Niloofar Shadab, PhD 03/29/2024 Research Talk

PURDUE UNIVERSITY





Closed System Precepts in Systems Engineering for Artificial Intelligence - SE4AI

ROADMAP

Niloofar Shadab









Informing Engineering

How theoretical framework informs engineering practices

Closed Systems View on Scale and Scope

Functional **Closure in SE4AI**

Informational **Closure in SE4AI**





Introduction



Intelligent Systems- New Category of Systems

- Have endogenous evolution of behavior, and/or function over their life-cycle.
- Have intelligence as a new property of a whole; not relegated to a specific components



Implications of Intelligence in SE

INPUT-OUTPUT BASED PROBLEMS:

- Learn a new function
- Learn to handle a larger set of inputs for existing functions
- Learn to perform a function better

OUTCOME-BASED PROBLEMS:

- Learn to achieve an outcome
- Deciding to pursue a new outcome
- Learning to achieve an existing outcome in a new context
- Learning to achieve an outcome better

Current Gaps in SE4AI

• Al Fragility to Environmental Changes





- Small changes in inputs set would have unpredicted consequences
- No direct relation between the changes in inputs and outputs.

Current Gaps in SE4AI

• Continuous Learning Aspects



• Similar problems can appear in other SE activities such as manufacturing, design, and requirements engineering



Theoretical Framework

Unique Nature of Intelligence Property

- Closed Relations between the system and its environment
- Dissolution of boundary of intelligence
- Intelligence as a system-level relational property to its environment



Closed Systems Precepts









 $S \subset \times \{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}\}$

 $S \subset \times \{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}\}$

 $\mathcal{X}\cap\mathcal{Y}=\emptyset$

 $\exists f: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$



Terminology Framework



$$S^{0}: S^{0} \subseteq \times \{\mathcal{X}^{0}, \mathcal{Y}^{0}\}$$
$$U: \mathcal{X}^{u} = \mathcal{Y}^{u} = \emptyset$$
$$S^{C}: S^{C} \subseteq \times \{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}\}$$

 $E: E \subseteq \times \{\mathcal{X}^E, \mathcal{Y}^E\}$ Where, $\overline{E} = \overline{U} \setminus \overline{S^0}$

 $E^{I}: E^{I} \subseteq \times \{\bar{E} \cap \bar{S}^{C}\}$ $E^{0}: E^{0} \subseteq \times \{\bar{E} \setminus \bar{S}^{C}\}$

Definition 1 (Functionally Closed Context System): A functional context system, S^C , is functionally closed from its outer environment, E^O , if and only if,

1) There exists a minimal set of inputs and outputs, M, such that S^C is functionally dependent on M. This condition can be shown as: $S^C \subseteq \times \{\mathcal{X}_M, \mathcal{Y}_M\}$, and

2) There are no additional inputs from E^O beyond M that can influence the behavior of S^C . and

3) There are no additional outputs from S^C beyond M that can affect the behavior of E^O .

Given:
$$S^C : \mathcal{X}_M \to \mathcal{Y}_M \& E^O : \mathcal{X}^O \to \mathcal{Y}^O$$

Where: $y \in \mathcal{Y}_M \& x \in \mathcal{X}_M$
we know: $\mathcal{Y}^O \to \mathcal{Y}_M \land \mathcal{X}_M \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^O$



Definition 2 (Interpretation of An Informationally Closed Systems Using Information): A Context System that transitions through states 1, 2, ..., n, n+1; is informationally closed at state n if there is no joint information between S_{n+1}^C and $E_n^O | S_n^C$.

$$I(S_{n+1}^C; E_n^O | S_n^C) = 0$$

Theorem 1 (Inequality for mutual information in closure):

$$I(S_n^C; E_n^O) \ge H(S_{n+1}^C, S_n^C) - H(S_{n+1}^C, S_n^C | E_n^O)$$

Theorem 1 provides the relation for the level of mutual information being presented in the boundary of an informationally closed system. To maintain closure at state n + 1,



Different Level of Abstraction in SE



Ashby's Law of Requisite Variety:

S is stable \rightarrow Variety(S) > Variety(C)

 $Variety(0) \ge Variety(C) - Variety(S)$

Variety is a measurement of complexity in systems.

