BME646 and ECE60146: Homework 2

Spring 2023

Arghadip Das

das169@purdue.edu

1. Introduction

The aim of this homework is to make us familiarize with the image representations such as PIL and torch tensor. It also introduces the necessary concepts to implement an image dataloader within PyTorch framework.

2. Understanding Data Normalization

The results in Slides 26 and 28 are same although the methods are different. We obtain the results in Slide 26 through manual computation (dividing the pixel values in ALL of the batch images by the max value of the entire batch, i.e., 255) for every image. However, *tvt.ToTensor* is used to get the results in Slide 28. It appears that *tvt.ToTensor* divides the pixel values of an image by the max pixel value in that image. As it is operating on per image basis (due to the for loop), but the maximum value (255) appears ONLY in second channel of the third image, the answers in two previously mentioned slides should be different.

<u>I believe, the "mystery" is *tvt.ToTensor* always divides the pixel values with the maximum possible pixel value in *int8* format, i.e. 255. It does not matter if the maximum value (255) is present in the image channels or not. That is the reason why two results are same.</u>

3. Programming Tasks

3.1. Setting Up Your Conda Environment

As I am using Google Colab for this assignment, this step is skipped.

3.2. Becoming Familiar with torchvision.transforms

(a) (b) Fig. 1. (a) Direct (target), and (b) oblique images of a stop sign

Two captured images of the stop sign are given below. The image dimensions are (224, 224).

Source code for loading and displaying the images in Fig. 1:



Best transformed images:

1. Using affine parameters:



Fig. 2. Best transformed image using *tvt.RandomAffine()*. The parameters are *degree* = (-15,-15), *translate* = (0.01,0), *scale* = (1.1,1.1), *shear* = [15,15,0,0]. The Wasserstein distance between the direct image (Fig. 1(a)) and the transformed image (Fig. 2) is 0.012.

The exploration space of parameters is given below.

- degree: {(-25,-25), (-20,-20), (-15,-15)}
- translate: {(0.01,0), (0.02,0), (0.03,0)}
- scale: {(1,1), (1.1,1.1), (1.2,1.2)}
- shear: {[20,20,5,5], [15,15,0,0], [25,25,0,0]}

Approach:



Fig. 3. Affine transform (straight and parallel lines hold their behavior after the transform)

The oblique image in Fig. 1(b) needs to be rotated anti-clockwise in order to get the target image in Fig. 1(a). That's why the *degree* parameter is set to negative values. If carefully observed, the oblique image is also slightly shifted along horizontal direction. Here the *translate* parameter comes to our rescue. In a similar fashion, *scale* and *shear* parameters are also properly chosen after few trials and errors.

Source code to select the best parameters by minimizing the distance with the target image:

```
list_of_dist = [] # Empty list initialization to store the Wasserstein distance for diff params
       dist = wasserstein distance(hist direct.cpu().numpy(), hist affine.cpu().numpy())
       list of dist.append(dist)
        list of params.append((degree, translate x, scale, shear))
plt.imshow(best affine img)
```

Output:



2. Using projective parameters:



Fig. 4. Best transformed image using *tvt.functonal.perspective()*. The parameters are *startpoints* = [[0,0], [223,0], [223,223], [0,223]], endpoints=[[0,0], [230,-60], [223,223], [0,260]]. The Wasserstein distance between the direct image (Fig. 1(a)) and the transformed image (Fig. 2) is 0.011.

Both the *startpoint* and *endpoint* parameters consist of four corners, *top-left, top-right, bottom-right, bottom-left*, respectively. Each corner is a list of two integers (x, y), e.g., *top-left* = [top-left-x, top-left-y].

The exploration space of parameters is given below.

- *top-right-x*: {230, 240, 250}
- *top-right-y*: {-80, -70, -60}
- *bottom-left-x*: {-20, -10, 0}
- *bottom-left-y*: {260, 270, 280}

Approach:



Fig. 5. Projective transform (straight lines hold their behavior after the transform)

Observing Fig. 1(b) reveals that the *top-left* and *bottom-right* corners of it almost resembles the target image in Fig. 1(a). Therefore, by playing with *top-right* and *bottom-left* corners Fig. 1(a) can be obtained from Fig. 1(b). For example, the *top-right* corner of the image in 1(b) needs to be moved along right-upward (north-east) direction to obtain the target image. The *top-right-x* > 224 and *top-right-y* < 0 will satisfy our requirements. Similarly, the parameters are chosen for *bottom-left* corner to move it along the left-downward (south-west) direction.

Source code to select the best parameters by minimizing the distance with the target image:

```
list_of_dist = [] # Empty list initialization to store the Wasserstein distance for diff params
       perspective img = tvt.functional.perspective(img=im oblique, startpoints=[[0,0], [223,0],
       hist projective = torch.histc(tvt.ToTensor() (perspective img), bins=10, min=0.0, max=1.0)
       hist_projective = hist_projective.div(hist_projective.sum())
       dist = wasserstein distance(hist direct.cpu().numpy(), hist projective.cpu().numpy())
       list_of_params.append((top_right_x, top_right_y, bottom_left_x, bottom_left_y))
list of params[min index] # Set of parameters corresponding to min index
```





3.3. Creating Our Own Dataset Class

Ten images of different objects are captured using the mobile phone and uploaded to a Google Drive folder. The images are resized to 256×256 and named from '0.jpg' to '9.jpg'. The __len__() and __getitem__() functions are modified as per the instructions. Two important things to be noted here. Although we have only 10 images, in order to create an illusion for the dataloader that we have 1000 images,

- the __*len__()* method returns 1000 (NOT 10);

The chosen augmentation transformations, which are suitable for image classification tasks, are *tvt.ColorJitter*, *tvt.RandomGrayscale*, *tvt.RandomHorizintalFlip*.

