# **IE 43100 Fall 2022**

**PURDUE** UNIVERSITY®

## **PROJECT INTRODUCTION**

Tesla wants to increase the production of its Megapacks and intends to streamline the production process to keep up with anticipated demand. As of today, Tesla's production capabilities for the Megapack at the Lathrop Megafactory are not optimal. The team has been tasked with constructing a discrete event simulation of their Megafactory and has split the project into 3 phases



## **School of Industrial Engineering**

## **TEAM 18 TESLA MEGAPACK PRODUCTION LINE SIMULATION** MINSUK KANG, ARJITH JEGANNATHAN, YIYANG ZHAO, SAMIR TOMEH, VALERIE BAESSA, MANSHA CHIMNANI

Phase 3 Future State

This state is when we consider the other sensitive factors affecting the total production time and suggest improvements for future changes in demand as well as growth

> Provide recommendations

Minimal to no budget or space to make production changes

Analyze which machines require a lower cycle time or cause delays in order to meet demand



Megapack Production Layout Simulation using FlexSim

**Method**: Model was created on FlexSim using the CAD drawing of the facility layout. Based on the statistical data and constraints input, the simulation showed the number of units produced, and collected in each sink. Those units were fed to the source of the next production line. Finally, every part is assembled and then tested in the EOL.

FlexSim Restriction: 30 block model limit. **Solution**: The model was separated into parts based on the colors of the model. The production line simulation was integrated using the sources and sinks.

**Client Restriction:** Budget and space constraints limited.

**Solution:** Incorporated future growth and analyzed the bottlenecks to eliminate waste idle time make the cycle time shorter and increasing productivity.



Processing Idle Blocked Breakdown

**Processors Utilization Percentage** 

- Less than 205 minutes cycle time per asset
- 44 assets per week
- Multiple Bottlenecks (Less than 50% utilization)
- Processors are in series
  - Increased change of Mean Time Between Failure
  - Increased bottleneck processors
- Uneven distribution of cycle times among processors
- Space constraints
  - Cannot add more processors

# reduction percentage for the Bottleneck cycle time.



| GAL1_30L        | 21%                |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| GAL1_29K        | 13%                |
| GAL1_26_2K      | 11%                |
| GAL1_16K        | 25%                |
| TBH EOL         | 26%                |
| THB1_10500      | 28%                |
| Powder Coat     | 63%                |
| 3P_01000_RTV_01 | 20%                |
| 3P1_01000_DC_01 | 17%                |
| BNT_10000_6     | 34%                |
| BNT_10000_4     | 43%                |
|                 | 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% |
| Processing      | Collecting         |

- station was also reduced.

Overall, to have a significant production increase per week it is recommended that the cycle time for each of the bottleneck stations is decreased by half. A possible solution to reduce the time is by adding a parallel station to each bottleneck.

## Next Step

### Takeaways

- Bottleneck and Cycle Time Analysis
- economic aspects

## RECEOMMENDATIONS

Optimize the number of Megapacks produced each week by finding the optimal

• After reducing the cycle time by 50%, the processing time for each bottleneck

• The processing time improved for each station by up to 83%

### CONCLUSIONS

• Improve production efficiency by further reducing cycle time • Test possible solutions using the simulation model • Analyze the economic aspect of adding parallel stations

• Conduct production line simulation using FlexSim • Make recommendations based on technical and

