7. Varia 8. Hon	istration Approval Type Department	Instructor
Schedule Type Minutes Per-Mito 75  Lecture Recitation Presentation Laboratory Lab Prep Studio Distance Clinic Experiential Research Ind. Study Pract/Observ COURSE DESCRIPTION (INCLUDE REQUISITES	Offered Allocated			Cross-Listed Services C
Graduate Standing.  Graduate Standing.  Doctoral level course dealing with engineering design of nanomedical devices for drug/gene delivery. The course covers medical needs for nanomedicine; uses of nanomaterials, biomarkers and targeting strategies; uses of bionanotechnology instrumentation for characterization of nanomedical systems and their interactions with cells; drug/gene delivery methods; biodistribution and nanotoxicity issues; FDA regulations and cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) bionanomanufacturing principles.  Professor Leary.				
Calumet Department Head Date	Calumet School Dean	Date (	Calumet Undergrad Curricul	lum Committee Date
Fort Wayne Department Head Date	Fort Wayne School Dean	Date	Fort Wayne Chancellor	io/- Pate
Indianapolis Department Head Date  North Central Department Head Date	Indianapolis School Dean		Undergrad Cugniculum Com APPROVED 2,	mittee Date / 16/12
West Lafayette Department Head  Pate  Pate	North Central School Dean West Lafayette Coffege/School Dean	Than 10/3/11	Date Approved by Graduate Graduate Council Secretary	Gourteil 2/23/12  Date
Grentiale Alea Confulltee Convener Date	Graduate Dean	Date	West Lafayelle Registrar	Dale 7
	OFFICE OF THE	E REGISTRAR		3/16/10

V 3/10/12

### Supporting Document for a New Graduate Course

Го:	Purdue Universit	e University Graduate Council		(Select One)	
From: Faculty Member:		James F. Lea	ry		
	Department:	Biomedical I	ngineering		
	Campus:	West Lafaye	tte		Reviewer:
Date:	07/26/11				
Subject:		for New Graduate Course-Documentatio by the Graduate Council to Accompany 's Form 40G			Comments:
	Contact for information if		Name:	Cindy	y Ferguson
	questions arise:		Phone Number:	496-1	320
		E-mail:	fergu	soc@purdue.edu	
		Campus Address: BME,		E/MJIS	
	Course Subject Ab		d Number: BME 62	600	
	Source Tine. Dilgi	meeting rano	moutout bystems		

#### A. Justification for the Course:

- Provide a complete and detailed explanation of the need for the course (e. g., in the
  preparation of students, in providing new knowledge/training in one or more topics, in
  meeting degree requirements, etc.), how the course contributes to existing majors
  and/or concentrations, and how the course relates to other graduate courses offered by
  the department, other departments, or interdisciplinary programs.
- Justify the level of the proposed graduate course (50000- or 60000-level) including statements on, but not limited to: (1) the target audience, including the anticipated number of undergraduate and graduate students who will enroll in the course; and (2) the rigor of the course.

#### B. Learning Outcomes and Method of Evaluation or Assessment:

- Describe the course objectives and student learning outcomes that address the objectives (i.e., knowledge, communication, critical thinking, ethical research, etc.).
- Describe the methods of evaluation or assessment of student learning outcomes. (Include evidence for both direct and indirect methods.)
- Grading criteria (select from dropdown box); include a statement describing the criteria that will be used to assess students and how the final grade will be determined.

<u>Criteria</u>	Papers and Projects

• Identify the method(s) of instruction (select from dropdown box) and describe how the methods promote the likely success of the desired student learning outcomes.

Method of Instruction	Lecture
	· · · · · -

#### C. Prerequisite(s):

- · List prerequisite courses by subject abbreviation, number, and title.
- List other prerequisites and/or experiences/background required. If no prerequisites are indicated, provide an explanation for their absence.

#### D. Course Instructor(s):

- Provide the name, rank, and department/program affiliation of the instructor(s).
- Is the instructor currently a member of the Graduate Faculty?  $\times$  Yes No (If the answer is no, indicate when it is expected that a request will be submitted.)