Source code for custom Dataset class implementation:

```
tvt.ColorJitter(brightness=1, contrast=0, saturation=0, hue=0),
tvt.RandomGrayscale(p=0.5),
tvt.RandomHorizontalFlip(p=0.5),
```

Demonstration of MyDataset class:

Test code:



Output:

1000			
torch.Size([3,	256,	256])	6
<pre>torch.Size([3,</pre>	256,	256])	4

Original and augmented images:

Code to plot images:





Rationale behind the chosen transformations:

Transformation	Rationale		
tvt.ColorJitter()	It alters the color properties of an image by changing its pixel		
	values. In a real-life scenario, the different illumination leads to		
	different brightness of a captured image. Other parameters like		
	contrast, saturation and hue also varies. Therefore, this		
	augmentation helps in better training of the image classifier		
	network.		
tvt.RandomGrayscale()	It converts color images (3 channels, RGB) to gray scale images		
	(1 channel). The image classifier must be able to classify from		
	all types of input images, not only the color images. This type of		
	color augmentation helps in that aspect of traning.		
tvt.RandomHorizontalFlip()	This is a geometry-related transform. The image classifier is		
	better trained if it sees images of objects captured from different		
	angles. Therefore, horizontal flip helps in learning those		
	features.		

3.4. Generating Data in Parallel

The instance of MyDataset class is wrapped within the *torch.utils.data.DataLoader* class so that the images can be processed in a multi-threaded fashion.

Plotting all images from a batch of 4:

Source code:



Output:



Comparison: multi-threaded DataLoader vs. only Dataset

1. <u>Time needed to load and augment 1000 images by calling my_dataset. __getitem__():</u>



1. Source code:

2. Output:

Load time (just using Dataset) = 7.096383094787598 seconds

2. <u>Time needed by *my_dataloader* to process 1000 random images (across different batch sizes and number of workers):</u>

I create a data loader and an iterator object from that data loader once for a certain *batch_size* and *num_workers*. Then in a *for* loop the iterator is called for (*1000/batch_size*) times. The considered batch sizes and number of workers are shown in the following source code.

1. Source code



print('Batch size = ', batch_size, '| num_workers= ', num_workers, '| Load time = ', end_time
start time, ' seconds')

2. <u>Output</u>

Batch size = 1 num_workers= 0 Load time = 7.533124685287476 seconds
Batch size = 1 num_workers= 2 Load time = 6.805963039398193 seconds
Batch size = 1 num_workers= 4 Load time = 6.515792608261108 seconds
Batch size = 10 num_workers= 0 Load time = 5.805272102355957 seconds
Batch size = 10 num_workers= 2 Load time = 4.883432865142822 seconds
Batch size = 10 num_workers= 4 Load time = 4.461414575576782 seconds
Batch size = 20 num_workers= 0 Load time = 5.8049585819244385 seconds
Batch size = 20 num_workers= 2 Load time = 4.582255601882935 seconds
Batch size = 20 num_workers= 4 Load time = 4.592945575714111 seconds
Batch size = 50 num_workers= 0 Load time = 5.819510459899902 seconds
Batch size = 50 num_workers= 2 Load time = 4.447611570358276 seconds
Batch size = 50 num_workers= 4 Load time = 4.46168065071106 seconds
Batch size = 100 num_workers= 0 Load time = 5.795533895492554 seconds
Batch size = 100 num_workers= 2 Load time = 4.647416591644287 seconds
Batch size = 100 num_workers= 4 Load time = 4.61956000328064 seconds

Load times across different batch_size and num_workers are shown in the table and plot.

batch_size	num_workers	Load time (s)
1	0	4.80918
1	2	4.72898
1	4	5.49303
2	0	4.73202
2	2	4.11033
2	4	3.65303
5	0	4.73659
5	2	3.73318
5	4	3.30234
10	0	4.80384
10	2	3.59341
10	4	3.07502
20	0	4.77154
20	2	3.42828
20	4	3.03153
50	0	4.69958
50	2	3.4184
50	4	3.01213
100	0	4.63261
100	2	3.45138
100	4	3.19065



Fig. 6. Load time vs. *batch_size* plot across different *num_workers*

Discussion:

From the plot, as the batch size increases, the load time first reduces and then remains almost same. Increasing batch size means more images are packed together. Therefore, it reduces the number of iterations in the *for* loop. This leads to reduced overhead and thereby reduces the load and processing time. Also, for a certain *batch_size*, if the *num_workers* are increased, then the load time reduces. As more threads join the process, the increased parallelism reduces the load and augmentation time. The results for *batch_size* = 1 shows some random behavior when *num_workers* are changed. However, we still see significant improvement in processing time (7.1s vs. 5.5s) over *my_dataset.__getitem_()* when data loader is used. This can be attributed to the efficient PyTorch back-end implementation of data loaders.

If we compare the data loader results with the previously obtained result for $my_dataset.__getitem_()$, we see significant improvement when $batch_size$ and $num_workers$ are significantly greater than 1. We get $\sim 2.33X$ (7.1s vs. 3.0s) speed-up (performance gain) by using data loader with $batch_size = 50$ and $num_workers = 4$.

4. Lessons Learned

In this homework, we get familiarized with the image representations such as PIL and torch tensor. We also learn the necessary concepts to implement a custom dataset and an image dataloader for parallel loading and processing of data from disk using PyTorch framework.

--- End of the document ---