$$V_A = -\sum_i^{|A|} p_i \log_2 p_i,$$



Use of Core And Periphery as Precepts



- **Blocking:** Outcome-based value judgement on the distribution of variety. What aspects of an intelligent system are being used to block environmental variety to regulate outcome
 - Core-dominant
 - Periphery-dominant
- **Outcome-based Engineering:** By avoiding restrictive assumption of decomposition and recomposition, subsystems can be abstracted to lower level of core and periphery distribution at subsystem level independent to the system-level abstraction.
- **Modeling Dynamics of Variety:** Modeling of core and periphery elements over time. Trace adaptation in a system as elements move between core and periphery.



Explaining Real World Application

Biological Intelligence through Core and Periphery



Homeostasis and Homeodynamics

- Homeostasis: It refers to the body's ability to maintain a stable and balanced internal environment, despite external changes and fluctuations.
- Homeodynamics: It describe a state of dynamic equilibrium or balance within a biological system.
- Homeostatis variables → **core** homeodynamic variables → **periphery**
- Belief and Interrogative Attitude
 - Interrogative attitude can transfer to belief and vice versa through the conduct of inquiry due to suspension of judgment.
 - Belief → **core** Interrogative Attitude → **periphery**

Artificial Intelligence Through Core and Periphery

- · Motivation: Observe how environmental variety is absorbed by the System through its internal variety
- CNN Structure: Fully-connected layer has a fixed structure, feature set, activation, and loss function.
- Where is the variety absorbed? Weights of the layers.
- How to capture environmental variety? **Through inputs set** (both in terms of structural complexity, size)



Artificial Intelligence Through Core and Periphery

Used ResNet-50 model. Trained it with CFAR-10. Stored weights of the fully connected layer. Captured the weights on heatmaps. Compared the weights change at each epoch for a total of 40 epochs.

Step 1

step 1 to retrain with CFAR-100, a more complex dataset with more classes. Saved the weights for each epoch for a total of 40 epochs. Compared the weights of each epoch with one from previous training epochs.

Step 2

Used the trained model in

Picked epoch 1, epoch 10, epoch 20, and epoch 30 from step 2. Found the difference between weights of epoch 40 from step 1 with the above-mentioned epochs. Then we compare the four heatmaps of weight differences to find evidence of core and periphery.

Step 3



Artificial Intelligence Through Core and Periphery





Informing Engineering Practices



Scalability and Scope Problem in SE4AI

- The set of states continuously evolves.
- Exceptionally sensitive to changes in their environment
- The need to capture many boundary conditions.
- Situations of having defined use case models dissolve boundaries.

We suggest that, to attain scalable well-scoped intelligent capabilities, transitioning from scenario-based engineering to outcome-based engineering is worth exploring.

Example of Functional Closure in SE





 $O_{FCS} = \text{Outcome of The Functionally Closed System}$ $F_{FCS} \subseteq \times \{F_{Following}, F_{Lead}\}$ $S^{C} \subseteq F_{FCS}$ $F_{FCS} : \mathcal{X}_{M} \to \mathcal{Y}_{M}$ Where: $\mathcal{X}_{M} \subseteq M \quad \mathcal{Y}_{M} \subseteq M$ $X_{M} \subseteq \{V_{L}, a_{L}, J_{L}, F_{fric}\}$ $Y_{M} \subseteq \{V_{F}, a_{F}, J_{F}\}$ $X = X_{E} \setminus M \text{ and } Y = Y_{E} \setminus M$ We have: $S^{C} \not\subset \times \{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}\}$ $\Rightarrow O_{FCS} : M \times F_{FCS} \to \mathcal{D}$



Example of Informational Closure in SE



Initial Modelling Assumptions:

$$I(S_n^C; E_n^O) = \{V_{limit}, R^0, W^0\}$$
$$I(E_n^O) = \{V_{limit}, R^0, W^0, RU\}$$