#### E. Course Outline:

Provide an outline of topics to be covered and indicate the relative amount of time or
emphasis devoted to each topic. If laboratory or field experiences are used to supplement a
lecture course, explain the value of the experience(s) to enhance the quality of the course
and student learning. For special topics courses, include a sample outline of a course that
would be offered under the proposed course.

#### F. Reading List (including course text):

- A primary reading list or bibliography should be limited to material the students will be required to read in order to successfully complete the course. It should not be a compilation of general reference material.
- A secondary reading list or bibliography should include material students may use as background information.

#### G. Library Resources

- Describe the library resources that are currently available or the resources needed to support this proposed course.
- H. Example of a Course Syllabus (While not a necessary component of this supporting document, an example of a course syllabus is available, for information, by clicking on the link below, which goes to the Graduate School's Policies and Procedures Manual for Administering Graduate Student Programs. See Appendix K.)

http://www.gradschool.purdue.edu/downloads/Graduate School Policies and Procedures Manual.pdf

#### A. Justification for Course:

There is a need to train students in the emerging multidisciplinary disciplines of bionanotechnology and its application to nanomedicine.

#### B. Learning Outcomes and Method of Evaluation or Assessment:

There are 2 in-class exams, 3 primary literature paper reviews, with the major assessment a 10-15 page, single-spaced written project where the student uses everything learned in the course to design a nanomedical system in an area of research interest of that student (after consultation with the course instructor).

#### Grade Assessment:

Literature Reviews (3)	30 %
Project - Original Individual Research Proposal	30 %
Exam 1	15 %
Exam 2	15 %
Class attendance and class participation	10 %

C. Prerequisite(s): There are no course prerequisites. Because students apply to BME from a broad range of disciplines (engineering, physics, math, etc) it would be difficult to define a set of course prerequisites. While there are no prerequisites, interested students must be currently enrolled in a graduate program at Purdue University.

#### D. Course Instructor(s): James F. Leary, Ph.D.

Professor of Biomedical Engineering and Basic Medical Sciences SVM Professor of Nanomedicine

#### E. Course Outline (from Fall, 2010):

#### Lecture Topics and Schedule:

- o Need for new perspectives on medicine
- o Basic concepts of nanomedicine
- o Overview of basic nanomedical systems design
- o Targeting nanomedical systems to cells & assessing specificity
- o Rare-event targeting of cells in-vitro and in-vivo
- o Normal & facilitated cell entry mechanisms
- o Nanomaterials for core design
- o Surface chemistry: attaching targeting and therapeutic molecules to the core
- o Assessing zeta potentials
- o Atomic Force Microscopy for measuring nanoparticles and cells
- o Assessing nanomaterial composition by XPS (Dr. Dmitry Zemlyanov)
- o Challenges of proper drug dosing with nanodelivery systems
- o Nanodelivery therapeutic drugs/genes & molecular biosensor feedback control systems
- o Assessing drug efficacy and nanotoxicity at the single cell level
- o Animal testing of nanodelivery systems (Prof. Debbie Knapp)

Supporting Documentation Page 2 of 5

- o Designing nanodelivery systems for in-vivo use, issues of biodistribution
- o Nanotoxicity at the single-cell level
- o Quality control manufacturing, life cycle assessment, work/environmental regulations
- o Human clinical trials, FDA approval process
- o Designing/evaluating integrated nanomedical systems: In class Original Research Proposal Presentations by students

#### F. Reading List (including course text):

- 1. Leary, J.F. "Nanotechnology: What is it and why is small so big" Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology 45(5):449-456 (2010).
- 2. Haglund, E., Seale-Goldsmith, M-M., Leary, J. F. "Design of Multifunctional Nanomedical Systems" Annals of Biomedical Engineering Annals of Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 37, No. 10, pp. 2048–2063 (2009).
- 3. Seale, M-M, Leary, J.F. "Nanobiosystems" in Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology, J.R. Baker, Editor, Wiley Press, NY 1: 553–567 (2009).

#### G. Library Resources

Primary literature used in course is presented as PDF documents on an intranet course website from scientific articles obtained electronically from Purdue Libraries.

