EPICS Individual Evaluation Rubric – EPCS 401, 402

	Grading Guidelines:		
Student Name:	Must satisfy all requirements of a grade level to achieve that grade.		
	Grade level indicated is for base grade, and +/- modifiers will be added as appropriate.		
Major:	A:		
No. of semesters	☐ Excellent in 3 outcomes, proficient or better in 2 outcomes		
in EPICS:	□ No unexcused absences from lab		
	☐ Team and individual requirements complete		
Team:	☐ All Professional Development Hours (PDH) complete B:		
	□ Proficient or better in all outcomes		
Project:	□ No more than one unexcused absences from lab		
	☐ Team and individual requirements complete		
Team Role:	☐ All Professional Development Hours (PDH) complete		
	C:		
	☐ Competent or better in all outcomes		
Requirements Checklist	☐ No more than two unexcused absences from lab		
To be completed by the student and verified by the TA:	☐ More than half of the team and individual requirements complete		
	☐ At least 60% of the PDH hours completed		
Individual Requirements:	D:		
/16 Lab Attendance	☐ Competent or better in 3 outcomes		
/ 5 or 10 Professional Development Hours	\square No more than three unexcused absences from lab		
☐ Peer Review - Midterm	☐ At least 40% of the PDH hours completed F:		
☐ Peer Review - Final	☐ Fails to meet minimum requirements for a D		
☐ Semester Reflection	Student's Comments:		
Team Requirements:			
□ Design Document - Midterm			
□ Design Document - Final			
☐ Transition Document			
Role Specific: (if applicable)			
☐ Semester Plan	Instructor's Comments:		
☐ Team Budget			
☐ Team Website			

Week:

Student Signature:

Instructor Signature:

Outcomes	Excellent (E)	Proficient (P)	Competent (C)	Does Not (N) Meet Expectations	Assessment
Accomplishing Project Goals: Primarily evaluated from project deliverables and	Documented individual disciplinary contributions to the project are outstanding, adding significant value to the team, partnership and	Documented individual disciplinary contributions to the project are good , adding value to the team, partnership	olinary contributions to the ct are good , adding disciplinary contributions to the project are adequate , disciplinary contributions to the project are inadequate .		Self- Assessment:
'work and accomplishments' section of the notebook.	design.	and design.	partnership or design	the team, partnership or design	Instructor's Assessment:
Justification for Self-Assente notebook section/date).	essment: List up to three of your perso	nal accomplishments and provide	one sentence on where evidence	ce can be found to demonstrate	each (e.g.
Utilizing a Design Process: Primarily evaluated through Design Document	Demonstrates comprehensive understanding of the design process; implements process in the team design work and contributes in	Demonstrates good understanding of the design process, with some evidence of putting process into practice	Demonstrates adequate understanding of the design process, implementing some elements into their own	Demonstrates lack of understanding of the design process with no significant evidence of putting into practice or contributing to the design document.	Self- Assessment:
and 'work and accomplishments' section of the notebook.	a significant way to the design document.	and tangible contributions to the design document.	design work and contributing in some way to the design documents.		Instructor's Assessment:
Justification for Self-Assesentence on where evidence	essment: List up to three examples of le can be found to demonstrate each (e	process thinking (e.g. specification e.g. notebook section/date).	lists, brainstorming, decision m	latrixes, risk assessment, etc) ar	nd provide one
Reflective/ Critical Thinking**: Primarily evaluated through reflections section	Outstanding critical and reflective thinking, including all three content components consistently well developed. Covers appropriate	Building critical and reflective thinking, including two content components generally well developed. Covers a variety of	reflective thinking, including one content component critical and reflective thinking		Self- Assessment:
of the notebook.	distribution of all themes over the semester.	themes over the semester.			Instructor's Assessment:
Justification for Self-Asse	I essment: List specific reflection themes	s (Social Impact, Ethics, etc.) and	Indicate primary examples of rel	I flections on these themes.	

Teamwork/ Leadership:
Primarily evaluated
through team observation
'meetings' section of
notebook, and peer
reviews.

Outstanding participation in class and team work, develops professional relationships, and fulfills role-specific responsibilities. Excels in work with team members, within and outside of formal team roles to accomplish team goals and leads when appropriate. Promotes team unity, assists others. Outstanding contribution to peer reviews.

Good teamwork and participation in class as well as role-specific responsibilities.

Willing to work with other team members, within and outside of formal team roles, to accomplish team goals, Acquires new knowledge when prompted by others. Good contribution to peer reviews.

Participates in class and teamwork when prompted, including role-specific responsibilities. Shows some willingness to work with other team members, within and outside of formal team roles, to accomplish team goals, Acquires new knowledge when prompted by others. Adequate contribution to peer reviews.

Inadequate participation in class and teamwork, little or nothing done to build team unity. Incomplete rolespecific responsibilities.

Little willingness to work with other team members, within and outside of formal team roles, to accomplish team goals. Inadequate contribution to peer reviews.

Instructor's Assessment:

Assessment:

Self-

Justification for Self-Assessment: Provide up to three sentences describing your interactions with team members and performance in your team role.

Communication: Primarily evaluated through written and verbal, formal and informal communication in team observation, design reviews, Design Document, and peer reviews.

Outstanding communication with all audiences. Completes all documentation needed for the team design, project management, and transition with minimal need for editing. Good communication with all audiences. Completes all documentation needed for the team, design, project management, and transition with some need for editing.

Adequate communication with all audiences.
Completes most documentation needed for the team, design, project management, and transition with need for editing.

Inadequate communication both written and orally, formally or informally, to most audiences. Incomplete documentation needed for the team, design, project management, and transition.

Self-Assessment:

Instructor's Assessment:

Justification for Self-Assessment: Provide up three sentences describing your written and verbal, formal and informal communications with team members, community partners, design reviewers, and the public.

Justification for two-credit hour students:

For two-credit students only, provide a brief description of the quantity and quality of work performed above and beyond the expectations for a one-credit student.

^{*}Attach as addendum if needed.

^{**} See https://www.aacu.org/value/rubrics/critical-thinking for definition of critical thinking.