Calculation of Entropy in The Proposed Model:

$$\begin{split} H(S_n^C) &= -\sum_{x \in S_n^C} P(x) \log P(x) = -\sum_{d_n^1}^{d_n^5} p(d_n^i) \log(d_n^i) \\ \text{where: } p(d_n^i) &= p(V_n^i, a_n^i, V_n^0, a_n^0) \\ H(S_{n+1}^C) &= -\sum_{y \in S_{n+1}^C} P(y) \log P(y) \\ &= -\sum_{d_{n+1}^1}^{d_{n+1}^5} p(d_{n+1}^i) \log(d_{n+1}^i) \end{split}$$

where:

$$p(d_{n+1}^i) = p((V_{n+1}^i, a_{n+1}^i | V_n^i, a_n^i), (V_{n+1}^0, a_{n+1}^0 | a_n^0, V_n^0))$$

Final Calculation of Inequality:

First:
$$I(S_n^C, E_n^0) = H(S_n^C) - H(S_n^C|E_n^0)$$

Second: $H(S_{n+1}^C, S_n^C|E_n^O) =$
 $H(S_{n+1}^C) + H(S_n^C|E_n^O) - I(S_{n+1}^C; S_n^C|E_n^O)$
Third: $H(S_n^C, S_{n+1}^C) = H(S_n^C) + H(S_{n+1}^C|S_n^C)$



Conclusion

Conclusion





Contributions of This Research



- This research provides arguments on why intelligent systems require a paradigm shift for SE.
- This research contributes to the theory of SE by providing formal definitions of the closed systems concepts, and variety at different abstraction levels of system.
- This research will help pave the way for systems engineers to build methodologies to explain intelligent outcomes in intelligent systems.
- This research provides an avenue to enable direct engineering of outcome-based problems
- This research proposes a concept that can help scaling and scoping intelligence property as a property of the whole.
- This research will provide a framework that introduces an additional layer of abstraction in SE of intelligent systems that can result in reduction of the number use-case models in the SE process.

Limitation



- The lack of empirical evidence of the application of this framework in a real-world intelligent systems. The need for a new type of measurement techniques. The lack of concrete decision on what should be measured in the empirical evidence.
- Lack of formalism in some of the concepts related to systems theory and systems engineering.
- The abstract level of the formalism is still not suitable for the engineering applications.
- The current AI systems do not express the expected level of intelligence. Therefore, the applicability of this research is yet to be tested and recognized

Future Work



- Empirical studies can shed light on the real-world impact of these introduced precepts potentially by providing test beds for intelligent systems.
- A deeper dive into formalizing additional concepts.
- Exploring the dynamics of boundaries between highly coupled systems, as well as the human-centric aspects of incorporating these precepts in engineering workflows.
- Revisit of different SE practices such as reusability, requirements vs needs, verification and validation processes, product line in manufacturing, system maintenance and management, among others.

Publications



- Shadab N, Cody, T, Salado A, Beling P, A Systems-Theoretical Formalization of Closed Systems, IEEE Open Journal of Systems Engineering (Published in 2023)
- Shadab N, Cody T, Salado A, Beling P, From Scenario-based to Outcome-based Engineering in SE4AI, (submitted to OJSE)
- Shadab N, Cody T, Salado A, Beling P, Exploring Outcome-Based Biological and Artificial Intelligence Through Core and Periphery Precepts (Ready to be submitted to System Research and Behavioral Science)
- Shadab, N., Cody, T., Salado, A., & Beling, P. (2022, April). Closed Systems Paradigm For Intelligent Systems. In 2022 IEEE International Systems Conference (SysCon) (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Cody, T., Shadab, N., Salado, A., & Beling, P. (2022, August). Core and Periphery as Closed-system Precepts For Engineering General Intelligence. In *International Conference on Artificial General Intelligence* (pp. 209-219). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Shadab, N., Kulkarni, A. U., & Salado, A. (2021). Shifting Paradigms in Verification And Validation of AI-enabled Systems: A Systems-Theoretic Perspective. *Systems Engineering and Artificial Intelligence*, 363-378.
- Shadab, N., Cody, T., Beling, P., & Salado, A. (CSER 2023). Product Herding for Intelligent Systems.
- Shadab, N., & Salado, A. (2020, October). Towards an Interface Description Template For Reusing AI-enabled Systems. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC) (pp. 2893-2900). IEEE.



Email: nshadab@vt.edu