#### H. Example of a Course Syllabus from Fall 2010:

#### BME 695N Engineering Nanomedical Systems 2010

#### **Supporting Documentation:**

Person-In-Charge: James F. Leary, email: jfleary@purdue.edu, Office: BRK 2021

Course Details: meets Tuesdays and Thursdays at 1:30 - 2:45 PM in BME (MJIS) 1083

Office hours: By appointment

#### Lecture Topics and Schedule:

Week 1 (August 24 & 26)

Need for new perspectives on medicine

Basic concepts of nanomedicine + Paper #1 - distributed on August 26 due Sept. 23

#### Week 2 (August 31 and September 2)

Overview of basic nanomedical systems design +

Original Research Project paper info + Tour of cytometry and bio-nano facilities

## Supporting Documentation Page 3 of 5

#### Week 3 (September 7 & 9)

Targeting nanomedical systems to cells & assessing specificity Rare-event targeting of cells in-vitro and in-vivo

#### Week 4 (September 14 & 16)

Normal & facilitated cell entry mechanisms Nanomaterials for core design

#### Week 5 (September 21 & 23) + Paper review #1 due September 23

Surface chemistry: attaching targeting and therapeutic molecules to the core Assessing zeta potentials

#### Week 6 (September 28 & 30)

Atomic Force Microscopy for measuring nanoparticles and cells (Prof. Helen McNally) Tour of BioScope AFM Facility

# Week 7 (October 5 & 7)+ Paper review 2 distributed on 10-5-2010 DUE: 10-28-2010 Review session October 7 - Exam I (in class)

#### Week 8 (October 12 & 15) (Dr. Leary out of country)

October 12 (October break - no class)

October 14 – Assessing nanomaterial composition by XPS (Dr. Dmitry Zemlyanov)

#### Week 9 (October 19 & 21)

Challenges of proper drug dosing with nanodelivery systems Nanodelivery therapeutic drugs/genes & molecular biosensor feedback control systems

#### Week 10 (October 26 & 28)

## Paper review 2 due on 10-26-2010 + Paper review 3 distributed on 10-26-2010 DUE: 11-23-2010

Assessing drug efficacy and nanotoxicity at the single cell level Animal testing of nanodelivery systems (Prof. Debbie Knapp)

# Week 11 (November 2 & 4) Abstract for Class Project Due: November 4 Designing nanodelivery systems for in-vivo use, issues of biodistribution Nanotoxicity at the single-cell level

#### Week 12 (November 9 & November 11)

Quality control manufacturing, life cycle assessment, work/environmental regulations Human clinical trials, FDA approval process

#### Week 13 (November 16 & 18)

Designing/evaluating integrated nanomedical systems: Review session for final exam **Exam2** (In-class Final Exam on November 18)

#### Week 14 (November 23 & 25) Paper Review 3 due on 11-23-2010

No class, in lieu of the special lecture on November 18 – work on your class projects! November 25 (NO CLASS-Thanksgiving Break)

Supporting Documentation Page 4 of 5

# Week 15 (November 30 & December 2) Written Class Project due for ALL students on or before midnight on 11/29/2010

In class Original Research Proposal Presentations In class Original Research Proposal Presentations

#### Week 16 (December 7 & 9)

In class Original Research Proposal Presentations In class Original Research Proposal Presentations

#### Grade Assessment:

GI STORY I AND THE STORY	
Literature Reviews (3)	30 %
Project - Original Individual Research Proposal	30 %
Exam 1	15 %
Exam 2	15 %
Class attendance and class participation	10 %

Required Text: None - discussions and assignments based on primary literature

#### I. Frequency of offerings

This course will be offered in the Fall semester each year.

#### J. Course Evaluation

The students are evaluated in three areas, corresponding to the three goal areas of the course: (1) 2 in-class exams covering lecture material content, (2) critical reviews of three primary literature papers to build critical reading skills of the primary literature in this field, and (3) a major written project integrating and incorporating all aspects of the course and primary literature to design an original nanomedical system in an area of interest to the individual students.

#### K. Course Comments

#### 2010 Course evaluations:

3b - PICES course based questions Q6 I understand what is expected of me in this course. Q7 This course has clearly stated objectives. Q8 This course material is pertinent to my professional training. Q9 The course appears to be well organized. Q10 Challenging questions are raised for discussions.	(N=10) Mean. Scored from 1-5 w/5 being highest. 4.8 4.6 4.5 4.7 4.9
BME Questions about Course	
Q11 From this course, I have gained knowledge in this particular f	ield <b>4.9</b>
of biomedical engineering.  Q12 From this course, I have gained knowledge in this particular	4.7
sub-discipline of biomedical engineering.	
Q13 From this course, I have gained more confidence in my	4.7
literature analysis skills.  Q14 Exams and/or assignments are creative and require original	4.7
thought.	

フロピー はかんい

Supporting Documentation Page 5 of 5

#### 2010 Course comments:

- Introduces each topic with an 'onion skin' big-picture to finer details type of approach. This allows comprehensive understanding of how all the pieces fit together and provides the student with an opportunity to fully understand the expansive intricacies of such a sophisticated and novel field.
- In my opinion, this is the best Purdue BME class offered, and I'm left with excitement for the future of nanomedical systems.
- I enjoy having different people coming in to discuss their fields of expertise that apply to the course. This is something that he should keep doing.
- I think final project is a good way to let students to know how to have a beginning in the research of Nanomedicine.

#### L. Grade Distribution

2010: 1B+ 2A- 7A 2A+

M. Miscellaneous Information

#### **Scheduling**

- IDENTIFY ACTIVITIES:
  - o for administrators who are not part of MOU group or Govt Leadership meeting 8:30 10 a.m. Wed Oct. 12
  - Oct. 13 breakout sessions what do bio people do during nanotech Break-out Session (9:15-10:30 a.m.); what do nano people during biotech Break-out Session 2 (10:45 noon)
  - o for bio people during Pedro Prieto's Status Centro nacional de nanotecnologa presentation − 1 − 2 p.m. Oct. 13
  - o VIPs
  - o Confirm CSAP volunteer times (email sent to Katherine Oct. 1)
- IDENTIFY TOUR GUIDE:
  - o Nuclear Reactor
  - o Particle Accelerator
  - o Bowen / NEES
- IDENTIFY PRESENTER:
  - Overview of DP (Tuesday, 8:10 8:25 a.m. in Morgan 102 / cafe)
  - Tim Sands for both 8 am. Wed welcome to all guests and 9:15 Thurs
     Welcome to administrators before an IP seminar (both in morgan 121) – EMAILED KRISTY FRIDAY NIGHT
  - O Cyber-Infrastructure Presentation for NSF, Colciencias 1-2:30 p.m.
  - o Stress to tech leads need to contact faculty re posters, abstracts
  - o Check with Kristy re the following:
    - Richard Buckius 9:50 10:15 a.m. presentation providing an overview of Discovery Park
    - PRF / Purdue Research Park / OTC 10:20 10:45 a.m. no speaker identified. A note was sent to Vicky Montenegro expressing our interest in having the OTC director as one speaker during this time; we have not yet heard back.
    - Bill Anderson 11:20 11:40 a.m. presentation re GEP
    - Susan Fisher 11:40 noon presentation re how Graduate Programs manage Colciencias and Colfuturo students
    - Ekhard Groll and Yating Haller noon 12:20 discuss GEARE and Young Investigator Scholars Program
  - o Identify technical session facilitators

#### **Transportation**

- Meet with Lafayette Limo to ensure clear communication WED LUNCH MEETING
  - O Talk to shuttle services re airport group service, drop off at Holiday Inn; Union pick ups and drop offs
- Confirm driver for Restrepo and Montoya
- Drivers for rectors Gabriel Cadena and Ricardo Gomez? (arrive Oct. 10)

#### **Documents**

Prepare Registration Checklist

DIFIE OFFICE.

- Write Welcome Packet letter; Put Welcome Packets together
- Finalize Abstract Book
- Prepare Info packet for Cordova
- Individualized Agendas (VIPs, Admins, Bement, Jamieson, others?)

#### Gifts

- Check re CoE notepads and Ag pens
- Put CPIASR labels on water bottles
- Prepare blankets and glasses in gift bags
- Prepare KCC slideshow and digital picture frame

#### Meals

 Extend Purdue invites to all dinners (Red Seven, Shively, Union) and picnic lunch

#### Misc.

Confirm Bindley, Birck tours match tech leads plans

#### Engineering Faculty Document No. 02-12

August 31, 2011

TO:

The Faculty of the College of Engineering

FROM:

The Faculty of the School of Biomedical Engineering

RE:

New Graduate Course, BME 62600, Engineering Nanomedical Systems

The Faculty of the School of Biomedical Engineering has approved the following new course. This action is now submitted to the Engineering Faculty with a recommendation for approval.

BME 62600: Engineering Nanomedical Systems

Term Offered: Fall, Lecture 3, Cr. 3 Prerequisites: Graduate Standing

Course Description: Doctoral level course dealing with engineering design of nanomedical devices for drug/gene delivery. The course will cover medical needs for nanomedicine, use of nanomaterials, biomarkers and targeting strategies, use of bionanotechnology instrumentation for characterization of nanomedical systems and their interactions with cells, drug/gene delivery methods, biodistribution and nanotoxicity issues, FDA regulations, and cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practices) bionanomanufacturing principles.

**Reason:** This course will serve as a focal point of discourse for doctoral students in BME and other departments for an in-depth understanding of the application of bionanoengineering and bionanotechnology to nanomedicine. This course will help to fulfill the depth requirements for doctoral candidates in BME.

The course is currently in its third offering with 16 students currently enrolled (12 BME, 1BMS, 1 ABE, 2 CHM). It has been offered twice in the past with 16 students enrolled in Fall 2009 (15 BME, 1 Interdisc Comparative Med), and 12 students enrolled in Fall 2010 (7 BME, 1 ME, 1 IE, 2 BMS, 1 EE).

George R. Wodicka George R. Wodicka, Professor and Head Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering

APPROVED FOR THE FACULTY
OF THE SCHOOLS OF ENGINEERING
BY THE ENGINEERING
CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

ECC Minutes

10/0

Chairman ECC\_

R. Cipia

Supporting Documentation Page 1 of 5

#### A. Justification for Course:

There is a need to train students in the emerging multidisciplinary disciplines of bionanotechnology and its application to nanomedicine.

#### B. Learning Outcomes and Method of Evaluation or Assessment:

There are 2 in-class exams, 3 primary literature paper reviews, with the major assessment a 10-15 page, single-spaced written project where the student uses everything learned in the course to design a nanomedical system in an area of research interest of that student (after consultation with the course instructor).

#### Grade Assessment:

Literature Reviews (3)	30 %
Project – Original Individual Research Proposal	30 %
Exam 1	15 %
Exam 2	15 %
Class attendance and class participation	10 %

C. Prerequisite(s): There are no course prerequisites. Because students apply to BME from a broad range of disciplines (engineering, physics, math, etc) it would be difficult to define a set of course prerequisites. While there are no prerequisites, interested students must be currently enrolled in a graduate program at Purdue University.

#### D. Course Instructor(s): James F. Leary, Ph.D.

Professor of Biomedical Engineering and Basic Medical Sciences SVM Professor of Nanomedicine

#### E. Course Outline (from Fall, 2010):

#### Lecture Topics and Schedule:

- o Need for new perspectives on medicine
- o Basic concepts of nanomedicine
- O Overview of basic nanomedical systems design
- o Targeting nanomedical systems to cells & assessing specificity
- o Rare-event targeting of cells in-vitro and in-vivo
- o Normal & facilitated cell entry mechanisms
- o Nanomaterials for core design
- o Surface chemistry: attaching targeting and therapeutic molecules to the core
- o Assessing zeta potentials
- o Atomic Force Microscopy for measuring nanoparticles and cells
- o Assessing nanomaterial composition by XPS (Dr. Dmitry Zemlyanov)
- o Challenges of proper drug dosing with nanodelivery systems
- Nanodelivery therapeutic drugs/genes & molecular biosensor feedback control systems
- O Assessing drug efficacy and nanotoxicity at the single cell level
- o Animal testing of nanodelivery systems (Prof. Debbie Knapp)

Supporting Documentation Page 2 of 5

- o Designing nanodelivery systems for in-vivo use, issues of biodistribution
- o Nanotoxicity at the single-cell level
- o Quality control manufacturing, life cycle assessment, work/environmental regulations
- o Human clinical trials, FDA approval process
- o Designing/evaluating integrated nanomedical systems: In class Original Research Proposal Presentations by students

#### F. Reading List (including course text):

- 1. Leary, J.F. "Nanotechnology: What is it and why is small so big" Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology 45(5):449-456 (2010).
- 2. Haglund, E., Seale-Goldsmith, M-M., Leary, J. F. "Design of Multifunctional Nanomedical Systems" Annals of Biomedical Engineering Annals of Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 37, No. 10, pp. 2048–2063 (2009).
- 3. Seale, M-M, Leary, J.F. "Nanobiosystems" in Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology, J.R. Baker, Editor, Wiley Press, NY 1: 553–567 (2009).

#### G. Library Resources

Primary literature used in course is presented as PDF documents on an intranet course website from scientific articles obtained electronically from Purdue Libraries.

#### H. Example of a Course Syllabus from Fall 2010:

#### BME 695N Engineering Nanomedical Systems 2010

#### **Supporting Documentation:**

Person-In-Charge: James F. Leary, email: jfleary@purdue.edu, Office: BRK 2021

Course Details: meets Tuesdays and Thursdays at 1:30 - 2:45 PM in BME (MJIS) 1083

Office hours: By appointment

#### Lecture Topics and Schedule:

Week 1 (August 24 & 26)

Need for new perspectives on medicine

Basic concepts of nanomedicine + Paper #1 - distributed on August 26 due Sept. 23

Week 2 (August 31 and September 2)

Overview of basic nanomedical systems design +

Original Research Project paper info + Tour of cytometry and bio-nano facilities

## Supporting Documentation Page 3 of 5

#### Week 3 (September 7 & 9)

Targeting nanomedical systems to cells & assessing specificity Rare-event targeting of cells in-vitro and in-vivo

#### Week 4 (September 14 & 16)

Normal & facilitated cell entry mechanisms Nanomaterials for core design

#### Week 5 (September 21 & 23) + Paper review #1 due September 23

Surface chemistry: attaching targeting and therapeutic molecules to the core Assessing zeta potentials

#### Week 6 (September 28 & 30)

Atomic Force Microscopy for measuring nanoparticles and cells (Prof. Helen McNally) Tour of BioScope AFM Facility

# Week 7 (October 5 & 7)+ Paper review 2 distributed on 10-5-2010 DUE: 10-28-2010 Review session October 7 - Exam I (in class)

#### Week 8 (October 12 & 15) (Dr. Leary out of country)

October 12 (October break - no class)

October 14 – Assessing nanomaterial composition by XPS (Dr. Dmitry Zemlyanov)

#### Week 9 (October 19 & 21)

Challenges of proper drug dosing with nanodelivery systems Nanodelivery therapeutic drugs/genes & molecular biosensor feedback control systems

#### Week 10 (October 26 & 28)

## Paper review 2 due on 10-26-2010 + Paper review 3 distributed on 10-26-2010 DUE: 11-23-2010

Assessing drug efficacy and nanotoxicity at the single cell level Animal testing of nanodelivery systems (Prof. Debbie Knapp)

#### Week 11 (November 2 & 4) Abstract for Class Project Due: November 4

Designing nanodelivery systems for in-vivo use, issues of biodistribution Nanotoxicity at the single-cell level

#### Week 12 (November 9 & November 11)

Quality control manufacturing, life cycle assessment, work/environmental regulations Human clinical trials, FDA approval process

#### Week 13 (November 16 & 18)

Designing/evaluating integrated nanomedical systems: Review session for final exam **Exam2** (In-class Final Exam on November 18)

#### Week 14 (November 23 & 25) Paper Review 3 due on 11-23-2010

No class, in lieu of the special lecture on November 18 – work on your class projects! November 25 (NO CLASS- Thanksgiving Break)

BME WWWOO

Supporting Documentation Page 4 of 5

# Week 15 (November 30 & December 2) Written Class Project due for ALL students on or before midnight on 11/29/2010

In class Original Research Proposal Presentations In class Original Research Proposal Presentations

#### Week 16 (December 7 & 9)

In class Original Research Proposal Presentations In class Original Research Proposal Presentations

#### **Grade Assessment:**

Literature Reviews (3)	30 %
Project – Original Individual Research Proposal	30 %
Exam 1	15 %
Exam 2	15 %
Class attendance and class participation	10 %

Required Text: None - discussions and assignments based on primary literature

#### I. Frequency of offerings

This course will be offered in the Fall semester each year.

#### J. Course Evaluation

The students are evaluated in three areas, corresponding to the three goal areas of the course: (1) 2 in-class exams covering lecture material content, (2) critical reviews of three primary literature papers to build critical reading skills of the primary literature in this field, and (3) a major written project integrating and incorporating all aspects of the course and primary literature to design an original nanomedical system in an area of interest to the individual students.

#### K. Course Comments

#### 2010 Course evaluations:

3b - PICES course based questions Q6 I understand what is expected of me in this course. Q7 This course has clearly stated objectives. Q8 This course material is pertinent to my professional training. Q9 The course appears to be well organized. Q10 Challenging questions are raised for discussions.	(N=10) Mean. Scored from 1-5 w/5 being highest. 4.8 4.6 4.5 4.7 4.9
BME Questions about Course	
Q11 From this course, I have gained knowledge in this particular fi of biomedical engineering.	eld <b>4.9</b>
Q12 From this course, I have gained knowledge in this particular	4.7
sub-discipline of biomedical engineering.	
Q13 From this course, I have gained more confidence in my	4.7
literature analysis skills.	
Q14 Exams and/or assignments are creative and require original	4.7
thought.	

BME 102400

Supporting Documentation Page 5 of 5

#### 2010 Course comments:

- Introduces each topic with an 'onion skin' big-picture to finer details type of approach. This allows comprehensive understanding of how all the pieces fit together and provides the student with an opportunity to fully understand the expansive intricacies of such a sophisticated and novel field.
- In my opinion, this is the best Purdue BME class offered, and I'm left with excitement for the future of nanomedical systems.
- I enjoy having different people coming in to discuss their fields of expertise that apply to the course. This is something that he should keep doing.
- I think final project is a good way to let students to know how to have a beginning in the research of Nanomedicine.

#### L. Grade Distribution

2010: 1B+ 2A- 7A 2A+

M. Miscellaneous Information

#### Duncan, Lauren N

BME LEZLEDD

rom:

Brightman, Andrew O

\_ent:

Friday, March 16, 2012 9:05 AM

To:

Duncan, Lauren N; Leary, James F

Subject:

RE: RE: BME 62600

Jim,

X

Will you approve these learning outcomes as appropriate for the course?

- 1) Students will be able to describe general problems and approaches to the design of engineered nanomedical systems.
- Students will be able to explain the basic concepts of design of integrated nanomedical systems for diagnostics and therapeutics.

I think this is all that is needed to complete the catalog.

Thanks so much for tackling this on vacation!]
Andrew

From: Duncan, Lauren N

**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 2:56 PM

To: Brightman, Andrew O; Ferguson, Cynthia L; Leary, James F

Cc: 'George Wodicka'

Subject: RE: RE: BME 62600

Andrew,

Great! Thank you for the update. Would it be possible to get them by lunch time? I'd like to make sure our office has enough time to complete your request.

Thank you again, Lauren

From: Brightman, Andrew O

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 8:54 AM

To: Duncan, Lauren N; Ferguson, Cynthia L; Leary, James F

Cc: 'George Wodicka'

Subject: RE: RE: BME 62600

Yes, Lauren, We will have these learning outcomes to you by Friday.

Thanks,
Andrew Brightman,
Assistant Head

From: George Wodicka [mailto:wodicka@purdue.edu]

Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 4:43 PM

**To:** Brightman, Andrew O **Subject:** Fw: RE: BME 62600

	•